Ulnar nerve lesions associated with the carpal tunnel syndrome
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SUMMARY Electrophysiological studies were performed on median and ulnar nerves in 234 cases of carpal tunnel syndrome. Abnormalities of the ulnar nerve sensory action potential were found in 39.3% of cases. The amplitude of the ulnar nerve sensory action potential was related to the amplitude of the median nerve sensory action potential, and to the median nerve motor conduction velocity in the forearm. The findings suggest that in a significant proportion of patients with carpal tunnel syndrome, a more generalized subclinical neuropathy may be present.

The association of ulnar nerve abnormalities with carpal tunnel syndrome has received little attention. In performing routine diagnostic nerve conduction studies in patients with the syndrome, an appreciable incidence of such abnormalities was noted. This stimulated a review of all patients with carpal tunnel syndrome studied over the past five years with particular emphasis on the frequency of ulnar nerve abnormalities and their significance in the pathogenesis of the syndrome.

METHODS

SUBJECTS There were 234 cases of carpal tunnel syndrome in the series. These comprised 214 patients, 20 of whom had bilateral abnormalities; 119 of the patients were women and 95 were men. Their ages ranged from 19 to 87 (mean, 54) years. Thirty-four control subjects were also studied in whom there was no history or physical sign of neurological disease nor of systemic disorders known to predispose to neuropathy. Their ages ranged from 18 to 77 (mean, 47) years.

The criteria used for the diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome were a history or physical signs of carpal tunnel syndrome together with one or more of the following three electrophysiological abnormalities (Gilliatt and Sears, 1958): (1) a median motor latency at the wrist greater than 5.0 msec; (2) a median sensory latency at the wrist greater than 4.0 msec; (3) an amplitude of the median sensory action potential at the wrist of less than 8 µV.

Patients were included only if they presented clinically as cases of idiopathic carpal tunnel syndrome. Patients were excluded from the series if
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**TABLE 1**
RESULTS OF NERVE CONDUCTION STUDIES IN CONTROL SUBJECTS AND PATIENTS WITH CARPAL TUNNEL SYNDROME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control subjects (34) (age 18-77 yr, mean 47)</th>
<th>Median latency from</th>
<th>Motor conduction velocity (m/sec)</th>
<th>Sensory action potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Motor nerve</td>
<td>Ulnar* nerve</td>
<td>Median nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.6±0.5</td>
<td>2.1±0.4</td>
<td>49.66±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6±0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carpal tunnel syndrome (234) (age 19-87 yr, mean 54)</th>
<th>Median latency from</th>
<th>Motor conduction velocity (m/sec)</th>
<th>Sensory action potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Motor nerve</td>
<td>Ulnar* nerve</td>
<td>Median nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>2.7±1.5</td>
<td>1.8±1.0</td>
<td>21.77±11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0±6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0±0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Significance of difference (Student's t test)**
P<0.001 P<0.001 P<0.001 P<0.001 P<0.001 P<0.001

* Ulnar nerve motor studies were performed in 100 cases. Latency measured to abductor digiti minimi muscle.
HS = highly significant.

**TABLE 2**
FREQUENCY OF ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL ABNORMALITIES IN 234 CASES OF CARPAL TUNNEL SYNDROME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median nerve</th>
<th>Motor latency from wrist (msec)</th>
<th>Motor conduction velocity (m/sec)</th>
<th>Sensory action potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion of abnormality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (%)</td>
<td>&gt;5.0</td>
<td>&lt;47</td>
<td>&gt;4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3**
NERVE CONDUCTION STUDIES IN CARPAL TUNNEL SYNDROME: COMPARISON OF CASES WITH NORMAL AND REDUCED MEDIAN NERVE MOTOR CONDUCTION VELOCITY IN THE FOREARM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Median motor latency from wrist (msec)</th>
<th>Sensory action potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median nerve</td>
<td>Ulnar nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>latency (msec)</td>
<td>amplitude (μV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal median motor conduction velocity in forearm (&gt;47 m/sec) (146)</td>
<td>52.2±12.9</td>
<td>5.4±13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced motor conduction velocity in forearm (&lt;47 m/sec) (82)</td>
<td>56.1±12.8 S</td>
<td>6.5±2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance of difference (Student's t test)</td>
<td>P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean figures are given with standard deviation.
S = Significant.
HS = Highly significant.
NS = Not significant.
carpal tunnel syndrome was an incidental finding in the investigation of a generalized peripheral neuropathy; if they suffered from disorders such as diabetes, alcoholism, and chronic renal disease which predispose to peripheral neuropathy; and if there was clinical evidence of either radial or ulnar nerve lesions.

**ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL TECHNIQUES** Motor and sensory conduction in the median nerve and sensory conduction in the ulnar nerve were studied in all 234 cases (Gilliatt and Sears, 1958; Thomas, 1960). In 100 cases measurements of ulnar nerve motor conduction velocities were also made (Gilliatt and Thomas, 1960). In the determination of motor conduction velocity, concentric needle electrodes were used.

The electrical stimulus was a square wave of duration 0-2 msec derived from a DISA Ministim. The recording electrodes were connected to a Tektronix FM122 preamplifier and the action potentials were displayed on the upper beam of a Tektronix 502A oscilloscope. A time scale derived from a Digitimer (Devices Limited) was displayed on the lower beam. Photographic records were made on 35 mm film. The temperature was measured on all controls and a large number of patients with carpal tunnel syndrome. The skin temperature in all cases was greater than 32°C on the forearm.

**RESULTS**

The results of nerve conduction studies in the median and ulnar nerves are summarized in Table 1 and the frequency of different types of abnormality are summarized in Table 2.

**MEDIAN NERVE CONDUCTION** The most common abnormalities found in the carpal tunnel syndrome were prolonged latency of the sensory action potential (88%) and reduction in the amplitude of the sensory action potential (76-5%). An increase in the terminal latency of motor fibres in the median nerve occurred in 65% of cases.

In two cases neither motor nor sensory conduction across the wrist could be demonstrated. In two other cases there was no motor conduction across the wrist but the sensory action potentials were abnormal.

In 35-4% of cases motor conduction velocity in the forearm segment was less than 47 m/sec.

**ULNAR NERVE CONDUCTION** The mean latency and amplitude of the ulnar sensory action potential in the patients were 3-0 msec (SD, 0-6) and 7-9 μV (SD, 4-3) which may be compared with those of 2-6 msec (SD, 0-3) and 13-7 μV (SD, 6-4) in the controls. Both these differences are highly significant (P < 0.001). In 39-3% of cases the amplitude of the sensory action potential was less than 7-0 μV; in 4-8% of cases the latency of the sensory action potential was greater than 4-0 msec.

The effect of age on the amplitude of the ulnar sensory action potential for both patients and controls is shown in Fig. 1. At all ages the regression line for the patients with carpal tunnel syndrome has a lower value for amplitude than that for controls.

The relationship of the amplitude of the ulnar sensory action potential to the amplitude of the median sensory action potential is shown in Fig. 2. For control subjects there is a high degree of correlation (r = 0.76). In carpal tunnel syndrome there is less correlation (r = 0.36) but the degree of correlation remains significant (P < 0.001, Student's t test).
In 100 patients ulnar nerve motor conduction velocity was measured (Table 1). The mean values for ulnar motor conduction velocity and motor latency were significantly different from controls (P < 0.001).

The selection of cases for ulnar nerve motor studies was biased towards those with ulnar sensory abnormalities. Forty-nine of the 100 patients had a reduced ulnar sensory potential amplitude compared with 38.3% for the whole series. Twenty-eight of these 49 were demonstrated to have an ulnar nerve lesion at the elbow according to the criteria of Gilliatt and Thomas (1960).

CARPAL TUNNEL SYNDROME WITH REDUCED MEDIAN NERVE MOTOR CONDUCTION VELOCITY IN FOREARM

The relationship of median nerve motor latency to median nerve motor conduction velocity is shown in Fig. 3. It may be seen that motor conduction velocity is slower in patients with the long terminal latencies.

The characteristics of patients with normal and reduced median nerve motor conduction velocity are compared in Table 3. Those with proximal slowing were older and had a longer motor latency and smaller amplitude of the sensory action potential in the median nerve. All these differences were statistically significant. The median nerve sensory latency was prolonged though not to a significant level (P < 0.1). The discrepancy may be explained by the fact that in the computation of mean latency, patients with an absent action potential cannot be included; this was the case in 46 of the 82 patients with proximal slowing, but in only 55 out of 146 cases with normal conduction above the wrist.

The relationship of the amplitude of the ulnar nerve sensory action potential to the median nerve motor conduction velocity is shown in
DISCUSSION

There is little doubt that the patients under consideration in the present study suffered from the carpal tunnel syndrome. All presented with clinical features of the disorder, and in all cases there were electrophysiological abnormalities consistent with the diagnosis. The upper limit of the normal range of terminal motor latency in the median nerve was taken to be 5.0 msec (Simpson, 1956; Thomas, 1960). The upper limit of the normal range of latency to peak of the sensory action potential in the median nerve was taken to be 4.0 msec and the lower limit of the normal range of amplitude was 8 μV (Gilliatt and Sears, 1958). The criteria for the electrophysiological diagnosis were therefore slightly more stringent than those of Thomas, Lambert, and Csuz (1967). All patients were excluded with clinical evidence of a generalized peripheral neuropathy, with lesions involving other nerves, or with disorders such as diabetes, alcoholism, uraemia, or pernicious anaemia which predispose to peripheral nerve disease.

The frequency of abnormalities of conduction found in the median nerve is similar to that found by other workers. Terminal motor latency was prolonged in 65% of our patients, and latency of the sensory action potential was increased in 88%. The figures are very close to those obtained by Thomas et al. (1967) and Kemble (1968). Buchthal and Rosenfalck (1971) found slowing of motor conduction but not of sensory conduction above the wrist. The cause of the slowing of motor conduction in the median nerve above the level of the wrist is not clear. Thomas (1960) suggested that it may result from selective damage of large diameter fibres, or possibly from reduction in the diameter of all fibres above the level of the lesion. The findings of Anderson, Fullerton, Gilliatt, and Hern (1970) in guinea-pigs indicate that, at least in severe chronic lesions, reduction of fibre diameter above the level of compression is an important factor. Another factor which has not previously been taken into account is that there may be a subclinical neuropathy affecting the whole of the nerve. The present study in which it has been demonstrated that there is a significant correlation between the amplitudes of the ulnar nerve sensory action potential and the motor conduc-

**Fig. 4.** Relationship of motor conduction velocity in median nerve to amplitude of ulnar nerve sensory action potential in patients with carpal tunnel syndrome. Solid lines: mean regression line, and two standard deviations above and below mean.
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Amplitudes may have to be correlated with symptoms that predispose them to entrapment neuropathies (Gilliatt and Willison, 1962). The finding that there is a significant correlation between the amplitudes of the median and ulnar nerve sensory action potentials, and that there is also a significant correlation between the motor conduction velocity in the median nerve and the amplitude of the ulnar nerve sensory action potential suggest that factors outside the carpal tunnel itself may be important in the pathogenesis of the syndrome and lend support to the idea of an underlying generalized neuropathy. A hereditary susceptibility to peripheral nerve pressure palsies occurs in some people (Earl, Fullerton, Wakefield, and Schutta, 1964) but it was clearly not the cause of the associated ulnar and median nerve lesions in our subjects.
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