Discharge pattern of single motor units in the tonic vibration reflex of human triceps surae
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SYNOPSIS Using a single fibre EMG electrode the firing pattern of 46 motor units in the triceps surae has been studied during vibration of the Achilles tendon at frequencies of 25–200 Hz. Potentials activated in the tonic vibration reflex (TVR) were phase-locked to the vibration cycle but tended to become somewhat less so with continued vibration. The firing pattern of voluntarily activated motor units became locked to the waveform by the application of the vibrator. The discharges of 21 motor units were studied during low threshold (sub-M wave) tetanic stimulation of the tibial nerve at 25–100 Hz. No evidence was found of synchronization of potentials activated in the resulting tonic contraction. During weak voluntary contractions, stimulation also failed to regularize voluntarily activated motor units. The findings can be reconciled by postulating that, in normal man, vibration activates monosynaptic and polysynaptic pathways, the latter circuit being adequate to generate reflex contraction, while the former merely affects the temporal patterning of the motor outflow.

The suggestion that the reflex pathways mediating the tonic vibration reflex (TVR) are, at least in part, polysynaptic has aroused little debate (cf. Kanda, 1972). The presence of the necessary polysynaptic excitatory group Ia pathways from the primary spindle ending, the receptor presumed responsible for transducing the vibratory stimulus, is less well documented than the classical monosynaptic pathway. Paradoxically, however, the role of the monosynaptic pathway in the TVR remains unclear.

In the cat, detailed analysis of the firing pattern of single motor units activated by vibration has established that the latencies between successive motoneurone discharges are always integer multiples of the duration of the vibration cycle (Homma et al., 1972a). This principle can be expressed as a ‘decoding ratio’, the ratio between vibration frequency and motoneurone discharge frequency (Homma et al., 1972a). Similar analyses in man of EMG activity induced by vibration of the quadriceps muscle at 100 Hz have suggested that ‘the principle of integer multiplication’ may also be applied to the human TVR (Homma et al., 1972b; Homma and Kanda, 1973; Hirayama et al., 1974). The finding that, with continued vibration, some motor unit discharges are not locked to the vibration wave whereas others are has been taken by Homma and colleagues to indicate that not only monosynaptic but also polysynaptic activation of the motoneurone occurs during the TVR.

Godaux and Desmedt (1975) observed that the TVR of the human masseter muscle is characterized by a distinct grouping of the gross EMG activity into bursts monosynthetically locked to the vibration frequency. They suggested that this vibration-induced timing of EMG activity indicates a greater role of the monosynaptic pathway in the TVR of the masseter than in the TVR of human limb muscles, and in recent papers they have shown
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that in the masseter the discharge of single motor units is locked to the vibration wave with a very small 'jitter' (Desmedt and Godaux, 1975), while in the limb muscles the latency 'jitter' for single motor units is larger (Godaux et al., 1975). In the masseter muscle the synchronization of EMG activity to the vibration wave has been confirmed by Hagbarth et al. (1976), who point out, however, that the reflex mechanisms responsible for the TVR contraction should be regarded separately from those responsible for the vibration-induced timing of motor discharges: any rhythmical input, facilitatory or inhibitory, will tend to synchronize motoneurone discharge to the input provided that the input is not dispersed in its afferent path. Hagbarth et al. (1976) attribute the marked EMG synchrony of the masseter TVR to the shortness of the reflex arc, resulting in little dispersion of neural volleys.

If dispersion of the afferent volley due to the length of the reflex arc is the factor responsible for the differences between the masseter TVR and the limb muscle TVR noted in gross multi-unit EMG recordings by Godaux and Desmedt (1975), it would be of interest to examine the firing pattern of single motor units activated during the TVR in muscles with a long reflex arc, such as triceps surae, and in a brief report published after the completion of the present study, this has been done (Godaux et al., 1975). With a relatively long reflex arc and with relatively high frequencies of vibration (150–200 Hz), the degree of dispersion of the afferent volley would result in continuous afferent bombardment of the motoneurone. The demonstration of motor unit discharges locked to the vibration waveform under such conditions could shed further light on the possible monosynaptic nature of the vibration-induced timing phenomenon. The present study was therefore undertaken to re-assess in human triceps surae the relationship between vibration frequency and the activity of single motor units. Additionally, observations have been made on the tonic contraction induced by low threshold tetanic stimulation of muscle afferents, since previous studies (de Gail et al., 1966; Lang and Vallbo, 1967) have reported an absence of correlation between the timing of motor unit discharge and the electrical stimulus, despite the less dispersed nature of the afferent input.

**METHODS**

Seven experiments were performed on six normal adult subjects. Experiments were performed with subjects lying on their left side on a comfortable bed, the right leg extended and the right foot fixed to the plate of a hydraulic device, details of which have been given previously (Hagbarth et al., 1975). Experiments were begun with the foot at right angle to the line of the tibia (0° at the ankle joint). The angle of the ankle joint and the torque produced by contraction of the triceps surae were monitored continuously.

Vibration was applied to the Achilles tendon using a modified pneumatic drill to which an eccentric weight had been attached. The size of the weight was constant for all experiments and produced an amplitude of vibration of approximately 1.5 mm peak to peak. Frequency of vibration was varied from 25 Hz to more than 200 Hz by opening or closing a valve which regulated the flow of compressed air. Vibration frequency was derived from the waveform monitored using an Endevco 2222A accelerometer which was secured to the vibrator.

For studies of the tonic contraction induced by low intensity tetanic stimulation, square wave pulses of duration 1 ms were delivered by a Grass S-88 stimulator and stimulus isolation unit through a pair of insulated tungsten needle electrodes with bare tips of 3 mm. The electrodes were inserted 1 cm apart transversely into the popliteal fossa close to the tibial nerve and were adjusted until a satisfactory H wave was recorded in triceps surae in response to single shocks. The EMG of tibialis anterior was monitored during adjustments of the electrode position to ensure that stimuli were delivered exclusively to the tibial nerve. In two experiments stimuli of 0.1 ms duration supraliminal for the M wave were delivered at intervals throughout the experiment to check that the stimulating needles had not been displaced.

The potentials of single motor units were recorded from the lateral gastrocnemius or soleus muscle using a needle electrode with a 25 μm silver leading-off surface (Medelec), designed for single fibre EMG studies (Ekstedt, 1964; Ekstedt and Stålberg, 1973; Stålberg and Ekstedt, 1973). In these recordings the activity of single motor units was readily recorded as the potentials from
one to three single muscle fibres. The criteria of a single muscle fibre (Ekstedt, 1964; Ekstedt and Stålberg, 1973) were: constant shape on successive discharge; rise time faster than 300 \mu s; duration less than 1000 \mu s; and amplitude greater than 200 \mu V. Commonly, vibration or voluntary contraction activated more than one motor unit within the uptake area of the electrode (approximate diameter 270 \mu m), but these could be differentiated on amplitude differences, the closest fibre having the largest amplitude. In addition, gross EMG activity of the lateral gastrocnemius and soleus muscles was monitored with a pair of insulated tungsten needle electrodes with bared tips of 3 mm. These electrodes were inserted 5 cm apart subcutaneously or intramuscularly. Their selectivity was such that the activity of a number of motor units was usually recorded, but at times the trace was dominated by, or consisted solely of, the potentials from a single motor unit.

Data were monitored on an oscilloscope during the experiment, and recorded on an 8-channel Precision Instruments PI-6200 tape-recorder for subsequent analysis. Histograms of interdischarge intervals and of the temporal relationship of EMG spikes to the vibration wave were constructed using an Ortec 4620/4621 time histogram analyzer with 128 memory bins. Histograms of the variability of motor unit discharge relative to the vibration wave were constructed by either triggering the oscilloscope sweep with each vibration wave and recording the latency of the potential or vice versa. Similar analysis procedures were used for the potentials activated during low threshold tetanic stimulation of muscle afferent fibres. Motor unit discharge frequency was calculated from either the interdischarge interval histogram or the readout of an instantaneous frequency meter (cf. Hagbarth et al., 1975). Additionally, motor unit discharge parameters such as interdischarge variability, discharge frequency and interval histograms were computed 'off-line' using a Hewlett Packard 5326B time interval counter and PDP-40 computer.

RESULTS

MUSCLE VIBRATION The activity of 46 motor units has been studied during muscle vibration. When the triceps surae was relaxed a TVR could not be recorded in one subject despite muscle stretch and reinforcement. In the other subjects the vibration-activated motor unit potentials were clearly phase-locked to the vibration cycle. This relationship was best demonstrated by multiple superimpositions of the oscilloscope sweep, triggering the sweep from the vibration wave (Fig. 1A). Motor unit discharge frequency was usually in the range 5.5–10 Hz, so that with vibration of 100 Hz most potentials occurred at intervals corresponding to 10–18 vibration cycles (Fig. 1B). Using the terminology of Homma et al. (1972a), the decoding ratios at 100 Hz were between 10:1 and 18:1. Sometimes the size of the decoding ratio for consecutive spikes varied apparently randomly, although with prolonged vibration a slight decrease in the ratios occurred. Construction of interdischarge interval histograms, as used by Homma and colleagues, showed a group of latencies with multiple peaks (Fig. 2A). Each peak corresponds to an integer multiple of the duration of the vibration cycle, and each major peak is separated from the next by the duration of the vibration cycle.

Synchronization of motor unit activity was seen over the entire frequency range possible with the vibrator, from the lower limit of 25 Hz to the upper limit of approximately 220 Hz (Figs 3 and 4). Motor unit firing rate showed no consistent change with change in vibration frequency—at times a slight decrease was recorded at the higher vibration frequencies, although more usually a slight increase (0.5–1 Hz) occurred. Thus, with higher frequencies, more vibration cycles elapsed before the next motor unit potential was generated, so that the decoding ratios were generally higher. One subject developed clonus at vibration frequencies between 100 Hz and 200 Hz. Motor units firing in the clonic burst remained locked to the vibration cycle at these vibration frequencies (Fig. 4—right), although the clonus frequency varied only between 6.0 Hz (with vibration at 100 Hz) and 6.5 Hz (with vibration at 200 Hz).

Figure 1A and B shows that, while motor unit potentials were locked to the vibration cycle, there was considerable variability of the phase of the cycle at which the potentials occurred. The latency histograms of these two motor units relative to their vibration cycles are shown in Fig. 2B. The degree of variability...
changed little with frequency (compare Fig. 2B with Figs 3 and 4). At low frequencies clear gaps were visible between successive bursts of EMG activity, even after multiple superimpositions, but as frequency rose the clear intervals became briefer until at frequencies over 150 Hz the clusters of potentials began to merge (Fig. 3). The range of variability of latency could be determined accurately only for low frequencies of vibration and was 4–7 ms. The standard deviation of the variability (the ‘jitter’) as determined by computer analysis was 0.92–1.31 ms.

With continued vibration at constant frequency a modest increase in motor unit discharge frequency and decrease in the decoding ratios were recorded, and the variability increased as potentials not clearly related to the vibration cycle began to appear. Additional motor units were commonly recruited within the uptake area of the electrode. The changes of discharge frequency were less than 1.0 Hz and the integers of the decoding ratios decreased by only 1 or 2. Passive stretch of the triceps surae by up to 5° during continued vibration resulted in recruitment of fresh motor units, and for the original units a slight decrease in the decoding ratios and no obvious change in variability. More detailed analysis of these changes was not possible as stretch
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often dislodged the rigid electrode and the freshly recruited units obscured the original potentials. Abrupt return to a less stretched position resulted in abrupt cessation of EMG activity for 5–10 s even if the foot had not been returned to the original zero position.

The effects of vibration on voluntarily activated motor units were studied on 14 motor units. Motor unit potentials became recognizably locked to the vibration wave within 2–5 s of application (Fig. 5). Qualitatively similar changes were seen at all frequencies studied up to 200 Hz. Vibration commonly increased motor unit discharge frequency slightly but this effect was not marked. The most obvious change being the regularization of the pattern of discharge. After the application of vibration, three new readily identifiable units were recruited within the uptake area of the electrode in different experiments. These units responded in a manner similar to that of the other 14 motor units. It must be emphasized that only weak voluntary contractions were used since in stronger contractions more units were activated within the uptake area, and the rigid single fibre electrode was more easily dislodged.

**ELECTRICAL STIMULATION** In four subjects potentials from 21 single motor units were recorded during low threshold tetanic stimulation of muscle afferents at frequencies of 25–100 Hz. Stimulus levels were subthreshold for both H and M waves (two subjects) and subthreshold for the M wave but suprathreshold for the H wave (two subjects). With the latter form of stimulation an H reflex was

---

**Fig. 2** Latency histograms. A. Non-sequential interdischarge interval histograms of the unit of Fig. 1 (left) and the larger unit of Fig. 1 (right). The intervals between successive discharges correspond to overall frequencies of approximately 6–8 Hz, but in each case the histogram contains multiple peaks which represent integer multiples of the duration of the vibration cycle. The major peaks are indicated by arrows and the numbers represent the integers by which the duration of the vibration cycle can be multiplied to obtain the latency of the appropriate peak. Thus, the major decoding ratios are 13 : 1, 14 : 1, 15 : 1, and 16 : 1 on the left and 11 : 1, 12 : 1, 13 : 1, 41 : 1 on the right. The values on the y-axis represent the absolute number of discharges counted. B. Variability of motor unit potential relative to the vibration wave for the same two units as in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2A. For technical reasons, these histograms have been obtained by triggering the averaging sweep from the potential and measuring the latency to a fixed point on the next vibration wave rather than vice versa. The duration of each histogram has been adjusted to be exactly that of one vibration cycle.
elicted by only the first one to two stimuli. A silent period of up to 10 s then ensued before changes in torque and EMG activity due to the tonic contraction could be recorded (Fig. 6A, B).

Discharge frequency of units activated by tetanic stimulation was 5–9 Hz. In none could convincing evidence of synchronization to the electrical stimulus be demonstrated using the same analysis techniques as used for vibration (Fig. 6C, D). These findings thus confirm those of de Gail et al. (1966) and Lang and Vallbo (1967). On the left of Fig. 7, the discharge pattern and latency histogram from a motor unit activated by tetanic stimulation shows an absence of correlation with the electrical stimulus. The same unit was then activated by vibration and can be seen on the right of Fig. 7 to be synchronized to the vibration cycle. Low threshold tetanic stimulation also failed to regularize the firing pattern of voluntarily activated motor units. No differences in behaviour were noted at the different stimulus frequencies.

In three subjects the stimulus level was raised during the course of stimulation until just suprathreshold for the M response, thus producing a low-grade tetanic contraction of the muscle which was painful. The M response could be readily identified as a potential of fixed latency but the pattern of discharge of reflexly activated motor units did not alter, even though the higher stimulus level would have activated more afferent fibres.

**DISCUSSION**

**EFFECTS OF VIBRATION**

During the TVR, motor unit firing rate remains low and, as reported previously by Lance et al. (1966) and Delwaide (1971), is not greatly affected by changing the vibration frequency. However, as maintained by Homma and colleagues, the discharge pattern is clearly related to the vibration cycle. That such a relationship could be demonstrated when the duration of the vibration cycle was as short as 5 ms implies that, although continuous afferent bombardment of the motoneurone might be expected at this vibration frequency, the input...
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**Fig. 4** Potentials activated during high frequency vibration: two examples, different subjects. *A.* On the left, superimpositions as in Fig. 1A of discharges from predominantly one motor unit during vibration at 200 Hz. On the right, the bursts of EMG activity contain more than one motor unit, but are clearly related to the vibration wave (195 Hz). This subject developed clonus at vibration frequencies between 100 Hz and 200 Hz, and when superimposed as in Figure on the right, EMG potentials recorded in the clonic bursts were related to the vibration cycle at all frequencies. *B.* Histograms of the variability of motor unit discharge relative to vibration wave as in Fig. 2B for the unit of *A*-left and the largest unit in the bursts of *A*-right. Note that the histogram durations have again been adjusted for these short vibration cycles. Motor unit discharge occurs throughout the entire vibration cycle, but the absolute variability is of the same magnitude as in Fig. 2B where the vibration cycle is twice as long.

Responsible for the timing of motor unit discharge must retain a pulsatile quality. The presence of a demonstrable relationship between vibration wave and motoneurone response necessitates rapid and direct reflex transmission and is therefore suggestive of a monosynaptic pathway (Homma and Kanda, 1973). With the higher frequencies and longer reflex arc used in the present study, the interpolation of an interneuronal chain could only further desynchronize the already somewhat dispersed afferent volley. Thus, it seems reasonable to conclude that under the present experimental conditions the vibration-induced triggering of motor discharges is largely the result of activity in the monosynaptic arc.

Although clearly locked to the vibration cycle, the timing of motoneurone firing varied by up to 7 ms, the standard deviation of variability (the 'jitter') being of the order of 1.0–1.3 ms. By contrast, the range of variability for 90% of motoneurones activated in the H reflex has been reported to be 0.4–2 ms, with a standard deviation of 200 μs (Trontelj, 1973). The discrepancy between the values for the H reflex and vibration-activated motor units is high. Presumably most if not all the difference may be attributed to the higher degree of syn-
FIG. 5 Vibration and voluntarily activated motor units. A. Upper trace—voluntarily activated unit discharging in a fashion unrelated to the vibration wave (middle trace) which triggers the sweep although not yet applied to the muscle. The slight differences in amplitude are due to movement of the recording electrode. Lower trace—same motor unit, still under voluntary control, but with vibration applied. Two motor units can be seen, both locked to the vibration wave. B. Histograms of variability of discharge of the larger potential in A relative to vibration wave. Upper histogram—vibration not applied, showing as expected no relationship between discharge and vibration wave, Lower histogram—vibration applied. Voluntary activity now synchronized to the vibration. C. Joint interval histogram, showing each interdischarge interval plotted against the next interdischarge interval, for a different voluntarily-activated motor unit from that in A and B. Vibration not yet applied. Overall discharge frequency varies between 5–7 Hz. D. Same motor unit as in C, same type of display, but with vibration applied. Discharge frequency remains much the same overall. The intervals between successive discharges are now multiples of the duration of the vibration cycle so that the plot has become organized into lines which are separated horizontally and vertically from each other by an interval equal to the duration of the vibration cycle.

chrony in the electrically-activated Ia volleys, as compared with those activated mechanically by vibration, and there is no need to postulate involvement of an oligo- or polysynaptic circuit to account for the high variability. However, the changes in motoneurone response that occur with continued vibration—the decrease in decoding ratios and the tendency for discharges to appear unrelated to the vibration cycle—suggest the presence of an additional pathway for processing afferent information over and above that which is responsible for the vibration-induced timing of motor unit discharge. Based on intracellular recordings from feline gastrocnemius motoneurones made by Homma and Kanda (1973), these changes in motoneurone firing pattern in man have been attributed to the development of a steady background excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) due to poly-
synaptic transmission (Hirayama et al., 1974). As described by Homma and colleagues, the characteristics of the human TVR may be explained by a slowly developing steady excitatory state caused by transmission in polysynaptic pathways, upon which are superimposed vibration-synchronous EPSPs due to transmission in the monosynaptic pathway, motoneurone spikes being generated when the total depolarization exceeds threshold.

The extent of the latency 'jitter' for single motor units activated in the TVR of triceps surae appears to be similar to that reported by Godaux et al. (1975) for the TVR of limb muscles, but is significantly greater than the 'jitter' of single motor units activated in the tendon jerks of the same muscles (Godaux et al., 1975). This difference has led Godaux et al. (1975) to conclude that the monosynaptic pathway contributes little to the timing of motor discharge in the TVR of limb muscles, but the evidence for this conclusion can be
Debated. Firstly, the tendon jerk is generated by a single mechanical stimulus which presumably evokes a large amplitude EPSP with a fast rise time, while the less intense vibratory stimulus would produce a lower amplitude EPSP with a slower rise time. Additionally, the propagation velocity of the vibration wave is such that spindles at different ends of large muscles are activated at different phases of the cycle, an afferent dispersion which would be accentuated by the long reflex arc. Furthermore, the slightly delayed input from tendon organs and secondary endings would become superimposed on the monosynaptic depolarization during a sequence of vibration cycles. Such factors would be more important the larger the muscle and the longer the reflex arc, but their effects would be less obvious in a small muscle with a very short reflex arc, such as the masseter. Indeed, Godaux and Desmedt (1975) have reported the 'jitter' of EMG spikes in the jaw jerk to be similar to that of the masseter TVR.

**EFFECTS OF ELECTRICAL STIMULATION** At first sight the failure of motoneurones activated during low threshold tetanic stimulation to be time-locked to the electrical stimulus seems difficult to reconcile with the pattern of vibration-activated motor units. Certainly, electrical stimulation should produce a less dispersed input than vibration since the uncertainties of the spindle transducing mechanisms and the distal afferent fibres are bypassed. When stimulating at a level supraliminal for the H reflex but subthreshold for the M wave, the H reflex was elicited by only the first couple of stimuli, the subsequent failure of response indicating
suppression of transmission in the monosynaptic pathway. After the ensuing pause, the potentials which appeared were not obviously related to the stimulus and were presumably generated through a polysynaptic circuit.

Irrespective of the reasons for the asynchrony of a motor unit discharge during low threshold tetanic stimulation, the lack of synchrony does provide some indication of the relative importance of monosynaptic and polysynaptic pathways in generating a TVR. The development of a tonic contraction solely on the basis of polysynaptic activity during the electrical stimulation suggests that, in isolation, the polysynaptic pathway is an adequate pathway. On the other hand the TVR in normal man may not appear until a few seconds after the application of vibration, a fact indicating that, in isolation, the monosynaptic pathway is incapable of producing a tonic contraction.

WORKING HYPOTHESIS AND ITS IMPLICATIONS

While alternative mechanisms cannot be excluded, a reasonably satisfying working hypothesis can be advanced to explain the differences in motoneurone discharge pattern in the vibration-induced and electrically-induced contractions, if it is assumed: (a) that the vibration-induced timing phenomenon is the result of activity in predominantly the monosynaptic pathway, as discussed above; (b) that the electrical stimulus is a less selective afferent fibre stimulus than vibration, so that the stimulus activated a greater proportion of Ib fibres than did vibration, and possibly may have activated group II or even cutaneous afferent fibres as well.

The suppression of the H wave lasted throughout the stimulus train, was complete many seconds before the asynchronous tonic discharges began, and therefore cannot be readily explained by recurrent inhibitory effects from Renshaw cell activation. Postsynaptic inhibition from Ib (and possibly other) afferent fibres certainly would have occurred, presumably for the duration of the stimulus train, but was probably not the sole inhibitory factor operating, as with a purely postsynaptic inhibitory mechanism, the direct monosynaptic pathway should remain the pathway of lowest threshold. The development of asynchronous tonic discharges therefore could imply that the monosynaptic pathway was also inhibited in its afferent course. Presynaptic inhibition of the extensor monosynaptic pathway due to conditioning activity in different primary afferent fibres is well recognized in the cat (cf. Barnes and Pompeiano, 1970, for references) and possibly also in man (cf. Delwaide, 1971, 1973), and could be a factor in the failure of monosynaptic transmission.

In response to low threshold tetanic stimulation of the tibial nerve it seems likely, therefore, that the tonic contraction is generated by spindle afferent activity through polysynaptic circuits, that the monosynaptic pathway is suppressed at both pre- and postsynaptic levels, and that the level of activity reaching the motoneurone through the suppressed monosynaptic pathway is insufficient to synchronize motoneurone firing pattern. Presynaptic inhibition may be induced by vibration (Gillies, et al., 1969; Barnes and Pompeiano, 1970; Delwaide, 1971, 1973; Thoden et al., 1972), so that basically similar circuits are probably activated during muscle vibration. The apparently paradoxical ability of vibration to impose a vibration-related pattern of motoneurone discharge when electrical stimulation failed to do so may then be explained by two factors: a lower level of suppression of the monosynaptic pathway due to more selective group Ia activation; and a higher level of group Ia afferent activity induced by the powerful vibratory stimulus compared with the necessarily low intensity electrical stimulus so that greater excitation reached the motoneurone through the presynaptic 'gate'.

This hypothesis receives some support from recently published experiments by Homma et al. (1975) who studied the effects produced by repetitive electrical stimulation at 16–100 Hz of the nerve to the gastrocnemii in chloralose/urethane anaesthetized cats. Stimulation at a level 'just above the threshold of group I fibers' produced reflex effects and intracellular
potential changes similar to those previously obtained with vibration by Homma and colleagues in cat and man, and in the present study in man. Precise values for this level of stimulation were not given, but in this nerve in the cat it is likely that stimuli ‘just above the threshold of group I fibers’ elicited activity in group I fibers of only spindle origin (Coppin et al., 1969, 1970). At a higher stimulus intensity (5.0 times group I threshold), Homma et al. (1975) found a decrease in amplitude of the monosynaptic EPSP ripple which they attributed to the activation of group II afferent fibers, although their experiments did not exclude a contribution from group Ib afferent fibers. The present experiments in man are probably analogous to the less-selective higher stimulus intensity used by Homma et al. (1975).

Thus, these studies are compatible with the operation of two reflex pathways during muscle vibration, the monosynaptic pathway which may be subjected to inhibitory modulation, and a polysynaptic pathway which is not so modulated (Kanda, 1972; Hirayama, et al., 1974). The results support the suggestion of Hagbarth et al. (1976) that the monosynaptic pathway plays little or no role in the generation of the TVR, whereas it plays an essential role in the vibration-induced timing of mononeurone discharge. However, in pathological states such as spasticity, the monosynaptic pathway could be of greater significance in generating the tonic contraction. Based on indirect evidence, it has been suggested that presynaptic inhibitory mechanisms are suppressed in spasticity (Delwaide, 1971, 1973; Burke and Ashby, 1972; Ashby et al., 1974). The absence of such modulation of the monosynaptic pathway could be a factor in the abrupt onset of the TVR which is characteristic of spasticity (Hagbarth and Eklund, 1968; Burke et al., 1972).
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