Unilateral spatial neglect due to right frontal lobe haematoma
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Abstract

Two patients with unilateral spatial neglect caused by right frontal lobe lesions underwent cerebral blood flow studies. A 54-year-old, right-handed woman developed left hemiplegia and frontal lobe neglect associated with cerebral haemorrhage after surgical excision of a frontal tumour. A 66-year-old, right-handed woman developed a haemorrhage in the right frontal lobe caused by rupture of an aneurysm. This was followed by left hemiplegia and frontal lobe neglect. In both cases, $^{131}$I-iodoamphetamine single photon emission CT disclosed a reduction in regional cerebral blood flow localised along the circumference of the haematoma in the frontal lobe, but did not reveal any lesions in the parieto-occipital junction. These findings suggest that, in these two cases, the frontal lobe neglect was caused by lesions confined to the frontal lobe.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1994;57:89-93)

Unilateral spatial neglect is a symptom wherein the patient disregards objects existing in the outer half. Lesions in the parieto-occipital junction in the right hemisphere have generally been considered responsible for this symptom.\(^1\)\(^2\) Unilateral spatial neglect is also thought to reflect a disturbance in the spatial distribution of directed attention.\(^3\) There have been reports of this symptom occurring in association with lesions in the occipital and frontal lobes, subcortical lesions involving the thalamus or putamen, and left hemispheric lesions.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^10\) The phenomenon of unilateral spatial neglect reflects deficits in at least one of the centres responsible for spatial processing, selective attention, mental representation, awareness, or premotor planning. The responsible lesion and mechanism are still controversial. We report on two cases of frontal lobe neglect associated with right frontal lobe damage. We discuss the cerebrovascular kinetics and lesions responsible in these cases.

Case reports

CASE 1

A 54-year-old, right-handed woman had headache and vomiting in the morning of July 13, 1990. Her precontrast CT scan on July 16 showed a slight abnormality. She was

Figure 1  CT on admission revealed an enhanced tumour in the right frontal lobe (top row). CT on the third day after surgery revealed a haematoma confined to the right frontal lobe (bottom row).
Figure 2 Neglect of the left side was seen in some neuropsychological tasks.
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Figure 3

Neglect of the left side was seen in some neuropsychological tasks.

Neuropsychological examination

On September 2, the 10th postoperative day, neurological examinations were performed. Dementia was not observed and Hasegawa’s simple intelligence test scale was 27.0/32.5 (subnormal). Digit span was performed successfully for up to seven digits, and word fluency (animal names) was 13 per minute. Aphasia was not seen and there were no abnormalities in reading vertically written letters and words, or in writing her own name. Neglect of the left side was seen in line bisection, line cancellation, figure copying tests, and in describing scenic pictures (fig 2).
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There was left sided extinction in the visual, auditory, and tactile modalities on bilateral, simultaneous stimulation. Ideomotor apraxia, ideational apraxia, or anosognosia were not observed.

Clinical course
A $^{131}$I-iodoamphetamine single photon emission CT (SPECT) study was performed in the second postoperative week. It showed a decrease in the regional cerebral blood flow along the circumference of the haematoma in the frontal lobe in early images and a redistribution phenomenon in the delayed images (fig 3). A Wechsler adult intelligence scale verbal IQ was 92. Several neuropsychological examinations were performed on September 30 (the 38th postoperative day), and there was some improvement in the left-sided neglect. Abnormalities were still noted in line bisection, line cancellation tests, and extinction in all sensory modalities on bilateral, simultaneous stimulation. Subsequently, left-side neglect gradually improved and disappeared three months later. Repeat $^{123}$I-IMP SPECT showed that the reduced regional cerebral blood flow in the frontal lobe remained unchanged.

CASE 2
A 66-year-old, right-handed woman had an attack of headache and vomiting on February 7,
Neuropsychological examination

On April 6, the second postoperative week, neurological examinations were carried out. The patient had mild disorientation and memory disturbance but no general dementia or aphasia. Digit span was performed successfully up to six digits, and word fluency (animal names) was two per minute. There were no abnormalities in reading vertically written letters and words or in writing her own name. Left-sided neglect, however, was observed in line bisection, line cancellation, and figure copying tests, and in describing scenic pictures (fig 6). There was left-sided extinction in the visual, auditory, and tactile modalities on bilateral, simultaneous stimulation. Ideomotor apraxia, ideational apraxia, or anosognosia were not observed.

Clinical course

Postoperative CT revealed a low density area in the lateral aspect of the right frontal lobe (fig 4, bottom). A 123I-IMP SPECT scan in the third postoperative week showed a decrease in regional cerebral blood flow along the circumference of the haematoma in the frontal lobe in early images and a redistribution phenomenon in the delayed images (fig 5). In the fourth postoperative week, the patient was referred to another hospital for rehabilitation.

Discussion

Heilman et al4 reported six cases with unilateral spatial neglect caused by lesions in the frontal lobe, naming the syndrome “frontal lobe neglect”. However, their study was made before the introduction of the CT scan, so there may be ambiguity in the identification of lesion sites, except in the one autopsied case. Damasio et al5 reported five cases of frontal lobe neglect; two of them had lesions in the basal ganglia and the other three in the left frontal lobe. Employing CT, Kubo6 investigated the causative lesions in 28 cases of unilateral spatial neglect, and found that none of these lesions were confined to the frontal lobe. Valler et al7 reported that lesions confined to the frontal lobe were detected in only one patient with unilateral spatial neglect, of 110 cases with right hemispheric stroke. Therefore, it may well be that cases of frontal lobe neglect caused by lesions confined to the frontal lobe are rare. Imamura et al8 have stated some conditions for unilateral spatial neglect associated with frontal lobe lesions: firstly, the lesion extends to the basal ganglia; secondly, the lesion involves the occipital lobe as well as the frontal lobe; or thirdly, the subcortical lesion in the frontal lobe is extensive. In both the present cases, an extensive subcortical lesion was noted in the frontal lobe but did not involve the parieto-occipital lobe, and was not easily detectable in the basal ganglia.

Concerning the mechanism of frontal lobe neglect caused by subcortical lesions, Heilman et al1 have hypothesised that pressure on the surrounding tissues by the lesion...
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produces a disconnection of nerve fibres projecting to the cortex, or damages cortical function at the subcortical level. Vallar et al. examined functional lesions in two patients with unilateral spatial neglect and subcortical vascular lesions using SPECT, and suggested that the right frontotemporoparietal cortex could be the site of the lesion responsible. We have examined functional lesions in thalamic neglect using xenon-enhanced CT and hypothesised that the origin of the disturbed cortical function can be found in the parieto-occipital lobe. In the present cases, however, we did not find a reduction in cerebral blood flow in the parieto-occipital junction, even at the time when frontal lobe neglect was being observed. Therefore, neglect would appear to occur in association with a single lesion confined to the frontal lobe. In case 1, when frontal lobe neglect had already disappeared, SPECT showed no improvement in the reduction of cerebral blood flow, but rather a redistribution phenomenon in the delayed image. This phenomenon seemed to be due to activation of the remaining cortex.

Heilman et al emphasised the participation of Brodmann’s areas eight, nine and 46, including a dorsolateral part of the frontal lobe, in frontal lobe neglect. They suggested that this condition could occur because of an insult to the connecting fibres, including fibres conveying visual, somatic, and auditory information, between the frontal cortex and the inferior parietal lobule. They have also reported that the supplementary motor area and cingulate gyrus, both of which are connected to a dorsolateral portion of the frontal lobe, are responsible in frontal lobe neglect. Mesulam et al. suggested that four cerebral regions—the posterior, parietal, frontal, and reticular components—provide an integrated network for the modulation of attention within extrapersonal space. Each component region has a unique functional role that reflects its profile of anatomical connectivity, and each gives rise to a different clinical type of unilateral spatial neglect when damaged. Lesions in only one component of this network yield parietal unilateral spatial neglect, whereas those that encompass all the components result in profound deficits that transcend the mass effect of the larger lesion. Illustratively, it is thought that a right frontal injury causes only left-sided, exploratory motor hemispatial neglect. From the present cases, it appears that an extensive subcortical lesion in the frontal lobe involved the connecting fibres between the frontal cortex and the inferior parietal lobule, resulting in frontal lobe neglect. The study of attention and movement in macaque monkey by Rizzolatti et al. reported that area six caused neglect in somatosensory and visual modalities but area eight did not cause somatosensory deficit.

In this study, we could not determine whether the patients had exploratory motor hemispatial neglect, because patients were not challenged with an exploratory motor task. But unilateral spatial neglect in these two patients was most obvious during the cancellation, figure copying, and picture scene tests. Additionally, there was left-sided extinction in the visual, auditory, and tactile modalities on bilateral simultaneous stimulation. The network for directed attention is so heavily interconnected, and the lesion in the frontal lobe was so large, that the unilateral spatial neglect in the two patients appears to be multifactorial. There was no qualitative difference in the performance of the two women, but the unilateral spatial neglect in patient 1 was more severe than that of patient 2. It seems that this difference in severity was dependent on the volume of the haematoma in the right frontal lobe.

In our two cases of frontal lobe neglect caused by lesions confined to the right frontal lobe, the lesion did not involve the parieto-occipital lobe, even on cerebral blood flow studies. This finding suggests that frontal lobe neglect in these two cases was caused by a mechanism that does not involve the parieto-occipital lobe or thalamus.
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