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Gaze related enhancement of hemispheric blood flow in a stroke patient
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Abstract

Objective—A patient with a right posterior cerebral artery territory infarction and a left superior quadrant anopia exhibited improvement on various visual tasks including Goldmann perimetry during extreme right gaze. The phenomenon was investigated by functional imaging of cerebral blood flow.

Methods—[153mTc]HMPAO SPECT was carried out while the patient gazed to the right and to the left at an 8 Hz flash stimulus.

Results—When compared with left gaze, photic stimulation during right gaze was associated with an up to 39.8% increase in regional cerebral blood flow in the damaged right hemisphere, including Brodmann’s areas 3–1–2, 7, 21, 22, 39, and 40.

Conclusions—These gaze related alterations in function and synaptic activity suggest the engagement of a novel arousal-like mechanism that may account in part for comparable findings in patients with neglect and other disorders, and may have relevance to rehabilitation.

(J Neurosurg Psychiatry 1997;62:538–540)
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Neglect is the failure to report, respond, or orient to novel or meaningful stimuli presented to the side opposite a brain lesion, when this failure cannot be attributed to either sensory or motor deficits.1 Various data indicate that neglect is fundamentally a hemispatial phenomenon. One of the best ways to optimize patients’ performance is to have them function in the preserved hemisphere. Kooistra and Heilman,2 for example, noted that in a patient with hemispatial neglect and hemianopia due to an infarct of the right thalamus and adjacent occipitotemporal white matter, the hemianopia resolved when the patient directed his gaze to the right. They suggested that the hemianopia resolved because with right gaze, both retinotopic hemifields were moved into the patient’s preserved hemisphere. This hypothetical mechanism provides a plausible explanation for improvement of visual neglect wherein retinotopic fields can be dissociated from hemispatial fields but it does not provide an explanation for improvement of motor function of the upper limb by moving the limb into the preserved hemisphere,3 or for improvement in contralateral touch and position detection by vestibular or optokinetic stimulation.4,5 Coslett et al6 reported a patient with a left hemispheric stroke and mild neglect in whom several left hemispheric specific functions, including right upper limb movement, right hand tactile sensation, and language improved when performance was in the left (ipsilesional) hemisphere or when the head was turned to the left. Coslett et al argued that this phenomenon could best be explained on the basis of stroke induced limitation in the efficiency or capacity for contemporaneous engagement of multiple left hemispheric subsystems. An alternative explanation is that ipsilesional gaze enhanced the function of the lesioned hemisphere. We report here results of cerebral blood flow (CBF) studies in a patient previously described by us7 that provide support for this alternate, hemispheric enhancement hypothesis.

A 61 year old, high school educated man sustained a right occipitotemporal infarction (documented by MRI). Neurological examination was normal except for a dense homonymous left superior quadrant anopia and visual extinction in the left inferior quadrants on bilateral simultaneous stimulation. There was no evidence of hemispatial neglect. During the week after the stroke, further testing of visual fields was carried out using four methods: (1) detection of finger movement; (2) object naming; (3) shape identification; and (4) colour naming. For each modality, the patient gazed straight ahead, and about 30° to the right or 30° to the left, while maintaining his head in alignment with his body. The patient responded correctly to essentially all stimuli presented to the right visual field, regardless of direction of gaze. He responded correctly to only two of 60 stimuli presented to the left upper quadrant during left or centre gaze but to 36 of 45 of the stimuli presented during right gaze. He responded correctly to 17 of 60 stimuli presented to the left lower quadrant during left or centre gaze and his performance improved to 41 of 45 during right gaze.
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Profile of counts as a function of direction of gaze, (A) right hemisphere, (B) left hemisphere, (C) right and left hemispheres during contralateral gaze, and (D) right and left hemispheres during ipsilateral gaze.

Methods
Informed consent was obtained under protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Florida. Twenty months after the stroke, the patient underwent two $^{[99mTc]}$-hexamethylpropyleneamineoxime (HMPAO) SPECT studies of CBF, one during 45° left (contralesional) gaze at an 8 Hz stroboscopic stimulus and the second three days later during 45° right (ipsilesional) gaze at the same stimulus. The stimulus was provided by a PS33 photo stimulator (Grass Instruments, Quincy, MA, USA) with a 24 cm diameter lamp face, 125 cm distant from the subject’s eyes; 10 μs flash duration, peak intensity 380 000 lumens. The subject was instructed to focus on a 3 mm diameter circular black mark at centre of the lamp face. The visual stimulus was presented for six minutes. T1 weighted MRI (Magnetom 1-5 Tesla scanner, Siemans, Iselin, NJ, USA) was also done to aid in SPECT image analysis. SPECT and MR images were processed as previously described.8 Regions of interest were located on the surface of the MRI slices using a standard atlas8 with some correction for individual variation in gyral anatomy. To sample a broad distribution of primary and association cortices, regions of interest values were obtained for the left and right hemispheres in Brodmann’s areas 8, 9, 46, 4, 6, 3–1–2, 7, 39, 40, 37, 20, 21, and 22. Areas 17, 18, and 19 were not sampled because in the right hemisphere they were substantially involved in the infarct. Data were analysed using SYSTAT (Evanston, IL, USA).

Results
Mean (SD) left hemispheric counts/voxel for the 13 regions of interest was 2114 (665) during left gaze and 2072 (715) during right gaze. Mean right hemispheric counts/voxel during left gaze was 2023 (625) and during right gaze 2290 (779). A $2 \times 2$ (hemisphere × gaze direction) repeated measures analysis of variance (with individual regions of interest counts/voxel serving as the dependent measure) showed no hemispheric effect ($F = 0.898$, $P = 0.362$), a strong gaze trend ($F = 4.185$, $P = 0.063$), and a highly significant interaction effect ($F = 14.375$, $P = 0.003$). This interaction effect was due entirely to gaze related alterations in right hemispheric CBF ($t = 3.906$, $P = 0.002$); gaze related changes in left hemispheric CBF were not significant ($t = 0.614$, $P = 0.551$). The major changes in right hemispheric CBF were in areas 3–1–2, 7, 21, 22, 39 and 40 in which there was a mean increase of 19.2% (range 8.4–39.8%) during ipsilateral (ipsilesional) gaze (figure, B). There was no recognisable pattern of change in the left hemisphere (figure, B). The CBF in the two hemispheres was generally comparable during contralateral gaze (figure, C), so the change in right hemispheric CBF during ipsilateral gaze represented an increase over normal (as defined by left hemispheric CBF), rather than a rise from below normal (figure, D).

Discussion
The major determinant of CBF is synaptic activity.10 Thus our studies show an increase in
right hemispheric synaptic activity in areas 3–1–2, 7, 21, 22, 39 and 40 during ipsilesional gaze that was associated with improvement in visual function in the left visual field, particularly the superior quadrant. This change in CBF seems to reflect an increase over normal values (unless both hemispheres were hypoperfused during left gaze), rather than compensation of subnormal levels.

Whether the change was induced by gaze, the intention or plan to direct gaze, or some other related factor remains to be determined. The finding of Coslett et al. that improvement in function could be achieved by performance of a task in ipsilesional hemispace or head turning into ipsilesional space suggests that the ipsilesional direction of intention (which is required in all three manoeuvres) was the essential factor. The mechanism of the change is uncertain. An interhemispheric, transcallosal compensatory process seems most likely but other mechanisms involving the brainstem (midbrain reticular formation, superior colliculus), the thalamus, or the nucleus basalis are possible. The broad distribution of the changes suggests a process more akin to arousal—that is, a relatively non-specific increase in activity over cortices subserving various functions, rather than an attentional process, in which case we might have expected changes limited to cortices implicated in visual processing, such as areas 7, 20, 21, 37, and 39.

These findings suggest not only an unreported brain mechanism, but the possibility of a compensatory mechanism that might be important in rehabilitation. The alterations in CBF described in this patient were induced by extreme ipsilesional gaze, an intrinsically dysfunctional manoeuvre in a patient with hemipatal neglect because it exaggerates the neglect of contralesional hemispace at the same time that it may improve function in ipsilesional hemispace. However, it may be possible to engage this compensatory mechanism by other means. For example, hemipatal neglect may be reduced by cold caloric stimulation of the contralateral vestibular apparatus, optokinetic stimulation moving in the contralateral direction, or vibratory stimulation of the neck, and use of the contralesional limb in contralesional hemispace. Various explanations have been offered to account for these findings but the activation hypothesis, which our data support, could account in whole or part for all of them.
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