Long term decline of P100 amplitude in migraine with aura
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Abstract

Objectives—To investigate visual function in migraine using visual evoked potentials.
Methods—Electroretinograms (ERGs) and visual evoked potentials (VEPs) to single flash (SF) and pattern reversal (PR) stimuli were studied in 92 migraine subjects and 62 controls.
Results—In subjects with migraine, ERGs to single flash were normal. Mean latencies of the P1 and P2 waves in the SFVEP were increased at the occiput by 6% and 4% respectively, but normal at the vertex. Mean latency of the P100 wave in the PRVEP was increased by 5%. These increases were not related to the presence or absence of an aura or to the duration of migraine. P100 amplitude showed a more complex abnormality. It was increased in migraine without aura by 23% compared with controls, regardless of duration of migraine. In migraine with aura it was similarly increased, by 23%, in cases of short duration, but in addition it showed a sharp decline with duration. In cases with a duration of 30 or more years it was 36% less than in cases of short duration, and 21% less than in controls.
Conclusions—Subjects with migraine have constitutionally prolonged VEP latencies and increased P100 amplitude, but the latter declines to below normal in cases with a long history of migraine with aura. This decline may reflect subtle neuronal damage within the visual system from repeated transient ischaemia experienced during the aura. Future electrophysiological and other studies will need to be controlled for duration of migraine history.
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Visual stimuli can precipitate migraine attacks, and most migraine auras are visual, suggesting specific involvement of the visual system in the pathophysiology of migraine. Routine clinical examination and testing of visual function are normal in subjects with migraine, but disorders of function have been recognised by neurophysiological methods for many years, and more recently by psychophysical tests. Historically, abnormalities of visual evoked potentials (VEPs) were first shown to stimulation with repetitive flash (RF) by Golla and Winter with single flash (SF) by Richey et al, and with pattern reversal (PR) by Kennard et al.

The studies reported here formed part of a PhD project in which visual function was studied in 92 subjects with migraine and 62 controls, by neurophysiological and psychophysical methods. Here we report the results of investigation by electroretinogram (ERG), SFVEP, and PRVEP. Some preliminary data have already been published.

Methods

SUBJECTS

The patients in this study were not a random sample of the migraine population. They came from a wide age range, and there was a deliberate selection for those with a visual aura and with attacks precipitated by visual stimuli, to provide subgroups large enough for meaningful comparisons. Controls were found among colleagues, the spouses, or friends of subjects with migraine, and other volunteers. All subjects had a visual acuity of 6/6 or better, and none of them had any visual disorder.

All 92 subjects fulfilled the International Headache Society (IHS) criteria for a diagnosis of migraine with or without aura. There were 72 women and 20 men, aged 16–59 years (mean 40.2 (SD 12.5) years). All were free of headache at the time of testing, and none were taking prophylactic treatment. Forty seven had migraine with aura (MA), 37 had migraine without aura (MO), and eight had both. Results from these eight patients have been included only when the whole migraine group is considered. All patients with MA had a visual aura, and seven also had other aura symptoms. Seventy three patients reported attacks triggered by visual stimuli, such as bright lights, flashing lights, or patterns. Migraine frequency varied between one or more attacks a week and 5–10 a year. Controls comprised 62 subjects, 44 women and 18 men, aged 17–58 years (mean 36.5 (SD 13.1) years). All participants were assessed using a headache questionnaire and clinical neurological examination, including fundoscopy, measurement of visual acuity, and assessment of visual fields and external ocular movements. The study was performed with approval from the ethics committee of the Hammersmith Hospital and the objectives of the tests were explained to all subjects at the beginning of the test session.

TECHNIQUES

Subjects were encouraged throughout the tests, to maintain their interest and concentration, and a break was taken for refreshment. The 11 control and 15 subjects with migraine who needed glasses wore them throughout all tests. All investigations were carried out with a
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Results

We present all results for controls and migraineurs, and separately for migraines with and without aura when appropriate. No results showed any association with the presence or absence of visual triggers.

There were no significant differences between migraine subjects and controls nor between MA and MO in the latency or amplitude of the a or b waves in the flash ERG (table 1), and results did not vary with age, sex, or duration of migraine.

Single flash VEPs are very variable, and in some subjects the averaged responses were not clear enough for measurement. The number of subjects with readable responses is shown for each test (table 2). In subjects with migraine the P1 and P2 latencies at the occiput were increased by 6.4% and 3.8% respectively compared with controls. There were no increases at the vertex. The P1 and P2 amplitudes were similar in migraine subjects and controls. There were no differences between MA and MO, and the results did not vary with age, sex, or duration of migraine.

In the PRVEP the P100 latency was 5% longer in male and female subjects with migraine than in controls, with binocular and monocular whole field stimulation, at all recorded positions. This increase in latency was comparable with those of the occipital P1 and P2 latencies in the SFVEP and was independent of age or duration of migraine. Latencies were shorter in women than men, and the difference was just significant in subjects with migraine (controls t=1.12, p=0.269; migraine r=2.13, p=0.036). Average monocular and binocular P100 latency results recorded at Oz are presented in table 3.

Results for P100 amplitude are shown in table 4. Amplitude was slightly increased in the migraine group as a whole. When subjects were separated into MA and MO groups, amplitude in MO was 23% higher than in controls but in MA it was similar to controls. Amplitudes in MA were inversely correlated with duration of migraine but there was no such correlation in MO. Amplitudes in MA of duration <10 years were the same as those in the MO group and 23% higher than controls. Those in MA of duration ≥30 years were 36% lower than in the short duration group and also 21% lower than controls. Patients with a long duration of migraine were of course older than those with short duration, but multiple regression analysis showed that the reduction in P100 amplitude in MA was correlated with duration and not with age (r=0.60; duration: r=3.35, p=0.002;
The high constitutional amplitudes accord well with the proposition of Avicenna (see Isler14) that migraine is due to “hyperexcitability” of the brain, which is extra sensitive to light and other stimuli, and also with the frequent complaint by subjects with migraine of a general intolerance of light, patterns, and flashing lights, even when they are not having an attack.

Since our study was performed Schoenen et al11 have shown that high P100 amplitudes recorded in subjects with migraine by conventional averaging of 250 stimuli, as in our method, were the result of potentiation. Amplitudes averaged from the first 50 stimuli were similar in subjects with migraine and controls, but after 250 stimuli normal subjects showed habituation, with a fall in amplitude, and subjects with migraine showed potentiation, with a rise. Amplitudes from the first 50 stimuli were similar in controls and those with migraine but in a subsequent study they tended to be lower in subjects with migraine (p=0.068).15 Studies of auditory evoked potentials have shown an increase in the amplitude of responses with increased stimulus intensity which is steeper in subjects with migraine than controls.16 Welch et al17 have produced direct evidence of central neuronal hyperexcitability in migraineurs with aura by magnetoencephalography and NMR spectroscopy. They found large amplitude waves both during and between migraine attacks which they considered to be spontaneous neuronal discharges, and a high turnover of high energy phosphates. Several attempts have been made to resolve the question of cortical hyperexcitability by the use of transcranial magnetic stimulation. Over the visual cortex this can produce illusions of light (phosphenes) and over the motor cortex it can elicit motor evoked potentials (MEPs). Over the visual cortex results in MO have been the same as controls.18 19 In unselected patients with MA phosphenes were fewer than in controls, and thresholds were the same.20 In MA with visual triggers phosphenes were increased and thresholds were lower than in controls.20 The MEPs expressed as a fraction of compound muscle action potentials elicited by peripheral nerve stimulation were higher in both MO and MA than in controls.21 Motor thresholds in MO and MA both at rest and during isometric muscle contraction were the same as in controls; however, in cases of MA with a sensorimotor aura these thresholds were increased during isometric muscle contraction.22 In one study the proportionate increase in MEPs in migraine was correlated with attack frequency.27 Thus, at present no firm conclusions can be drawn from studies with these methods. Perhaps cortical excitability, hyperexcitability, habituation, and potentiation are not consistent over the whole of the cortex. An oral presentation during the proof stage of our paper gives some support to this suggestion (Stewart L, Walsh V, Rothwell J. Non-correlation of phosphenes and motor thresholds: implication for TMS studies. Presented at meeting of the British Society for Clinical Neurophysiology, Cambridge, 9 June 2000).

Chronic and Mulleners23 suggested that such hyperexcitability might be due to loss of inhibitory interneurons in the visual cortex, acquired as a result of the migraine attacks or their medication. This suggestion is incompatible with our data. If the phenomenon were acquired it should become more manifest with a longer duration of migraine, whereas it does not change in MO and it becomes less in MA. Furthermore it ought to be more obvious in patients with frequent attacks. We examined P100 amplitude for a relation with attack frequency but did not find one.

For the same reasons we regard the increased latencies of the P100 and of the SFVEP as constitutional. These increases, which are of the order of 5%, are by no means as striking as the P100 amplitude findings, and perhaps less interesting. Most previous studies of any size have confirmed prolongation of P100 latency24 25 but normal latencies have been reported in smaller surveys.26 27 Previous reports about SFVEP results have been conflicting or ambiguous. In the current study the occipital P1 and P2 latencies were both prolonged, but when only 51 migraineurs and 30 controls had been studied the prolongation of P1 was not significant.

**Table 4** P100 amplitude in controls and migraine subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Amplitude (µV) (mean (SD))</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>MO</th>
<th>MA &lt;10y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>15.1 (4.7)</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>16.8 (5.1)</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18.6 (5.3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15.2 (4.4)</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA &lt;10y</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18.6 (3.1)</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA &gt;30 y</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C=Control subjects; M=all migraine; MO=migraine without aura; MA=migraine with aura; 10y=duration 10 years or less; 30y=duration 30 years or more; Amplitudes are the average of the neuronal hyperexcitability in migraineurs with aura.11 Have shown that high P100 amplitudes recorded in subjects with migraine by conventional averaging of 250 stimuli, as in our method, were the result of potentiation. Amplitudes averaged from the first 50 stimuli were similar in subjects with migraine and controls, but after 250 stimuli normal subjects showed habituation, with a fall in amplitude, and subjects with migraine showed potentiation, with a rise. Amplitudes from the first 50 stimuli were similar in controls and those with migraine but in a subsequent study they tended to be lower in subjects with migraine (p=0.068).15 Studies of auditory evoked potentials have shown an increase in the amplitude of responses with increased stimulus intensity which is steeper in subjects with migraine than controls.16 Welch et al17 have produced direct evidence of central neuronal hyperexcitability in migraineurs with aura by magnetoencephalography and NMR spectroscopy. They found large amplitude waves both during and between migraine attacks which they considered to be spontaneous neuronal discharges, and a high turnover of high energy phosphates. Several attempts have been made to resolve the question of cortical hyperexcitability by the use of transcranial magnetic stimulation. Over the visual cortex this can produce illusions of light (phosphenes) and over the motor cortex it can elicit motor evoked potentials (MEPs). Over the visual cortex results in MO have been the same as controls.18 19 In unselected patients with MA phosphenes were fewer than in controls, and thresholds were the same.20 In MA with visual triggers phosphenes were increased and thresholds were lower than in controls.20 The MEPs expressed as a fraction of compound muscle action potentials elicited by peripheral nerve stimulation were higher in both MO and MA than in controls.21 Motor thresholds in MO and MA both at rest and during isometric muscle contraction were the same as in controls; however, in cases of MA with a sensorimotor aura these thresholds were increased during isometric muscle contraction.22 In one study the proportionate increase in MEPs in migraine was correlated with attack frequency.27 Thus, at present no firm conclusions can be drawn from studies with these methods. Perhaps cortical excitability, hyperexcitability, habituation, and potentiation are not consistent over the whole of the cortex. An oral presentation during the proof stage of our paper gives some support to this suggestion (Stewart L, Walsh V, Rothwell J. Non-correlation of phosphenes and motor thresholds: implication for TMS studies. Presented at meeting of the British Society for Clinical Neurophysiology, Cambridge, 9 June 2000).

Chronic and Mulleners23 suggested that such hyperexcitability might be due to loss of inhibitory interneurons in the visual cortex, acquired as a result of the migraine attacks or their medication. This suggestion is incompatible with our data. If the phenomenon were acquired it should become more manifest with a longer duration of migraine, whereas it does not change in MO and it becomes less in MA. Furthermore it ought to be more obvious in patients with frequent attacks. We examined P100 amplitude for a relation with attack frequency but did not find one.

For the same reasons we regard the increased latencies of the P100 and of the SFVEP as constitutional. These increases, which are of the order of 5%, are by no means as striking as the P100 amplitude findings, and perhaps less interesting. Most previous studies of any size have confirmed prolongation of P100 latency24 25 but normal latencies have been reported in smaller surveys.26 27 Previous reports about SFVEP results have been conflicting or ambiguous. In the current study the occipital P1 and P2 latencies were both prolonged, but when only 51 migraineurs and 30 controls had been studied the prolongation of P1 was not significant.
The basis for the prolonged latencies is unclear. Kennard et al suggested that they might have a structural basis, due to ischaemic damage during repeated attacks. If this were so, a relation would be expected between latency and duration of migraine, which we did not find. Also, if relative cerebral ischaemia during a migraine aura was considered to be the cause the abnormalities should be confined to MA, whereas our findings were the same in MA and MO. The possibility of ergotamine effects could still be considered, but if these were being exerted by recurrent ischaemia it would be expected that the change would be related to duration of migraine.

There is a single case report of an increase in P100 latency, associated with reduced visual acuity, in a man taking daily ergotamine continuously for several years, with recovery to normal when the drug was stopped. We do not think this has any bearing on our patients. Many of them had taken occasional ergotamine for individual attacks, but none were taking it consistently. We therefore conclude that the prolonged latencies are constitutional, perhaps due to synaptic delay.

Abnormalities in 5-hydroxytryptamine have long been associated with migraine, and in phenylketonuria, which causes reduced brain concentrations of catecholamines and 5-hydroxytryptamine, PRVEP latency is prolonged, but can be normalised by dietary phenylalanine restriction. However in this condition P100 amplitudes are reduced.

The fall in P100 amplitude with increasing duration of migraine in MA has not previously been seen, and has rarely been looked for. Correlations with migraine duration have been looked for in SFVEPs, RFVEPs, and PRVEPs, but the range of duration in all these studies was fairly small, and in some the number of subjects with MA was also small. Doubtless the relation has only been recognised in the present study because of the large number of subjects with MA and their wide range of duration of migraine.

The fall in amplitude could represent a loss of potentiation. We have looked for this in a few cases of MA with durations of ≤10 years (n=5) and ≥20 years (n=8) but in neither group were we able to reproduce the potentiation found by Schoenen et al.

The cause of the reduced P100 amplitude in chronic MA is not immediately apparent. Stroke is known to occur with greater than normal frequency in subjects with migraine, especially those with MA. Routine head scanning did not form part of this study but no patient had had a clinical stroke, nor did they have either visual or other evidence for any major cerebral infarct. Nevertheless, ischaemia at a minor, more diffuse level, must be a likely aetiological candidate. The most obvious fact is that the cause is to be sought in the events of the aura itself, not in the mechanisms of headache or associated symptoms, as amplitude is not reduced in longstanding MO. Clinically, the visual aura consists of both positive symptoms, in the form of bright spots or twinkle lights, and negative ones in the form of scotomas, small multiple ones or a single, dense, enlarging one. These may well represent the underlying events of spreading depression, with a band of transient depolarisation followed by a more prolonged period of hyperpolarisation, and hence cortical inactivity, although the aura itself rarely consists of such a neat sequence, and at any moment often includes both positive and negative symptoms throughout the visual field. Nevertheless, the hypothesis of spreading depression accords well with the findings of changes in cerebral blood flow during an attack.

Originally cerebral blood flow was reported to fall by about 20% during attacks of MA, reaching 40–50 ml/100 g/min. This could well be appropriate to the reduced level of metabolic requirements in cortical spreading depression, but is well above the neural threshold for ischaemia. However, recalculations of the data allowing for the influence of scattered radiation has suggested that flow might have dropped to 16–23 ml/100 g/min in the least perfused areas. This degree of ischaemia could certainly account for transient neurological deficits and possibly persistent deficits if the damage were repetitive. The neural damage caused by profound cerebral hypoxia is well known, but experimentally less severe transient hypoxia can also lead to permanent damage, if repeated over a long period. It could therefore explain the fall in P100 amplitude we describe. However there is still conflict about the correct interpretation of cerebral blood flow results, and the extent of the fall during attacks of MA.

Another possible cause of ischaemia would be recurrent ergotamine intake, but this should produce the same results in MA and MO unless a combined effect of flow reduction associated with the aura and the vasomotoric action of the drug is postulated. In the case reported by Heider et al chronic ergotamine misuse led to an increase in P100 latency but did not reduce P100 amplitude.

If the primary cause of the amplitude change is ischaemia then the final pathway for cell damage might be a neurotoxic effect from the action of excitatory aminoacids, which can cause neuronal damage through prolonged activation of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors.

This process has been implicated in the pathophysiology of cell loss in ischaemia, but also in other situations, and might be relevant to impaired neuronal function in chronic MA.

Our results have sufficiently demonstrated a constitutional 20% increase in P100 amplitude in MO and in MA of short duration. They also provide the first demonstration of an electrophysiological measure which declines progressively with duration of disease in MA, a change we tentatively attribute to repetitive transient ischaemia occurring during the aura. Despite numerous publications there has been no previous study which has in our view satisfactorily shown adverse effects on brain structure or function of repeated migraine attacks. We suggest that duration of disease must be taken into account when assessing visual and other functions in migraine.
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