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Abstract
Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy is a comparatively benign form of idiopathic generalised epilepsy. Little is known about the prevalence of difficult to treat or drug resistant patients. Among 155 consecutive patients with newly diagnosed juvenile myoclonic epilepsy evaluated between 1981 and 1998 and followed up for at least 1 year (61 men, 94 women; aged 15–70 years, mean 33 (SD 10.3); onset of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy at the age of 14.5 (SD 3.7), range 6–26; follow up 1–52 years, mean 13.5 (SD 9.9)), there were 15 pseudo-resistant patients (9.7%: lack of compliance (eight), insufficient treatment (three), abnormal lifestyle (four)) and 24 patients (15.5%) who had persisting seizures despite adequate therapy and lifestyle. Clinical factors associated with drug resistance were (1) the presence of psychiatric problems (58.3% v 19%; χ² p<0.001) and (2) independently, the combination of seizure types (Fischer’s exact 2 by 4, p=0.0026). Three types were present in 62.5% of resistant patients versus 23.3% in non-resistant patients (χ², p=0.0001). None of the resistant patients had myoclonic jerks as the only seizure type or a combination of absences and myoclonic jerks. Family history of epilepsy, age at onset of seizures, sex, presence of photoparoxysmal response, results of conventional neuroimaging (CT and MRI), and delayed diagnosis were not significantly associated with drug resistance. There is thus a significant subgroup of patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy who pose difficult therapeutic problems, and the prevalence of resistant cases may be increased in the experience of a referral epilepsy centre.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;70:240–243)

Patients and methods
We retrospectively analysed the records of patients who had been referred for the first time between 1981 and 1998 to two epilepsy centres (Marseilles, Nice). The diagnosis of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy was based on the criteria of the International Classification of Epilepsies and on classic treatments. Over a period of 17 years, we found 170 consecutive cases. Among them, only patients who had a minimum follow up of 1 year since seizure onset have been evaluated for this study. The population was divided into three groups: (1) fully controlled, (2) truly resistant defined as persisting seizures (myoclonic jerks and/or absence seizures and/or generalised tonic-clonic seizures) despite adequate lifestyle and treatment that included adequate doses of valproate, (3) pseudo-resistant due to inadequate lifestyle, to low compliance, or to inadequate choice of drugs. Patients were not systematically and prospectively evaluated by a psychiatrist at referral or during follow up at our centres. However, the consulting neurologist systematically noted the existence of psychological, psychiatric, or behavioural problems, and whenever these had been mentioned in the medical record, they were further investigated by a psychiatrist to clarify the psychiatric diag-
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Clinical features associated with drug resistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-resistant</th>
<th>Resistant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (total=155 cases, 15 cases were pseudoresistant)</td>
<td>116 (74.8%)</td>
<td>24 (15.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric disorders ($\chi^2$, p&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>22 (19%)</td>
<td>14 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe mental retardation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pervasive developmental disorders</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotic disorders</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalised anxiety</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality disorders</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent personality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive personality</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histrionic personality</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranoid personality</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline personality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality disorder not specified</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive disorders</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tic disorders</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enuresis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GTCS=Generalised tonic-clonic seizures; AS=absence seizures; MJ=myoclonic jerks.

*There is no statistical difference between the patients with and without psychiatric problems. The combination of three seizure types and the presence of psychiatric problems are independently factors of resistance.

Results

We found 155 patients (61 men, 94 women; aged 15–70 years, mean 33 (SD 10.3) years; onset of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy at the age of 14.5 (SD 3.7), range 6–26) who have been followed up for at least 1 year since seizure onset (13.5 (SD 9.9) years, range 1–52 years). All patients had myoclonic jerks jerks at awakening and irregular fast spike waves or poly spike waves at least on one EEG. At referral, 40 patients had received carbamazepine and/or phenytoin with respectively an aggravation of 50%. Such aggravation consisted mostly of an increase of myoclonic jerks as the only seizure type or a combination of absence seizures and myoclonic jerks. Psychiatric disorders were found in 58.3% of resistant patients and only in 19% of non-resistant patients ($\chi^2$ test, p=0.0001). Six patients with psychiatric disorders including psychosis (two), severe mental retardation (one), and anorexia nervosa (two) were classified as non-resistant whereas two with a pervasive mental disorder were classified as resistant. Personality disorders were the most frequent finding (table) and were detected in 25% and 10.3% of resistant and non-resistant cases, respectively (Fisher’s exact test, p=0.09). Among them, a borderline personality disorder for social maladjustment represents the most frequent personality disorder. It was found more often in patients with drug resistance but the difference was not significant (12.5% vs 2.6% in non-resistant cases (Fisher’s
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valproate monotherapy.78 There are few studies mentioning the features associated with poor seizure control in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. Dasheiff and Ritacco9 reported on 12 patients with intractable juvenile myoclonic epilepsy and found a long duration of epilepsy in these patients (mean 21 years)—during which the diagnosis and appropriate treatment were delayed—and a high percentage of asymmetric or focal discharges on scalp EEGs. They emphasised that juvenile myoclonic epilepsy is not necessarily a benign epilepsy and alternative therapies, such as surgery, may be considered. We do not agree. Even if there is a considerable interest in the possibility that juvenile myoclonic epilepsy as well as other forms of idiopathic generalised epilepsy can be associated with cortical microdysgenesia,10 juvenile myoclonic epilepsy is a genetically determined generalised epilepsy that is not amenable to surgery. Moreover, in their study, almost all patients (9/12) were on inadequate drugs such as carbamazepine and/or phenytoin in monotherapy or in association with valproate, phenobarbital, and a benzodiazepine. According to our classification, they would have been classified as pseudoresistant. Patients treated with phenytoin or carbamazepine should not be considered as having a resistant form of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. Using adequate drugs can dramatically improve juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. Delayed diagnosis does not seem to represent a risk factor of resistance in our data because patients were not evaluated at referral but at the end of the follow up period after an appropriate treatment had been given.

The presence of all three seizure types seems to be a risk factor, whereas myoclonic jerks alone (10% in this study) or a combination of absences and myoclonic jerks (5.2% in this study) is not. This is in accordance with Matsuoka11 who reported an excellent prognosis in patients with absence seizures and myoclonic jerks among 32 patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy treated by valproate monotherapy or in combination with other antiepileptic drugs. The seizures were well controlled for 3 years in the patients with absence seizures (100%) compared with those with generalised tonic-clonic seizures (55%) and those with generalised tonic-clonic seizures and absences (31%). Jain et al12 studied 15 patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy with myoclonic jerks alone and they hypothesised that those presenting with only early morning myoclonic jerks may represent a benign variant.

Mild but characteristic personality problems were initially described in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy by Janz and Christian13 and confirmed by other authors (see review by Janz and Durner9). More serious psychiatric problems are less common. In our series, the presence of psychiatric disorders seems to be a strong factor for drug resistance. This factor had not previously been quoted as causing a poorer response to drug treatment. This element was evaluated separately from poor compliance, which was either corrected or (if persistent) considered by us a factor of "pseudoresistance". Psychiatric disorders clearly represent a newly recognised element of poor seizure control, independent of combination of seizure types. Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy is a chronic disorder that may require lifelong therapy.4 Evolution may be fluctuating and some patients with a benign form can have a transient period of worsening whereas others who are more difficult to treat may later experience spontaneous improvement. One of our patients, who had congenital hypothyroidism, experienced myoclonic status (plasma concentration of valproate 90 mg/l) when thyroid replacement therapy was stopped. Piracetam and hormone replacement led to full control within a few days. He was seizure free at the end of the follow up and was considered as fully controlled (group 1), although he had been transiently resistant, with hypothyroidism the probable cause. Another patient experienced his first seizure at 16 years of age, when valproate was started and led to a remission that lasted until the age of 27. Then his epilepsy became active. No cause was found to explain this worsening. He was considered at the end of the follow up to have a resistant form (group 2). We were unable to investigate the variations of responses to drugs for all patients because in a few cases, information such as plasma concentrations in periods of aggravation were not available. In this retrospective study, we only analysed the response to drugs at the end of follow up for each subject, and thus give only an imperfect picture of reality. Further analyses taking account of this fluctuating evolution are
necessary to identify the clinical significance of seizure recurrence in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy.

Conclusions

There is a significant subgroup of patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy who pose difficult therapeutic problems. Among these, some may be considered as pseudoresistant, due to various factors that prevent the use of adequate doses of drugs. Others (15.5% in this series) may be considered as truly resistant. Among clinical factors leading to the persistence of seizures in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy despite adequate treatment, we found that two independent situations were significantly associated with a poor therapeutic control: (1) the coexistence of all three seizure types (myoclonic jerks, absence seizures, and generalised tonic-clonic seizures)—there were no cases of drug resistance in patients experiencing only isolated myoclonic jerks or a combination of myoclonic jerks and absence seizures—and (2) the existence of psychiatric problems.
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