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ABSTRACT
Objective To compare the performance of patients with mild—moderate Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and vascular dementia (VaD) on tests of information processing and attention.
Method Patients with AD (n = 75) and VaD (n = 46) were recruited from a memory clinic along with dementia-free participants (n = 28). They underwent specific tests of attention from the Cognitive Drug Research battery, and pen and paper tests including Colour Trails A and B and Stroop. All patients had a CT brain scan that was independently scored for white-matter change/ischaemia.
Results Attention was impaired in both AD and VaD patients. VaD patients had more impaired choice reaction times and were less accurate on a vigilance test measuring sustained attention. Deficits in selective and divided attention occurred in both patient groups and showed the strongest correlations with Mini Mental State Examination scores.
Conclusion This study demonstrates problems with the attentional network in mild—moderate AD and VaD. The authors propose that attention should be tested routinely in a memory clinic setting.

INTRODUCTION
The precise nature of neuropsychological deficits in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and vascular dementia (VaD) has been the subject of intense investigation over recent years. In accordance with neuropathological findings,12 one might expect an initial amnesic syndrome in AD, with impairments in other cognitive domains (eg, attention) arising as the disease progresses. In contrast, one would expect an excess of frontal executive dysfunction, attention changes, behavioural disinhibition and apathy in VaD, with or without memory impairments from an early stage.

With respect to attention, previous studies have supported this dissociation, with VaD patients showing greater attentional deficits compared with AD patients.3,4 However, there have been inconsistencies: other studies show equal impairments in both diagnoses.5-6 This issue is important, as poor performance on measures of selective and divided attention in early AD may reflect a greater pathology in frontal lobes and associated areas, and/or corticocortical tract disconnection than would be anticipated.7 There are a range of factors that may explain this lack of consensus across studies: modest sample sizes and differences between cohorts in patients' duration of illness. Furthermore, attention is commonly tested as part of a multidomain cognitive battery: the predominant approach is to comparatively examine one aspect of attention, with this aspect often varying across studies.

The aim of the following study was to examine information-processing speed, selective, divided and sustained attention in patients with mild—moderate AD and VaD.

METHODS
The Research Ethics Committee of Queens University Belfast approved this cohort study (Application No 249/03). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and assent from carers if necessary. Patients (VaD n = 46; AD n = 75) and a dementia-free comparison group (DF n = 28) were recruited from the memory clinic at the Belfast City Hospital. The latter presented as patients (ie, the ‘worried well’) or spouses of patients. They had no evidence of cognitive impairment (Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) >289) or depression on detailed questioning.

A diagnosis of probable AD and probable VaD was made using the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA)10 and National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke-Association Internationale pour le Recherche et l’Enseignement en Neurosciences (NINDS-AIREN)10 criteria respectively. Only patients with MMSE ≥12 were included, as we were primarily interested in patients with mild—moderate disease.

Patients with other forms of dementia or depression (as indicated by a score >10 on the Geriatric Depression Scale11) or psychotropic drugs that could impair attention were excluded. An experienced radiologist blinded to patients’ clinical diagnosis quantified the site and severity of white-matter changes and cerebrovascular disease on CT images available (n = 127) using the White Matter Scale12 and an adapted Image Criteria Score.13

Neuropsychological evaluation
Premorbid IQ was estimated using the National Adult Reading Test (NART).14 Participants were assessed for Simple Reaction Time (SRT), Choice Reaction Time (CRT), and Digit Vigilance (DVT) (measures sustained attention over time) using the Cognitive Drug Research (CDR) computerised cognitive assessment system.15 Measures of information-processing speed, response accuracy and false-alarm rate were recorded as appropriate. One composite measure, Reaction Time Variability, was also derived from these three tasks. This assessed
consistency of response and reflects fluctuations in attention. Three paper and pencil tests were used to assess divided and sustained attention: Colour Trails (CT) A & Colour Trails (CT) B\(^6\) and the Stroop Test.\(^7\) CT-A primarily involves perceptual tracking and simple sequencing. CT-B is a test of executive divided attention and sequencing. It more directly assesses frontal systems functioning than CT-A due to the alternating sequence pattern. The Stroop test primarily measures executive selective attention, as the participant must ignore the distraction of the non-congruent colour words during the test phase.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed using SPSS V14 (Chicago, 2007). Demographic data were compared using a one-way analysis of variance. Missing neuropsychometric data (<5%) were imputed using the expectation-maximisation method. Data were missing at random and did not differ between groups. Group differences on tests of attention were then compared using Analysis of Covariance and Bonferroni corrected post hoc multiple comparisons. Covariates considered in these models were age and years of education. Gender had no significant main effect and did not interact with group, so it was not retained in the Analysis of Covariance models. The relationships between attention tests and MMSE were examined using Pearson correlations. Radiological scores were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test.

**RESULTS**

AD and VaD patients did not differ significantly in terms of age (AD=77.7±6.9 years; VaD=75.9±7.8 years), sex (F:M 52:24 AD; 24:22 VaD, \(\chi^2=3.3, p=0.04\)), years of education (AD=11.3±2.4; VaD=11.2±2.3) and NART IQ (AD=109.4±8.4; VaD=109.6±9.1). Despite best efforts to match patients and the DF group, there was a significant difference between both disease groups and the DF group in terms of age (DF=70.2±7.9 years; p<0.01), years of education (DF=13.6±5.4; p=0.01) and NART IQ (DF=119.6±7.6; p<0.01). Analysis of radiological scores revealed significantly more cerebrovascular disease in the VaD group than with AD patients (p<0.01).

Results from attentional measures are shown in table 1. Information-processing speed (SRT, CRT and DVT) was significantly slower in patients than with the DF group (p<0.01), and reaction times were also more variable in patients (p<0.01). The VaD group had significantly slower CRT compared with the AD group (p<0.05). This remained significant when SRT was added as a covariate to the analysis (p<0.01). Accuracy was maintained by patients on the CRT. However, the VaD group was less accurate than the DF (p<0.01) and AD (p<0.05) groups on the DVT.

Patients were impaired on both CT-A and CT-B, as well as on all stages of the Stroop compared with the DF group (p<0.01), but no differences were observed between the AD and VaD groups. Group differences on CT-B remained significant after covarying for performance on CT-A (p<0.01). Findings for the test phase of the Stroop also remained significant after covarying for simple word naming (p=0.02) and simple colour naming (p<0.01) performance.

In the AD group, there were no significant correlations between MMSE and processing-speed measures (SRT, CRT, DVT; r<0.2), nor with accuracy on the CRT or DVT (r<0.15). MMSE correlated significantly with DVT false alarms (r=0.24, p=0.04), Reaction Time Variability (r=−0.25, p=0.03), CT-A (r=−0.29, p=0.02), CT-B (r=−0.32, p=0.01) and the Stroop (r=0.48, p<0.01). In the VaD group, there was no significant correlation between MMSE and any measure from the CDR battery (SRT=r=−0.25; CRT=r=−0.21, CRT accuracy, DVT false alarms, Reaction Time Variability, DVT accuracy; r<0.15) apart from DVT reaction time (r=−0.38, p<0.01). However, correlations between MMSE and CT-A (r=−0.55, p=0.05); CT-B (r=−0.46, p=0.01) and Stroop (r=0.49, p<0.01) were statistically significant. The pattern of correlations remained unchanged when education was covaried for.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we compared information-processing speed and attention in patients with mild–moderate AD and VaD. Information-processing speed was more impaired in both patient groups and also lacked consistency over time. Decision-making/thinking time (ie, CRT) was more impaired in mild–moderate VaD. Despite the observed impairments in information-processing speed, the accuracy of responding on the CRT was unimpaired in both patient groups, which is suggestive of a speed/accuracy trade-off. This has been demonstrated previously in an AD cohort.\(^8\)

The literature suggests that sustained attention is affected late in the progression of AD.\(^7\) Results from the DVT would both support and extend this assertion: in contrast to patients with AD,
sustained attention is impaired at an early stage in VaD patients. Divided attention (ie, CT-B) and selective attention (ie, Stroop) were impaired in both patient groups, which is suggestive of greater frontal lobe impairment in early AD than would necessarily be expected. Previous studies have shown deficits in divided attention in early AD,19 20 but the literature specific to VaD is scant. One previous study reported impaired performance on this task in both diagnostic groups (AD n=37, VaD n=31) but no significant difference between the diagnoses.21 However, impaired performance on the CT-A test would indicate that poor perceptual tracking and simple sequencing are likely contributors to the observed deficits in divided attention. Impaired Stroop performance has been demonstrated before in mild–moderate dementia.22 However, impaired performance on the simple word and colour naming tasks would indicate that impaired information-processing speed is a likely contributor to most of the observed deficits in selective attention in these patients. Performance on both the CT-B and Stroop correlated most strongly with MMSE in both AD and VaD groups, suggesting a relationship between impairments in divided and selective attention and disease progression, albeit from cross-sectional data.

Limitations of the study include the fact that the control group was significantly younger and better educated than the disease group. However, this was controlled for in statistical analyses. In comparing AD and VaD patients, it is always difficult to ensure that the ‘pure’ phenotype of each is included. Recognised rating scales were used to quantify white-matter change and vascular lesions on CT to support diagnostic criteria. MRI would have been preferable but was not available routinely.

In conclusion, we found deficits in processing speed and attention in mild–moderate AD and VaD, and propose that these domains are measured routinely in a clinic setting.
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