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ABSTRACT

Objectives To assess factors affecting the rate of conversion to secondary progressive (SP) multiple sclerosis (MS) and its subsequent evolution.

Methods Among 806 patients with relapsing remitting (RR) onset MS from the London Ontario database, we used Kaplan–Meier, Cox regression and multiple logistic regression analyses to investigate the effect of baseline clinical and demographic features on (1) the probability of, and the time to, SP disease, (2) the time to bedbound status (Disability Status Scale (DSS 8)) from onset of progression.

Results The risk of entering the SP phase increased proportionally with disease duration (OR=1.07 for each additional year; p<0.001). Shorter latency to SP was associated with shorter times to severe disability. The same association was found even when patients were grouped by number of total relapses before progression. However, the evolution of the SP phase was not influenced by the duration of the RR phase. Male sex (HR=1.41; p<0.001), older age at onset (age ≥20 and 21–30 vs >30 HR=0.52 (p<0.001), 0.65 (p<0.001), respectively) and high early relapse frequency (1–2 attacks vs ≥3 HR=0.63 (p<0.001), 0.75 (p=0.04), respectively) predicted significantly higher risk of SP MS and shorter latency to progression. Times to DSS 8 from onset of progression were significantly shorter among those with high early relapse frequency (≥3 attacks), and among those presenting with cerebellar and brainstem symptoms.

Conclusions The onset of SP MS is the dominant determinant of long-term prognosis, and its prevention is the most important target measure for treatment. Baseline clinical features of early relapse frequency and age at onset can be used to select groups at higher risk of developing severe disability based on the probability of their disease becoming progressive within a defined time period.

INTRODUCTION

Most patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) during the relapsing remitting (RR) phase have only moderate disability (Disability Status Scale (DSS) ≤3),2–4 and a sizeable minority have little or none. The secondary progressive (SP) phase, which supervenes after a variable time from disease onset,5–7 leads to severe outcomes (requiring walking aids or worse), resulting from the progressive accumulation of unremitting disability.5–8,11 Disease evolution during the progressive phase has been reported to be homogeneous among progressive subtypes2–8,12,13 and independent of factors preceding its onset.3,9,11,14 Therefore, the largely unpredictable outcome of individual cases is mainly accounted for by the mechanism driving the evolution of the RR phase.

The conversion from RR MS to SP MS is the key determinant of prognosis and its prevention or delay is a robust marker for long-term disability and a major therapeutic target. Factors affecting the probability of entering the progressive phase should be used to improve the design of randomised controlled trials (RCTs). Predictors of SP onset have been explored by several studies, generally reporting a high proportion of censored information (patients not reaching SP) and, to some extent, contradictory results.6–10,15–21 The London Ontario (LO) database, comprises a large number of patients attaining SP and severe disability outcome (DSS 8) over a period of 28 years,3 and thus is an ideal dataset for further assessing disease evolution before and after the onset of progression. We investigated the prognostic value of baseline clinical and demographic features for the onset and evolution of SP MS. In addition, in order to explore the potential usefulness of SP onset as primary endpoint in future RCTs, we developed models predicting the risk of becoming severely disabled based on the probability of developing a progressive course.

METHODS

The characteristics of the LO database have been extensively outlined in previous publications.2,3,14,22 The London Multiple Sclerosis Clinic (London Health Sciences Centre, Canada), established in 1972, provides long-term care for patients with MS from south-western Ontario. Accrual ended in 1984 and patients were evaluated annually or semi-annually, regardless of clinical course, over a period of 28 years (from 1972 to 2000). The shortest follow-up was 16 years. At each visit new information was collected and data previously recorded were confirmed. Disability was assessed using the DSS.1 No patient received disease-modifying therapies. The database was recently (2009) subjected to a rigorous data quality process.

Definitions of outcomes

Exacerbations were defined as acute development of new symptoms, or worsening of existing symptoms, lasting for >24 h.2,3,21 The onset attack was counted as ‘first relapse’. Progressive disease (SP MS) was defined as at least 1 year of continuous deterioration, regardless of the rate of worsening.
Transitory plateaus and small temporary improvements in the relentlessly progressive course were recorded in the long term, although steady progression was the rule.\(^2\)\(^\text{12}\) In order to resolve ambiguities over time, documentations collected for the onset of the SP phase and for the hard disability endpoint of restriction to bed with preserved use of arms (DSS 8), which were the focus of this study, were repeatedly checked during the observation period. A minority of unrecorded DSS scores or SP onset information was derived from the description of the neurological findings only when unambiguous. Otherwise the database was left blank for that specific visit. At the end of the observation period (2000) data on SP onset were carefully reviewed before we carried out extensive analyses on the progressive phase.\(^2\)

### Statistical methods

The analysis focused on the disease courses of 806 patients with initial RR MS. Kaplan–Meier analysis estimated times to development of SP MS from disease onset and, among patients with SP MS, times to DSS 8 from onset of progression in patients grouped by demographic and clinical baseline features: gender, age at disease onset, frequency of early relapses (number of attacks during the first 2 years), type (motor; sensory; cerebellar; brainstem; optic) and number (1; >1) of neurological systems affected at onset. Grouping aimed for similar numbers of patients in each category; additional stratifications provided internal controls to confirm results. A log rank test was used to investigate differences among groups. Patients not reaching DSS 8 or not reaching SP but followed up for known periods were right censored. In the analyses of time to SP onset and time to DSS 8 from onset of SP, 37 and 60 patients, respectively, were excluded because of missing information on disease duration or on time to the endpoint. Multiple Cox proportional hazard analysis was used to investigate the risk of developing progressive MS and of reaching DSS 8 from onset of progression according to the concomitant effect of all clinical and demographic features. Proportional hazards assumption was checked by visual inspection of Schoenfeld residual plots and corresponding statistical tests. In addition, within the SP MS group, Kaplan–Meier analysis was used for assessing (1) times from disease onset and from onset of SP to DSS 8 in patients stratified by duration of the RR phase (latency to SP: short <1–5 years; intermediate=6–12 years; long >13 years); grouping aimed at reaching similar numbers among groups. However, analysis was repeated with different grouping as internal control; (2) time to SP in patients stratified by number of total relapses during the RR phase (low=1–2; intermediate=3–4; high ≥5 attacks); as internal control, we repeated the analysis in patients starting to progress at ≤DSS 2, where the onset of progression is more easily detectable;\(^2\) (3) time to DSS 8 from disease onset in patients stratified by duration of the RR phase and by number of total relapses during the RR phase. Finally, binary logistic regression analysis was used to calculate the independent predictive effect of disease duration, frequency of early relapses and age at onset on the probability of entering the SP phase, expressed by OR. We used a generalised linear model to predict the probabilities of converting to SP MS according to different values of disease duration. Multiple binary logistic regression analysis allowed us to build up models predicting the variation according to disease duration of the probability of converting to SP MS influenced by age at onset and frequency of early relapses. The \(\chi^2\) test and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used for comparisons of categorical data and quantitative data, respectively.

A statistical analysis plan was set up and agreed among the authors.\(^2\)\(^\text{24}\) All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (V15) by one author (AS) and independently recalculated at the Sylvia Lawry Centre using R software.\(^2\)\(^\text{25}\)

### RESULTS

By the end of the study, of 806 patients with an initial RR course, 534 (66.3%) had SP MS and 272 (33.7%) remained in the RR phase (table 1). The group who did not enter the progressive phase contained a larger percentage of women (76.5% vs 64.8%; \(p<0.001\), had a younger mean age at disease onset (26.8 vs 29.4 years; \(p<0.001\)) and shorter mean disease duration (20.3 vs 26.5 years; \(p<0.001\)). However, in both groups early relapse frequency (0.97 vs 0.91 attacks/year; \(p=0.18\)) was similar and most patients presented with monosymptomatic onset, mainly characterised by sensory symptoms. Among patients with SP MS, 92% reached DSS 6, 68% reached DSS 8 and 23% reached DSS 10; times to endpoints were 14, 24 and 45 median years from disease onset and 3, 12 and 30 median years from onset of SP, respectively (table 1).

#### Latency to progression

Binary logistic regression analysis was used to calculate the risk of MS becoming progressive. The probability of entering the SP phase increased proportionally with disease duration (regression coefficient=0.069; OR=1.07 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.09) for each additional year; \(p<0.001\)), becoming double (OR=1.99, probability=43.9%) and quadruple (OR=3.97, probability=61.0%) after 10 and 20 years from disease onset, respectively (figure 1). This effect was previously shown to be independent of age at disease onset.\(^1\)\(^\text{19}\) Of 769 patients with relapsing onset for whom information was available, Kaplan–Meier analysis estimated a mean period of 21.4 (95% CI 19.5 to 23.1) years before to enter the SP phase. By 6 and 15 (median time) years from onset 25% and 50% (n=387), respectively, had SP MS. In the following 20 years few additional patients attained progression, to a final total of 66% (figure 2). Accordingly, the rate of conversion to SP MS was much higher during the first 15 years (25.8 patients/year) of disease duration than during the following 15 years (8.1 patients/year) (figure 2).

At the end of the study, of the group who remained in the RR phase, 50% had been observed for 20 years (median disease duration). In 25% (n=68) progression had not occurred after >24 years from onset and for some (n=13) not even after ≥40 years. Among patients with SP MS, latency to progression varied greatly (from 1 to 36 years), ranging from a mean of 5 years in the quickest 25% to >15 years in the slowest 25%. The mean time to enter the progressive phase was 10.7 years (95% CI 10.0 to 11.3); by 5, 9 (median time) and 15 years, 25%, 50% and 75%, of patients with RR MS had SP disease.

#### Latency to progression and relapses

Although a larger number of inflammatory attacks during the first 2 years (early relapses) were previously shown to predict shorter latency to progression,\(^3\) Kaplan–Meier analysis conversely demonstrated that the number of total relapses during the RR phase was associated with the time to SP onset. This was significantly (\(p<0.001\)) longer among those with five or more
attacks (mean 12.2 years) than among those with one to two attacks (mean 8.8 mean) (figure 3).

**Latency to progression and late outcome**

Among patients with SP MS grouped according to duration of the RR phase (time to SP short=1–5 years; intermediate=6–12 years; long ≥13 years), Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to estimate the time to bedbound status (DSS 8) from disease onset and from onset of progression. A longer time to SP was associated with significantly longer time to DSS 8 from disease onset. However, the slope of the SP phase (time to DSS 8 from SP onset) was largely unaffected by the duration of the RR phase (figure 4). In addition, latency to SP had the same predictive effect among patients grouped by number of total relapses.
before progression. Shorter latency to SP was associated with significantly shorter times to DSS 8 among those with low (1–2), intermediate (3–4) or high (≥5) number of attacks during the RR phase (table 2).

Factors predicting the onset and the evolution of SP MS

Onset of SP phase

Kaplan–Meier survival analysis demonstrated that male sex, older age at disease onset and higher early (first 2 years) relapse frequency were associated with a significantly shorter time to onset of SP (table 3). There was a mean difference of 6.5 years between men (15.9 years) and women (22.4 years) for MS becoming progressive. Similar results as previously shown, latency to progression was significantly shorter among those who were older at disease onset\(^2\) \(^\text{11 14 16–19 26 27}\) and among those with large (≥3) number of relapses during the first 2 years.\(^3\) Type and number of symptoms at clinical presentation did not have any significant effect on the time to SP. Multiple Cox regression analysis, assessing the concomitant predictive effect of all baseline features, confirmed a higher probability of developing SP MS in men, in those older at disease onset and in those with high early relapse frequency (table 3). The impact of these three variables was independent, as the size of the predictive effect did not change when assessed by univariate or multivariate analysis.

Models predicting risk of becoming progressive

Multiple binary logistic regression analysis allowed calculation of the probability of developing progressive disease based on the number of early relapses (regression coefficient=0.101; OR=1.11 (95% CI 0.96 to 1.27) for each additional relapse; \(p<0.001\)) and age at disease onset (regression coefficient=0.049; OR=1.05 (95% CI 1.02 to 1.07) for each additional year; \(p<0.001\)) and its variation according to disease duration (regression coefficient=0.074; OR=1.08 (95% CI 1.05 to 1.09) for each additional year; \(p<0.001\)). Based on number of attacks during the first 2 years and age at first symptom we created models predicting the probability of entering the progressive phase and its variation over time (table 4). Two years after disease onset.

**Figure 2** Kaplan–Meier analysis. Cumulative percentage of patients converting to secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (SP MS). Median time to SP=15 years.

**Figure 3** Kaplan–Meier analysis. Time to secondary progressive (SP) onset in patients grouped by number of total relapses during the relapsing remitting phase: 1–2; 3–4; ≥5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total relapses</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean [95% CI] years from disease onset to onset of SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 attacks</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>8.8 [7.7-9.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 attacks</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>11.3 [10.0-12.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 5 attacks*</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>12.2 [11.1-13.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>451</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reference category
onset, three relapses resulted in ORs of 4.1, 6.7 or 10.9 in patients aged 20, 30 or 40 at first symptom, respectively. The probability of developing SP MS increased with disease duration, becoming double at 10 years (OR=7.5, 12.1, 19.8, respectively), approximately fivefold higher at 20 years (OR=15.6, 25.5, 41.4, respectively) and sixfold higher at 25 years (OR=22.6, 36.9, 60.0, respectively) from disease onset (table 4).

**Evolution of SP phase**

Kaplan–Meier analysis showed a mean of 15.2 (95% CI 13.7 to 16.5) years for reaching DSS 8 from onset of progression. Half of the patients attained the endpoint within 12 years (median time by Kaplan–Meier estimate) from onset of SP MS. Despite their predictive effect for development of SP MS, gender and age at disease onset were found not to affect the slope of the SP

**Table 2** Kaplan–Meier survival analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of RR phase (years)</th>
<th>Total relapses=1–2</th>
<th>Total relapses=3–4</th>
<th>Total relapses ≥5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (median) years from disease onset to DSS 8</td>
<td>Mean (median) years from disease onset to DSS 8</td>
<td>Mean (median) years from disease onset to DSS 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>n (% censored)</td>
<td>p Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–5 years</td>
<td>20.0 (17)</td>
<td>30 (20)</td>
<td>13.7 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–12 years</td>
<td>25.0 (23)</td>
<td>48 (31)</td>
<td>21.5 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥13*</td>
<td>34.0 (33)</td>
<td>45 (40)</td>
<td>34.2 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reference category.

DSS, Disability Status Scale; RR, relapsing remitting.

---

* Figure 4 Kaplan–Meier analysis. Times to Disability Status Scale 8 (DSS 8) from disease onset and from onset of secondary progressive (SP) disease in patients grouped by duration of the relapsing remitting (RR) phase (1–5 years; 6–12 years; ≥13 years).
| Table 3  | Kaplan Meier survival analysis and Cox regression multiple analysis: estimated times to onset of SP and to DSS 8 from onset of progression, and estimated risk (HR) of attaining SP and DSS8 from onset of progression in patients grouped by clinical and demographic baseline features |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Survival analysis from disease onset |                      | Survival analyses from onset of progression (SP patients only) |                      |                  |                  |                  |
|                | Kaplan–Meier analysis | Cox regression multiple analysis | Kaplan–Meier analysis | Cox regression multiple analysis | Kaplan–Meier analysis | Cox regression multiple analysis |
|                | n (% censored) | Mean years to onset of SP (95% CI) p Value | Risk of converting to SP MS; HR (95% CI) p Value | n (% censored) | Mean years to DSS 8 (95% CI) p Value | Risk of reaching DSS 8; HR (95% CI) p Value | n (% censored) | Mean years to DSS 8 (95% CI) p Value | Risk of reaching DSS 8; HR (95% CI) p Value |
| Total population | 769 (33.8) | 21.4 (19.5 to 23.1) | 474 (33.1) | 15.2 (13.7 to 16.5) | 15.5 (12.8 to 16.0) | 0.92 | 1.03 (0.81 to 1.31) | 0.80 |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 240 (24.6) | 15.9 (13.4 to 17.1) | <0.001 | 1.41 (1.17 to 1.71) | 0.001 | 165 (33.9) | 15.5 (12.8 to 16.0) | 0.92 | 1.03 (0.81 to 1.31) | 0.80 |
| Female* | 529 (38.0) | 22.4 (21.4 to 26.1) | | | | 309 (32.7) | 14.7 (13.3 to 16.7) | | 1.51 (1.20 to 1.91) | 0.001 |
| Age at onset | | | | | | | | | |
| ≤20 | 145 (41.1) | 25.8 (21.7 to 29.9) | <0.001 | 0.52 (0.40 to 0.68) | <0.001 | 80 (35.0) | 13.9 (10.2 to 17.7) | 0.11 | 1.55 (1.10 to 2.18) | 0.01 |
| 21–30 | 371 (38.1) | 20.2 (18.2 to 21.7) | <0.001 | 0.65 (0.53 to 0.80) | <0.001 | 207 (30.0) | 15.3 (13.3 to 17.4) | 0.44 | 1.15 (0.89 to 1.48) | 0.29 |
| >30* | 285 (24.6) | 15.3 (13.3 to 17.3) | | | | 187 (35.8) | 15.0 (13.4 to 16.6) | | 0.95 (0.80 to 1.14) | 0.64 |
| Relapses during year 1–2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 380 (28.7) | 19.9 (18.3 to 21.5) | 0.01 | 0.63 (0.49 to 0.80) | <0.001 | 246 (34.1) | 16.4 (14.5 to 18.2) | <0.001 | 0.47 (0.35 to 0.63) | <0.001 |
| 2 | 179 (30.2) | 16.7 (14.6 to 18.9) | 0.38 | 0.75 (0.57 to 0.99) | 0.041 | 121 (38.8) | 14.2 (12.5 to 15.9) | <0.001 | 0.53 (0.38 to 0.74) | <0.001 |
| ≥3* | 149 (34.2) | 15.1 (12.8 to 17.4) | | | | 91 (24.7) | 9.6 (8.2 to 11.1) | | 0.70 (0.56 to 0.88) | 0.001 |
| No of symptoms at disease onset | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 515 (32.6) | 20.3 (19.2 to 23.6) | 0.75 | 1.19 (0.92 to 1.54) | 0.17 | 321 (35.2) | 15.1 (13.7 to 16.3) | 0.17 | 1.00 (0.72 to 1.40) | 0.98 |
| >1* | 245 (36.7) | 19.9 (16.6 to 21.1) | | | | 146 (28.1) | 13.9 (11.9 to 16.7) | | 0.70 (0.56 to 0.88) | 0.001 |
| Type of symptoms at disease onset | | | | | | | | | |
| Motor Present | 139 (28.8) | 18.0 (14.1 to 18.7) | 0.18 | 1.22 (0.93 to 1.61) | 0.15 | 89 (28.1) | 15.6 (12.5 to 18.7) | 0.87 | 1.12 (0.78 to 1.61) | 0.55 |
| Absent* | 630 (34.9) | 20.0 (19.7 to 23.8) | | | | 385 (34.3) | 15.1 (13.5 to 16.7) | | 0.95 (0.70 to 1.31) | 0.55 |
| Sensory Present | 419 (35.6) | 20.7 (17.7 to 21.2) | 0.41 | 0.99 (0.75 to 1.30) | 0.93 | 255 (32.9) | 15.3 (13.8 to 17.6) | 0.36 | 1.01 (0.71 to 1.41) | 0.97 |
| Absent* | 350 (31.7) | 19.7 (18.2 to 23.4) | | | | 219 (33.3) | 13.9 (12.3 to 15.4) | | 0.51 (0.35 to 0.73) | 0.001 |
| Cerebellar Present | 46 (30.4) | 18.7 (12.9 to 20.9) | 0.62 | 1.16 (0.79 to 1.71) | 0.44 | 30 (16.7) | 9.5 (6.1 to 11.3) | 0.001 | 0.55 (0.35 to 0.84) | <0.001 |
| Absent* | 723 (34.0) | 19.7 (19.5 to 23.3) | | | | 444 (34.2) | 14.8 (14.0 to 17.0) | | 2.21 (1.40 to 3.37) | <0.001 |
| Brainstem Present | 154 (36.4) | 19.2 (16.3 to 24.3) | 0.75 | 1.12 (0.83 to 1.52) | 0.44 | 91 (23.1) | 11.0 (8.8 to 13.0) | <0.001 | 1.91 (1.32 to 2.79) | <0.001 |
| Absent* | 615 (33.2) | 20.1 (17.4 to 19.8) | | | | 383 (35.5) | 15.7 (14.5 to 17.8) | | 0.95 (0.70 to 1.31) | 0.55 |
| Optic Present | 170 (32.4) | 20.3 (16.2 to 20.7) | 0.86 | 1.04 (0.78 to 1.39) | 0.77 | 110 (38.2) | 14.9 (12.9 to 16.7) | 0.22 | 0.90 (0.62 to 1.30) | 0.58 |
| Absent* | 599 (34.2) | 19.5 (19.0 to 23.0) | | | | 364 (31.6) | 14.4 (13.3 to 16.4) | | 0.68 (0.49 to 0.95) | 0.03 |

Multiple Cox regression analysis: risk (HR) of conversion to SP MS and of attaining DSS 8 from onset of secondary progression according to the concomitant effect of clinical and demographic baseline features.

*Reference category.

DSS, Disability Status Scale; MS, multiple sclerosis; SP, secondary progressive.

phase (table 3). As previously shown, high early relapse frequency predicted faster evolution of the progressive phase.1 In addition, brainstem and cerebellar presentation were associated with significantly faster attainment of DSS 8 from onset of progression (table 3). These results were confirmed by Cox regression multiple analysis, which additionally showed a slightly higher risk (HR=1.55) of becoming bedbound from onset of progression among those with early disease onset (≤20 years).

**DISCUSSION**

Prognosis of MS has been puzzling clinicians for several decades and remains largely unpredictable for individuals. The evolution of the progressive phase has been reported to be similar among progressive subtypes2 8 12 13 and unaffected by overlapping relapses12 28 or by age at onset of progression2 14 22 or by factors preceding its onset.3 9 11 14 Long-term outcome is therefore largely determined by mechanisms associated with SP onset and driving the evolution of the RR phase, providing the likely window of therapeutic opportunity, probably in its early stages.1

The LO database, with the advantage of 28 years of follow up and with more than 65% of patients entering the progressive phase, allowed us to assess in detail disease evolution before and after the onset of progression. Data on the onset of SP have been extensively reviewed,3 providing further strength to our analyses.

We confirmed that the rate of conversion to SP MS increases proportionally to disease duration. The probability of experiencing a progressive course becomes roughly 9% higher every 5 years, quadrupling (OR=3.97) 20 years after onset (figure 1). At the end of the observation period, about one-third (n=272) of patients with RR onset did not enter the progressive phase (figure 2), despite their median disease duration of 20 years (table 1). Although we presume that most of the patients eventually developed SP MS, a minority (n=13; 5% of RR patients) did not experience progression even after ≥40 years of disease. This coheres with the recently reported proportion (5%) of patients with benign MS, free from SP after 50 years of follow up.29

Among those who entered the progressive phase, time to SP varied widely (from 1 to 36 years, mean time=10.7 years (95% CI 10.0 to 11.3)); 25% became progressive by 5 years and at the opposite extreme 25% still had not developed an SP course by 15 years. The conversion to SP MS is the watershed event differentiating disease severity, and latency to SP accounts for the large variation of the outcome. Shorter duration of the RR phase was associated with significantly shorter times to severe disability from disease onset. However, latency to SP did not affect the evolution of the SP phase (time to severe disability from SP onset) (figure 4), confirming the amnestic nature of disease evolution,1 9 11 characterised by two independent stages. Interestingly, a shorter latency to progression was associated with faster disease progression from disease onset even when patients were grouped by number of total relapses during the RR phase (table 2). This suggests a dissociation between mechanisms underlying inflammatory attacks and those leading to severe disability.

Few variables were found to reliably predict latency to progression and slope of the SP phase (table 3). As seen for late disability,2 3 17 but not in agreement with some previous studies,10 15 18 type and number of neurological systems involved at onset were found not to affect the probability of MS becoming progressive. However, we confirmed that male sex,6 10 15 18 older age at onset,2 6 11 14 16–19 26 and a high number of early relapses3 10 20 21 were associated with a higher probability of progressive disease and with shorter times to SP. Among the predictors of the evolution of the RR phase of MS only the frequency of early relapses affected the evolution of the SP phase. Interestingly, although type of clinical onset did not influence the latency to progression, those presenting with cerebellar and brainstem symptoms had faster evolution of the SP phase. This might suggest that when degeneration is more severe brainstem and cerebellar involvement are more likely to emerge.

The relationship between inflammatory attacks and the mechanism driving the evolution of the RR phase and the transition to SP MS has been long debated10–35 and is a crucial aspect of the disease. The annual relapse rate is commonly used as primary endpoint in RCTs and some studies have supported the use of relapse numbers as surrogates for disease progression in the short term.36 37 We previously reported that early relapses (during the first 2 years) are predictors of late outcomes, whereas late relapses (from year 3 up to SP onset) seemed not to affect prognosis.3 Although it is difficult to provide a biological explanation of this discrepancy, it seems that after year 2 a reversal takes place and late relapses counterbalance the effect of early relapses.3 Indeed, patients grouped by total number of relapses during the RR phase, which combines the effect of early and late relapses, were shown to reach severe disability endpoints in equal times.3 Here we further showed that time to SP onset conversely relates to the total number of attacks, being significantly shorter (3.4 mean years difference) among those with low frequency (1–2 relapses) compared with those with a high frequency of attacks (≥5 relapses) (figure 3). This is in line

Table 4  Multiple binary logistic regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at disease onset</th>
<th>Disease duration=2 years</th>
<th>Disease duration=10 years</th>
<th>Disease duration=15 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REL Y1-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 attack</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 attacks</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 attacks</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 attack</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 attacks</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 attacks</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration=2 years</td>
<td>Disease duration=10 years</td>
<td>Disease duration=15 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 attack</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 attacks</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 attacks</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table shows OR expressing the probability of converting to SP MS according to concomitant effect of age at onset, number of early (during the first 2 years) relapses and disease duration.
with a previously described shorter duration of the RR phase among single attack progressive patients (SAP) compared with patients with SP MS. The prognostic value of relapses appears therefore limited to early inflammatory attacks, predicting faster conversion to SP MS as here confirmed. However, the question of whether early relapses cause severe disability occurring 15–20 years later remains largely unanswered.

To further elucidate this point, we recently showed that >30% of patients with three or more attacks during the first 2 years had not progressed to SP MS at the end of the observation period, discouraging any finding of a causal relationship between early attacks and late outcome. Overall, these results do not confirm the widespread belief that conversion to SP MS results from cumulative inflammatory attacks over time, further invalidating relapses as a surrogate marker for late disability. Indeed, therapeutic relapse suppression was shown not to affect the probability of developing severe disability in the long term.

Furthermore, a recent study from British Columbia showed no differences in reaching the expanded DSS ≥ 6 between patients receiving interferon therapy and two natural history cohorts.

We acknowledge the limitation of natural history studies, which assess relapses numerically and not qualitatively. Nevertheless, the type of initial attack has been shown to have limited prognostic value, as confirmed in this study, despite speculation that severe symptoms during inflammatory attacks might impact adversely on prognosis.

However, unlike neuromyelitis optica, relapses in MS only rarely cause permanent severe disability, as result of incomplete recovery. Indeed, most patients in the LO database were scored at DSS ≤3 when converting to SP MS, indicating that they had only mild disability during the RR phase. In an extensive study, following up 1078 patients for 15 years and collecting data on 2578 relapses, only a tiny proportion (n=7) of attacks was shown to cause severe disability (expanded DSS ≥6, sustained for at least 6 months).

It has been debated that relapses are only the ‘filtered expression’ of an underlying, constantly active inflammatory process. Indeed, MRI can detect new inflammatory activity 5–10 times more than clinically evident attacks. However, MRI measures of inflammation have limited predictive value in the long term. The number and volume of initial T2 lesions have been shown to influence the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: results of a geographically based study. Neurology 2007;68:779–81.

Predictive models based exclusively on relapse number are not sufficiently reliable for detecting a potential effect of treatment on late outcomes. The onset of the RR phase is a robust marker for late disability and its prevention/delay should be the target of future treatments with potential neuroprotective effect. Therefore, improvements in detecting the onset of SP deserve special attention in future studies and factors predicting conversion to SP MS should be used for improving the design of RCTs. Based on the probability of experiencing an SP course, groups at higher risk of developing disability should be identified for assessing the risk/benefit ratio of more aggressive treatments. Here we used multiple binary logistic models to calculate the variation in the risk of the disease becoming progressive from hypothetical baseline situations in which patients were randomised according to early relapse number and age at onset (table 4). Given the strong influence of age on the wide variation of the latency to progression, patients with broad age ranges (eg, 18–53 years) commonly recruited in clinical trials should be restricted as they may add unexpected variation, potentially weakening randomisation schemes. In addition, high early relapse frequency, shown to be associated with higher probability of the disease becoming progressive, could be used for randomising patients destined to have a more severe disease course and therefore requiring more aggressive treatment. Finally, the rate of conversion to SP MS increases proportionally with disease duration, which should therefore be taken into consideration when randomising patients.
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