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Postoperative apathy can neutralise benefits in quality of life after subthalamic stimulation for Parkinson’s disease
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ABSTRACT

Background Subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation (STN-DBS) improves motor symptoms of Parkinson’s disease, leading to improvement in health-related quality of life (HRQoL). However, an excessive decrease in dopaminergic medication can lead to a withdrawal syndrome with apathy as the predominant feature. The present study aims to assess the impact of postoperative apathy on HRQoL.

Methods A cohort of 88 patients who underwent STN-DBS was divided into two groups, those who were apathetic at 1 year and those who were not, as measured by the Starkstein scale. HRQoL was assessed using the Parkinson’s disease questionnaire 39 (PDQ-39) and was compared between the two groups. We also compared activities of daily living, motor improvement and motor complications (Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale, UPDRS), depression and anxiety, as well as cognition and drug dosages. Baseline characteristics and postoperative complications were recorded.

Results One year after surgery, 27.1% of patients suffered from apathy. While motor improvement was significant and equivalent in both the apathy (−40.4% of UPDRS motor score) and non-apathy groups (−48.6%), the PDQ-39 score did not improve in the apathy group (−5.5%; p=0.464), whereas it improved significantly (−36.7%; p≤0.001) in the non-apathy group. Change in apathy scores correlated significantly with change in HRQoL scores (r=0.278, p=0.009). Depression and anxiety scores remained unchanged from baseline in the apathy group (p=0.409, p=0.075), while they improved significantly in patients without apathy (p=0.006, p≤0.001). A significant correlation was found between changes in apathy and depression (r=0.594, p≤0.001).

Conclusions The development of apathy after STN-DBS can cancel out the benefits of motor improvement in terms of HRQoL. Systematic evaluation and management of apathy occurring after subthalamic stimulation appears mandatory.

INTRODUCTION

Subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation (STN-DBS) is a well-established treatment for motor complications in Parkinson’s disease (PD).1–5 Over the years, a large corpus of evidence has shown that STN-DBS improves health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in patients with PD6–12 to a larger extent than best medical treatment.1–5 Intriguingly, improvement in motor symptoms is not always accompanied by improvement in subjective well-being.6 10 12 13 Thus, while the doctor may be happy with the motor outcome, the patient and his/her relatives may complain that he/she is not benefiting fully from motor improvements.12 14 HRQoL is a measure of the patient’s own judgement of their well-being. By assessing levels of satisfaction in a broad range of areas covering physical, mental and social aspects which may escape objective measurement,15 HRQoL seems to be a more appropriate outcome measure than changes in motor status.

The relevance of non-motor symptoms in PD has been increasingly recognised over the past few decades.16 Neuropsychiatric aspects such as cognitive impairment, depression, anxiety and apathy have received particular attention because of their frequency and major impact on disability17 and HRQoL.16 18 19 Apathy, which is common in PD (with a reported prevalence ranging from 20% to 60%),20 21 can occur in isolation or along with a depressive syndrome or dementia.21

The effects of chronic subthalamic stimulation on neuropsychiatric features in PD remain controversial.22 While some authors report an improvement in apathy scores,23 others have noted no significant change after STN-DBS.4 However, there is growing evidence of apathy occurring or worsening after surgery. Apathy can occur several months after surgery and can develop as an isolated feature24–26 or in association with depression and anxiety as part of a dopamine withdrawal syndrome.31 32 In the long term, its development has been linked to dysexecutive syndrome in the context of disease progression.21 Despite its frequency, postoperative apathy has been largely neglected and the recent Earlystim study4 is the only randomised and controlled study of STN-DBS to have used a specific apathy assessment scale.

Our study aimed to discover whether the development of apathy after bilateral STN-DBS for PD impacts negatively on patient’s HRQoL outcome independently of motor improvement.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

As surgical procedures, postsurgical management and outcome measures have all been reported
previously. The ethics committee of Grenoble University approved the study and all patients gave informed written consent.

Population and surgical procedures
See figure 1 for the population flow chart. In a previous study, we performed a prospective analysis on the occurrence of apathy in the year following STN-DBS in patients operated on for PD in two centres (Grenoble and Lyon; see Thobois et al. 31 for selection criteria). Indication for surgery was based on current consensus. 33 Postoperative management was part of a study protocol in which dopamine agonists were discontinued on the day of surgery. In the immediate postoperative period, levodopa treatment was reduced to the maximum extent permitted by improvement in motor state. We analysed the full database retrospectively in this study (n=102, patients included between 2005 and 2010) to examine the impact of apathy on HRQoL. Postoperative complications were recorded. We compare HRQoL changes 1 year after surgery in two subgroups from the whole sample followed in that study, separating patients with apathy from patients without apathy at that specific 12-month point.

Clinical measures
Clinical assessments took place in the month before surgery and at 12±1 months after surgery. Cognitive assessment was performed at the 3-month postoperative follow-up. Patients completed the PD questionnaire 39 (PDQ-39) at baseline and at 12 months to determine HRQoL. This 39-item, PD-specific self-assessment questionnaire measures HRQoL in daily life. It covers eight domains: mobility, activities of daily living, emotional well-being, stigma, social support, cognition, communication and bodily discomfort. The mean score of all domains (ie, the sum of all domain scores/8) provides an estimation of the overall impact of the disease on HRQoL through the PDQ-39SI (summary index score). Scores for each dimension and the PDQ-39SI range from 0 to 100, and lower scores indicate better-perceived HRQoL. Clinical evaluation of motor outcome was based on the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) part III. Motor complications were measured using part IV of the UPDRS. The development of disabling motor symptoms known to have an impact on patients’ HRQoL was recorded. Total levodopa dose at baseline and at 12-month follow-up was measured, and changes in levodopa and dopamine agonists were calculated as the total levodopa-equivalent daily dosage (LEDD). Overall cognitive status was assessed using the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale. Fronto-subcortical executive function was evaluated more specifically using the Frontal Assessment Battery. Chronic neuropsychiatric manifestations were studied through the Starksne Apathy Scale for motivation, 20 the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) for depressive mood and the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) for anxiety. Higher scores on these scales represent more severe symptoms. The Starksne scale cut-off score for the diagnosis of apathy is ≥14 out of a total of 42 points. Clinically relevant daily life non-motor fluctuations were defined by a score >2 in summing ‘non-motor ON’ and ‘non-motor OFF’ from the Ardouin scale. 32 The UPDRS part II activities of daily living were used to assess overall functional autonomy. At the 12-month evaluation, all scales were administered in the ON-stimulation, ON-medication condition (ie, chronic daily live state), except the UPDRS III, which was also administered in the ON-stimulation, OFF-medication state.

Statistical analysis of clinical data
Analysis was conducted using the per-protocol population. Data were summarised in terms of size and frequency for categorical parameters, and of means and SDs for continuous parameters, or by the median, 25th and 75th centiles when necessary. Baseline characteristics were compared using Student t test for continuous parameters. A Mann-Whitney test was applied for non-Gaussian assumption. A paired Student t test was used for continuous parameters, and a Wilcoxon test for non-Gaussian assumption. Independence between qualitative
parameters was assessed using the χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. Correlation between quantitative parameters was assessed using the Pearson correlation test or Spearman when necessary. Partial correlation was used to adjust for confounding parameters. Main outcome PDQ-39SI between baseline and 1-year visit was tested using analysis of covariance analysis adjusted by baseline PDQ-39SI values to allow comparison of groups (p<0.05). Secondary (UPDRS III, PDQ-39 domains, BDI, BAI) outcomes were analysed using Student t test (or a Mann-Whitney test when necessary) to compare group effects between the baseline and T12 visit. Two multivariate models were conducted with the aim of verifying the predictive role of the Starkstein scale and the BDI on the PDQ-39. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA release V.12 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA) PC-Software.

RESULTS

Study population

Ninety-six of the 102 patients had complete Starkstein scale data at baseline and at 12 months. Patients were divided into two groups at the 12-month follow-up point: 26 patients (27.1%) who fulfilled apathy diagnosis criteria constituted the apathy group (AG) (table 1). Seventy patients (72.9%) had apathy scores below 14 and were assigned to the non-apathy group (NAG). Eight patients (3 AG and 5 NAG) were excluded from the analysis due to the absence of PDQ-39 data. There were no statistical baseline differences between groups in terms of demographic characteristics, disease severity, PDQ-39SI score (including all domains, data not shown), motor score and motor complications. UPDRS II differed between groups but this difference disappeared at 12 months (p=0.953). No patient suffered from apathy at baseline. However, the baseline mean score of the Starkstein scale was significantly higher in the AG. Preoperative BDI and BAI scores were equivalent in both groups. While the AG and NAG baseline Mattis scores showed no difference, patients from the AG had slightly lower frontal scores than patients from the NAG. However, neither scale showed any difference between groups at postoperative follow-up (p=0.138 for the Mattis score, p=0.223 for the frontal score). Presence of daily life non-motor fluctuations was not significantly different between groups at baseline. There were no baseline differences between groups in dopaminergic medication.

Medication changes

Total levodopa dosage and total LEDD were markedly reduced and to the same extent in both groups (table 2). Total dopamine agonist equivalent reduction from baseline was also significant in both groups, with a lesser reduction in the AG.

Motor and HRQoL outcome

Both groups exhibited improvement in motor outcome at 12 months. UPDRS III improved significantly in the OFF-drug condition in both the AG (−40.4%, p≤0.001) and NAG (−48.6%, p≤0.001) with no difference between groups (p=0.446; figure 2). Severity and duration of dyskinesias and

### Table 1: Demographic and clinical baseline characteristics of the whole sample, divided into the apathy and non-apathy groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Total population (n=88)</th>
<th>Patients without apathy at T12: non-apathy group (n=65)</th>
<th>Patients with apathy at T12: apathy group (n=23)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58.4 (53.4; 63.8)</td>
<td>58.2 (54.2; 62.9)</td>
<td>61.1 (52.4; 64)</td>
<td>0.680*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of the disease</td>
<td>10.6±3.2</td>
<td>10.6±3.2</td>
<td>10.7±3.2</td>
<td>0.903†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>47 (42.5; 52.5)</td>
<td>47 (43; 52)</td>
<td>48 (42; 54)</td>
<td>0.685*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>43.2% (38)</td>
<td>46.2% (30)</td>
<td>34.8% (8)</td>
<td>0.344†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDQ-39SI</td>
<td>68.8±27.4</td>
<td>67.8±28.3</td>
<td>71.3±25.3</td>
<td>0.606†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDRS III (ON-medication)</td>
<td>11.6±7.2</td>
<td>11.3±7.4</td>
<td>12.3±6.4</td>
<td>0.578†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDRS III (OFF-medication)</td>
<td>38.7±12.5</td>
<td>38.5±12.4</td>
<td>39.1±13.1</td>
<td>0.838†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of daily living UPDRS II (ON-medication)</td>
<td>4 (2; 7)</td>
<td>3 (1.5; 6.5)</td>
<td>6 (4; 8)</td>
<td>0.022†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of dyskinesia</td>
<td>1.56±0.97</td>
<td>1.51±0.95</td>
<td>1.70±1.02</td>
<td>0.427†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability of dyskinesia</td>
<td>1 (0; 2)</td>
<td>1 (0; 2)</td>
<td>1 (0; 2)</td>
<td>0.589†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of OFF periods</td>
<td>1.60±0.74</td>
<td>1.66±0.76</td>
<td>1.43±0.66</td>
<td>0.163†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkstein scale (ON-medication)</td>
<td>7 (5; 9)</td>
<td>7 (4; 8)</td>
<td>9 (6; 11)</td>
<td>0.020†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI (ON-medication)</td>
<td>8 (5; 13)</td>
<td>7.5 (4; 13)</td>
<td>9 (6; 14)</td>
<td>0.182†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAI (ON-medication)</td>
<td>8 (3; 15)</td>
<td>7 (2.5; 15)</td>
<td>8 (3; 12)</td>
<td>0.839†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattis Dementia Rating Scale</td>
<td>140 (138; 142)</td>
<td>140 (138; 143)</td>
<td>139 (137; 140)</td>
<td>0.099†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal battery</td>
<td>42.2 (39; 47)</td>
<td>43.6 (40; 47)</td>
<td>40.6 (38.3; 42.9)</td>
<td>0.038†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily life non-motor fluctuations</td>
<td>52.3% (46)</td>
<td>50.8% (33)</td>
<td>56.5% (13)</td>
<td>0.635†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total levodopa dose</td>
<td>962±425 (0; 1980)</td>
<td>928±401 (0; 1800)</td>
<td>1056±486 (350; 1980)</td>
<td>0.218*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dopamine agonist equivalent</td>
<td>928±401 (0; 1800)</td>
<td>1056±486 (350; 1980)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total levodopa+agonist equivalent</td>
<td>1283±498 (533; 1900)</td>
<td>1339±498 (533; 1900)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoehn and Yahr ON stage</td>
<td>2 (1.5; 2)</td>
<td>2 (1.5; 2)</td>
<td>2 (2; 2.5)</td>
<td>0.317†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoehn and Yahr OFF stage</td>
<td>3 (2.5; 3)</td>
<td>3 (2.5; 3)</td>
<td>2.5 (2; 4)</td>
<td>0.613†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average±SD, median (25th; 75th centiles).
Figures in bold indicate that the p value is statistically significant.
*p Student t test.
† Mann-Whitney test.
‡ χ² test.

BAI, Beck Anxiety Inventory; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; PDQ-39, Parkinson’s disease questionnaire 39; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale.
OFF-period duration had improved significantly in both groups at 12 months (p≤0.001), and there was no difference between groups at the final follow-up. While PDQ-39SI decreased in the AG by a non-significant −5.5% (−2.12.9, p=0.464), a −36.7% (−12.817.2, p≤0.001) amelioration occurred in the NAG and the difference between groups was statistically different at 12 months (p≤0.001; figure 2). Furthermore, changes in apathy scores between baseline and final outcome for the whole sample correlated significantly with changes in PDQ-39SI (r=−0.28, p=0.009) in the ON-drug state, even when controlling for motor state, anxiety and activities of daily living. Significance was lost when controlling for depression. A low correlation was observed between UPDRS III and PDQ-39SI scores at 12 months in the ON-medication condition (r=−0.23, p=0.021); however, they did not correlate significantly in the OFF-medication state. The first multivariate model, incorporating the BDI, UPDRS II, III and IV and reduction in LEDD as explanatory factors, demonstrates that the BDI and UPDRS III were predictors of impairment in HRQoL (p=0.130 and p=0.046, respectively). The second model, incorporating the Starkstein score, UPDRS II, III and IV and reduction in LEDD as explanatory factors, showed the Starkstein score to be a predictor of impairment in HRQoL (p=0.103).

**PDQ-39 dimensions**

In the NAG, five PDQ-39 dimensions improved significantly from the preoperative condition (mobility, activities of daily living, stigma, cognition and bodily discomfort). In the AG, stigma was the only domain which improved significantly compared with baseline (table 3). Statistically significant differences in outcome appeared between groups for mobility (−17.6% improvement in the NAG vs 6.1% worsening in the AG, p≤0.001) and communication (0.3% impairment in the NAG vs 11.2% worsening in the AG, p=0.044; figure 3).

**Depression and anxiety outcomes**

The NAG BDI and BAI scores in the ON-medication condition improved significantly compared with baseline. The AG showed a slight impairment in the BDI and a non-significant improvement in the BAI between baseline and final follow-up. The change from baseline (δ) between groups was significantly different for the BDI (p=0.043) but not for the BAI (p=0.384; figure 4). A significant correlation between the change in the

---

**Table 2** Medical treatment at baseline and 12-month follow-up (T12) of both groups and comparison in dosage reduction between groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non- apathy group (n=65)</th>
<th>Apathy group (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>T12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily levodopa dose</td>
<td>928±401</td>
<td>291±330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily dopamine agonist equivalent dose</td>
<td>355±337</td>
<td>85±154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily levodopa equivalents</td>
<td>1283±467</td>
<td>375±346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1252 (967; 1550)</td>
<td>300 (83; 550)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison between groups at 12 months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non- apathy group (n=65)</th>
<th>Apathy group (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total daily levodopa dose reduction</td>
<td>−637±505 (−69%)</td>
<td>−657±562 (−62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily dopamine agonist equivalent dose reduction</td>
<td>−270±388 (−76%)</td>
<td>−124±225 (−44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily levodopa equivalents reduction</td>
<td>−908±594 (−71%)</td>
<td>−786±603 (−59%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average±SD, median (25th; 75th centiles). Figures in bold indicate that the p value is statistically significant.

*Wilcoxon-test.
†Student t test.
‡Mann-Whitney test.

**Figure 2** Changes in the scores of the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) III OFF-medication and in the Parkinson’s disease questionnaire 39 summary index (PDQ-39SI) from a preoperative condition to 12-month follow-up in the apathy and non-apathy groups.
Table 3  Modification of PDQ-39 domains between baseline and 12-month follow-up (T12) in the apathy and non-apathy groups. Lower scores represent a better subjective perception of quality of life expressed in a range from 0 to 100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Non-apathy group (n=65)</th>
<th>Apathy group (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean value*</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility (n=63; n=21)</td>
<td>42.95±28.43</td>
<td>42.4±20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of living (n=65; n=22)</td>
<td>38.94±24.76</td>
<td>40.3±18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional well-being (n=62; n=22)</td>
<td>37.92±21.05</td>
<td>28.3±19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stigma (n=64; n=23)</td>
<td>27.54±23.17</td>
<td>32±23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support (n=59; n=23)</td>
<td>14.78±18.08</td>
<td>10.1±15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition (n=64; n=21)</td>
<td>33.03±20.35</td>
<td>23.1±17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication (n=63; n=23)</td>
<td>27.99±24.19</td>
<td>27.7±18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bodily discomfort (n=64; n=22)</td>
<td>40.91±24.07</td>
<td>41.3±21.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average±SD. Figures in bold indicate that the p value is statistically significant.
*From the study of validity of the PDQ-39, on a sample of patients with a mean age of 69.8 years (range 34–90).
†Student t test.
‡Wilcoxon test.
PDQ-39, Parkinson’s disease questionnaire 39.

PDQ-39SI score and that of the BDI was found (r=0.232, p=0.033). No correlation was observed between the BAI and PDQ-39SI changes (r=0.128, p=0.243). Finally, there was a strong correlation between changes in the Starkshei scale and the BDI (r=0.594, p≤0.001).

Surgical complications and postoperative side effects
From the 88 selected patients, one suffered a symptomatic cerebral haemorrhage. Reoperation was needed because of electrode misplacement (n=1), electrode migration (n=1) and cable fracture (n=1). In one patient, an infection led to the removal of subcutaneous material and electrodes. Four patients had transient symptomatic contusions along the electrode trajectory (psychosis n=1, somnolence n=1, stereotypes n=1, confusion n=1). Other transient side effects not associated with brain lesions were postoperative behavioural or cognitive disturbances (psychosis n=3, hallucination n=2, confusion n=4) and transient hypomania after dopamine agonist reintroduction to treat restless legs syndrome (n=1). Worsening of restless legs syndrome was reported by six patients. Other reported transient side effects were: urinary retention n=1, incontinence n=1, blurred vision n=1, gastroenteritis n=1, dysuria n=1 and pneumonia with transient cardiorespiratory arrest in the context of a postoperative akinetic crisis n=1. Non-transient side effects included speech (n=20) and swallowing disturbances (n=1). The walking and balance worsened in 11 patients. In six patients, pre-existing freezing of gait worsened. Five patients complained of pain. One patient exhibited persistent hypotension with postoperative onset.

DISCUSSION
One year after surgery, 27.1% of the present cohort of patients whose postoperative dopaminergic treatment had been considerably reduced presented with apathy, measured by the Starkshei scale. While motor improvement was significant and equivalent in the AG and NAG (∼40.4% vs ∼48.6%), the PDQ-39 score did not improve in the AG, whereas a significant improvement emerged in the NAG (figure 2). Stigma was the only PDQ-39 dimension that improved in the AG, whereas in the NAG mobility, activities of daily living, stigma, cognition and bodily discomfort domains improved. Changes from baseline to 12-month follow-up in apathy and quality of life scores correlated significantly, but significance was lost when controlling for depression. Depression and anxiety scores remained unchanged from baseline in the AG, but improved significantly in the NAG (figure 4). Depression scores were equivalent between groups at
operative apathy also depends on the management of drug and stimulation parameters. In our study, total reduction in dopaminergic treatment was equivalent between groups, but this does not exclude occurrence of the dopamine withdrawal syndrome. Indeed, apathy is frequent in general PD but not present in all patients. As aforementioned, individual differences in the neurodegenerative process, particularly more widespread mesolimbic dopaminergic denervation, predispose to non-motor withdrawal symptoms with apathy being the most prominent symptom. Postoperative-withdrawal apathy may occur up to 12 months after surgery due to the slowly progressive mechanisms of desensitisation. Therefore, in some of the patients of this study, apathy at 12 months may represent apathy of recent onset not yet successfully treated. Second, a more delayed dopa-resistant apathy as part of the development of a dysexecutive syndrome related to the progression of α-synucleinopathy can occur during long-term postoperative follow-up, whatever the surgical target.

Our study does not correspond to regular clinical practice as patients were included in a protocol requiring complete preoperative withdrawal of dopamine agonists, and their reintroduction only if apathy developed during monthly screening. However, levodopa was reduced based on stimulation-induced improvement on the patients’ motor condition, a modus operandi that is usually applied when dealing with immediate postsurgical therapeutic adjustment in PD. Thus, even if our reported apathy prevalence might be higher than the usual, the development of apathy does not come as a surprise in regular clinical practice.

**Prevalence of postoperative apathy**

Data are scarce on the prevalence of apathy in the early postoperative period, with a range from 23% to 60%. In addition, several other groups have reported overall increases in mean apathy scores after STN-DBS. Data on long-term apathy occurrence in patients with STN-DBS are even more limited, with two works reporting rates of 12% and 4.3% at 5 years. Several reasons explain the disparity in the prevalence of STN-DBS-related apathy. Apathy can present as two different entities with specific pathological origins and singular therapeutic approaches. First, in some patients, early postoperative apathy corresponds to a dopaminergic withdrawal syndrome triggered by a postoperative decrease in dopaminergic medication which unmasks presynaptic degeneration of mesolimbic dopaminergic terminals. This situation mainly explains apathy in the first year of follow-up. The prevalence of this early postoperative apathy also depends on the management of drug and

**Phenotype of the hypodopaminergic patient after STN-DBS**

Patients in the AG had higher anxiety and depression scores than those in the NAG. It has long been established that anxiety and depression are highly prevalent in PD. Although apathy has been recognised as a prominent feature of PD, these two entities have generally attracted more attention than apathy. However, apathy is about twice as frequent as depression after subthalamic surgery, while PD depression is systematically accompanied by apathy. Therefore, we advocate that apathy in patients with PD treated with STN-DBS requires systematic screening, as it constitutes the core and the ‘tip of the iceberg’ of a larger hypodopaminergic syndrome which also includes anxiety, depression and pain.

**Lessons for the management of postoperative apathy**

Postoperative non-motor symptoms can potentially be modified by optimising drug management. The Earlystim study supports the importance of clinical management in preventing the occurrence of apathy after STN-DBS by showing that surgical patients with optimal medical treatment do not display higher levels of apathy than non-operated patients with best medical management in a 2-year follow-up period. Considering the findings of our study, if postoperative apathy presents, dopaminergic drugs should be reintroduced or increased as the patient’s subjective well-being should prevail as the primary goal over the physical condition, a modus operandi that is usually applied when dealing with immediate postsurgical therapeutic adjustment in PD. Thus, even if our reported apathy prevalence might be higher than the usual, the development of apathy does not come as a surprise in regular clinical practice.
on HRQoL. Consequently, these symptoms must be screened for and managed as carefully as motor signs, especially since they are potentially reversible with medical treatment, and improvement in HRQoL ensues.\textsuperscript{36} Importantly, while hypodopaminergic syndrome occurrence may be particularly relevant in the first year after STN-DBS, this context serves as a model that can also be generalised to the whole non-demented PD population.

**Changes in PDQ-39 domains**

The difference between the AG and the NAG in terms of PDQ-39 domain changes was greatest for mobility and communication. A close scrutiny of the PDQ-39 questions which assess mobility reveals that they also evaluate goal-directed motor behaviour, which is impaired by apathy. Differences in communication cannot be attributed to ‘motor’ dysarthria as no differences were found between groups in the UPDRS item assessing speech (data not shown). Reduction in verbal fluency, which may contribute to a decline in communication skills, is frequently reported after STN-DBS.\textsuperscript{11, 41} In patients with apathy, this can probably be aggravated by a lack of autoactivation which reduces the motivation to initiate conversation.

Stigma and bodily discomfort were the only PDQ-39 domains which improved in the AG to virtually the same extent as in the NAG. Improvement in the more visible motor symptoms that result in social handicap, such as tremor or dyskinesia, can explain the improvement in stigma perceived by the patients. Pain, which is one of the major symptoms accounting for bodily discomfort, has both motor and non-motor origins\textsuperscript{32} and responds to STN-DBS.\textsuperscript{43} The amelioration of motor complications and the relief in pain resulted in improved bodily comfort in both groups.

**Limitations**

Apathy impacts HRQoL independently. However, the low intensity of the correlation between the Starkstein scale and PDQ-39SI scores at 12 months when controlling for depression should make us consider the possibility that depression also influences the HRQoL outcome. Improvement could therefore be limited if only apathy is treated. It is well known that depression in PD is detrimental to HRQoL.\textsuperscript{18} As previously mentioned, although apathy and depression are different conditions, there is some overlap in clinical symptoms and pathophysiological mechanisms,\textsuperscript{51, 52} and indeed we found a strong correlation between changes in apathy and depression scores. Treatment of apathy with a dopamine agonist also had a beneficial impact on depression.\textsuperscript{46}

At baseline, apathy scores were higher in the AG than in the NAG. Even if motivation is not a dichotomous condition, a two-point difference below the cut-off for the diagnosis of apathy in a 42-point scale should not have sufficient clinical significance to impact HRQoL.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of this study show that occurrence of postoperative apathy in patients with PD who have undergone STN-DBS can independently counteract the beneficial effects of motor improvement in terms of HRQoL. Apathy can present after STN-DBS for PD depending on clinical management and individual, patient-related risk factors. We have shown that its development is closely linked to a worsening in self-reported quality of life despite surgical success from a motor viewpoint. The main purpose of functional neurosurgery for PD should be to improve patients’ quality of life. Therefore, notwithstanding the physician’s satisfaction with the more eye-catching amelioration in motor features, in order to render functional neurosurgery meaningful, apathy must be systematically screened for using specific scales due to its major impact on HRQoL. This type of systematic approach is of particular interest because the reintroduction of the dopamine agonist has proven to be effective for postoperative apathy.\textsuperscript{46} Finally, since apathy in PD is largely related to presynaptic mesolimbic dopaminergic denervation, our findings can also be extrapolated to the general non-demented PD population where the same attention to apathy is also required for optimal patient management.
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