RESEARCH PAPER

Long-term health outcomes after exposure to repeated concussion in elite level: rugby union players


ABSTRACT

Background There is continuing concern about effects of concussion in athletes, including risk of the neurodegenerative disease chronic traumatic encephalopathy. However, information on long-term health and wellbeing in former athletes is limited.

Method Outcome after exposure to repeated brain injury was investigated in 52 retired male Scottish international rugby players (RIRP) and 29 male controls who were similar in age and social deprivation. Assessment included history of playing rugby and traumatic brain injury, general and mental health, life stress, concussion symptoms, cognitive function, disability and markers of chronic stress (allostatic load).

Results The estimated number of concussions in RIRP averaged 14 (median=7; IQR 5-40). Performance was poorer in RIRP than controls on a test of verbal learning (p=0.022) and of fine co-ordination of the dominant hand (p=0.038) and not significantly different on other cognitive tests (p>0.05). There were no significant associations between number of concussions and performance on cognitive tests. Otherwise than a higher incidence of cardiovascular disease in controls, no group differences were detected in general or mental health or estimates of allostatic load. In RIRP, persisting symptoms attributed to concussion were more common if reporting more than nine concussions (p=0.028), although these symptoms were not perceived to affect social or work functioning.

Conclusions Despite a high number of concussions in RIRP, differences in mental health, social or work functioning were not found late after injury. Subtle group differences were detected on two cognitive tests, the cause of which is uncertain. Prospective group comparison studies on representative cohorts are required.

INTRODUCTION

There is growing concern about persisting consequences of concussion or mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) in sports1 including the potential for repeated mTBI to lead to long-term neurodegenerative changes, specifically chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE). The late consequences of mTBI are reported as a cluster of non-specific symptoms that include depression, irritability, poorer concentration and memory, and in some, personality change and more widespread cognitive symptoms that can be consistent with mild cognitive impairment or dementia.3 4 One study reported an association between greater concussion exposure and more frequent self-report of memory and post-concussional symptoms in older and retired American football players, with no effect found on cognitive tests.5 Again, in retired US National Football League (NFL) players, Guskiewicz et al6 reported an association between lifetime depression and repeated concussion after controlling for age, years since retirement, years of playing and physical comorbidities. Furthermore, a ‘dose–response’ effect is reported, where depression was more common in retired players with more than nine concussions.7 Finally, death rates from neurodegenerative disease in ex-NFL players have been reported to be three times higher than in the general population8 and greater risk of death late after mTBI has in part been found to be associated with repeated brain injury.9 Autopsy studies in former athletes have fuelled anxieties over CTE as a late outcome of exposure to repeated concussion.10 11 However, few studies have addressed long-term health in living, retired athletes and evidence to support a causative link between TBI and CTE is awaited.12

Rugby union is acknowledged as having a concussion incidence that is among the highest for contact sports, with estimates of between 4 and 13.4 concussions per 1000 player hours.13-15 Despite this and the high levels of participation in rugby internationally, there are few formal studies that objectively investigate long-term outcomes in rugby players. The design of the present study takes into account a meta-analysis of studies on cognition after self-reports of repeated concussion16 and investigates symptom and a range of cognitive and health outcomes including allostatic load (AL), a measure of accumulated physiological damage resulting from natural stress responses across the lifespan which can be associated with lifestyle, disease and mortality.17

METHODS

Approvals

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Glasgow College of Medical Veterinary and Life Sciences Research Ethics Committee.

Participants

Retired international rugby players (RIRP) were identified as male former Scottish internationalists...
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on a database of former players held by the Scottish Rugby Union (SRU; n=350). Potential RIRP participants were contacted by the SRU by mass email, with information on the study and an invitation to participate. Those agreeing to take part were then contacted by the research team and written consent was obtained. Inclusion criteria were: age 18 or over, fluent in English, capable of giving consent and capable of assessment. Those continuing to play rugby were excluded.

Male controls were recruited from friends or relatives of the RIRP, from community groups or from school teachers. Inclusion criteria were: male, similar to RIRP in age and RIRP, from community groups or from school teachers. Inclusion criteria were: age 18 or over, fluent in English, capable of giving consent to take part and capable of assessment. SIMD ranks deprivation across Scotland and is derived from postcode, each rank comprising a small section of the population. Exclusion criteria were: female, TBI (including concussion) on more than one occasion with loss of consciousness (LOC) and/or associated symptoms of confusion or disorientation, nausea, dizziness, poor balance, blurred vision or severe headache, or any previous moderate or severe TBI (LOC>30 min or more or post-traumatic amnesia (PTA) for >1 day) or a diagnosis of chronic and debilitating neurological or psychiatric disorder.

Assessments

All assessments were performed in face-to-face interviews between February 2014 and February 2015. The protocol for assessment comprised the following domains:

Background information
This was a brief self-report inventory relating to demographic background, current diagnoses with disease, current medication and a brief history of rugby playing (years, position).

History of TBI
A form to assess the history of concussion and of injury to the head that might be consistent with TBI. It estimated the number and approximate date of last concussion; details of any hospital admissions with TBI and assessment of PTA associated with any injuries without hospital admission that seem severe. Concussion was defined for participants during the interview as follows: ‘a blow or injury to your head where you may or may not have lost consciousness and then had symptoms such as dizziness, blurred vision, nausea, vomiting, headache, poor concentration. It might be that symptoms were not noticeable straight away but you may have noticed them later or have had ‘gaps’ in your memory for the game that were unusual or you might have remembered little at all about the game’ (see online supplementary file 1).

Cognition
The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA; screening test of general cognitive function); Symbol Digit Test (information processing speed); Trail Making Test (executive function); Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT; memory and learning); Sustained Attention to Response Task (SART; sustained attention); Judgment of Line Orientation Test (visual perception) and the Lafayette Grooved Pegboard (fine hand coordination).

Psychological assessment, disability outcome and alcohol use
The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS); Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire (RPQ); Short Form Health Survey (SF-36; questions 1 and 2); Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended (GOSE); the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT).

Allostatic load
An AL score was created using biomarkers from its five components: (1) neuroendocrine (aldosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone); (2) immune (C reactive protein, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor-α); (3) metabolic (triglycerides, creatinine, high-density lipoprotein, albumin); (4) cardiovascular/respiratory (blood pressure, heart rate, forced expiratory volume); (5) anthropometric (waist hip ratio, body mass index). Markers for components (1)–(3) were measured from venous blood taken at the time of the assessment. Values for each biomarker were transformed, and z scores calculated and averaged for each component; the totals for each component were then summed to create the AL score.

Statistical analyses
Data are summarised using the mean, SD and range for continuous variables and the number and percentage for categorical data. Continuous outcomes were investigated using linear regression models and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests. Binary outcomes were compared using logistic regression models and Fisher’s Exact tests. Comparisons of ordinal categorical outcomes were tested using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. Models to compare outcomes between the RIRP group and the control group were adjusted for the matching/design variables of age and SIMD quintile. Years of education was also adjusted for in models investigating cognitive outcomes.

To investigate effects of repeated concussion within the RIRP group, the number of concussions sustained was included in models of cognitive and psychological outcomes as well as AL outcomes as the only covariate and grouped into three levels: no repeat concussions (0–1), moderate repeat concussion (2–9) and high repeat concussion (10 or more). Associations between cognitive and psychological outcomes and number of repeat concussions were also assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation.

Similar analyses were carried out in relation to the number of international matches in the RIRP group; the only covariate in these models was defined by splitting the RIRP group at the median number of matches played.

All analyses were carried out using SAS for Windows V9.2, and a p value <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Recruitment
Enquiries were received from 76 RIRP, of whom 71 were eligible to take part. Of these, 52 (73%) were recruited and assessed; the remainder did not respond to repeated invitations or were not available over a period of several months. Forty-six controls enquired about the study; data were obtained on 29 (63%); 8 were excluded and 9 did not respond.

Demographic characteristics and rugby history
Demographic differences between groups were non-significant with the exception of a higher number of years of education in controls than RIRP (p=0.025; table 1). In both groups, the mean years of education was high. As anticipated, RIRP had played rugby for longer, were older when they stopped playing and had played more recently than those controls that had ever...
played rugby. The average number of international matches played within the RIRP group was 24 (SD 24) with an IQR from 5 to 40; 95% of RIRP played 77 matches or less.

**History of TBI**

No participant reported a TBI with LOC for more than 30 min suggesting that all concussion events could have been ‘mild’ (table 2).

Almost all RIRP (92%) reported experiencing at least one concussion while playing rugby. The RIRP group experienced symptoms for more than an hour following a concussion on 2.7 occasions on average. In the RIRP the longest LOC reported for a single event ranged between 3 s and 17.5 min (median 1 min; upper and lower quartiles 19 s and 4.7 min). The history of TBI for controls is given in table 2.

### Table 1 Demographic and rugby history

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIRP</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</td>
<td>53.5 (13.0) [26, 79]</td>
<td>55.1 (9.0) [36, 72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social deprivation (SIMD) quintile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (high)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7 (16%)</td>
<td>6 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 (18%)</td>
<td>8 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (low)</td>
<td>28 (62%)</td>
<td>14 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not resident in Scotland</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>16 (2.6) [10, 21]</td>
<td>17.3 (2.9) [12, 24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever played rugby (n %)</td>
<td>52 (100%)</td>
<td>19 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number years rugby playing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</td>
<td>22.4 (5.0) [8, 33]</td>
<td>8.4 (8.2) [1, 27*]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age when stopped playing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</td>
<td>33.2 (4.1) [24, 44]</td>
<td>19.9 (6.7) [9, 34*]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years since stopped playing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</td>
<td>20.3 (12.8) [1, 48]</td>
<td>34.3 (10.53) [0, 16*]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position played†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>21 (40%)</td>
<td>12 (63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
<td>31 (60%)</td>
<td>4 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (16%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All regression models were adjusted for age and SIMD except age which was adjusted for SIMD only.
†Statistical comparisons between groups are inappropriate as RIRP are expected to have more rugby playing experience than controls.
NA, not available; RIRP, retired international rugby players; SIMD, Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2012.

### Table 2 Concussions from rugby or other causes*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIRP</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever had a concussion†</td>
<td>48 (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby related</td>
<td>48 (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-rugby related</td>
<td>15 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated number of concussion‡</td>
<td>13.9 (18.9) [0, 100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated number of symptoms lasting more than an hour</td>
<td>2.7 (5.3) [0, 25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated cumulative loss of consciousness (mins) ‡</td>
<td>4.7 (10.4) [0, 60]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical comparisons between groups are inappropriate as controls were excluded if having more than one concussion.
†n (%).
‡Mean (SD) [minimum, maximum].
RIRP, retired international rugby players.

Asymptomatic concussion is an expected consequence of rugby and associated with frequency of concussion are not meaningful because of exclusion criteria for potential controls which included having more than one concussion.

### Health characteristics

Current and chronic health diagnoses were categorised as cardiovascular, respiratory, neoplastic, rheumatoid, orthopaedic, neurological, gastric, mental health, sensory, pain, alcohol use, allergic or dermatological. The frequencies in each category did not differ significantly between groups except for a higher frequency of chronic cardiovascular disorder in controls (21%) than in RIRP (2%; p=0.027) and a non-significant trend towards a higher frequency of chronic orthopaedic problems in RIRP (14%) than in controls (3%; p=0.095). History of smoking did not differ significantly between groups (table 3).

RIRP self-reported a less positive rating of health over the past year than controls on question 2 of the SF-36; note that the average health ratings over the past year for both groups translate to health as ‘somewhat better’ or ‘about the same’.

One RIRP had a current diagnosis of a deteriorating neurological condition (Parkinson’s disease). Current diagnoses of mental health problems were reported in four RIRP (depression (n=2); post traumatic stress disorder; sleep problems) and one control (depression). Current medication reflected this picture with psychotropic medication prescribed to antidepressants prescribed to two RIRP and one control. An opioid analgesic was prescribed to one RIRP.

### Mental health assessment and cognitive function

In terms of clinical ‘caseness’ on the HADS, the average scores were in the ‘normal’ range and no individual in either group scored in the ‘severe’ range for depression or anxiety (table 4). Note that comparison between groups on the RPQ is not valid.
The RPQ specifically asks about symptoms in relation to concussion and would not describe a baseline prevalence of these non-specific symptoms in controls, most of whom had no history of concussion and automatically score zero.

Differences between groups on the HADS and on cognitive tests were non-significant, except for poorer performance in the RIRP group on a test of verbal learning (RA VL T-immediate recall) and on a test of fine motor coordination in the dominant hand (Grooved Pegboard Test). On the MOCA (a screening test for cognitive decline) there was no overall group difference.

### Table 3 Health characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RIRP</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current diagnosis (any)†</td>
<td>26 (50%)</td>
<td>14 (48%)</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic diagnosis (any)†</td>
<td>26 (50%)</td>
<td>10 (35%)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health new (SF-36 question 1)†</td>
<td>Excellent 18 (34%) 10 (35%) 0.848</td>
<td>Good 5 (10%) 3 (10%)</td>
<td>Fair 2 (4%) 0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health compared with a year ago (SF-36 question 2)†</td>
<td>Much better 4 (8%) 1 (3%) 0.024</td>
<td>Somewhat better 8 (15%) 12 (42%)</td>
<td>About the same 33 (63%) 16 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use †</td>
<td>7.0 (4.2) [0, 25] 6.0 (4.1) [0, 16] 0.235</td>
<td>Smoking†</td>
<td>Current 2 (4%) 2 (7%) 0.488§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Regression models adjusted for age and SIMD.
† Mean (SD) (minimum, maximum).
§ Unadjusted Fisher’s exact test p value; logistic regression model was not appropriate due to small numbers.
RIRP, retired international rugby players; SF-36, Short Form Health Survey; SIMD, Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2012.

### Table 4 Self-report of mental health and tests of cognition (total score unless otherwise indicated)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RIRP mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</th>
<th>Controls mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</th>
<th>p Value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS depression</td>
<td>2.8 (2.1) [0, 9]</td>
<td>2.6 (2.8) [0, 10]</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS anxiety</td>
<td>4.8 (3.0) [0, 12]</td>
<td>5.2 (3.6) [0, 12]</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOCA</td>
<td>27.4 (2.3) [21, 30]</td>
<td>28.0 (1.5) [25, 30]</td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT immediate recall</td>
<td>50.2 (11.1) [26, 71]</td>
<td>56.1 (8.4) [42, 72]</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT delayed recall</td>
<td>10.5 (3.6) [2, 15]</td>
<td>11.6 (2.3) [8, 15]</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SART (commission errors)</td>
<td>10.3 (5.0) [8, 13]</td>
<td>10.0 (6.0) [6, 12]</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SART (reaction time)</td>
<td>336 (68) [186, 563]</td>
<td>313 (65) [258, 570]</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol Digit Test</td>
<td>50.9 (11.2) [25, 76]</td>
<td>53.0 (7.5) [31, 70]</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making Test B (s)</td>
<td>56.1 (18.5) [4, 23]</td>
<td>51.9 (17.6) [26, 91]</td>
<td>0.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgement of Line Orientation</td>
<td>28.2 (1.9) [23, 30]</td>
<td>28.1 (2.3) [21, 30]</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooved Pegboard Test (s)</td>
<td>Dominant hand 74.9 (12.3) [54.7, 105.0]</td>
<td>68.7 (14.0) [49.4, 108.0]</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-dominant hand 85.4 (15.3) [47.9, 118.0]</td>
<td>80.1 (20.0) [55.9, 149.1]</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Linear regression model adjusted for age, SIMD and years of education.
HADS, Hospital Depression and Anxiety Scale; MOCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; RAVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; RIRP, retired international rugby players; SART, Sustained Attention to Response Task; SIMD, Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2012.

However, this test is often used clinically with a cut-off to indicate impairment. One RIRP scored below a conservative cut-off score of <22. If using the more commonly used cut-off of <26, which has a lower specificity\(^\text{15}\) nine RIRP (17%) and one control (3%) fell below the cut-off (p=0.087; unadjusted Fisher’s Exact test).

---

**Neuropsychiatry**

**Number of concussions and outcomes in retired international players**

RIRP subgroups were created on the basis of no repeat concussions (0–1), moderate (2–9) and high number of repeats (10 or more; table 5).\(^\text{7}\) No RIRP subgroup differences were found for mental health (HADS) or general health (SF-36). Persisting concussion symptom scores (RPQ) differed between the three subgroups (p=0.028; unadjusted Kruskal-Wallis test), with higher scores in ‘high’ repeat than in no repeat concussion subgroups. Overall, the average score on the RPQ was 4.6 (SD 8.4; range 0–28).

There were no significant differences between the three RIRP subgroups on cognitive tests and no significant correlations with overall frequency of concussion, including for RAVLT-immediate recall (r=−0.16; p=0.268), Grooved Pegboard (dominant hand; r=−0.10; p=0.482) and the MOCA (r=−0.08; p=0.554).

There was no significant difference on the GOSE between the three concussion subgroups. There was a significant correlation between GOSE and concussion exposure overall (r=−0.32, p=0.020) with lower GOSE ratings (less perfect recovery) associated with higher repeat concussion. Specifically, 11/52 RIRP reported symptoms linked to concussion that had some impact on daily life but were not disabling (Lower Good Recovery GOSE=7) and 2 (1 moderate and 1 high frequency of concussion) reported Upper Moderate Disability (GOSE=6). The remaining 39 were in the Upper Good Recovery category (no persisting effect; GOSE=8).

**Influence of number of international matches played on outcomes in RIRP**

Comparisons were made within the RIRP group using a median split (17 or more matches and <17 matches). General health compared with a year before was self-reported to be better in...
those who had played more than 17 matches (p=0.008; 95% CI 0.12 to 0.78). No significant differences in mental health or cognitive function were found.

**Allostatic load**

There were no significant differences between RIRP and control groups for total AL (p=0.635) or its components (cardiovascular/respiratory p=0.498; neuroendocrine p=0.856; metabolic p=0.624; immune p=0.682). An exception was the anthropometric component (p=0.043; 95% CI −0.94 to −0.02); this reflects higher body mass index (p=0.006) and hip circumference (p=0.004) in the RIRP group. Within the RIRP group, no significant associations were found between no, moderate or high repeat concussions and total AL score (p=0.315) or the components of AL (see online supplementary file 2 for further details).

**DISCUSSION**

A high number of repeat concussions/mTBI was associated with participation in rugby union in this cohort of retired international players, given the overall median of 7 self-reported concussions and that 34% self-reported at least 10 concussions. On the basis of self-report of duration of LOC and of symptom persistence, all TBIs seem to have been mild, and this context is important when considering the similarity between RIRP and controls in terms of mental health and cognitive outcome. The average scores in these domains for both groups were generally normal, and where differences between groups were found, poorer scores for cognition or concussion symptom reporting in the RIRP group were in the normal range for these tests; furthermore, no significant relationship was found between the frequency of concussion, and mental health ratings (depression or anxiety) or cognitive function in the RIRP group. This suggests a detectable but ‘non-clinical’ effect of concussion in RIRP.

Several other findings are consistent with this interpretation. The average concussion symptom score on the RPQ in the RIRP group was low in relation to base rates for these symptoms; it was about half that found in healthy controls when not associated with concussion and considerably lower than the average in non-sportsmen reporting persisting post-concussion symptoms. On the GOSE, an association was found between having more repeat concussions and reporting that persisting symptoms had some impact on daily life. The impact caused occasional strain, but did not restrict or limit social or employment functions, and as such was not disabling. Similarly there were no clear differences in general health between the groups, and in fact cardiovascular disease was more common in controls. Nor was there evidence for an association between exposure to concussion and indicators of accumulated life stress (AL). Systematic studies on AL in people with TBI have not been published, although there is evidence to suggest that this model is associated with chronic health symptoms and disease trajectories in other groups.

Although there was no statistically significant difference between RIRP and control groups on the MOCA, the difference in the number of participants falling below the cut-off in the RIRP (17%; n=9) versus control (3%; n=1) groups is worthy of comment, as scores below the cut-off indicate that clinical assessment can be warranted. It is of note that 7/9 RIRP with MOCA scores below the cut-off were at maximum on the GOSE (Upper Good Recovery) and the remaining two with Lower Good Recovery. Further, as there was no association between MOCA scores and the number of concussions reported in this RIRP subgroup, these findings also support a view of detectable differences that do not generalise to symptoms about disability in daily life.

In a meta-analysis of the neuropsychological effects of exposure to concussion/mTBI, poorer delayed memory recall and executive function was reported in those exposed to repetitive injury versus those reporting a single injury. However, the effect size was small and the clinical significance unclear. The number of mTBI in the repeat group in these studies was also unclear, but where reported averaged three. The present study is broadly in line with this, despite the median number of reported concussions being higher, and with detectable but non-clinical effects evident.

The picture for retired players is similar in recent studies and reviews where in general, it is thought that there is an insufficient number of longitudinal studies with a high-quality design. A recently reported study on retired national/international rugby players in France found depression and mild cognitive impairment to be more common than in controls; with depression associated with a higher frequency of self-reported concussion. Of interest the mean number of concussions (3) was considerably lower than in the present study (14). In a study on retired NFL players an association between risk of depression and number of concussions was also reported although evidence for this was not found in the present study.

The current study benefits from inclusion of a control group that was similar in age and social deprivation to RIRP and also from a range of outcome data comprising cognitive, mental and general health, disability and assessment of cumulative life stress. Nevertheless, our study is limited by the modest response from the potential pool of ~350 retired players. No data are

---

**Table 5  Mental health, persisting concussion symptoms and disability outcomes in ‘no’, ‘moderate’ (2–9) and ‘high’ (>9) repeat concussion subgroups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repeat concussion</th>
<th>No (n=7)</th>
<th>Moderate (n=27)</th>
<th>High (n=18)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HADS depression†</td>
<td>3.1 (3.3) [0, 9]</td>
<td>2.6 (1.9) [0, 7]</td>
<td>3.1 (1.7) [0, 6]</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS anxiety†</td>
<td>3.7 (2.9) [1, 9]</td>
<td>4.7 (2.6) [0, 10]</td>
<td>5.4 (3.5) [1, 12]</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPQ‡</td>
<td>0.3 (0.8) [0, 2]</td>
<td>2.3 (5.5) [0, 19]</td>
<td>9.6 (11.1) [0, 28]</td>
<td>0.028†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOSE§&lt;8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (22%)</td>
<td>7 (39%)</td>
<td>0.142¶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unadjusted linear regression model p value. †Mean (SD) [range]. ‡Unadjusted Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test p value; linear model inappropriate due to non-normal distribution. §n (%); note a score <8 indicates persisting effect of brain injury on daily life, but may not be disabling (see text). ¶Unadjusted Fisher’s exact test p value; logistic regression model not appropriate due to small numbers. GOSE, Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; RPQ, Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire.
available on the non-respondents and whether the sample presented here is representative of the population is not known. The higher frequency of self-reported cardiovascular disease in controls could raise a question over whether there was associated cognitive impairment which might reduce the likelihood of finding group differences on cognitive tests; however, the numbers here are small, and this finding should be seen in its context of the control group all reporting their general health to range between good and excellent (table 3), making such an effect unlikely. Future studies may however consider including a comparison group of athletes to control for health factors that may be associated with competitive team sport, such as high levels of physical fitness, late impact of orthopaedic injury or drug use (eg, opioid analgesics, anabolic steroids) during their playing careers. Undoubtedly large-scale prospective studies on representative cohorts of athletes with matching to appropriate control populations are required to further consider any association between exposure to repetitive concussion/mTBI and longer term outcomes.

In common with many such retrospective studies, there is an absence of objective information about TBI in terms of actual number and severity; recent evidence suggests that the concordance between exposure to repetitive concussion/mTBI and control populations are required to further consider any association between exposure to repetitive concussion/mTBI and longer term outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS
The number of self-reported concussions in RIRP was high relative to many other studies, and although signs of long-term effects were detectable they were overall, mild. General health and mental health of the retired international players was not poorer than controls, and on cognitive tests, the retired international players performed in the ‘normal range’, and where differences were found, they were not associated with a higher number of repeat concussions. More repeat concussions were associated with self-report of persisting concussion symptoms, and a poorer outcome on the GOSE, and again although detectable, these effects were mild and did not reflect disability in terms of social or work function. However, given the limitations of a retrospective study with self-reported recall of concussion events and a modest sample size, further work is required using a number of the methodological features of this study, but in a larger cohort of retired athletes.
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