Evaluation of the role of neurosurgical procedures in the pathogenesis of secondary brain-stem haemorrhages
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During the experimental investigation of the pathogenesis of mesencephalic and pontine haemorrhages associated with supratentorial expanding lesions, it became apparent that removal of the intracranial mass during a particular period was often critical to their development and accentuated their severity (Klintworth, 1965). These observations raise the question whether alleviation of intracranial pressure under comparable circumstances predisposes to secondary brain-stem haemorrhages in man. This possibility is strengthened by certain clinico-pathological studies which have revealed a high incidence of lumbar puncture, pneumoencephalography, ventriculography, or neurosurgical procedure in individuals manifesting secondary brain-stem haemorrhages (van Gehuchten, 1937; Le Beau, 1943; Carrillo, 1950; Cannon, 1951; Poppen, Kendrick, and Hicks, 1962; Fields and Halpert, 1953; Cabieses, 1956).

The present study attempts to elucidate the role of neurosurgical procedures in the pathogenesis of these bulbar vascular lesions in man.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective and retrospective clinico-pathological investigation was performed on over 1,200 patients with supratentorial expanding lesions. The incidence and temporal relationship of neurosurgical procedures to secondary brain-stem haemorrhages was analysed. The data reviewed were obtained from the South African Institute of Medical Research, Johannesburg, South Africa, and the Duke University Medical Center and Durham Veterans Administration Hospital, Durham, North Carolina, U.S.A.

Discrete supratentorial lesions having a maximum diameter of less than 2 cm. or a volume of less than 20 ml. were excluded from the review. Because of the difficulty in evaluating minor degrees of cerebral oedema objectively, this diagnosis was accepted only when the weight and gross appearance of the brain and the history were compatible with the diagnosis. When oedema was associated with another supratentorial condition, e.g., cerebral infarction, cerebral abscess, metastatic neoplasm, the lesion was classified according to the primary affection. Recent traumatic supratentorial expanding masses were excluded from the analysis, as haemorrhages in the brain-stem of such cases may have resulted from the initial trauma.

Surgically treated patients manifesting secondary brain-stem haemorrhages were classified according to the clinical manifestations at the time of surgery as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Manifestations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Absence of clinically manifest intracranial disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Focal neurological symptoms and/or signs, such as epilepsy, or hemiparesis, but no overt evidence of increased intracranial pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Mild to moderate increased intracranial pressure with or without focal manifestations. This group includes patients with (1) lumbar spinal fluid pressures of 150-400 mm., (2) mild papilloedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Severe increased intracranial pressure with or without focal manifestations. This group includes patients with (1) lumbar spinal fluid pressures of more than 400 mm., (2) severe papilloedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Moribund with an obvious intracranial expanding lesion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Secondary brain-stem haemorrhages were associated with a wide variety of supratentorial lesions which were either large focal masses or extensive diffuse lesions (Table I). Although over half of the subjects with secondary brain-stem haemorrhages did not experience any form of surgery, an impressive number did. A few of the latter survived post-operative periods of more than three weeks and surgery clearly had no bearing on the secondary brain-stem haemorrhages. Recent spontaneous haemorrhages were present within the tumour masses of all six patients with gliomas who manifested secondary brain-stem haemorrhages after postoperative survival periods of three months to four years. Two patients with surgically treated ruptured intracranial aneurysms died 25 and 29 days after surgery. Both had recent massive supratentorial haemorrhage and infarction secondary to second bleeds.

Most post-operative patients with secondary brain-stem haemorrhages who died within a week of
surgery either underwent surgery for an intracranial lesion which was predominantly haemorrhagic or oedematous, or developed post-operative cerebral haemorrhage or oedema. In two cases the restoration of cerebral blood flow by carotid endarterectomy for carotid artery thrombosis was complicated by haemorrhage into the infarcted area.

Many patients with slowly expanding supratentorial lesions, such as cerebral gliomas or subdural haematomas and secondary brain-stem haemorrhages, did not manifest any overt increase in intracranial mass. An outstanding feature of such cases was the high incidence of craniectomy with relief of increased intracranial pressure by resection of tumour, evacuation of haematomas, lobectomy, subtemporal decompression, or ventricular drainage. These patients almost invariably underwent such procedures while comatose after manifesting a progressive increase in intracranial pressure and many were moribund before surgery. Characteristic of this group was the failure to regain consciousness following surgery and death ensuing, usually within the first 48 post-operative hours.

DISCUSSION

An objective evaluation of the role of neurosurgical procedures in the pathogenesis of secondary brain-stem haemorrhages is extremely difficult, as the sample of case material is derived from post-mortem examination and is biased towards therapeutic failures rather than successes. Although it is clear that secondary brain-stem haemorrhages can occur in the absence of surgery, the high incidence of post-operative cases, particularly with slowly expanding supratentorial lesions, warrants consideration.

In the present investigation most secondary brain-stem haemorrhages were associated with extensive supratentorial haemorrhage or oedema either alone or in association with a neoplasm, abscess, or infarct. However, in some post-operative patients with relatively slowly expanding masses haemorrhage and oedema were inconspicuous. Subjects with such supratentorial lesions invariably underwent relief of increased intracranial pressure, sometimes only with a terminal ventricular tap, after a progressive increase in intracranial pressure and generally when comatose and manifesting hypertension and unilateral or bilateral fixed dilated pupils. Although caution generally must be exercised in extrapolating from experimental observations to clinical situations, the discovery that relief of intracranial pressure during a particular period in physiological decompensation predisposes to experimentally produced secondary brain-stem haemorrhages (Klintworth, 1965) suggests that some of the present data may represent a comparable situation in man. Such an explanation may account at least in part for the high incidence of neurosurgical procedures in patients with secondary brain-stem haemorrhages and for the well-established clinical observation that bilateral fixed dilated pupils generally indicates an ominous course in supratentorial expanding masses even with adequate surgery.

The pathogenesis of secondary brain-stem haemorrhages has yet to be fully established under controlled conditions, but available clinico-pathological and experimental data strongly suggest that a combination of a large supratentorial mass, a damaged brain-stem, as by displacement, and an active circulation through the brain-stem are essential to their occurrence (Klintworth, 1965, 1966). It is clear that

| Supratentorial Lesion | Sample Size | Incidence of Secondary Brain-stem Haemorrhages | Cases with Secondary Brain-stem Haemorrhages | Neurosurgically Treated Cases with Secondary Brain-stem Haemorrhages | Post-operative Surv
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Without surgery</td>
<td>With Neurosurgery</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral glioma</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>42 (25·5%)</td>
<td>6 (14·3%)</td>
<td>36 (85·7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic tumour</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>11 (11·5%)</td>
<td>1 (9·1%)</td>
<td>10 (90·9%)</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromophobe adenoma</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3 (16·7%)</td>
<td>1 (33·3%)</td>
<td>2 (66·7%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranioopharyngioma</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2 (14·3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (100·0%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracerebral haemorrhage</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>53 (31·5%)</td>
<td>45 (84·9%)</td>
<td>8 (15·1%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral infarction (recent)</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>31 (11·6%)</td>
<td>21 (67·7%)</td>
<td>10 (32·3%)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral abscess</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>6 (7·1%)</td>
<td>1 (16·7%)</td>
<td>5 (83·3%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdural haemorrhage</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>22 (21·8%)</td>
<td>14 (63·6%)</td>
<td>8 (36·4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous haemorrhage and infarct*</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>35 (10·7%)</td>
<td>25 (71·4%)</td>
<td>10 (28·6%)</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cases</td>
<td>1,242</td>
<td>205 (16·5%)</td>
<td>114 (55·8%)</td>
<td>91 (44·2%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This group includes supratentorial haemorrhages which were situated at multiple sites as well as those associated with recent cerebral infarction.

This patient underwent a frontal leucotomy for pain due to widespread malignancy. Surgery was complicated by haemorrhage into an unsuspected metastatic tumour in the frontal lobe.
cerebral blood flow decreases, that the cerebral circulation time increases, and that it may be impossible to demonstrate intracranial blood vessels by angiography in subjects with severe increased intracranial pressure (Kety, Shenkin, and Schmidt, 1948; Riishede and Ethelberg, 1953; Tönnis and Schiefer, 1954, 1959; Gänshirt and Tönnis, 1956; Greitz, 1956; Horwitz and Dunsmore, 1956; Woringen, Langs, Braun, and Baumgartner, 1956; Gänshirt, 1957; Gros, Vlahovitch, and Roilen, 1959; Löfstedt and Von Reis, 1959; Pribram, 1961; Lecuire, de Rougemont, Descotes, and Jouvet, 1962; Troupp and Heiskanen, 1963; and Heiskanen, 1963). Supratentorial decompression presumably restores cerebral blood flow and initiates haemorrhages into the brain-stem only in those cases in which the vasculature of the brain-stem has been damaged as a result of mechanical shearing and/or anoxia.

Although Cushing (1902) did not make specific reference to secondary haemorrhages in the brain-stem, it is of interest that he appreciated the danger of relieving increased intracranial pressure under circumstances similar to those outlined above. He stated: 'It must be remembered, however, that the sudden removal of pressure from the brain when the blood-pressure has been forced to considerable heights may be followed by paralysis instead of a release from the major compression symptoms. The occasion of this is readily brought out by post-mortem examination, which, under such circumstances, oftentimes discloses a brain and medulla of a uniform cherry-red color, from the widespread extravasation of blood due to the multiple rupture of the minute blood vessels. The external supporting pressure of the high intracranial tension has been suddenly removed, leaving the internal or intra-vascular pressure too great for the strength of the vessel walls.'

SUMMARY

A prospective and retrospective clinico-pathological investigation was performed on over 1,200 patients with supratentorial expanding lesions. Most patients manifesting secondary brain-stem haemorrhages had extensive supratentorial haemorrhage or oedema either alone or in association with a neoplasm, abscess, or infarct. Although most secondary brain-stem haemorrhages occurred in the absence of surgery, a large number of cases were post-operative. Secondary brain-stem haemorrhages were almost invariably associated with supratentorial expanding lesions in which there was either a relatively rapid increase in supratentorial mass due to haemorrhage or oedema, or increased intracranial pressure was partially or completely relieved while the patient was comatose with unilateral or bilateral fixed dilated pupils and manifesting hypertension. When viewed in the light of previous experimental data the latter cases suggest that alleviation of intracranial pressure under certain circumstances may predispose to secondary brain-stem haemorrhage in man.
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