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Since the second world war the establishment of a therapeutic community within any hospital dealing with psychiatric patients has been regarded as a fundamental objective (W.H.O., 1953). Most hospitals that have attempted such a programme have probably regarded the ward group meeting as an important part of the regime. However, as several authors have pointed out, there have been few attempts to evaluate the efficiency of group psychotherapy in any exact or controlled way (Burchard, Michaels, and Kotkov, 1948; Linden, 1953; Wolff, 1957; Taylor, 1958).

This paper records an attempt to evaluate the effects of a weekly ward meeting on a geriatric unit by using some simple but reliable measures and discusses some of the technical problems relating to the geriatric group situation.

METHODS

The geriatric unit used for this study is a self-contained block in the Bethlem Royal Hospital, which has an eclectic therapeutic approach and in which all the patients are informal.

In October 1964 there was a break in the customary group meetings and advantage was taken of this in designing the present study. During the year patients received intermittent group psychotherapy by dividing the 12 months into four separate periods: I—13 weeks of the usual unit activities, II—13 weeks of the usual activities plus group meetings, III—14 weeks as in I, and IV—13 weeks as in II.

Each session was held for one hour per week between 1 p.m. and 2 p.m. every Monday, beginning in February 1965. All the patients met each week in the main lounge and arranged themselves in a rough circle, together with the unit doctors available. Outside the main circle and acting only in the capacity of observers, were those nurses not otherwise engaged. The patients were expected to attend the group meeting even if they felt unable to contribute, but no strong pressures were exerted when somebody refused to do so. One of the doctors acted as primary leader of the group and presented the exercise as a forum at which problems could be discussed. The leaders gave most encouragement to discussion of interpersonal conflicts and feelings especially if these had occurred in the ward situation. On the whole, the nurses acted as observers but they were not instructed to be absolutely silent and would occasionally be brought into the discussion by patients, or feel impelled to contribute. No attempt at complex interpretation was made and a non-directive permissive atmosphere was created to encourage free interchange of ideas between the patients themselves.

The patients During the year there was a total of 96 admissions, 34 males and 62 females, in the age range of 55–81 years. The characteristics of the ward population with regard to diagnosis was sampled on the first day of every month throughout the year. It can be seen from the Table that the group contained between 12 and 19 patients; it remained constant throughout with a predominance of depressive illnesses (58%) and personality disorders (27%).

Collection of data The patients’ well-being during the period of the trial was measured in terms of physical treatment received, amount of leave taken, and behaviour.

### TABLE

**DIAGNOSIS OF WARD POPULATION BY MONTH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Monthly average</th>
<th>Monthly average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>7-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9-5</td>
<td>57-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0-7</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-3</td>
<td>26-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0-7</td>
<td>4-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16-5</td>
<td>100-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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recorded in the nurses' notes. To preserve objectivity the latter were unaware of the purpose of the trial or the intention to use their routine records.

(a) ECT  The number of treatments given weekly was recorded.

(b) Drugs  To quantify the amount of chemotherapy, drugs were converted into units of standard dose, amounts prescribed being recorded from the patient's prescription sheets. The units were as follows: barbiturates 100 mg; glutethimide 250 mg; chloral hydrate 1-2 g; phenelzine 15 mg; tricyclic derivatives 25 mg; phenothiazines from 25 mg to 2-5 mg depending on type; diazepam 2 mg.

(c) Leave  The number of days taken as leave was expressed as a percentage of the total days spent in the unit.

(d) Nurses' opinions  At the end of both the day and night shifts, the nurses in charge routinely draw up a report of the ward activities which is furnished to Matron's office. Apart from the factual information, this contains a short account of the preceding 12 hours with reference to all the patients who are sick, those who need special care, and whose condition warrants special mention.

By inspection of the records it was possible to obtain a measure of the nurses' opinions about patients' behaviour in two aspects (1) behaviour disturbances (2) withdrawal. As a means of measuring these opinions, two lists of words and phrases were drawn up under these two headings from the report material to be rated (see Appendix). Using the word lists a day-by-day count was made of the appropriate items, one point being scored for every phrase and word encountered which was on the list. From the totals obtained under each heading it was possible to calculate the weekly number of points for both disturbance and withdrawal.

To check the reliability of this method of rating, an independent rater was asked to draw up an additional set of figures for both scales on the daytime reports of November 1964 and February 1965 using the same word lists. These months were chosen because they represent both a non-group and a group period. Spearman rank correlation coefficients between the original and the independent sets of data were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Behaviour</th>
<th>Withdrawal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 1964</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1965</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None of these positive correlations could be attributed to chance \((P<0.001)\). In addition, when each list of ratings was compared with its partner by a Witney Mann U test, there were no significant differences between them. This indicates that the rating method exhibited internal reliability on both scales and that the author's knowledge of the nature and purpose of the experiment during his ratings did not bias the results to a significant extent.

### RESULTS

**(A) ECT**  No significant changes were found.

**(B) Night sedatives**  There was a significant fall in the amount of night sedatives used when the first group meetings were introduced. However, this result could not be reproduced in the second half of the experiment and is not considered further in this paper.

**(C) Drugs**

1. **Antidepressants**  A consistent and significant difference was found between the four periods. The periods of group psychotherapy (II and IV) coincided with a raised consumption of oral antidepressants as compared with the non-group periods (I and III). This can be seen in Fig. 1.1

2. **Major tranquillizers**  No consistent changes were found.

3. **Minor tranquillizers**  Again, no consistent changes were found.

**(D) Leave**  Figure 2 indicates that the percentage of leave granted to patients went up during the periods when a ward group was held. This is significant only at the 10% level, but inspection of the histogram shows that there was a very interesting and consistent trend for leave to be granted progressively more freely during the experimental periods and correspondingly less freely during the control periods. Only one month (August) is anomalous to this trend.

**(E) Nurses' opinions**

1. **Behaviour disturbance**  Using the methods previously described the number of word units indicating behaviour disturbance per patient week was calculated for each week of the study. Male and female figures were considered separately for both day and night reports, but as the complex breakdown added no further information only the total figures are quoted. It is apparent from Fig. 31 that the scores of disturbed behaviour are diminished very significantly during the periods of group meetings (II and IV).

2. **Withdrawal**  Ratings on this scale showed no significant changes.

### DISCUSSION

**RESULTS**  In 1957 Benaim reported his impressions of group psychotherapy on the same geriatric unit, and concluded that the group therapy, although resembling other group activities in encouraging

---

The numerical tables on which the histograms in Figs. 1, 2, and 3 are based were omitted for reasons of space, but can be seen on application to the author.
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experiment go some way towards confirming these impressions, for, in spite of the relative simplicity of the measurements undertaken, three striking and significant changes occurred during the periods of group psychotherapy. There was an increase in antidepressant medication and a decrease in words describing behaviour disturbance appearing in the nurses’ notes. In addition, the staff became progressively more liberal in granting leave to patients.

Three possible explanations seem to fit the findings. The first is that the patients remained entirely unchanged by the addition of the therapeutic ward meetings but the staff were influenced by the meetings so that their attitudes towards reporting behaviour disturbance, granting weekend leave, and prescribing antidepressants changed significantly. This seems an unlikely explanation as it ignores the mutual interdependence of all the members of a therapeutic unit, and also the efficacy of antidepressants.

Another hypothesis to be considered is that the group meetings actually made the patients more depressed, and made them act out to a lesser extent—in other words, that there was a symptom shift from behaviour disturbance to depression. Some psychotherapists would argue that this is to be expected and is perhaps a sign of therapeutic benefit. It is difficult to fit the changing pattern of socialization, goes further towards the relief of individual patients’ anxieties and in improving their adjustment to society. Benaim also thought that the psychiatrist conducting the group learnt more about his patients than he could discover in individual interviews with them.

It is interesting that the results obtained from this
leave into this viewpoint, however, as the staff
normally grant leave to the less sick patients. The
observation could be accommodated only if one
assumed that the staff usually measure the degree
of illness in a patient by behaviour disturbance
rather than depressed affect.

The most satisfactory explanation of the results
would seem to be that the group meetings improved
the staff's observation of the patients and that this
produced improvements in their treatment and
hence their mental state. It is possible that such an
improvement in observation resulted merely from
the increase of time spent by the doctors with their
patients. However, the normal practice of this
extremely well-staffed unit is to spend two or more
hours per week with each patient. A further pos-
sibility is that geriatric patients are able to relate
to doctors better in the group than in the individual
situation or, at least, they are able to express the
depressive aspects of their illness more easily. Both
Benaim (1957) and Wolff (1957) have commented
that for some purposes they prefer to have their
geriatric patients in the group situation. Another
way of expressing this concept is to assume that
geriatric patients find the individual interview very
difficult (Wolff, 1957), and that unless there are
group meetings with a doctor the depressed old
person has to call attention to his misery by acting
out. The observations on leave fit this viewpoint
well, since an improvement in doctor-patient
communication plus an increase in treatment
could logically be expected to lead to more home
leave being granted.

Whichever explanation of the findings is accepted,
the important fact remains that the introduction of
therapeutic ward meetings on this geriatric unit did
produce changes and these changes were measurable.
In view of the simplicity of the technique and the
fact that it can be applied completely unobtrusively,
it should be possible to compare other ward
situations and other techniques in the future.

GROUP TECHNIQUE Several authors have discussed
the methods of group psychotherapy best used with
geriatric patients. Schwartz and Goodman (1952)
treating obese elderly diabetics found a didactic
talk on a pertinent topic leading to a discussion to be
useful. Wolff (1957) comments that silence and
members' anxiety are particularly difficult to handle.
His meetings were kept as informal as possible with fruit juice and cigarettes being
provided and the therapist aiming to play the role
of passive listener. Silver (1950) also favoured a
tea-party atmosphere and his sessions included
music and singing. Linden (1953) varied his
technique but he laid emphasis on the essential
quality of light-hearted fun and laughter as
opposed to the aura of seriousness in younger
patients' groups. He also commented on the
ineffectiveness of free-floating discussions and used
didactic talks together with a direct question-and-
answer technique.

At the end of the experimental year the therapists
attending the ward meetings discussed and pooled
their views. Several points stand out as unanimous
opinions. Firstly, these groups were very different
from those conducted with younger adults and the
skills learned in the latter form of therapy were
inapplicable, possibly even a handicap. The
differences noted were that the older folk were
remarkably passive, anergic, and uncommunicative.
The relatively common physical disability of
defaults obstructed verbal interaction considerably.
Most of the patients were 'self-centred' and had
extreme difficulty in attending to another patient's
problems.

From these views and from those quoted from
the literature it is clear that the technique which
had arbitrarily developed on the ward and which
was used for this experiment was not an ideal one.
It seems likely that an informal approach would be
preferable and could possibly be expected to produce
more changes in the ward situation, which could
be validated by the methods outlined.

SUMMARY

A method is described of measuring the effects of a
therapeutic ward meeting programme on a geriatric
unit in terms of the physical treatment received and
the behaviour recorded in routine nursing reports.
Consistent and significant changes were found.
During the group phases the patients received more
antidepressant drugs, were reported as less disturbed
and were granted more home leave. At the same time,
the experiment high-lighted the deficiencies of the
group technique used.

Three possible explanations of the results are
briefly discussed: (1) the staff changed as a result
of the meetings, (2) a symptom shift occurred from
behaviour disturbance to depression, and (3) more
and better observation led to improved treatment.
It is suggested that similar experimental methods
can be used in the future to compare other ward
situations and other group techniques.

Dr. F. Post provided a backbone to the study by giving
access to his unit, encouragement, and helpful advice
at every stage. Dr. L. Hemsi undertook the onerous task
of main group leader and was assisted in this by
Dr. M. R. Eastwood, Dr. J. Leff, and Dr. C. Ague.
Dr. M. Lader provided helpful statistical advice. Charge
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Nurse F. Smith and Deputy Sister P. Walsh cheerfully assisted at every stage, and Dr. B. Blackwell made publication possible by detailed and constructive criticism of the manuscript. Sir Aubrey Lewis gave support and encouragement. To these and many others unmentioned, the author wishes to express his sincere gratitude.
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Appendix

The nurses’ notes were rated for their opinions of the patients under two broad headings: (1) behaviour disturbance and (2) withdrawal. One point was assigned to each word or phrase indicating disturbance or withdrawal if it appeared on the lists given below. These lists were devised by a previous inspection of the records to be measured and are obviously unique to this experiment.

(1) DISTURBANCE

accusing... paces up and down paranoid

(2) WITHDRAWAL

apathetic... refused to mix, O.T., to get up, etc

CORRECTION

In the paper by Richard L. Hewer and Norman Robinson, ‘Diabetes mellitus in Friedreich’s ataxia’ (J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiat., 1968, 31, No. 3) the sentence in the summary (page 230) which reads: ‘Twelve further cases showed abnormalities of glucose tolerance amounting to diabetes mellitus’ should read ‘Twelve further cases showed abnormalities of glucose tolerance not amounting to diabetes mellitus’.
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