Transmission block in terminal nerve twigs: a single fibre electromyographic finding in man
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SUMMARY Single fibre electromyography has been performed in patients with partial nerve lesions, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, progressive spinal muscle atrophy, muscular dystrophy, and distal hereditary myopathy. The recorded action potentials were often more complex than in the normal muscle due to increased fibre density in the motor unit and the individual spike components showed a large jitter and occasional blockings. Sometimes two or more spikes in a complex disappeared and reappeared simultaneously upon successive discharges. This phenomenon, called ‘paired blocking’, has been further investigated. The jitter of the blocking potentials in relation to the rest of the complex was large, up to 500 μsec. The degree of blocking increased with increasing innervation frequency until it eventually proceeded to total block during continuous activity. Sometimes a slight effect on blocking was seen after edrophonium. This type of block is probably localized in the terminal nerve twigs, perhaps in newly formed sprouts. The phenomenon of neurogenic blocking may contribute to the fatigue clinically experienced in different denervation-reinnervation cases.

With a multielectrode technique described by Ekstedt and Stålberg (1963), Ekstedt (1964), and Stålberg (1966), the electrical activity was recorded from voluntarily activated human single muscle fibres. Normally the muscle fibres, belonging to one motor unit, are separated from each other so that an electrode of the type used in this investigation, 25 μ in diameter, records activity from only one muscle fibre in a certain motor unit in about 70% of the insertions. The uptake radius of the electrode is about 200 μ. In about 30% of the insertions two or, exceptionally, more muscle fibres belonging to the same motor unit can be recorded. The action potentials from different fibres are in these cases always coupled to each other with a small variability in the interpotential interval from discharge to discharge—the jitter (Ekstedt, 1964; Stålberg, Ekstedt, and Broman, 1971). If activity from three fibres from one motor unit happens to be recorded in a myasthenic muscle, it can be seen that the blocking appears independently in the different fibres (Fig. 1a).

In different pathological conditions, especially in lower motor neurone disorders, there is an increased fibre density in the motor unit due to peripheral sprouting (Wohlfart, 1957; Kugelberg, Edström, and Abbruzzese, 1970) and therefore the activity from many muscle fibres belonging to one motor unit can be recorded from the same electrode. The number of simultaneously recorded fibres in the same motor unit is typically between three and 10. In such a complex the jitter between individual muscle fibre potentials is increased and blockings are seen.

During the study of these cases with single fibre electromyography it was sometimes seen that in the compound action potentials two or more blocking spike components were intimately coupled and none of these spikes blocked independently of the other(s). From now on this will be called ‘paired blocking’ (Fig. 1B). This investigation is aimed at studying the nature of this kind of blocking.
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FIG. 1. A. Recording from three muscle fibres belonging to the same motor unit in myasthenia gravis. The position of the sweep is continuously altered downwards. The second and third action potential are independently blocking at random. Jitter increased in both. B. Recording from four muscle fibres in muscular dystrophy. The second component (generated by two muscle fibres) and the third are frequently blocking but always simultaneously, ‘paired blocking’.

METHODS

The phenomenon of ‘paired blocking’ was especially looked for in the following subjects: three patients with partial ulnar nerve lesion (compression in sulcus ulnaris), five patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, three patients with progressive spinal muscular atrophy, two patients with progressive muscular dystrophy, and two patients with distal hereditary myopathy. Furthermore, three healthy men who had earlier served repeatedly as experimental subjects were studied in the m. extensor digitorum communis (III) at the place where hundreds of earlier needle insertions had been made. The picture had changed in this muscle from normal to a pattern indicating reinnervation. It seems likely that the traumata caused by the needle had caused a certain degree of peripheral nerve lesion accompanied by reinnervation.

Usually the m. extensor digitorum communis was studied except for the patients with ulnar nerve lesions where the m. abductor digitii quinti was investigated. Sometimes also m. tibialis anterior, m. quadriceps, and m. biceps were studied.

Recording was made with a multielectrode with two electrode surfaces (25 μ in diameter) in a hole in the side of the needle near the tip. A cutaneous pad electrode served as indifferent reference. The potentials were displayed on a dual beam oscilloscope (Tektronix 565) and on a storage oscilloscope (Tektronix 549) and fed into an analogue tape recorder (Akai X330). The analysis was always made on the storage oscilloscope, sometimes on running film. Sometimes the action potentials were recorded on an ink jet recorder (Mingograf type 30B). For measurements of the jitter, a time interval counter (Hewlett Packard 5245 L with time interval unit 5262 A) was used, feeding data in digital form to a magnetic tape recorder (Dymec 2546) which served as input medium for a computer (CDC 3600).

RESULTS

The phenomenon of ‘paired blocking’ was observed in all the above-mentioned cases, especially in the early phase of reinnervation or in the progressive state of a disease, but in relation to recordings with single fibre blockings is was relatively rare. When the degree of blocking was low, there was no doubt that the blocking potentials belonged to the same motor unit as the other potentials in the complex under study. In cases where there were frequent blockings, however, difficulties could arise in determining whether the potentials belonged to another motor unit and only incidentally appeared simultaneously with the action potentials under study. However, by using very slight contraction so that the compound action potential was the only activity to be recorded, it could be settled that the partially blocking potentials, when they appeared, did this together with the compound potentials and never in isolation (Fig. 2).
Often the blocking potentials were late, but sometimes they belonged to the middle or early part of the compound action potentials (cf. Fig. 6). The jitter between the blocking potentials was within the normal range or increased but was never smaller than normal. However, the blocking potentials jittered as a unit in relation to the other spikes in the complex with a jitter that regularly was greater than the internal jitter between the blocking potentials and could measure 500 μsec (expressed as MCD, Stålberg et al., 1971). There was no correlation between this jitter and mean interspike interval. Sometimes the intervals between the blocking and non-blocking part of the complex showed a systematic change for the first three to five consecutive discharges in periods without blockings, usually first a shortening then sometimes an increase again before blocking (Fig. 3). The amount of this change was not correlated with the mean interspike interval.

**EFFECT OF EDROPHONIUM**  The degree of blocking was studied in 10 experiments before and after intravenous injection of edrophonium (Tensilon), first 2 mg and after 45 seconds another 2 or 8 mg. In three experiments the jitter and degree of blocking were unchanged. In seven experiments there was a decreased blocking frequency and jitter, but the effect was in most cases less than what is usually seen in myasthenia gravis where the blockings can often be totally suppressed. In Fig. 4 is shown the recording where the most pronounced edrophonium effect was obtained.

**EFFECT OF DIFFERENT INNERVATION FREQUENCIES**  In four experiments the innervation frequency was kept constant at about 10 impulses/second for 10 minutes. In all these cases there was a tendency to increased degree of blocking during this time, in one case from 20% to total block after 1·5 minutes (Fig. 5A).
FIG. 4. Effect of edrophonium. The recording was made in m. extensor digitorum III in a patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Open circles indicate mean discharge rate during 10 seconds for blocking spikes and filled circles corresponding value for non-blocking spikes in the compound action potential. Upper part (crosses) shows degree of blocking in per cent. Injection of 2 mg edrophonium (each arrow) is followed by a reduction in the degree of blocking (somewhat more than usually seen). When the innervation frequency is reduced at the end of the experiment (after 400 seconds) from about 22 to about 15 impulses/sec the degree of blocking decreases towards zero.

In eight experiments the innervation frequency was kept constant for a few minutes at different frequency levels or slowly changed between 4 and 15 impulses/second. With a delay of about 20 seconds the blocking increased at higher frequency and decreased at lower (Fig. 5B). The change in degree of blocking was somewhat different for different motor units. The jitter increased slightly during continuous activity.

DISCUSSION

In the normal muscle there is a high safety factor in the neural and neuromuscular transmission where thousands of impulses can be transmitted during continuous activity without signs of decreased accuracy (Stålberg et al., 1971). In early periods of progressive disorders with sprouting, compound action potentials are recorded (in the ordinary EMG as ‘giant potentials’ or ‘reinnervation potentials’) with an increased jitter and blockings. In this study interest was focused on the phenomenon of ‘paired blocking’. The phenomenon is supposed to take place in the muscle and not be caused by such mechanisms as occasional synchronized activity between two anterior horn cells, as it is seen also in disorders with only peripheral engagements. Neither could it be explained as an occasionally appearing double discharge, as the blocking and non-blocking components were quite different in shape. Furthermore, the blocking part sometimes appears less than 1 msec from the rest of the potential—that is, within the refractory period of nerve and muscle fibre and therefore an ectopic focus in the terminal nerve tree can also be excluded. Are the blocking potentials generated by hyperexcitable muscle fibres, mechanically triggered by adjacent muscle fibres? This seems unlikely, because when the electrical activity from the non-blocking fibres is recorded, usually less than 5 msec have elapsed since the depolarization in the end-plate region. (The recording is usually made less than 20 mm from the end-plate.
zone and, with the propagation velocity of about 4 m/sec (Stålberg, 1966), the time lag will be less than 5 msec. At this moment the mechanical activity is just initiated and has its maximum after 40 to 80 msec. Furthermore, the extreme coupling in all blocking spikes makes mechanical factors also appear too coarse and inconsistent. Finally, at the pronounced mechanical sensitivity necessary for this explanation, the blocking fibres ought to be capable of being triggered also by percussion and other mechanical stimuli, something which was never experienced.

Thus the blocking seems to indicate a failure somewhere in the transmission of nerve impulses to the muscle fibre. Obviously it cannot be localized in the muscle fibre or the motor end-plate because two or more muscle fibres are consequently blocking together. In one exceptional situation a block in one nerve fibre, one motor end-plate, or one muscle fibre could give the finding described—namely, if the recording were made from the two branches of a split muscle fibre (Ekstedt and Stålberg, 1969). However, in this situation the jitter between the blocking potentials should be very small, less than 5 μsec, which was not the case in these recordings. Furthermore, action potentials from many muscle fibres sometimes blocked at the same time and a multiple branching of a muscle fibre is not known. Thus the most probable explanation seems to be a neurogenic transmission failure proximal to the last branching of the nerves to the blocking fibres (B in Fig. 6) but distal to the branching point where nerve
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FIG. 6. Schematic drawing of recording from four muscle fibres innervated by the same nerve axon—two of them (three and four) by a sprout branching off in A. To the right an original recording from the electrode E of mainly four potentials, two of them blocking together (three and four). They behave as a unit which also has a large jitter in relation to the rest of the action potential complex.

branches to other muscle fibres recorded in the complex are involved (A in Fig. 6). It seems reasonable to assume that the block occurs in some branching point along this stretch. The fact that the phenomenon is seen mainly in re-innervation cases may indicate that it is associated with the newly formed nerve sprouts. These are initially not myelinated (van Harreveld, 1952; Wohlfart, 1958) and therefore transmit the nerve impulses less well than the normal twig (Gilliatt, 1966). An indication that the blocking appears in generally poorly conducting fibres is given by the fact that the blocking potentials often appear late in the compound action potential—that is, delayed in relation to the other part of the complex. The great jitter measured between the blocking potentials and the remaining part of the compound potentials also indicates an uncertainty in the nerve transmission with a variability in the nerve conduction time from discharge to discharge, a neurogenic jitter. The systematic change in the first three to five consecutive interpotential intervals directly after a period of block probably depends on continuous change in impulse propagation velocity in the nerve twig. The phenomenon is similar in nature to that described by Stålberg (1966) in muscle fibres.

The slight but clear effect of edrophonium is somewhat difficult to explain. Edrophonium is considered to be a pure cholinesterase inhibitor and should therefore have no influence upon the impulse conduction along the nerve twig. However, Okamoto and Riker (1969) have shown that edrophonium has an effect on the normal un-myelinated nerve terminals, producing especially repetitive firing and twitch potentiation. They considered this to be a direct drug action on the nerve terminal membrane and not indirectly via cholinesterase inhibition.

The finding of fatiguability and sensitivity to high frequency stimulation, similar to the findings in myasthenia gravis, remains also to be explained. Accommodation, some kind of electrolytic exhaustion, or prolonged repolarization in the pathologically changed nerve may be some factors of importance, perhaps by making the nerve impulse too weak to initiate a further
propagation after a branching point or along an abnormal nerve branch. The systematic change of interspike intervals at consecutive discharges may indicate some cumulative process. Some parallels may also be drawn with the finding of Bessou, Burgess, Perl, and Taylor (1971) who described a decreasing response in C-mechanoreceptors in cats during repeated mechanical stimulation in the receptive field. The same type of findings with exhaustion at iterative mechanical and electrical stimulation have now also been made in human C-mechanoreceptors (Hallin and Torebjörk, 1970; Torebjörk and Hallin, 1970), where the degree of blocking is positively correlated to stimulation frequency. Obviously these thin and unmyelinated nerve structures behave in a way similar to some nerve twigs at reinnervation.

Are the neurogenic blockings localized only in the nerve tree where it is still branching or may they also happen in more terminal parts? These parts are the last to be myelinated at reinnervation and are also thinner and they ought therefore to offer the lowest safety factor for transmission. In the present recordings, there was often block in only one spike component, reacting to high frequency and edrophonium like the recordings with ‘paired blockings’. Here the block naturally could be situated in a proximal part of the nerve twig, providing the recording were made from only one of the muscle fibres innervated by a badly conducting nerve fibre. It may, however, also be explained by a block in the very terminal nerve twigs. Possibly the greatest uncertainty during reinnervation is in the most peripheral parts, sometimes extending proximally over the last branching points of the nerve twig and so giving the neurophysiological possibility of confirming a neurogenic transmission failure in the form of ‘paired blocking’. Thus, part of the jitter and blockings seen in single spikes in the compound action potentials in muscles undergoing reinnervation may be of neurogenic origin. The ‘coupling discharge’ in neurogenic muscular atrophy reported by Takahashi (1966)—that is, a small mono- or biphasic intermittently blocking spike in a compound action potential—could thus indicate a neurogenic block.

Might the described sensitivity to high innervation frequencies with increasing blockings be associated with the increased fatigue during activity clinically experienced in neuromuscular disorders, or is the degree of blocking usually of minor importance quantitatively? It is planned to investigate this.

Neurogenic blocking may also sometimes be responsible for a decreasing muscle response at nerve stimulation, wrongly interpreted as ‘myasthenic reaction’.

It cannot at present be stated with certainty from a recording with single fibre blocking whether the block is neurogenic or situated in the neuromuscular junction or in the muscle fibre. One indication favouring neurogenic involvement might be the finding of a tendency to a systematic change in the interpotential intervals instead of a quite random one as seen after curare or in myasthenia gravis. Furthermore, tests with, for example, edrophonium can be made when trying to sort out the neuromuscular block, but a positive effect can not fully exclude a neurogenic cause for the block. It is, therefore, now of interest to discover other tests to increase the chances of differentiating between neurogenic, neuromuscular, and myogenic block.
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