Stereotactic hypothalamotomy for behaviour disorders

J. R. SCHVARCZ, R. DRIOLLET, E. RIOS, AND O. BETTI

From the Institute of Neurosurgery of the University of Buenos Aires, Argentina

SUMMARY Posterior hypothalamotomy is a relatively simple stereotactic procedure. The radiological determination of the target and its physiological corroboration by electrical stimulation are accurate. The lesions have always been made in the site of maximum sympathetic response. In this respect, the cardiovascular changes (hypertension and tachycardia), which are always elicited from a more restricted area, are of particular importance. Depth recordings, however, have been less useful. Undesirable side-effects, if present, were mild and transitory. There was no postoperative intelligence deficit, at least with the standard tests.

Soon after the suggestion that particular areas of the brain were involved in emotional behaviour, Bard (1928) showed that removal of all nervous tissue rostral, dorsal, and lateral to the hypothalamus did not abolish sham rage phenomena in decorticate preparations. However, sections caudal to the hypothalamus eliminated this response (Bard and Ricioh, 1937; Bard, 1939).

Hess, in 1927, using chronically implanted electrodes in cats, elicited a wide variety of 'affective-defensive' reactions from hypothalamic stimulation, which were of somatic, autonomic, and behavioural type. These purposeful and well coordinated responses could be obtained from a relatively extensive area, although with lower threshold from the perifornical region (Hess, 1954, 1969).

Later papers suggest that a wide variety of behavioural patterns depend upon relatively specific hypothalamic structures (Ranson and Magoun, 1939; Masserman, 1941; Ingram, 1952, 1958; Fernandez de Molina and Hunsperger, 1962; Egger and Flynn, 1962, 1967).

Because other psychosurgical procedures for aggressive behaviour produced uncertain results and a considerable number of undesirable side-effects, we were induced to make posterior hypothalamic lesions (Schvarcz, Driollet Laspiur, and Betti, 1968; Schvarcz, 1970), based largely on the work of Sano (1966) and Sano, Yoshioka, Ogashina, Ishijima, and Ohye (1966), Sano, Yoshioka, Ogashina, Ishijima, Ohye, Sekino, and Mayanagi (1967), who made lesions of the ergotropic area of the hypothalamus. Spiegel, Wycis, Freed, and Orchinik (1953) have also performed lateral hypothalamotomies, although with other associated targets apart from two cases (Spiegel and Wycis, 1967).

METHODS

All patients were operated upon under general anaesthesia using Riechert's stereotactic apparatus. The third ventricle was outlined by lumbar pneumoencephalography. A concentric bipolar tungsten electrode, capable of stimulation and lesion making, of 1 mm external diameter with an interpolar distance of 1.5 mm, asymmetrically insulated, was passed through a prefrontal burr hole. The lesion was made with fractionated electrocoagulation, and its diameter estimated as 3–4 mm.

The target point chosen was 3 mm perpendicularly below the midpoint of the intercommissural line and 2 mm from the lateral wall of the third ventricle (Figure, a, b) which corresponds to the medial part of the posterior hypothalamic area.

The ventromedial nucleus was carefully avoided, since lesions in this situation produce savage behaviour in experimental animals (Wheatley, 1944). The lateral hypothalamic area was also avoided, since a lesion involving this area may produce disorders of food (Anand and Brobeck, 1951; Anand, 1961) and water intake (Andersson and McCann, 1955; Andersson, Jewell, and Larsson, 1958) and thermoregulation (Ranson, Fisher, and Ingram, 1937). Finally, the mamillothalamic tract must be avoided because of the risk of memory disorders.

Electrical stimulation was done in all cases for physiological corroboration, using square waves, 1
msec, 5 to 20 μV, 100 to 300 c/sec. This type of stimulus produced an arousal reaction, with opening of the eyelids and vocalization, and autonomic responses, including both unilateral or bilateral midriasis, and marked hypertension and tachycardia, usually with tachypnoea. These changes disappeared approximately two minutes after the end of the stimulation. In addition, there were certain somatic responses—namely, convergent strabismus and/or downward deviation of the gaze, generally with ipsilateral predominance, as well as tonic ipsiversive movements.

Lesions were always made at the site of the strongest sympathetic responses, paying particular attention to the cardiovascular changes (hypertension, tachycardia) elicited from a more restricted area. Depth recordings, however, were less useful.

Subsequently the area of the lesion was plotted in respect to the target chosen and the site of maximal cardiovascular response. The maximum corrections required were less than 3 mm in the anteroposterior plane, 2 mm in the vertical, and 1 mm in the lateral. Stimulation responses were particularly precise in regard to the lateral coordinates, so that along a strip 5 mm from the ventricular wall parasympathetic responses, of hypotension, bradycardia, and sometimes miosis, were always obtained.

PATIENTS

Twenty-one hypothalamic lesions have been made in 11 cases. Bilateral lesions have always been made, with an average interval of 10 days, except in the first patient, who had a unilateral lesion only.

All the patients had suffered episodes of severe hetero-and/or auto-aggressiveness, usually with violent, destructive behaviour. In most cases the patient's families were quite unable to cope with the situation and often they were dangerous either to the public or to themselves during these attacks. In all cases extensive psychiatric treatment had failed.

Of the 11 cases, two were diagnosed as simple aggression with psychopathic personality. One patient suffered from schizophrenia. The remaining eight patients were epileptics, five of whom were oligophrenics, with marked hyperactivity in two of them.

In this series, two cases had postoperative hypsomnia, lasting 3 to 10 days. Another case developed persistent tachycardia of 120/min over five days, with, however, a normal blood pressure.

**CASE 1**

(R.15147) This 20 year old man was diagnosed as having a psychopathic personality. He had a long history of aggressiveness and social inadaptability, with violent outbursts of temper, threatening his relatives with guns on several occasions and having frequent motiveless street quarrels with strangers. He had been in gaol on several occasions and his
family was quite unable to cope with this situation. His intelligence quotient was normal and there were no EEG abnormalities.

In July 1967 a right hypothalamotomy was performed. The size of the lesion was estimated as 4 mm in diameter. The immediate post-operative response was very good and he became quiet and calm. We decided therefore to wait before doing a contralateral lesion, since this was our first case.

This good result has been maintained up to the present and he is now living with his family without any problems and is well integrated within it. He has had no further convictions and there have been no more outbursts.

CASE 4
(R.15441) This 12 year old girl developed encephalitis when 4 months old and from 3 years of age she had progressive erethism. She was auto-aggressive as well as hetero-aggressive, mainly towards her relatives, being extremely destructive. She was very restless and hyperactive, running and shouting continually. High doses of anticonvulsants as well as tranquillizers failed to moderate her behaviour.

In May 1968 bilateral hypothalamotomy was performed with a two week interval. Postoperatively her behaviour improved, but within a month her restlessness had reappeared. There was very little improvement with tranquillizers, although she was less hyperkinetic.

The case is regarded as a failure.

CASE 5
(R.15669) This 18 year old boy had a long history of aggressive, destructive behaviour. He killed or buried alive different domestic animals and attempted two or three times to set light to his house. He had also assaulted his mother on several occasions. Because of convulsions of grand-mal type he required anticonvulsant therapy.

In October 1968 bilateral hypothalamic lesions were performed with an interval of five days. After the second lesion there was a postoperative hypersomnia which lasted three days, clearing spontaneously. There was improvement in his behaviour which became quiet, calm and manageable. He is now living at home.

CASE 9
(R.16113) This 32 year old patient was an aggressive schizophrenic. He had been in a mental hospital for the past 12 years, being in solitary confinement because of his extremely violent and aggressive behaviour.

In July 1969 a right hypothalamotomy was performed. The immediate postoperative results were good, and he became calm and manageable. Five days after the operation he was sent back to the Psychiatric Institute, and started living in the common ward with no aggressive crises. Two weeks later he was re-admitted and a contralateral hypothalamotomy was performed. The postoperative course was uneventful and he was again returned to his original hospital.

Three months later he was discharged home, and he is now living with his family without evidence of aggression.

RESULTS
The follow-up period was between six and 48 months. Results may be grouped into three categories: (1) marked improvement (without aggressive crises or violent behaviour, with social re-adaptation)—seven cases; (2) improvement (now controlled by drugs)—three cases; (3) failure—one case.

The best results have been obtained in patients with normal intelligence, although they have been less satisfactory in the oligophrenic and hyperkinetic patients.
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