The treatment of trigeminal neuralgia by posterior fossa microsurgery
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SUMMARY Between 1972 and 1981 57 patients underwent posterior fossa exploration in Oxford by a single surgeon for the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia. Fifty-four of these had either partial or total section of the trigeminal sensory root, 2 had microvascular decompression operations and one had both a partial sensory root section and microvascular decompression. There was no mortality and no significant morbidity. Fifty-four patients were followed up for a mean period of 4.5 years. Fifty-two patients (96%) had either no further pain or only minor twinges requiring no further treatment. Two other patients who had partial sections suffered no further trigeminal neuralgia after subsequent total sections. One patient who had a partial root section developed anaesthesia dolorosa and one who had a microvascular decompression developed painful dysesthesia. All patients having partial root sections retained previously intact corneal responses—the sensory impairment in the face corresponded to the appropriate part of the portio major cut. Only 11% of patients were found to have a vascular abnormality.

Although most patients suffering from tic douloureux are able to obtain some control of their pain with carbamazepine the relief is often temporary and surgical treatment is eventually required. Numerous surgical procedures on either the central or more peripheral portion of the trigeminal nerve have been used. The preganglionic sensory root section was pioneered by Horsley1 and Frazier2-3 through a middle cranial fossa approach. Dandy4 sectioned the sensory root of the trigeminal nerve in 250 cases via the posterior fossa. Operating without a microscope he achieved pain relief in over 90% of patients and observed that approximately 30% of these cases showed gross lesions (arterial, venous or neoplastic) compressing the nerve root. Jannetta5 using microneurosurgical techniques considers that vascular compression of the sensory root is the cause in approximately 90% of his cases. This observation has been confirmed by other workers6-10 and has consequently led to the formulation of a theory of vascular compression as the principle cause not only of trigeminal neuralgia but also of hemifacial spasm.

However, we have not found this high incidence of vascular compression in cases of hemifacial spasm11-12 or in this series of patients with trigeminal neuralgia treated by posterior fossa surgery.

We report our experience of the treatment of 57 patients by posterior fossa surgery, including 55 who have been treated with section of either whole or part of the sensory division of the 5th cranial nerve.

Patients and methods

Since 1972 55 patients with trigeminal neuralgia have been treated by one surgeon (CBTA) at the Department of Neurological Surgery, The Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford with section of either part or whole of the sensory division of the 5th cranial nerve via a posterior fossa approach. Two additional patients were treated by microvascular decompression of the sensory division using Ivalon sponge. At the time of operation the ages of the patients ranged from 31 to 83 years with a mean of 59 years, 11 patients being over 70 years. The length of symptoms of trigeminal neuralgia varied from one year to 21 years with a mean of 9 years. Five patients had disseminated sclerosis at the time of operation and one patient had acromegaly.

The diagnosis of trigeminal neuralgia was made on clinical grounds. All but three patients had plain skull radiography and four had a CT scan, none of which showed any clinical abnormality. Three patients early in the series had normal air encephalograms. All patients had previously been treated with carbamazepine in doses ranging up to 1400 mg per day and 48 had previously had at least one
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procedure, such as peripheral nerve or ganglion alcohol injections, radiofrequency ganglion rhizolysis, or peripheral neurectomy (table 1). Two patients had undergone a previous middle fossa approach and partial retroganglion section of the sensory rootlets of the 5th nerve. Nine patients had no previous procedures before their posterior fossa operation. Fifty-four patients were followed up, 46 by interview with one of the authors and two by questionnaire. Six patients had died by the time of review and contact was made with their nearest living relative and local doctor in order to assess the result of the operation. Three patients could not be traced. The length of follow up varied from 8 months to 10 years with a mean of 4.5 years. Thirty-four patients were followed up for more than 5 years.

Operative technique

Patients were operated upon under general anaesthetic positioned on their side. The operative approach was through a curved retromastoid skin incision. A small suboccipital craniotomy was performed and this was extended to delineate the angle between the transverse sinus and the sigmoid sinus. After opening the dura the cerebellum was gently retracted and CSF aspirated. In many of the older patients very little retraction was needed. The 7th and 8th cranial nerves were then exposed. It was usually necessary to divide a bridging vein at this stage, often the superior petrosal vein. A thorough exploration of the 5th cranial nerve, including its junction with the brainstem, was then performed. In those cases in which no compressive lesion could be seen, either whole or part of the sensory division of the 5th nerve was sectioned, care being taken to preserve the motor root in all cases (table 2). In 12 cases portia intermedia fibres were seen and left intact. The 5th nerve was noted to be indented and grooved or distorted by a vascular structure in six cases, five times by the superior cerebellar artery (SCA), and once by a fusiform aneurysm of the basilar artery (table 3). In three of the five cases where the SCA was indenting the nerve the vessel was mobilised away from the nerve and a piece of Ivalon sponge placed between the vessel and the nerve, including one case where partial sensory root section was also performed. In the other two cases of compression by the SCA, part of the nerve was just divided. The patient in whom the compression was by a fusiform basilar artery aneurysm, the whole nerve was divided. In five patients with disseminated sclerosis a possible plaque was noted on the nerve in two, and in another two the nerve was noted to be atrophic.

In those patients who had a partial section of the sensory division of the 5th nerve the decision concerning the amount of nerve to be sectioned and the position of the section was taken on the understanding of the knowledge of the anatomy of the nerve, although in some cases it was considered wiser that a total section be performed. Table 2 shows the percentage of the sensory division of the 5th nerve cut in relation to the position of the pain. In those patients with first and second division pain the cranio-medial portion of the nerve was cut (that is that closest to the motor root) whereas in all others where a partial section was performed the caudo-lateral part of the nerve farthest away from the motor root was sectioned. Two patients had two sections, the first being a partial section and the second a total section.

### Table 1 Shows the procedures performed before posterior fossa surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral nerve procedure (injection or avulsion)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trigeminal ganglion procedure</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral nerve and ganglion procedure</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No other procedure</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Shows division of pain and percentage of sensory root sectioned. Two patients had two sections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of pain</th>
<th>% Sectioned</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-50</td>
<td>55-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 1, 2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 2, 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 1, 2, 3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Shows the procedure performed and results in patients with a vascular abnormality compressing the sensory division of the trigeminal nerve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Abnormal vessel compressing nerve</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>Fusiform basilar aneurysm</td>
<td>100% section and MVD</td>
<td>No further pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>65% section and MVD</td>
<td>No further pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>80% section</td>
<td>No further pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>MVD</td>
<td>&quot;Twinges&quot; of pain and burning in tongue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>MVD</td>
<td>No further pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>70% section</td>
<td>No further pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MVD Microvascular decompression. Ivalon sponge placed between vessel and nerve.
Table 4  Shows relationship of percentage of sensory root sectioned to recurrence of trigeminal neuralgia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>% Sectioned</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-50</td>
<td>55-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No further pain</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor twinges of trigeminal neuralgia</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/severe trigeminal neuralgia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients had further total sensory root section resulting in no further trigeminal neuralgia in both but anaesthesia dolorosa in one.

Table 5  Shows results in 34 patients followed up for more than 5 years related to procedure performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>MVD</th>
<th>% Sectioned</th>
<th>Total procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30-50</td>
<td>55-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No further trigeminal neuralgia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor twinges of trigeminal neuralgia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/severe trigeminal neuralgia</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients had further total sensory root section resulting in no further trigeminal neuralgia in both but anaesthesia dolorosa in one.

MVD  Microvascular decompression.

in both cases. Eleven of the 12 cases of 3rd division pain had a section of less than 70% of the sensory root and the one patient who had a recurrence of pain following partial section then had a total division of the nerve. Fifteen of the 22 patients with 2nd and 3rd division pain had less than 70% of the sensory nerve cut. Of the three patients who had a total section one had multiple sclerosis and it was considered advisable to cut the nerve, one had a fusiform basilar artery aneurysm tightly compressing the whole nerve and the other patient had a previous ganglion injection resulting in 1st and 2nd division anaesthesia anyway.

Results

All patients had left hospital by the tenth post-operative day and there was no mortality or serious morbidity associated with the operation. The results for the relief of trigeminal neuralgia are as shown in Table 4.

Forty-eight root section procedures resulted in no further trigeminal neuralgia. Thirty-four patients have been followed for more than 5 years and 29 of these had no further tic douloureux (table 5). Two of the 52 patients who had a root section (3.8%) had a relapse of their trigeminal neuralgia following operation; one of these patients developed further trigeminal neuralgia 4 years after a partial section and has had no further pain following a complete division of the nerve. Another patient who had a relapse of pain after 7 years had a further total section and has had no further trigeminal neuralgia but unfortunately has anaesthesia dolorosa. Of the four patients who had minor twinges of trigeminal neuralgia returning after operation, all of these occurred in areas which were not numb and none of the patients was bothered by this pain. One patient had definite anaesthesia dolorosa although a further four patients complained of "burning" or "scalding" feeling on the side of their face (table 6). This includes the one patient who had a microvascular decompression and has severe burning in his tongue. A number of patients admitted to minor "crawling" sensations beneath the skin of their face when directly questioned but considered it to be no problem. Aside from the recurrence of pain and painful dysaesthesia there has been no major morbidity associated with the operation. There were no serious corneal problems although four patients required a temporary tarsorrhaphy and one patient, previously blind, had a permanent tarsorrhaphy (table 7). One patient had probable temporary CSF otorrhoea and one a unilateral mild nerve deafness.

Table 6  Shows relationship of painful dysaesthesia to percentage of sensory division of trigeminal nerve sectioned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Partial section</th>
<th>Complete section</th>
<th>MVD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate discomfort</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe discomfort</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MVD  Microvascular decompression.

Table 7  Shows relation of percentage of sensory nerve sectioned and occurrence of corneal problems (i.e. reddening of the eye sufficient to refer back to the Department)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Sectioned</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30-50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-70</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-90</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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One patient admitted to having mildly impaired balance since the operation. There was no post-operative facial weakness.

The area of numbness was carefully mapped out and the corneal reflex tested on the 46 patients who were personally examined. In those patients who had a partial section of the nerve the corneal reflex was intact in all but three patients and light touch in the first division of the trigeminal nerve was found normal in all but one. In those three patients with a partial section but no corneal reflex all had a previous ganglion injection, and an absent corneal reflex had been noted prior to posterior fossa surgery. All patients with a total section had an absent corneal reflex, even in those five patients in whom a portion intermedia was seen at surgery and left intact. One patient with an 80% sensory root section and another with a 90% section both had corneal reflexes present despite a fairly marked sensory loss extending into the 1st division of the 5th nerve.

All patients with 3rd and/or 2nd division trigeminal neuralgia who underwent a 60% or less root section had impaired sensation in the 3rd division of the 5th nerve and the 2nd division extending up to the lower eye lid. In only one patient did it extend above the lower eye lid and that patient had a previous ganglion alcohol injection and absent sensation in the 1st division had been noted pre-operatively. Seven of the nine patients who had a 2/3rds section and were examined had a sensory impairment to pin prick in the 1st division area although light touch sensation was present in all and their corneal reflex was intact. The other two patients had sensation impaired only below the lower eye lid. In the four patients examined who had a partial section of greater than 2/3rds of the sensory root there was impaired sensation in the 1st division region although the corneal reflex was still intact in three of them together with a relative sparing of light touch.

Six patients had a vascular abnormality associated with the 5th nerve. (The procedure performed has been described and the results are shown in table 3.) The only recurrence of pain in this group has been in one patient (RD) who had a microvascular decompression. Twinges of trigeminal pain recurred 4 years after his operation and were later followed by a burning and scalding feeling in his tongue.

Discussion

The aetiology and treatment of trigeminal neuralgia is still controversial. The relationship between compressive lesions in the posterior fossa in trigeminal neuralgia was first described by Dandy and later by Gardner. Although using microneurosurgical techniques Jannetta and other workers have shown a high incidence of cranial nerve root compression by vascular structures in both trigeminal neuralgia and hemifacial spasm, these findings have not been universal and it might be that the part played by microvascular decompression in the aetiology of trigeminal neuralgia is less important than is commonly supposed. Although in a large percentage of cases in this series a vascular structure was seen close to the trigeminal nerve, a definite vascular compressive lesion was seen in only approximately 11% of cases. As in the aetiology of hemifacial spasm, the problem remains that there might be varying interpretations of what exactly constitutes a pathologically placed vessel. We considered that a vessel was abnormally related to the trigeminal nerve only if it could be seen to be intending and grooving or distorting the nerve. The incidence of findings of vascular abnormality did not increase later in the series suggesting that the low rate could not be accounted for by the inexperience of the surgeon.

In this series partial section of the trigeminal root has a 5-7% recurrence rate overall or a 11-8% recurrence at 5 years, figures which compare favourably with other methods of treating trigeminal neuralgia. A major consideration in treating patients with trigeminal neuralgia is that many patients find the threat of further pain too unpleasant to live with and they therefore eventually ask for a procedure most likely to cure them. Others who are elderly may not tolerate a second major operation should the pain recur. We feel that in those patients who have a pain recurrence following a more peripheral procedure and who require posterior fossa operation, the best chance for obtaining permanent pain relief is with either a partial or total section of the posterior root.

Dandy, a pioneer of this approach whose technique and results had no rival, found that section of the posterior root produced freedom from pain in 246 of 250 patients. In this series 50 of 52 patients (96%) had no recurrence of significant trigeminal neuralgia with a mean follow up of 4-5 years, and of 34 patients followed for 5 years two had a recurrence of tic douloureux. In Jannetta’s series the short term recurrence of pain using microvascular decomposition is certainly low, 11% at one year but reduced to 7-5% by a second operation and Apfelbaum, using microvascular decompression operation, reported a 5% recurrence of severe pain at 3 years. Burchiel, however, reported a 17% recurrence at 25 months following microvascular decompression where an arterial compressive loop was noted.

One of the most disturbing side effects of central trigeminal sensory root sections or radiofrequency lesions of the trigeminal ganglion nerve is that of
anaesthesia dolorosa. In this series of 52 patients with sensory root sections 7-7% of patients had some painful dysesthesia but it was sufficiently severe in only one to warrant the label "anaesthesia dolorosa". One further patient with a severe painful dysesthesia did not have section of the nerve but rather had a microvascular decompression using Ivalon sponge.

Microvascular decompression has the advantage that it generally produces no sensory impairment in the face. The areas of sensory loss to pain in this series corresponded to the appropriate part of the sensory root cut. After partial section useful light touch is retained even in the analgesic areas and it does seem there is some relative sparing of this modality. Although some patients admitted to biting their tongues and having minor problems with dentures and occasionally mouth ulcers for a short time after the operation, virtually all rapidly became used to this type of inconvenience. All patients with no further significant trigeminal neuralgia were pleased to have had an operation which despite numbing the face to some degree had cured the pain. No patient developed keratitis and in all those patients who had previously had an intact corneal response this was maintained following their partial section.

The anatomy of the trigeminal root is all important with this approach. Dandy, Dandy originally suggested that section of the posterior half of the sensory root cured pain in any division with no loss of touch sensation and therefore thought that the fibres subserving pain sensation occurred in the posterior part of the sensory root with variations which accounted for recurrences after the division of this portion of this nerve. Whilst our results would partially agree with this theory they would be more in keeping with the theory of Frazier, the anatomical organisation of the trigeminal sensory root is approximately representative of the topographical divisions for all sensory modalities as represented on the face, rather than the accumulation of the fibres responsible for pain within the posterior half of the root. However, there is a variable relationship between the placement of these topographical divisions in the root near the brain stem due to the variable degree of rotation of the nerve root as it enters the brain stem. This can be appreciated if one realises that the 1st division fibres lie adjacent to the motor root and the 3rd division fibres lie on the side opposite to the motor root irrespective of how much rotation occurs (figure).

There is no overall agreement on the correct operative approach for the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia. Jannetta compared the results of microvascular decompression with those of radiofrequency rhizotomy and considered that in view of his published low recurrence rate and freedom from side effects of dysesthesia, microvascular decompression is more appropriate for patients under the age of 70. This is supported by Lofman but Guidetti feels that radiofrequency rhizotomy should be the treatment for younger patients followed by an extradural approach to the trigeminal ganglion for recurrences since posterior fossa approach was potentially hazardous. This is not our experience. Apfelbaum considers microvascular decompression preferable to percutaneous neurolysis in terms of a low incidence of recurrence and freedom from facial anaesthesia, although Burchiel's results were less convincing. Nugent finding a 21% recurrence at 8 years in 800 patients undergoing radiofrequency rhizotomy suggested that this should be the treatment of choice initially since he estimated that the chances of recurrence from microvascular decompression to be 17% with a 2% risk of significant morbidity and mortality. He thought that a further 24% might well need a section of the posterior root with production of numbness thereafter.

Clearly the most appropriate procedure is that which carries the smallest risk for the patient but which will bring about a satisfactory pain relief with minimal side effect. This obviously varies from
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...patient to patient and from surgeon to surgeon. Generally we advise a temporary method of numbing the face to allow the patient to appreciate just what the altered sensation of the face is like. Thus we use infraorbital nerve avulsion, radiofrequency rhizotomy or retrogasserian glycerol injection accepting that for all these there is a high recurrence rate. However, some patients find the threat of further pain in the future unacceptable and for these patients a posterior fossa exploration is particularly indicated. If there is clear evidence of vascular compression then a microvascular decompression should be performed. However, as we have found the incidence of vascular compression to be low then partial or total root section has been performed in our series—the degree of section depending on the area of trigeminal neuralgia.

The early good results associated with microvascular decompression might be related to the manipulation of the nerve as was seen after the “compression-decompression” operation of Taarnhøj. For the last 6 years we have successfully treated hemifacial spasm by wrapping the facial nerve with Ivalon sponge so as to produce circumferential fibrosis. We feel it logical to wrap the trigeminal nerve in the same way with the same aim for trigeminal neuralgia. We have carried out this procedure recently with relief of trigeminal neuralgia and minimum impairment of facial sensation.

We report our experiences not only to record our failure to be convinced by vascular compression as a cause for the majority of our patients' pain but also to point out that the posterior fossa approach using microsurgical techniques is extremely safe as well as effective. Furthermore it is possible with this approach to carry out a partial section of the trigeminal root to spare the upper part of the face and cornea when the pain is confined to the 2nd and 3rd divisions of the trigeminal nerve—such a section also preserving some sensation of touch even in the analgesic zone. Total section of the sensory root, even with preservation of the portio intermedia and minor fibres invariably produces complete analgesia and anaesthesia. In this respect we differ from Dandy who ascribed preservation of sensation to sparing of the portio intermedia. Further significant advantages of the posterior approach are the ease with which motor root and facial nerve can be spared, the confidence with which one can completely sever the main sensory root, should that be indicated, and finally the ease with which positive pathology can be identified and treated.
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