Dorsal root entry zone lesions (Nashold’s procedure) for pain relief following brachial plexus avulsion
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SUMMARY Brachial plexus avulsion is an important cause of severe intractable pain, particularly in young motor cyclists. The pain usually develops soon after the injury. In a minority of cases severe pain persists and is refractory to management. Nineteen patients are reviewed in whom dorsal root entry zone lesions have been produced for pain relief. The operative technique is described. Early post-operative motor or sensory disturbance in the ipsilateral leg have been temporary side effects, with mild persisting deficits in a minority. Sixteen cases have had significant persisting pain relief. The maximum follow-up period is 2½ years.

Brachial plexus avulsion is an important cause of severe intractable pain, particularly in young motor cyclists. Such pain occurs in the flail, deafferented, limb shortly after injury and in the majority of cases settle to tolerable levels spontaneously, or on drug treatment with non-narcotic analgesics. However, in a minority of cases the pain persists and may worsen over a period of years. This type of severe chronic pain has proved particularly resistant to treatment with several pain control procedures, including stellate ganglion blockade, sympathectomy, cordotomy, rhizotomy, amputation, transcutaneous stimulation, dorsal column stimulation and analgesic drugs including narcotics.

Nashold et al in 1976 first described the procedure of intraspinal coagulation of the dorsal root entry zones of the avulsed roots, performed at laminectomy, as a treatment for this type of pain.

Patients

There were 19 patients in this series of partial or complete brachial plexus avulsion. Seventeen were male and two female. Clinical features of the patients with avulsion injury are tabulated in the table. Fifteen cases developed their injuries following a motor cycle accident. In 16 cases the lesion was on the right. Ten cases concurrently sustained head injuries rendering them unconscious. One case required urgent laparotomy and splenectomy. The onset of the pain was usually within days of sustaining the brachial plexus avulsion injury. However, the longest interval between brachial plexus avulsion and the onset of pain was two years. The pain was characteristically felt as a crushing or burning sensation in the deafferentated limb. It was not usually in a sharply defined dermatomal distribution, but rather tended to be experienced globally, throughout the arm, either proximally or distally in the limb. In many cases the pain was constant, though episodic severe exacerbations of sharp pain were commonly noted. All these cases had had no sustained response to other pain procedures, which included stellate ganglion blockade, cordotomy, amputation, transcutaneous, dorsal column, or mid-brain stimulation as well as analgesic drugs, including narcotic analgesics.

The diagnosis and evaluation of brachial plexus avulsion injuries have been discussed extensively in the literature. Varying degrees of motor paralysis were present. A completely flail extremity was the most common finding, with sensory loss usually involving the whole upper limb to the shoulder. An ipsilateral Horner’s syndrome was found in seven cases. Three of the patients had undergone amputation of the involved limb without improvement. Cordotomy was used in one case without relief. The majority of cases had had transcutaneous stimulation without sustained improvement. Most patients had tried a wide range of analgesics and found the relief so small they had ceased taking them, as has been noted in other series. Eighteen cases had stellate ganglion blocks without sustained effect.

The myelographic sign of traumatic pseudomeningocele was initially described by Murphey et al in patients with brachial plexus avulsion. Myelography has been performed on all our cases prior to surgery. Cord displacement, root avulsion and pseudomeningocele formation have been demonstrated in varying combinations in all cases (figs 1, 2). The extent of root avulsion seen at operation has frequently been more extensive than has been demonstrated myelographically, as has been noted by other authors.
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### Table: Pain following brachial plexus avulsion: data in 19 patients managed by dorsal root entry zone (DREZ) thermocoagulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Trauma</th>
<th>Clinical findings</th>
<th>Treatment prior to DREZ</th>
<th>Pain relief after DREZ</th>
<th>Follow up (months)</th>
<th>Motor weakness change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1968 motorcycle. Right brachial plexus avulsion</td>
<td>Total paralysis right arm. Arm pain.</td>
<td>TCS Narcotic analgesics</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>+ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1976 motorcycle. Head injury. Right brachial plexus avulsion</td>
<td>Right Horner's syndrome. Right arm pain.</td>
<td>Mid-arm amputation, TCS</td>
<td>No relief</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1959 pedestrian hit by car</td>
<td>Pain developed two years after accident.</td>
<td>1962 arm amputated for pain.</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1979 Driver in road traffic accident. Brachial plexus avulsion</td>
<td>Right Horner's syndrome. Pain in right hand since accident. Distal right arm weakness. Mild weakness right leg.</td>
<td>Stellate ganglion blockade.</td>
<td>No relief</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1980 Motorcycle. Brachial plexus avulsion.</td>
<td>Right Horner's syndrome. Flail right arm. Pain in right arm soon after accident.</td>
<td>TCS Medication. Analgesics</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1978 Bicycle. Head injury. 10 days unconscious. Brachial plexus avulsion. Multiple long bone fractures.</td>
<td>Flail right arm. Aware of pain from moment of consciousness.</td>
<td>TCS narcotic analgesic</td>
<td>No relief</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1978 Motorcycle. Head injury. Splenic rupture.</td>
<td>Right Horner's syndrome. Flail right arm. Pain developed 3 weeks after accident.</td>
<td>Stellate ganglion blockade. TCS</td>
<td>No relief</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1979 following surgery to right shoulder, developed distal right arm weakness.</td>
<td>Pain in right forearm and hand. Clawing right hand. Weakness distally in right arm. Right C8-D2 pin loss. Right triceps jerk absent.</td>
<td>Narcotic analgesics. TCS</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1977 Pedestrian road traffic accident. Left shoulder fracture. Brachial plexus avulsion. Head injury, unconscious 3 hours.</td>
<td>Pain in the fingers and hand. Flail left arm.</td>
<td>TCS Guanethidine block Narcotic analgesic</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Relief</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1972 Motorcycle. Unconscious 3 days. Right brachial plexus avulsion.</td>
<td>Flail right arm. Pain in arm and elbow.</td>
<td>TCS Medication</td>
<td>No relief</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1959 Motorcycle. Brachial plexus avulsion.</td>
<td>Right Horner's syndrome. Flail right arm. Burning pain in right arm and hand.</td>
<td>Acupuncture</td>
<td>Relief for 3 weeks</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1958 Motorcycle. Brachial plexus avulsion</td>
<td>Pain since accident in right arm. Flail right arm.</td>
<td>TCS Mediation removed for sepsis Stimulating blockade. TCS Medication</td>
<td>No relief</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1970 Motorcycle. Brachial plexus avulsion. Head injury.</td>
<td>Pain developed 1 year after injury in lower forearm and elbow. Flail left arm.</td>
<td>Stellate ganglion blockade. TCS</td>
<td>No relief</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1951 Motorcycle. Brachial plexus avulsion. Head injury.</td>
<td>Flail right arm. Pain present since accident as a burning sensation in right forearm with occasional shooting pain.</td>
<td>Stellate blockade DCS. TCS</td>
<td>No relief</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pain commenced in flail limb 2 weeks after the accident. Burning in nature.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCS Medication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No relief Good 9 + -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1967 Motorcycle.</td>
<td>Right brachial plexus avulsion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pain developed in flail limb soon after recovering consciousness. Unable to work because of pain.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969 mid-arm amputation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-narcotic analgesics.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No relief Good 7 - +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+TCS—Transcutaneous stimulation
-DCS—Dorsal column stimulation

**Operative method**

The technique of lesion making has been described by Nashold et al. A laminectomy is performed from CS/D1. It has been found most satisfactory to position the patient prone. The dura is opened and the intermedio-lateral sulcus of the spinal cord, adjacent to the dorsal column, is identified by inspection and palpation with a dissector under the operating microscope. The sulcus not infrequently is difficult to identify. In such cases reference is made to the attached root on the uninjured side in order to visualise the line of the sulcus. A degree of local hemi-atrophy of the cord is common. In a minority of cases an incomplete root avulsion is noted on the injured side. Such attached roots can be especially useful in identifying the line of the sulcus. The electrode used to produce the lesion is an insulated steel wire, 0.5 mm in diameter, with an uninsulated 2 mm tapered tip. (Thermocouple electrode TCS Radionics Inc, Burlington, Mass, USA). The elec-
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trode is introduced by hand into the dorsal root entry zone at the intermedio-lateral sulcus, adjacent to the dorsal column, at the point where the dorsal roots have been avulsed. The electrode is angled medially at approximately 25° to the sagittal plane and inserted into the cord for a distance of 2 mms. The lesion is produced using a thermocoagulation radio frequency generator (RGF-5 with thermocoagulation adaptor TCA-1, Radionics Inc. Burlington, Mass, USA), controlled to deliver 40 milliamperes for 15 seconds. Lesions are spaced at 2 mm intervals, and typically 20 to 24 are required over the brachial plexus outflow C5/D1.

Results

Three levels of pain relief were defined: a good result signified 75% or greater relief of pain; a fair result, defined as pain relief of 25–75%; a poor result means relief of less than 25%. The results are tabulated in the table. By these criteria 11 cases have had a persisting good improvement, and a further five cases have had a fair result. Patients have commonly noted a slight deterioration in pain relief in the early post-operative months to a level that has then persisted over the period of follow-up. Six cases have been followed for more than 18 months. There have been three good results, two fair and one poor result from this group. In two patients (cases 6 and 10) an initial good result was obtained but after a few months the result became poor.

In 10 patients there was some initial post-operative deterioration in motor or sensory function of the ipsilateral leg (table). The motor deficit has generally been mild although it was marked in case 1. The most common sensory change has been a proprioceptive deficit. The sensory and motor signs have improved in all cases, although in a minority signs persist. All the patients are ambulant.

Discussion

An increasing number of young men are sustaining traction lesions of the brachial plexus commonly due to motor cycle accidents. Wynne-Parry,1 in his review of 108 cases of post-traumatic brachial plexus lesions proximal to the dorsal root ganglion, noted pain was present in 98 in the affected limb. In 25% pain was severe after four years and in 17% the pain was still severe 11 years after injury. In a small, but significant, minority of cases severe pain persists and is refractory to medical and surgical therapy with drugs, stimulation, cordotomy and amputation. The onset of pain has usually been within weeks of the injury although in case 16 the onset was one year, and in case 3 the onset was two years, from the time of injury.

Several theories have been postulated to explain the mechanism of central pain. Three of these theories may be most applicable in elucidating the pathophysiological changes in the spinal cord responsible for the avulsion pain. The first is that hypersensitive neuronal pools exist in the injured dorsal root entry zone, due to deafferentation. The second is that dysfunction of the neurons of the dorsal root entry zone occurs due to facilitatory or inhibitory influences of the tract of Lissauer. The third theory postulates injury to the spinothalamic or the spino-reticular pain pathways.

Loeser and Ward20 have shown the effects of deafferentation after the division of the dorsal root in cats. Using microelectrodes they recorded discharges in the dorsal horn. They found the discharges may take up to 30 days to reach their peak and that they are in two forms: a continuous background discharge with occasional bursts of high frequency. These findings would correlate well with the clinical situation in which many patients have continuous pain with episodes of sharp exacerbation. The abnormal discharges may be conducted centrally in the spino-thalamic or spino-reticular pathways, whose cells of origin are, to some extent, in the dorsal root entry zone. The effect of the lesions may be to interfere with this transmission. The tract of Lissauer24 lies in the apex of the dorsal horn and extends throughout the length of the spinal cord. Ranson22 has suggested the importance of this tract in the central conduction of pain and temperature. Between one third and half of the fibres in the tract are dorsal root afferent fibres which run in the tract for 1 to 3 segments in both rostral and caudal directions. The remaining fibres are the axons of dorsal horn neurons; the total span of these fibres is not clear but estimates range between a few millimetres and 5 to 6 segments.23 The surgical lesions may produce pain relief by influencing the local connections of the tract in the substantia gelatinosa. Opiate receptors have been shown to be present in high density in the marginal cell zone and the substantia gelatinosa of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord in rats,24 using autoradiographic localisation. Lamotte et al25 have reported a fall of opiate receptor binding following dorsal root section using a similar technique.

Further understanding of the exact neuroanatomical and neurophysiological changes produced by the dorsal root entry zone lesion will reduce the morbidity of the procedure and clarify its mode of action. Intraoperative monitoring of somatosensory evoked potentials may make localisation of the dorsal root entry zone more accurate and minimise the possibility of damage to the adjacent long tracts.

Sixteen of 19 patients with severe chronic pain due to brachial plexus avulsion, which had proved
refractory to other treatment, were successfully treated by dorsal root entry zone lesions. There was early morbidity in 10 patients, but significant persisting disability was found in only two cases, both of whom remained ambulant. In patients with severe, disabling, pain, intractable to other treatment, dorsal root entry zone lesions are indicated as the optimal lesional method of management with an acceptable morbidity in this selected patient population.

A preliminary report concerning the first 15 of these cases was presented at the Centennial Meeting of the Society of British Neurological Surgeons at Cork in March–April 1982.8 We are grateful to Dr Wynn Parry, Director of the Rehabilitation Centre, Stanmore who assessed and referred most of these cases.

References
26 Thomas DGT, Sheehy J. Dorsal Root Entry Zone Coagulation (Nashold’s procedure) for pain due to brachial plexus avulsion. J Neural Neurosurg Psychiatry 1982;45:949.
Dorsal root entry zone lesions (Nashold's procedure) for pain relief following brachial plexus avulsion.
D G Thomas and J P Sheehy

*J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1983 46: 924-928
doi: 10.1136/jnnp.46.10.924

Updated information and services can be found at:
[http://jnnp.bmj.com/content/46/10/924](http://jnnp.bmj.com/content/46/10/924)

**Email alerting service**

These include:
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Notes**

To request permissions go to:
[http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions](http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions)

To order reprints go to:
[http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform](http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform)

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
[http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/](http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/)