Medium and long latency EMG responses in leg muscles: Parkinson’s disease
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SUMMARY Short, medium, and long latency EMG responses to muscle stretch from triceps surae and anterior tibialis muscles were recorded in normals and in 33 patients with Parkinson’s disease. The latencies of all EMG responses except short latency were normal in patients with Parkinson’s disease. The integrals of the medium latency responses in the stretched triceps surae muscle were significantly increased in patients. This result indicates a modulatory influence of the basal ganglia on medium latency EMG responses.

The description of altered medium and long latency EMG responses after muscle stretch and their correlation with clinical signs of Parkinson’s disease has been the subject of several earlier studies.1–3 Most of the recent studies investigated arm, wrist or finger muscles. Responses of leg muscles have only been investigated in sitting or supine subjects.4–6 This study reports additional data on medium and long latency EMG responses of leg muscles while standing. The reasons for the extension of available data are as follows: firstly, these responses contribute to postural stabilisation7 and disturbances of upright posture are a predominant symptom in patients with Parkinson’s disease. Secondly, these responses are different from those evoked in a sitting subject.8 Thirdly, it is difficult to separate clearly the end of the M2-response (nomenclature from reference 1) from the beginning of M3 in human wrist muscles, whereas in a standing subject the medium and long latency responses occur in antagonistic leg muscles after a sudden tilt of the supporting platform and therefore allow for a distinct analysis of the two components.7 8 From a functional point of view the long latency responses in the antagonist of the stretched leg muscle after a platform tilt toe-up or toe-down may be interpreted as an analogue of M3 in the stretched arm or wrist muscle, since in the first situation long latency reflexes stabilise the upright posture, whereas in the second case M3 restores the initial position of the arm or hand after the externally applied disturbance. Our results indicate that Parkinson’s disease modulates the amplitude of the medium latency response, but leaves the long latency response unchanged.

Methods

(A) EMG responses from leg muscles Subjects stood on a force measuring platform which could be tilted around an axis co-linear with the ankle joints. Patients were instructed to maintain an upright position. The platform was unexpectedly tilted 4° toe-up with 50°/s. EMGs were recorded by surface electrodes from the triceps surae and anterior tibialis muscles, fullwave rectified, filtered (16–1000 Hz), amplified and stored together with the data of platform position, centre of foot pressure, and head and hip angle on a tape recorder for further processing. Data of eight consecutive platform tilts with time intervals of 5–15 s between single trials were averaged. By means of a computer program we measured latencies, durations, and integrals of the three EMG responses (fig 1). Background EMG activity measured during 100 ms prior to platform tilt ranged between 2 and 5% of the size of short latency. We decided to measure EMG integrals from raw EMG records because an earlier study revealed a much higher interindividual variation when we measured EMG integrals in relation to maximal voluntary level of EMG.

(B) The clinical evaluation of patients concerning rigidity and akinesia was performed separately by using a rating scale ranging from absent (I), slight (II), moderate (III) to severe (IV) for each extremity.
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Patients

EMG responses from leg muscles were examined in 33 patients with Parkinson’s disease. According to the Hoehn and Yahr scale, six patients were rated stage II, nineteen stage III, and eight stage IV. The mean age was 66-7 years (SD = 9-5 years, range 41 to 80 years). During the early experiments EMG electrodes were placed only unilaterally, later we recorded from both legs. Fifty six recordings from antagonistic pairs of leg muscles were thus available from the 33 patients. Data from the patients were compared with an age matched control group (n = 20, mean age 59-3 years, SD = 7-7 years, range 47 to 74 years).

Results

EMG responses from leg muscles

A platform tilt toe-up evoked a stretch reflex in the triceps surae with a mean latency of 44·5 ms in normals and a medium latency response in the same muscle at 82·7 ms. Figure 1 shows a recording from a healthy subject (lower part) and the calculated mean values from 30 normals (upper part). Short latency responses were absent in two normals and 11 patients with Parkinson’s disease, mostly due to an additional, age-related polyneuropathy. The short latency was significantly increased in the patients, possibly due to the same mechanism. Medium latency responses were missing in three legs of normals and in four legs of patients. Short latency and medium latency responses both functionally destabilise upright posture, since by their action they enforce the passive backward motion of the body. The long latency response occurring at about 130 ms in normals and patients stabilises upright posture. The mean latencies of medium latency and long latency EMG responses from both the triceps surae and the anterior tibialis muscles showed no difference between patients with Parkinson’s disease and normals (see table). Durations and integrals of the rectified and normalised medium latency responses, however, were increased in patients with Parkinson’s disease. Figure 2 gives examples of the normal or missing short latency response but increased duration and integral of medium latency responses in two different patients with Parkinson’s disease.

Table EMG responses in triceps surae (SL, ML) and anterior tibialis muscle (LL). 40 recordings from normals (n = 20), 56 recordings from patients (n = 33)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normals</th>
<th>Parkinson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean latencies (ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>44·5</td>
<td>5·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>82·7</td>
<td>9·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL</td>
<td>131·1</td>
<td>20·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration (ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>24·3</td>
<td>6·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>28·7</td>
<td>10·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL</td>
<td>77·7</td>
<td>17·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integral (arbitrary units)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>11·9</td>
<td>5·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>14·3</td>
<td>7·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL</td>
<td>37·4</td>
<td>10·3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AM, arithmetic mean; SL, short latency response; ML, medium latency response; LL, long latency response; n, number of measurable EMG responses; * , significant difference (p < 0.05 t-test).
Fig 2  Short, medium, and long latency EMG responses of a normal subject (upper part) compared with EMGs from two patients with Parkinson’s disease (lower part). Note the increased integral and duration of medium latency and the normal long latency response in the two patients (normalised EMG, maximum amplitude = 1). The dotted line indicates the grand average of the normal population, P = preactivity.

Fig 3  Means and standard deviations of the integrals of short latency and medium latency responses in triceps surae muscle for the right (RI) and left (LE) leg in normals and patients with Parkinson’s disease. Significant differences are indicated by stars (p < 0.05).

In the normal population the mean integral of the medium latency response was slightly greater than the integral of the short latency response (fig 3). Among patients with Parkinson’s disease the average short latency integral was significantly decreased while the medium latency integral was augmented. In order to compare the integral of short latency and medium latency responses across normals and patients unrelated to the recording conditions we calculated the quotient

\[ Q = \frac{\left| \frac{SL}{ML} \right|}{\left[ \frac{SL}{ML} \right]} \]

A medium latency integral exceeding short latency leads to a negative Q (−0.1 to −0.9) (see fig 4). The quotient was homogeneously distributed over the whole range from −0.9 to +0.9 among normals. In Parkinson’s disease the quotient clearly shifted to negative values with a maximum at −0.7, proving the increase of the medium latency integral. We were not able to find a correlation between the clinical score of rigidity and the calculated quotient of integrals. One patient with unilateral rigidity only showed a larger medium latency integral on the affected side, but not such a difference could be found in a second patient affected to a similar extent.
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Discussion

The results of our study confirm those of previous studies1–5,10 showing that patients with Parkinson's disease exhibit a significant increase in amplitude (and duration) of the medium latency EMG response to muscle stretch in leg muscles. The latencies of medium latency and long latency EMG responses were normal in leg muscles. Only the short latency monosynaptic stretch reflex of triceps surae showed an increased latency. Patients with Parkinson's disease were clearly different from other patient groups such as Friedreich's disease or multiple sclerosis11,12 in whom a delayed long latency response is recorded in the shortened antagonist. This delay is due to demyelination in the spinal and central afferent proprioceptive pathways.

New in this context is the fact that with our experimental paradigm in standing patients it is possible to separate clearly the medium from the long latency response in leg muscles. Owing to the inability to separate clearly the end of M2 from the beginning of M3 in wrist and finger muscles it was only possible to describe an increase of the M2/3 complex in Parkinson's disease.1 The quotient Q (see Results) indicated a relative increase of medium latency compared to the decreased short latency integral in triceps surae. The group of patients with Parkinson's disease showed a clear shift to negative quotients.

The physiological basis for rigidity is unsolved up to now. Lee and Tatton1 initially assumed that Parkinsonian rigidity could be caused by exaggerated M2/M3 responses via a transcortical feedback loop, the gain of which is constantly set at an increased level. If we apply this hypothesis to our results, it is the increased medium latency and not the normal long latency response which could contribute to the generation of rigidity. The variation of medium latency response amplitude in Parkinson's disease but unchanged latencies indicates a modulatory influence of supraspinal structures, such as the basal ganglia on this EMG response. The medium latency response according to our understanding and in accordance with Berardelli et al6 and Tatton et al13 could be a polysynaptic spinal reflex and perhaps has additional inputs from II-afferents.5 The long latency responses in hand muscles (M2/3) in contrast are most probably largely dependent on supraspinal pathways.1,3,14

Corresponding to findings of Lee and Tatton,1 Tatton et al13 and Rothwell et al3 in arm muscles but in contrast to Berardelli et al5 in triceps surae, the short latency response in the triceps surae muscle was decreased in amplitude in our patients, indicating that this spinal component could not contribute to rigidity in leg muscles. The base-line level of EMG activity preceding the disturbance was not increased in our patients (see fig 2) and, therefore, can be excluded as another possible cause of increased muscular tone.3

With regard to the individual patient we could not evaluate a clear correlation either between the enlarged integral of the medium latency response or the quotient Q and the clinically assessed rigidity of the legs. This lack of a strong correlation was also observed by Rothwell et al,3 who found that the size of the long latency reflex was within the normal range in many patients with severe rigidity. One possible reason for the lack of correlation between rigidity and the integral of EMG responses is that rigidity is clinically assessed by slow passive movements of the leg and the wrist, whereas in our experiments fast muscle stretches were used.

One patient with on-off fluctuations, severe rigidity in the off-phase and hypotonia in hyperkinetic on-phases showed no significant change of both the short latency and medium latency integrals in both phases. Similar results have been reported by Dufresne et al.15

Further studies are necessary in order to show whether the recording of medium latency responses can provide an indicator for the possible success of medical therapy.
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