Contingent negative variation in adults with Gilles de la Tourette syndrome
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SUMMARY Three deflections or waves of the Contingent Negative Variation (CNV): the Slow Negative Wave (SNW), the Terminal CNV (TCNV) and the Post Imperative Negative Variation (PINV) were studied in 18 adults with Gilles de la Tourette syndrome and in 15 controls. The patients showed a reduced SNW, a normal TCNV and an increased PINV. Moreover, at the right parietal region the patients demonstrated a decreased SNW, TCNV and PINV. The results are discussed in relation to behavioral and neuropsychological disturbances found in Gilles de la Tourette syndrome.

The Gilles de la Tourette syndrome is a neuro-psychiatric disorder, which consists of lifelong, fluctuating, multiple motor, vocal and sensory tics.1 2 Many patients also have obsessions, compulsions and attentional difficulties.1 3 4 5 Generalised anxiety and depression are also often observed.1 6 7 8 As shown by the high degree of distraction and echo phenomena Gilles de la Tourette patients seem to be highly sensitive to stimuli around them.1 7 8 Echo phenomena appear to be related to obsessive compulsive signs.7 Hitherto no pathologic anatomic correlate of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome has been found. Nonspecific electroencephalographic abnormalities occur in a minority of the patients9 10 but short latency potentials appear to be normal.9

The Contingent Negative Variation (CNV) is a long latency event-related potential. It is usually obtained in a S1-S2 paradigm. S1 is a warning stimulus which is followed after a fixed interval by S2, the imperative stimulus requiring a task, for example, a specific motor response (fig 1).11 12 13 By presenting a series of these S1-S2 stimuli, and averaging the electroencephalographic responses, the CNV response can be obtained. The CNV consists of a series of deflections or waves. Three major deflections of the CNV are considered in this study: the Slow Negative Wave (SNW), the Terminal CNV (TCNV) and the Post Imperative Negative Variation (PINV). The SNW, often referred to as early CNV or O-wave, is elicited by S1 and depends upon its physical characteristics, but also upon the task relevance of S1.11 12 The SNW is sensitive to attentional and distractional factors, enhancing respectively decreasing its amplitude.11 12 The SNW precedes S2 and is related to the task attached to S2.11 12 The TCNV shares many characteristics with the Bereitschaftspotential or readiness potential.12 After S2, the CNV deflection usually returns to the baseline. The PINV is a prolonged negativity after S2. The PINV has been found in several categories of psychiatric patients,13 14 15 but can be elicited in normal subjects as well after an unexpected temporary change in the S1-S2 paradigm.11

Since attentional disturbances and increased distraction often occur in Gilles de la Tourette patients,12 13 14 we sought to determine whether the CNV, in particular the SNW, is altered in the syndrome.

Methods

Patients and controls All 18 patients (mean age 23 yr, standard deviation 7) fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for Gilles de la Tourette syndrome according to DSM-III (American Psychiatric Association 1980). Sixteen patients were right-handed. Two patients were treated with low doses of pimozide. The control group consisted of 15 members (all
Contingent negative variation in adults with Gilles de la Tourette syndrome

![Graphs showing CNV components and waveforms](image)

Fig 1  Grand averages of the CNV's in the controls (———) and the patients (-----) S1 is the warning stimulus followed at 4s by the imperative stimulus S2. Note the asymmetry between P3 and P4 in the Gilles de la Tourette patients.

righthanded) of the hospital staff (mean age 29 yr, standard deviation 5).

**Recording and test procedures**  The subjects were seated in a light-dimmed room. They were told to stay relaxed and to direct their gaze to a dark spot (70 mm diameter) on a white translucent screen 2 m in front of them. The subjects and the screen were surrounded by featureless curtains to minimize distraction. The conventional S1-S2 paradigm was used. S1 was a tone burst (250 Hz, 50 ms, 50 dB SPL), S2 was a series of light flashes (40 Hz) projected on the back of the translucent screen. The subjects were instructed to stop S2 as quickly as possible by pressing a microswitch with their preferred hand. No instructions were given to control eye blinks, eye movements or tics. Eye movements and blink artifacts were subtracted using four EOG derivations, eliminating the need to disregard contaminated trials. So the subjects could blink or tic freely to avoid increased inattentions, especially in the patients. Thirty trials were delivered, the interstimulus interval was 4s and the interval between successive S1s varied at random between 13 and 19s.

The following EEG derivations (10/20 system) were used: Fz-A1, Cz-A1, Pz-A1, C3-A1, C4-A2, P3-A1 and P4-A2 (filter settings: 0-016-35 Hz, 3dB). The channels were sampled simultaneously (100 Hz) starting 1s before S1 until 4s after S2.

The following CNV components were determined: the Slow Negative Wave (SNW), defined as the average amplitude between 600 and 1000 ms after S1; the Terminal CNV (TCNV), defined as the average amplitude between 3500 and 4000 ms after S1; the Post Imperative Negative Variation (PINV), defined as the average amplitude of the event-related response between 2000 and 3000 ms after S2 (fig 1). The average EEG of 1s before the onset of S1 was used as a reference for the amplitude measurements. Differences between groups were tested with the 3-factor ANOVA with repeated measures. The Tukey-test was used for multiple comparisons between means.

**Results**

The 3-factor ANOVA with repeated measures on subjects revealed a significant group X wave interaction (F(2, 62) = 11·4; p < 0·01). In other words, the waves in the controls were different from the waves in the patients. Also, there was a significant group X derivation X wave interaction (F (12, 372) = 2·13;
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Fig 2  The average SNW of the controls (open circles) and patients (closed circles) expressed in μV. The vertical bars indicate standard error of the mean. The symbols are interconnected to aid visual inspection. Significant differences between controls and patients are indicated with asterisks. Note the difference in distributions between the controls and the patients (SNW being larger at Fz than at Cz in the patients, and smaller in the controls).
p < 0.05). So, the different waves had different distributions over the skull for both the controls and the patients. In figs 2–4 the interaction between groups and waves and derivations can be seen, the groups X waves interaction being most pronounced. The SNW of the patients starts less negatively than the SNW of the controls (fig 2). The PINV of the patients, at the end of the response, is more negative than the PINV of the controls (fig 4).

For the SNW in the patients and controls there was a significant interaction between groups and derivations (F (6, 186) = 2.35; p < 0.05). At all derivations the patients had a decreased SNW. This decrease was significant at Cz (p < 0.01), C4 (p < 0.05) and P4 (p < 0.05) (fig 2). Furthermore, there was a difference between the SNWs measured at Fz and at Cz (p < 0.01). In the controls the SNW was larger at Cz than at Fz, whereas in the patients the SNW was larger at Fz than at Cz.

With respect to the TCNV no significant interaction was found between groups and derivations. Both groups had their maximum TCNV over the vertex (fig 3). For each derivation, the patients’ TCNV and PINV were about equal in size. Their PINV was significantly more negative than that of the controls (F (1, 31) = 11.7; p < 0.01). The a posteriori Tukey-test revealed that this effect was significant at all derivations but P4: Fz p < 0.01; Cz p < 0.01; Pz p < 0.05; C3 p < 0.01; and P3 p < 0.01 (fig 4). At Cz a PINV larger than −2 μV was found in 72% (13/18) of the patients, but only in 20% (3/15) of the controls.

Examining the left-right differences (C3–C4 and P3–P4), the patients were consistently more negative at P4 than at P3 for the SNW (p < 0.05), TCNV (p < 0.05) and the PINV (p < 0.01). This effect was not encountered in the controls.

Finally, the reaction times of the controls and the patients were similar (241 ms, SD 30 ms, respectively 234 ms, SD 34 ms).

Discussion

The CNV of the Gilles de la Tourette patients differed from the controls in 2 aspects. Firstly, having a lower negative level at the beginning (SNW), the CNV of the patients ends with an increased PINV reaching a value similar to the preceding TCNV. Secondly, SNW, TCNV and PINV in the Gilles de la Tourette patients, but not in the controls, demonstrate a remarkable asymmetry characterised by a decreased right parietal (P4) negativity.

A reduced SNW is not a specific feature of Gilles de la Tourette syndrome. It has been reported in several psychiatric diseases and has been related to attentional difficulties connected with increased distraction. Distraction, irrespective of its nature (external stimuli, too low or too intense arousal, bodily discomfort) appears to be associated with decreased SNW amplitudes. Knott and Irwin have suggested that in highly emotional subjects stress, apart from distraction, adds to a smaller SNW. Gilles de la Tourette patients are easily susceptible to stress. Many of them also suffer from distraction resulting in learning disabilities. In the present study we did not assess psychometrically the level of attention disturbances. However, learning difficulties due to severe distraction were encountered in eight of the patients.

Owing to their specific obsessive-compulsive nature and as demonstrated by echo phenomena and increased distraction Gilles de la Tourette patients are easily captured by external stimuli, forcing them to
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react immediately. Therefore in our opinion the patients' lowered SNW in response to S1 may indicate the presence of a higher basic level of background activity, making it less necessary to develop the same amount of transient negativity in response to S1 as the controls. Following the reduced SNW the patients develop a normal TCNV (except at P4).

Prolongation of the CNV deflection after S2 (PINV) also has been observed in psychiatric patients. In normal subjects the PINV can develop in stressful conditions or in case of sustained information processing as a result of uncertainty about the adequacy of the motor response in relation to the significance of S2. With regard to their reaction times, the patients performed the motor responses perfectly well, at least suggesting adequate motor control over S2. However, possibly because of their obsessive-compulsive character (10 of the patients demonstrated overt obsessions and/or compulsions) associated with pathological doubt, Gilles de la Tourette patients may feel uncertain of the quality of their response. In contrast to the controls Gilles de la Tourette patients remain, at least for a while, stimulus bound. It is this uncertainty that may be reflected by the PINV.

An intriguing finding within the group of Gilles de la Tourette patients is the consistently decreased negativity of SNW, TCNV and PINV at the right parietal region (P4). Whether this electrophysiological asymmetry is restricted to the parietal region is unknown because in this study frontolateral and temporal derivations were not used. The parietal asymmetry has only been observed in the patients, making it unlikely to be caused by instrumental or methodological artifacts or by handedness. It appears from physiological studies that slow negative waves in response to meaningful stimuli are associated with an increase in cortical activity reflecting neuronal excitation necessary for problem solving. Therefore it is possible that the asymmetry between P3 and P4 indicates a (relatively, PINV) underactivated right parietal cortex. If so, our neurophysiological finding may be consistent with neuropsychological studies which have revealed in Gilles de la Tourette syndrome a decrease in visuo-perceptual, visuospatial and visuomotor abilities pointing to a dysfunction of the right parietal region.

Possibly, the asymmetric dysfunction is not restricted to the parietal region, other parts of the right hemisphere may be involved as well. How from a clinical point of view Gilles de la Tourette syndrome could be understood in terms of a right hemisphere dysfunction will have to be investigated more deeply. The right hemisphere plays a dominant role in attention processes and the ability to express affect through emotional prosody in speech (vocal tics?) and by means of facial expression and gestures (motor tics?).
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Brown-Séquard's description of spontaneous cerebellar haemorrhage

A founder-physician of the National Hospital, Queen Square, pioneer investigator of hemisection of the cord, Brown-Séquard should also be remembered for showing that the adrenal glands secreted a vital substance which we now know as cortisol.

Born in 1817, his father was a Philadelphian sea captain, Charles Edward Brown, who travelled to Mauritius and married Henriette Charlotte Perrine Séquard. He came to Paris with his mother and read Medicine, studying under circumstances of extreme poverty. Always a traveller, he taught midwifery in New York and Jurisprudence in Virginia. Through the commendation of Sir James Paget he was appointed at Queen Square in 1859, was awarded the FRCS and the FRS in 1860. Darwin sought his acclaim for his Origin of Species; he corresponded with Charcot, Huxley and Pasteur. Leaving London in 1863 he continued to hold posts at Harvard, New York, Geneva and finally settled in Paris taking his friend Claude Bernard's chair. He became a French citizen. He died of a stroke in 1894 and is buried at Montparnasse.

He provided a classic description of primary cerebellar haemorrhage,1 delivered at the Royal College of Physicians in February 1861. Brown-Séquard was then physician to the National where the same topic was covered in a combined lecture published in the Lancet “Lecture 111—part 1. Diagnosis of Haemorrhage in the Cerebellum.”2 He did not claim priority of description, referring to the papers of Hilairet in 18583 and somewhat scantily to the work of M. Serres (vide infra). He described: "1. Premonitory Symptoms: Headache, giddiness, vertigo with involuntary movements of the head on one side, numbness, formication...drowsiness, have been noted. 2. coma: 1st. The intellectual faculties may remain entire, although very grave symptoms of paralysis appear. 2nd...The patient being in a state of torpor and speaking incoherently...still able to understand. 3rd. A deep coma exists out of which it is hardly possible to rouse the patient, except for a few moments and then his only sign of intellectual life consists of opening his eyes for a short time. 3. Paralysis: 1st. In many cases...no local paralysis has been observed. The patients were weak on their limbs, or unable to stand up; 2nd. Hemiplegia is not of so frequent occurrence as it is in cases of cerebral haemorrhage...this paralysis has existed in the side opposite to that of the haemorrhage. 3rd...to distinguish the cerebellar haemorrhage from the cerebral, is the absence of facial paralysis in the first case and its almost constant existence in the second...a paralysis of one side of the face can occur in cases of haemorrhage limited to one-half of the cerebellum, and produces considerable pressure on the pons Varolli...the facial paralysis would be on the side injured in the pons, while the paralysis of the limbs would be on the opposite side. 4th...general deficiency of power in muscles of the face...loss of expression. 5th...no deviation of the tongue. 6th. Loss of speech is a less frequent symptom than in cerebral haemorrhage. 7th. A paralysis of the muscles of the globe of the eye and of masticatory muscles has not been noted. 8th. The pupils are sometimes contracted, sometimes dilated and rarely normal...therefore differ notably from the majority of cases of cerebral haemorrhage. 4. Anaesthesia and Hypoesthesia: Anaesthesia is rare...Several cases hyperaesthesia has been observed. 5. Alteration of Senses:...no difference therefor as regards the four head senses except that they are less frequently altered in cerebellar haemorrhage than in the cerebral. 6. Convulsions, Contracture: General or partial convulsions have been observed in about one-fourth of the cases...not above one tenth in cerebral haemorrhage. Convulsions are sometimes followed by contracture, or a tetanic rigidity. 7. Erection of the penis: M. Serres has published several cases...not been noted by other writers...this erection may be caused by an irritation of the pons or medulla. 8. Vomiting: This is a striking symptom of haemorrhage in the cerebellum. 9. Pulse: No difference between the cerebellar and cerebral haemorrhage...rather a slow than a rapid pulse. 10. Breathing: More common to find difficulty in breathing in cerebellar haemorrhage than cerebral haemorrhage. Death is more frequent precisely on account of the greater difficulty in breathing.”

Brown-Séquard's account tallies closely with more recent series,1 4 though modern descriptions stress the triad of contricted reactive pupils,1 periodic respiration1 and ipsilateral gaze palsy3 with a high incidence of truncal ataxia and peripheral facial palsy.4 It was left to Ballance in 1906 to first treat the condition surgically, though his case was traumatic.5
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