The anatomical basis of somaesthetic temporal discrimination in humans
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Abstract

Somaesthetic temporal discrimination (STD) is the ability to perceive as separate two successive somaesthetic stimuli applied to the same or different parts of the body. Paired electrical stimuli were applied to the index finger, using different time-intervals, to study the STD threshold (STD'T) in 84 normal subjects and 51 patients with focal cerebral lesions. Abnormal STD'T values were found on the affected side of patients with a lesion of the primary somatosensory cortex, and internal capsulathalamus. Lesions which did not produce sensory impairment but caused abnormal STD'T were located in the posterior parietal cortex, the head of the caudate nucleus, the putamen, the medial thalamus and the lenticular nucleus. Frontal, temporal and occipital cortex lesions did not produce any abnormality in the STD'T, but one patient with a bilateral lesion of the supplementary motor area (SMA) had abnormal STD'T. These results indicate that normal perception of two somaesthetic stimuli as separate in time depends not only upon the integrity of the somatosensory pathway and primary somatosensory cortex, but also of the posterior parietal cortex, SMA and subcortical structures such as the striatum and thalamus.

Somaesthetic temporal discrimination (STD'T) is the ability to perceive as separate two successive somaesthetic stimuli applied to the same or different cutaneous loci. Most studies of cutaneous sensation in humans have failed to consider in isolation the temporal component. However, somatosensory modalities such as vibratory sense, kinaesthesia, stereognosis and graphaesthesia require adequate temporal processing of afferent stimuli.1

Several techniques have been applied to assess visual and auditory temporal resolution in neurological patients.2-4 For instance, the critical flicker fusion frequency and double flash threshold tests are abnormal in patients with multiple sclerosis.5 Click fusion and click counting tests have been used successfully to evaluate patients with cortical deafness.6 Conversely, STD'T has rarely been studied in patients with cerebral lesions.8 Normal STD'T should theoretically depend upon the functional integrity of peripheral and central somaesthetic pathways and the primary somatosensory cortex (PSC). A "time-organising system" which lies in the inferior parietal lobe and the bank of the superior temporal sulcus has also been implicated in the anatomical basis of STD'T.9

We have applied a simple test, using electrical stimulation of the index finger, to assess STD'T in a large number of untrained normal subjects of all ages and in patients with focal cerebral lesions.

Subjects and method

Fifty one neurologically stable patients with focal cerebral lesions demonstrated by CT and MRI brain scan, with no evidence of any other disturbance of the peripheral or CNS, were studied and compared with 84 normal untrained subjects. The mean (SD) age was 54.2 (18) (range 24-87) for patients and 44.73 (19.7) (range 12-83) for controls. All subjects were in good general condition with a normal level of consciousness and had no language difficulty at the time of the study. Patients with a gnostic defect, right-left confusion or dyscalculia were not included in the study.

Patients were divided according to the distribution of the lesions demonstrated by CT brain scan and the presence or absence of a sensory defect in the hands. The latter was defined as normal when patients had no error in any somaesthetic test applied to the limb; mild defect when minimal errors were detected in fine sensory tasks, that is, recognising different fabrics; severe defect when clear extra- and proprioceptive abnormalities were present but patients could still feel electrical stimulation with high stimulus intensity. The primary complex of the SEP was defined as normal when the three typical waves (N-20/P-25/N-33) were recorded on parietal electrodes with normal latency and amplitude; mild abnormality when the N-20 or P-25 waves were delayed by no longer than 5 ms but had normal amplitude, and severe abnormality when no SEP potentials were recorded or were barely distinguishable. To be considered without sensory defect patients had to have normal sensa-
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repeated twice for each patient after averaging a minimum of 128 responses. Each hand was independently studied in both controls and patients. In a few patients with a severe somesthetic defect, increasing the stimulus intensity (up to six times the sensory threshold in the normal hand) was not sufficient to induce a sensation similar to the one evoked by stimulating the unaffected side. In such instances the stimulus intensity was adjusted to produce a sensation which the subject could reliably identify and which generated a sensory nerve potential with identical amplitude and latency to the one recorded in the normal hand.

With the above criteria, the three following groups were identified:

1) Patients with a capsulo-thalamic (12) or PSC (8) lesion which caused sensory loss. The lesion was in the right hemisphere in 13 patients and in the left in seven. The aetiology was infarction in 12, haemorrhage in five, tumour in two and post-traumatic scar in one;

2) Cortical without sensory defect (17). The topography of the lesions in these patients was anterior frontal in six (one in the right and five in the left hemisphere), temporal in four (one in the right and three in the left hemisphere) and occipital in four (two in the right and two in the left hemisphere). The aetiology of the lesion was infarction in eight, haemorrhage in three and tumour (glioma) in three. Two patients had infarction of the posterior parietal (area 5-7) and one patient had a bilateral lesion (postsurgery) of the supplementary motor area (SMA).

3) Subcortical without sensory defect. This group includes 14 patients with no evidence of damage to the somesthetic pathways. Lesions were sited in the head of the caudate nucleus and the anterior limb of the internal capsule (5), the putamen (3), the thalamus (4, 3 medially and 1 anterolaterally placed) and the right lenticular nucleus in one patient and bilaterally in two (5). The aetiology was infarction in 12 patients (thromboembolic in two and atherosclerotic lacunar in 10) and anoxia and cyanide poisoning in the two patients with bilateral lenticular lesions. None of the patients included in this group had large lesions causing distortion or impinging upon the ventricles and brainstem. Patients were studied in a chronic stage when oedema had probably disappeared and was not detected by CT scan.

**Method**

The tests were carried out in a quiet room at a temperature of 20–22°C with the subjects comfortably lying on a couch. The total duration of each test varied between one to three hours including resting periods.

The temporal discrimination threshold was

---

**Table Distribution of lesions and effect on somesthetic temporal discrimination threshold (STDT)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topography of the lesion</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Normal side mean, SD (range)</th>
<th>Side with lesion mean, SD (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A With sensory defect and abnormal STDT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex 3-1 and thalamo-cortical radiations</td>
<td>8 (R7, L1)</td>
<td>29-2, 11-3 (15-47)</td>
<td>627.3, 199.2* (318.75-900)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsulo-Thalamic</td>
<td>12 (R6, L6)</td>
<td>31-8, 5-3 (20-41.2)</td>
<td>113, 22.4* (80-150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Without sensory defect and with normal STDT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefrontal, temporal, occipital</td>
<td>14 (R4, L10)</td>
<td>28-8, 7-2 (18-7-38.7)</td>
<td>29-6, 8-7 (15-43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Without sensory defect and with abnormal STDT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior parietal</td>
<td>2 (R1, L1)</td>
<td>25, 27</td>
<td>127.3/130.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>1 (bilateral)</td>
<td></td>
<td>85-1 (L)/105 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Capsule-Head of caudate nucleus</td>
<td>5 (R1, L4)</td>
<td>27-5, 9-75 (21-25-41-25)</td>
<td>95.2, 11.1* (85-108-75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>3 (R2, L1)</td>
<td>29-5, 8-9 (20-37.5)</td>
<td>80, 8.2 (72.5-78.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenticular nucleus</td>
<td>1 R 2 bilateral</td>
<td>33-3</td>
<td>88.7, 7.4 (76-2-89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus (3 medial, 1, anterolateral)</td>
<td>4 (R2, L2)</td>
<td>30-9, 5-1 (26-25-38-75)</td>
<td>60, 4.3* (56-2-60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Lesion in the right (R) or left (L) hemisphere.

*p < 0.001.
evaluated by applying the "method of limits".\textsuperscript{10} The minimal time-interval required for a pair-stimuli to be felt as separated in time was assessed by increasing the time-difference between the stimuli, starting with a 5 ms interval, until the subject recognised the double stimulation (ascending temporal discrimination threshold = ATDT). Subsequently, starting with an interstimuli difference that allowed clear separation of both stimuli, the intervals were reduced until the subject could only recognise one stimulus (descending temporal discrimination threshold = DTDT). Both series were repeated on six occasions using different time-intervals intermixed randomly and also introducing pair-stimuli with a zero time-interval to minimise the risk of a learning and prediction effect upon the answers. This procedure also allowed us to check that due attention was maintained by the subject under examination. The arithmetic mean of both threshold values (ascending and descending "TDVT") was used as the final figure for statistical evaluation. Between the minimal and maximal threshold values there existed a period of "discriminative hesitation", at which time-intervals many subjects had difficulty in deciding whether the stimulation consisted of a single or double shock. Such sensations were described as "one but longer or stronger stimulus", or "two but almost continuous stimuli". In such instances the experimenter always proceeded to take as the STDT value a shorter or longer time-interval which gave rise to a clearly unitary or dual sensation. Before starting the study, the subjects received a clear explanation of the nature and purpose of the test and practical examples of double and single stimulation were given to ensure that they understood the method. Special emphasis was given to the absence of a time constraint when giving the answer, and that the test could be repeated as many times as necessary before producing a definitive answer. Subjects (both control and patients) showing signs of distraction, difficulty in reliably carrying out the test or, who did not tolerate electrical stimulation to the index finger were not included in this investigation.

In five normal subjects the study was repeated on five different days to assess the reproducibility of the test. No significant intra-individual nor inter-individual variation (two-ways ANOVA with replication) for the STDT values were found in these subjects upon repeated examination on different days. Results are reported as the mean standard deviation and range. ANOVA and t test were used for statistical analysis.

**Results**

**A) General findings**

The mean (SD) STDT value in the control group was 30-68 (8-87) ms (range 15-55-65) in the right and 30-77 (8-78) ms (range 15-58-75) in the left hand \( (t = 0.97 \ p > 0.05) \). The mean (SD) STDT value for the patient group was 29-65 (7-36) ms (range 15-43) on the normal side (ipsilateral to the lesion) and 172-75 (225-9) ms (range 15-900) in the affected hand (contralateral to the lesion; \( t = 4.20; \ p < 0.001 \)). Thirty seven patients had a mean (SD) STDT values (233-5 (246-1) ms, range 61-900) falling at least three standard deviation beyond the mean normal value.

In patients with a left cortical lesion \( (n = 13) \) mean (SD) STDT on the contralateral hand was 103-6 (167) ms (range 15-575) and in patients with a right cortical lesion \( (n = 13) \) mean (SD) STDT (contralateral hand) was 339-67 (339-95) ms, (range 16-25-900; \( t = 2.02; \ p > 0.05 \)). There was also no significant difference in the STDT values \( (t = 1.446; \ p > 0.05) \) obtained in the affected hand between patients with subcortical lesions in the right \( (n = 14) \) [STDT = 108-9 (23-4) ms, range 56-25-126] and left hemisphere \( (n = 15) \) [STDT = 94-7 (20-5) ms, range 58-75-120]. No patient had an abnormal STDT on the side ipsilateral to the lesion.

**B) Anatomical Correlations**

Patients with a severe sensory defect secondary to a lesion of the somatosensory pathway and PSC showed the greatest defect in STDT (table 1–A). The effect of somatosensory cortex lesion (S-I) was significantly greater [STDT...
Figure 4. CT brain scan (A and B) at the level of the third ventricle from patients with focal lesions sparing the somatosensory pathway but abnormal STDT (see table). A. Left medial thalamic infarction. B. Left putaminal infarction. C. Bilateral lenticular and caudate nuclei hypoxic infarction.

Discussion

Normal values for STDT in the upper limb varied from 10 to 40 ms in most studies. Our results in normal subjects confirm previous findings. The technique applied in the study is easy to perform and the values obtained are fairly constant for the normal population. The time course of the peripheral nerve recovery curve does not explain STDT values because the amplitude of the sensory nerve action potential is 100% recovered for time-intervals of 5–10 ms. Accordingly, a subject’s inability to distinguish two stimuli with intervals above 10 ms must depend upon central sensory mechanisms.

In the only previous study of the STDT in patients with neurological disorders, Green et al. found that peripheral nerve and posterior column lesions caused no abnormality in the STDT. Our results also indicate that the somatosensory cortex, when spared, can partially compensate for the defective arrival of cutaneous input. On the other hand, lesions of the PSC caused the greatest abnormality in the STDT, in keeping with the paramount role of this area in somesthetic function. The great effect of posterior parietal cortex lesions on the STDT strongly suggests that this region is involved in the temporal perception and resolution of paired stimuli. This is not surprising since unidirectional recording of neuronal responses in the posterior parietal cortex indicates that areas 5 and 7 are highly activated by joint and skin stimulation, particularly during discriminative tasks.

General

seen in patients with PSC lesion. The sensory threshold for detection of the electrical stimuli was absolutely normal in this subgroup (table C) compared with either their normal side or control subjects. Interestingly, lesions of the posterior parietal cortex produced STDT values (mean 129-2 ms) slightly higher than subcortical capsulo-thalamic lesions of the somesthetic pathway [mean (SD) 113 (22-4) ms].

627.3 (199-2) versus 113 (22-4), p < 0.001] than capsulo-thalamic lesion, even when the degree of sensory loss was similar (figs 1 and 2). Lesions located in the anterior pole of the frontal lobe, temporal and occipital lobe caused no abnormality in the STDT (table 1-B).

In 17 patients (table 1-C) in whom no sensory loss was appreciated on clinical and electrophysiological examination (figs 3 and 4), the STDT was abnormal, although the actual values (table 1-C) were not as high as those...
requirements for normal temporal discrimination are that the subject is alert and capable of focusing attention on the stimulated limb, while ignoring other non-relevant stimuli, which may occur during the test. Sensory tasks requiring the subjects to direct their attention to a finger to detect threshold stimuli are associated with increased cerebral blood flow in the contralateral somatosensory cortex, but also in the posterior parietal region and prefrontal cortex. Growing evidence has accumulated on the specialised role of the right hemisphere in attention and intention related to external space. The very abnormal STDT values in patients with parietal lesion could have been due not only to the critical role and areas 5 and 7 in somatosensory processing, but because the lesion was in the right hemisphere in eight of the 11 patients. Conversely, no difference in STDT values for patients with right and left subcortical lesions was seen.

The high incidence of abnormal STDT in patients with basalganglia and mediolateral thalamic lesions was totally unexpected, since these structures are primarily involved in motor control. We do not believe that a non-specific effect of the lesions included here could explain these results. In most patients the lesion consisted of infarction restricted to the putamen, caudate and thalamic nuclei and the study was conducted when the acute effect of the lesion had disappeared. Furthermore, neuroimaging in these patients showed neither displacement nor collapse of the third ventricle which could compromise the somatosensory pathways. The main cortical output from the basalganglia goes via the lateral thalamus to the SMA, which in turn projects heavily to the superior parietal lobe. Neuronal firing in prefrontal and premotor areas is enhanced during new cognitive tasks. It is possible that basalganglia and thalamic lesions could negatively influence the neuronal activity underlying the normal STDT by way of their projections to the SMA. The finding of an abnormal STDT in the only patient we were able to study with a bilateral SMA lesion agrees with this explanation, which nevertheless must be considered preliminary and subject to further testing.

Recently, Ivry and Keele reported an abnormal capacity of patients with lesions of the cerebellum to discriminate small changes in the time interval between two consecutive auditory tones. In addition, cerebellar patients showed a deficit in producing repetitive finger movements in accordance with an externally given rhythm. From these observations Ivry and Keele proposed that the cerebellum plays an essential timing function, not only related to motor control but also to perceptive and cognitive mechanisms. Our experience certainly indicates that integrity of other brain structures is equally essential to guarantee normal time perception. Arguably, the brain areas whose integrity we have found necessary for normal STD are specific to the somatosensory modality, while the cerebellum could play a more general role in time perception. In Parkinson’s disease, however, we have found a marked alteration of the TDT for somatosensory visual and auditory stimuli without any primary sensory defect. Thus we believe that the basal ganglia also has a general role in temporal discrimination across modalities.
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