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Abstract
The effect on interictal electroencephalographic epileptic activity of intravenous flumazenil (Ro 15-1788), a benzodiazepine antagonist and potential antiepileptic drug, was studied in 10 patients. Comparison was made with intravenous diazepam (10 mg) and placebo using a single-blind, single-dose, cross-over design. A dose of 3 mg flumazenil was well tolerated and produced a significantly greater reduction in the number of epileptic transients during the first 40 minutes after injection than did placebo (p < 0.05). This effect was similar to that of diazepam in magnitude and duration. When flumazenil (3 mg) was administered immediately after intravenous diazepam (10 mg), the reduction in interictal epileptic activity was not significantly different from that produced by diazepam alone. The results suggest that either flumazenil has intrinsic antiepileptic activity and in this respect acts as a partial agonist at the benzodiazepine receptor, or that it is antagonising an endogenous proconvulsant ligand in these patients.

Methods
The study was a single-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, cross-over, single-dose study of the effects of intravenous flumazenil (0.5, 1.0 and 3.0 mg) compared with intravenous placebo and intravenous diazepam (10 mg) on the IEA of adult inpatients with epileptic seizures of various types (table 1). The doses of flumazenil were chosen on the basis of the work of others using PET scanning which suggests that 1 or 2 mg flumazenil should produce about 50% receptor occupation in the brain.10

Ten patients were studied (table 1). All were resident at an epilepsy centre, and each patient had consistent IEA in the EEG. Patients were excluded if they had received BDZs in the month before entry (except as a single dose at least one week before study), if they had a history of sensitivity or allergy to BDZs, if they suffered from any relevant organic systemic or psychiatric diseases other than epilepsy, or if they were taking more than three AEDs or had had changes made to their AEDs in the month before entry. Patients were also excluded if they had clinically relevant abnormal laboratory tests on entry to the study, and female patients were required to have a negative pregnancy test. Written consent was obtained from each patient, and the study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the National Hospital for Nervous Diseases.

The study days for each patient were separated by intervals of not less than one week. During the week before the first study day, a medical history was taken, patients had a full physical and neurological examination, and baseline laboratory tests (haematology, serum chemistry, including plasma levels of concomitant AEDs, and urinalysis) were per-
formed. A recent routine EEG examination was also available for all patients.

On each of the first five study days patients received in a single-blind manner an intravenous injection of either placebo, flumazenil 0·5 mg, flumazenil 1 mg, flumazenil 3 mg, or diazepam 10 mg, the sequence of drugs being random. EEG monitoring was carried out for 60 minutes before and after administration of the drug. The electrodes were placed according to the International 10–20 system and recording was made from the lateral ring of electrodes. Vital signs (pulse, blood pressure and temperature) were monitored prior to the drug being given and at 15 and 30 minutes afterwards, and blood samples for estimation of AEDs were taken 10 minutes prior to the test drug being given and at five, 30 and 60 minutes afterwards. Efforts were made to ensure that as far as possible a uniform level of alertness was maintained during the study. After each study day patients were questioned about possible side effects.

On the sixth study day, in order to assess the effect of flumazenil given in conjunction with diazepam on IEA, 10 mg diazepam followed immediately by 3 mg flumazenil (chosen because this was the dose which had previously been most effective) was given by slow intravenous injection. This part of the study was not carried out “blind”. EEG monitoring and monitoring of vital signs were carried out as for the other study days, but blood samples were not taken. Seven patients were studied for this sixth day.

Following the last study day a further physical and neurological examination was undertaken and the laboratory tests carried out at the beginning of the study were repeated.

IEA was quantified in the lead in which the epileptic discharges were most clearly defined. Counting of the epileptic transients was carried out blind to the drug given, the counts being divided into two-minute epochs. The epileptic abnormalities taken into account were spikes, polyspikes, spike and waves, and sharp waves. A spike was defined as a wave distinguished from background activity owing to its abrupt occurrence, its higher amplitude than background activity, and having a duration of less than 70 ms. Polyspikes were constituted by the succession of several isolated spikes, and were counted as single epileptic transients. Spike and waves consisted of spikes followed by a slow delta wave, with a frequency of 2·5–5 Hz. Sharp waves were defined as isolated slow waves mainly of delta type, notched by a spike in their ascending or descending part, and of not more than 200 ms duration. They were included in the quantification where they were clearly of epileptic origin, that is, accompanied by spikes, present in adjacent channels, or where they were phase-reversing.

In view of the short half-life of flumazenil, and in order not to miss a short-lived effect, the time after drug administration was divided into three 20 minute time intervals (0–20 mins, 20–40 mins, 40–60 mins). To assess the efficacy of each drug in reducing IEA multivariate analysis of variance was performed on the square roots of the means of the spike counts, which were normally distributed, using contrasts to compare the effect of each drug with placebo, the effect of time following drug administration with the period before drug administration, and the interaction between the two. When comparing the results of the sixth study day, only those seven patients taking part were included in the analysis.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of the patients taking part in the study. Figure 1 shows a graph of the mean counts of epileptic transients against time.

During the first two 20 minute periods following drug administration, flumazenil 3 mg was associated with a significantly greater reduction in IEA than placebo (p < 0·01 and p < 0·05 respectively) (table 2). Diazepam 10 mg was also associated with a significantly greater reduction in IEA than placebo in the time period 20–40 minutes (p < 0·05).

### Table 1  Details of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age at onset of epilepsy</th>
<th>Aetiology of epilepsy</th>
<th>Seizure types</th>
<th>Concurrent AEDs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cryptogenic</td>
<td>B/C</td>
<td>CBZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cryptogenic</td>
<td>B/C</td>
<td>SVP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Post-traumatic</td>
<td>A/B/C</td>
<td>CBZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Post-traumatic</td>
<td>B/C</td>
<td>PHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cryptogenic</td>
<td>B/C</td>
<td>PHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Perinatal injury</td>
<td>B/C</td>
<td>PHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cryptogenic</td>
<td>B/C</td>
<td>CBZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cryptogenic</td>
<td>B/C</td>
<td>CBZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Post-traumatic</td>
<td>B/C</td>
<td>CBZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cryptogenic</td>
<td>A/B/C</td>
<td>CBZ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A = simple partial seizures; B = complex partial seizures; C = secondarily generalised seizures. CBZ = carbamazepine; LTG = lamotrigine; MSM = methsuximide; PHB = phenobarbitone; PHT = phenytoin; PRM = primidone; SVP = sodium valproate.
Table 3 shows the comparison between the effect on IEA of flumazenil 3 mg given in conjunction with diazepam against that of placebo, flumazenil 3 mg and diazepam 10 mg. Although it was not quantified objectively, the drowsiness which tended to occur following administration of diazepam alone appeared considerably reduced when the drug was given in conjunction with flumazenil. The effect of the two drugs given in combination was significantly greater than that of placebo for the first 40 minutes following injection (p < 0.01 and p < 0.05 for the periods 0–20 and 20–40 minutes, respectively). No significant difference could be demonstrated between the effect of the two drugs together and that of diazepam given alone for the whole hour following drug administration. When the effect of flumazenil 3 mg given alone was compared with that of the two drugs together, however, the effects were only comparable for the first 20 minutes following injection.

Minor changes only were noted in the biochemical and haematological parameters measured on the screening days at the beginning and end of the study, and none of these was considered to be of clinical importance. No significant changes were caused by flumazenil in any of the blood levels of the concomitant AEDs. No direct relationship was found between plasma concentration of flumazenil and effect on IEA.

No major adverse effects to flumazenil were noted on study days. One patient became unexpectedly tearful and upset after being given flumazenil in conjunction with diazepam, but she had not shown any untoward reaction when given flumazenil alone. Another patient was sedated excessively and developed respiratory depression when given diazepam alone, and had to be excluded from the second phase of the study.

Discussion

The major finding of the present study is that flumazenil, a BDZ antagonist, has an antiepileptic action equivalent to that of diazepam in a model for screening potential AEDs. The suppression of interictal activity is a simple and rapid method for the preliminary assessment of potential AEDs, which has been shown to demonstrate anticonvulsant activity of a number of established AEDs including sodium valproate, phenytoin, and diazepam. It does have limitations; for instance, the amount of IEA does not necessarily reflect seizure frequency (and with some drugs, such as carbamazepine, IEA may even increase despite decreased seizure frequency), and there is also commonly considerable variation in counts of epileptic transients between individuals and in the same individual at different times, in part associated with variables such as time of day and the degree of alertness of the patient. Nevertheless, this study demonstrated a significant antiepileptic action of diazepam. Surprisingly in this group of patients intravenous flumazenil at a dose of 3 mg was also effective in suppressing IEA, the magnitude of its effect being comparable with that of 10 mg diazepam for the first 40 minutes after administration. The onset of action of intravenous flumazenil was faster than that of diazepam, occurring
within minutes of injection. Smaller doses of flumazenil were not demonstrated to have a significant effect on IEA, although a trend in this direction at 0–20 minutes was seen for each dose.

The mechanism by which flumazenil, a BDZ antagonist, may display antiepileptic action may be surmised from our current knowledge of the BDZ receptor. This suggests that the BDZ receptor is an integral part of the GABA, receptor complex, and acts as a modulatory unit, with its ligands altering the GABA-mediated gating of the chloride channel in the receptor complex. The BDZ receptor has been postulated to be unusual in having three types of ligand. In this model, the classic benzodiazepines are thought to be agonists, which stabilise an “active” conformation of the receptor, associated with an increase in the effects of the inhibitory transmitter GABA, and are thus anticonvulsant and anxiolytic, while inverse agonists such as ethyl-6-carboline-3-carboxylate (β-CC) bind to a different conformation, decreasing the action of the BDZ receptor and have proconvulsant and anxiogenic effects. Antagonists such as flumazenil are thought to have affinity for both forms or for a “neutral” conformation, leaving the equilibrium unaltered. It has become clear, however, that full agonists and inverse agonists represent the two ends of a spectrum, in that partial agonists and partial inverse agonists with some intrinsic activity in either direction also exist.

Based on this model, there are three possible explanations for the action of flumazenil in reducing IEA. First, it may act as a partial agonist, producing an anticonvulsant effect at high doses which approximate to receptor saturation. Second, it may antagonise the action of an endogenous proconvulsant ligand, that would be contributing to the IEA (and possibly the epileptic activity) in these patients. The third possibility is that the “set point” of the BDZ receptor spectrum is different in people with epilepsy compared with controls, so that flumazenil is, even at low doses, a weak partial agonist.

Dissociating these possibilities is not easy. The coming availability of partial agonists such as Ro 16–6028 will allow the testing of such compounds on IEA. If they are less effective than flumazenil this will argue against the partial agonist theory. A variety of endogenous ligands has been suggested but, with the exception of desmethyldiazepam none has been properly confirmed. One possible candidate is diazepam binding inhibitor (DBI) which has been reported to have inverse agonist properties. To date, levels of DBI in cerebrospinal fluid appear not to have been measured in epilepsy, although they are increased in depression. Another purported endogenous inverse agonist is tribulin, whose concentration is elevated in benzodiazepine withdrawal. Further studies on the cerebrospinal fluid and post-mortem brains of people with epilepsy are warranted.

The third possibility is that the receptor set point is shifted in the agonist direction in people with epilepsy. This would make flumazenil a partial agonist, rather than an antagonist. A receptor shift in the other direction has been reported in benzodiazepine withdrawal, so the reverse is possible. This study would be the first evidence for what might be an adaptive response to seizure activity. It should, however, be noted that many of the AEDs that the patients were taking may also interact with BDZ receptors, for example, phenytoin has been shown to increase BDZ binding and function, and barbiturates interact indirectly with benzodiazepine binding. Carbamazepine can act at the BDZ receptor in high doses and valproate may act at the GABA/BDZ receptor complex. In view of these complicating interactions it will be of great interest to try flumazenil in people with untreated epilepsy.

It may be that flumazenil will prove to have anticonvulsant action in full seizures as well as on IEA. If this is the case, then a major advantage would be that it does not have the sedative properties of diazepam and many of the other commonly used BDZs. Further studies into the potential of flumazenil as an AED, especially in emergency settings where its safety would be of great merit, are warranted. Additionally, it would be important to assess whether oral administration.

Another possible use of flumazenil may be in preventing the development of tolerance in patients with epilepsy responding to BDZs. Gonsalves and Gallager have shown in experiments with rodents and baboons that a single exposure to flumazenil during BDZ treatment can prevent tolerance, and that periodic administration can prevent the subsequent development of withdrawal responses. However, in animal studies of chemically-induced seizures, flumazenil abolishes the anticonvulsant action of the BDZs. It may be that this antagonistic action at the BDZ receptor. If this were seen clinically, there would also be a risk of further seizures if flumazenil were given to reverse BDZ tolerance in patients with epilepsy. In our study, however, flumazenil did not diminish the reduction in IEA caused by diazepam, although it did appear to reverse the drowsiness; further studies are warranted to confirm this observation. These findings are consistent with a partial agonist effect of flumazenil blocking the sedative effects of the full agonist diazepam without decreasing the anticonvulsant ones. They offer hope that the administration of flumazenil to patients already on BDZs may not precipitate withdrawal or promote seizures. Thus studies into the possibility of using flumazenil to prevent the development of tolerance during BDZ use may well be practicable.

In summary, we have shown that intravenous flumazenil reduces IEA and was well tolerated without significant side effects. Added to this, the possibility of a true antiepileptic effect of diazepam. These findings may be evidence for proconvulsant endogenous ligands or altered receptor function in epilepsy, and could lead to new treatments for seizures and for BDZ tolerance.
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