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Abstract
Clinical case reports suggest that viscosity, the behavioural tendency to talk repetitively and circumstantially about a restricted range of topics, is common in patients with temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE). Such patients are also reported to exhibit heightened levels of social cohesion, the tendency to become interpersonally “clingy”. This “sticky” interpersonal style may be particularly common in TLE patients with a left-sided temporal lobe seizure focus. To test this hypothesis, self-report and observer rating scales were developed to assess both viscosity and social cohesion. Subjects consisted of patients with right, left, or bilateral temporal lobe seizure foci, absence or primary generalised tonic-clonic seizures, psychiatric controls (panic disorder patients), and normal controls. Elevations on the viscosity scale were observed primarily in TLE patients with left or bilateral seizure foci. Viscosity scores also correlated with seizure duration and left handedness. No group differences were observed on the social cohesion scale. These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that viscosity results from subtle interlingual language disturbances, although other pathogenetic mechanisms are discussed.

Diverse and unusual personality traits have been attributed to patients with temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE). One such trait has been termed “viscosity”. This trait has had two meanings in the epilepsy literature: stickiness of thought processes or enhanced interpersonal adhesiveness, also referred to as increased social cohesion. Such patients are often described as talking repetitively and circumstantially, sticking to restricted topics, and having difficulty in breaking off conversations. Increased social cohesion is characterised by a tendency to form clinging relationships with family members and close friends. Empirical evidence to support the observations noted in these clinical case reports has been provided by Bear et al. In a blinded comparison of TLE patients and mixed psychiatric control patients, they found that viscosity, rated from structured psychiatric interviews, was the best of 14 behavioural traits at discriminating between the two groups. Our understanding as to why some TLE patients apparently develop viscosity and increased social cohesion is lacking. Animal experiments have shown that discrete limbic lesions alter social awareness and increase desire to maintain contacts with other animals of the same or different species. Specifically, rats with septal lesions exhibit strong tendencies to remain in contact with each other in an open field and if left alone appear fearful and will actively approach cats. Alternatively, several investigators have observed high rates of language disturbance in TLE patients, particularly those with dominant hemisphere epileptic foci. Mayeux et al reported a significant correlation between impaired naming and circumstantial speech, suggesting that viscosity may be caused by subtle interlingual linguistic disruption rather than a personality trait. One might predict, therefore, a greater likelihood of viscosity in TLE patients with left as opposed to right temporal lobe foci. Hoepner et al administered the “cookie theft” card of the Boston diagnostic aphasia examination to patients with temporal lobe, generalised, and simple partial epilepsy, and controls. Taped responses were blindly rated. All four patients with verbose and circumstantial responses had left temporal foci. With the Bear-Fedio self-report viscosity scale, Brandt et al also found a laterality effect—that is, left TLE patients exhibited greater viscosity than right TLE patients; but other investigators, using this same scale, have not found a laterality effect. These contradictory findings may have resulted from the small number of items (five) that compose the Bear-Fedio viscosity scale. Furthermore, the scale fails to discriminate repetitive circumstantial speech from increased social cohesion. Possibly laterality effects, when observed, are associated with one of these behaviours and not the other.

We developed comprehensive self-report and rater scales for both viscosity, defined strictly as repetitive and circumstantial speech, and social cohesiveness. We embedded these two scales within a longer test, which provides additional scales for paranoid tendencies and a defensive response style (that is, the L scale from the Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory). The scales were administered to patients with left, right, or bilateral TLE, patients with absence or generalised epilepsy, a psychiatric control group consisting of panic disorder patients, and normal controls. To gain a better understanding of possible aetiological factors...
associated with these behavioural traits, we correlated test scores with seizure variables (duration, frequency) and handedness.

**Material and methods**

**Subjects**
A total of 118 subjects participated in this study: 18 with temporal lobe epilepsy—left hemisphere focus (TLE-L), 21 with temporal lobe epilepsy—right hemisphere focus (TLE-R), 19 with temporal lobe epilepsy—bilateral foci (TLE-B), 12 with absence or generalised seizures (ABS-GEN), 25 with panic disorders (PANIC) serving as a psychiatric control group, and 23 normal controls (NORMAL). In 53 of the 58 TLE patients, the seizure focus was identified by prolonged inpatient video-EEG monitoring and recording of ictal events. TLE-B patients had bilateral, independent, interictal epileptiform discharges or had non-lateralised seizure onsets during video-EEG monitoring. For the five TLE patients who did not undergo video-EEG monitoring, lateralisation was based on findings of interictal epileptiform activity on at least two EEGs and no evidence of contralateral interictal epileptiform activity. Patients with primary generalised epilepsy, all of whom continued to have absence seizures at the time of study, were diagnosed with at least two EEGs which were consistent with bilaterally synchronous and symmetrical 3–4.5 Hz spike-and-wave complexes. No clinical features of partial seizures or evidence of focal epileptiform activity were noted in the ABS-GEN group. We excluded seizure patients with well-defined brain injury or disease (ie patients with temporal lobe resections, tumours, stroke, severe head trauma). Patients with histories of substance abuse or mental retardation were also excluded. The diagnosis of panic disorder was based on DSM-III-R criteria. The normal control group was recruited by posted advertisement; potential subjects were excluded if they had a history of neurological or psychiatric disorders. Handedness was assessed with a modified Edinburgh scale. Demographic and seizure statistics are presented in the table. Significant (p < 0.05) group differences were observed for age (NORMAL > PANIC > TLE-R), education (NORMAL > TLE-R), and occupational status (NORMAL > all seizure groups). To correct for these demographic mismatches, all statistical group comparisons with behavioural data (see results below) were performed with the analysis of covariance with age, education, and occupational status serving as covariates.

Among the seizure patients, no significant group differences were observed in duration or frequency of seizures. TLE-L and TLE-B patients were more likely to be left handed or ambidextrous (22% and 26%, respectively) than the other four groups combined (10%).

**Behavioural Measures**
The questionnaires were specifically designed for this study. All subjects completed a 32 item true-false questionnaire (see appendix) that yielded scores to four summary scales: viscosity (nine items), social cohesion (13 items), paranoia (five items), and five items from the lie scale of the MMPI. Internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha40) of the scales ranged from r = 0.55 (social cohesion) to r = 0.61 (paranoia); test-retest reliability was not evaluated. Similar traits were also rated by a close relative (spouse, parent, sibling) or friend with a 24 item true-false questionnaire (see appendix): viscosity (11 items), social cohesion (seven items), and paranoia (six items). Ratings were obtained for 100 of the 118 subjects. A third of the raters were friends. Internal consistency ranged from r = 0.61 (social cohesion) to r = 0.84 (viscosity). Combining the groups, the self-report and rater versions of each scale were found to be significantly correlated: viscosity (r = 0.34, p < 0.001), social cohesion (r = 0.34, p < 0.001), and paranoia (r = 0.41, p < 0.001).

**Statistical analysis**
For each scale, group differences were analysed with analysis of variance. Significant group effects were then subjected to Tukey B posthoc analyses to determine which groups were significantly different from each other. Correlational analyses were performed to assess the relation between seizure variables and scale scores. Given the large number of analyses performed, a more conservative alpha level (p = 0.01) was selected. In the light of the exploratory nature of the study, however, non-significant trends (0.01 < p < 0.05) are also reported.

**Results**
No significant group differences (p > 0.10) were observed on the social cohesion or paranoia scales for either method of administration (self-report or rater); likewise, no differences were observed on the self-report lie scale. The figure presents the mean viscosity scores along with the standard error of the mean for each group. For the self-report scale,

**Table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sex (F/M)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Education (years)</th>
<th>Occupation (0–4 pts)</th>
<th>Left-handed No (%)</th>
<th>Seizure duration (years)</th>
<th>Seizure frequency (per year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TLE—left focus</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4 (22)</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLE—right focus</td>
<td>9/12</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>18 ± 7</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLE—bilateral</td>
<td>12/8</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5 (26)</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS-GEN</td>
<td>9/3</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>19 ± 5</td>
<td>61 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>22/3</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal controls</td>
<td>12/11</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLE = temporal lobe epilepsy.
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Discussion

Our results suggest that viscosity, as measured by our self-report and rater scales, is a specific behavioural trait more commonly observed in epileptic patients with left-sided or bilateral temporal lobe seizure foci. Unlike those reported by Brandt et al., our patients with generalised seizures exhibited significantly less viscosity on our self-report and rater scales than the TLE patients. This indicates that viscosity is not necessarily associated with having epilepsy. Mayeux et al. previously suggested that viscosity is caused by deficits in language (such as anomia) or verbal memory or both in association with dominant hemisphere brain involvement. In contrast, Hoeppner et al. found that language and memory test performance was no more impaired in their verbos TLE-L patients than in their TLE-L patients not exhibiting verbosity, suggesting that other factors may contribute to viscosity in TLE patients. Patients with anomia caused by structural lesions such as stroke do not typically display viscosity. Thus anomia and language dysfunction may be necessary, but not sufficient, conditions for the development of epilepsy-related viscosity.

As an alternative hypothesis, we speculate that viscosity is caused by a critical deficit in the TLE patients' ability to perceive social cues in conversation. These cues may include posture, facial expressions, and eye contact, in addition to linguistic communication. During normal conversation, participants assume mutual responsibility for assuring that what is said has been heard and understood before the conversation proceeds. TLE patients with viscosity may fail to perceive that the listener has understood what was said, resulting in speech repetition or disjointed conversational switches. In addition, mental slowing, which is common in epilepsy patients partly due to seizure medications, may contribute to the perception of viscosity by observers. Future studies, with recently developed methods for assessing conversational communication, may shed light on viscosity in TLE patients.

Not all of our TLE-L and TLE-B patients exhibited signs of viscosity. Scores on the viscosity scale correlated with duration of the seizure disorder in our left and bilateral TLE patients. Hoeppner et al. also noted that their four verbos patients with left TLE had longer seizure durations than their five non-verbos patients with left TLE. These findings suggest that viscosity develops in adults with TLE-L after years of seizure activity. Alternatively, TLE patients with viscosity may have more extensive dominant hemisphere damage than patients without viscosity and, as a consequence, are more likely to develop seizures at an earlier age. We did not find a significant correlation between age at seizure onset and viscosity, thereby supporting the former "duration" hypothesis. Our TLE-L and TLE-B patients had a greater proportion of left than right handers, and the left handers were more likely to exhibit viscosity. We speculate that left handedness is associated with early dominant hemisphere brain damage, so-called pathological left handedness, resulting in abnormal development of linguistic communication skills.

Our study is the first to assess viscosity separately from its related personality trait, social cohesion. Our data do not support the hypothesis that social cohesion is more common in TLE patients. Alternatively, our scale, as currently designed, may simply not be sensitive to the trait of social cohesiveness. Further reliability and validity research is needed to determine the utility of our scales in future neurobehavioural investigations of TLE patients.


Appendix

Self report scale
1 Most any time I would rather sit and daydream than do anything else / SC-f/
2 I have never told a lie in my whole life / L-t/
3 I prefer to pass by old friends or people I know but have not seen for a long time, unless they speak to me first / SC-f/

Figure Mean self-report and rater scores on the viscosity scale for left, right, and bilateral temporal lobe epilepsy patients (TLE-L, TLE-R, and TLE-B, respectively), patients with absence or generalised seizures (ABS-GEN), panic disorder patients (PANIC), and normal controls (NORMAL). Error bars = standard error of mean.
4 I sometimes keep on at a thing until others lose their patience with me. /V-t/  
5 Things have never gone my way; I rarely get lucky breaks /P-t/  
6 I love to go to parties, even if I know only one or two other people /SC-t/  
7 I sometimes worry that people are talking about me in a "not so nice way" /SC-t/  
8 I need more time to myself than most people do /SC-f/  
9 My fingernails sometimes burn and tingle /L-t/  
10 Most people are boring; they talk about trivia and waste my time /SC-f/  
11 I have never been embarrassed /L-t/  
12 I always prefer to be in the company of at least one other person /SC-t/  
13 I am very religious (more than most people) /L-t/  
14 I would almost always prefer a long conversation with a friend than sit with a friend watching a beautiful sunset /V-t/  
15 My phone conversations are usually ended by the person I am talking to /V-t/  
16 I hate to leave anything unfinished /V-t/  
17 I often get pain and an itching sensation right behind my knees /L-t/  
18 Sometimes I have to tell people several times to give them details (to make sure they get it right) /V-t/  
19 I am basically a loner /SC-f/ the point /V-t/  
20 I often worry that someone has done something wrong or harmful to me /P-t/  
21 Even when I am with people I feel lonely much of the time /SC-t/  
22 I hate to say goodbye /V-t/  
23 People tend to interrupt me when I'm trying to make a point /V-t/  
24 I don't like to be alone for more than an hour or two /SC-t/  
25 I dislike people around me /SC-f/  
26 I like to poke my nose into other people's business /SC-t/  
27 I have many friends /SC-t/  
28 People tend to take advantage of me /P-t/  
29 I enjoy spending time with friends /P-t/  
30 I often get involved in a controversy or discussion /P-t/  
31 Sometimes I mind my own business /SC-f/  
32 I am often suspicious of what other people are thinking or doing, that it may be harmful to me /P-t/  

Rater scale  
1 He/She can talk your ear off /V-t/  
2 He/She enjoys his/her own company more than that of other people /SC-f/  
3 When he/she gets involved in a controversial debate or discussion, he/she goes on and on /V-t/  
4 He/She often thinks that "life has been unfair to me" /P-t/  
5 He/She is very dependent and always needs someone else around /SC-t/  
6 He/She is never brief and to the point; in contrast, he/she seems to spend forever circling the point /V-t/  
7 He/She gets stuck on a topic and can't move on /V-t/  
8 He/She is basically a loner /SC-f/  
9 He/She sometimes worries that people are talking about him/her in a "not so nice way" /P-t/  
10 When I have a phone conversation with him/her, I always find that I am the one who wants to get off first /V-t/  
11 He/She is not very talkative /V-t/  
12 He/She is not sensitive to hints that sometimes I need my "own space" /V-t/  
13 He/She often worries that something wrong or harmful was done to him/her /P-t/  
14 Saying goodbye to him/her can take forever /V-t/  
15 He/She is antisocial and doesn't like to be around people /SC-f/  
16 He/She would prefer to pass by an old friend that he/she hasn't seen for a long time, unless the friend was to speak to him/her first /SC-t/  
17 He/She believes that people take advantage of him/her /P-t/  
18 He/She complains that most people are boring; he/she prefers his/her own company /SC-f/  
19 He/She never wants to go to parties or other social events /SC-f/  
20 He/She often repeats the same details over and over again /V-t/  
21 He/She tends to be overly suspicious of things /P-t/  
22 It seems as though he/she can never say goodbye, that is, his/her "goodbyes" are never brief /V-t/  
23 He/She can get preoccupied and keep bringing up minor events which other people would let pass and forget /V-t/  
24 He/She (occasionally) worries that people are "out to get him/her" /P-t/  

SC = social cohesion; V = viscosity; P = paranoia; L = lie; t = true; f = false.
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