Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome without anti-calcium channel antibody: adverse effect of calcium antagonist diltiazem
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Abstract
A 59 year old man with ischaemic heart disease, developed the clinical and electromyographic changes of the Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome after taking calcium antagonist, diltiazem. The symptoms appeared periodically with a rise and fall in serum level of diltiazem. Extensive search was made for systemic neoplasms and autoimmune diseases without success. Serum antibody to voltage operated calcium channel was not detected.

Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) is an autoimmune disorder associated with malignant neoplasms and occasionally with immunological disorders. \(^1\) Autoantibodies interfere with acetylcholine (ACh) release from the motor nerve terminals by affecting the function of the voltage operated calcium channel (VOCC). \(^2\) Although organic VOCC antagonists including verapamil and diltiazem, have been shown to reduce ACh release in in vitro experiments, \(^3\) only one paper has been published on the adverse effect of the antagonist verapamil on neuromuscular transmission in a human subject. \(^4\) The VOCC blocking agent, diltiazem, is commonly used in the treatment of supraventricular arrhythmias and ischaemic heart disease. We describe a case which showed LEMS after taking diltiazem.

Case report
Since the age of 55, the patient had been given diltiazem (90 mg/day) for ischaemic heart disease. At the age of 56, his voice became nasal. Muscle strength of the hands and proximal portions of the four extremities was decreased. The weakness peaked after rest or immediately after waking up in the morning, and the strength tended to improve transiently with brief exercise. Other complaints included dry mouth, decreased sweating, constipation and weight loss. One year after starting the drug, the man noted muscular weakness in the thighs. He had smoked 20 cigarettes per day for 37 years. On admission at the age of 59, there was no abnormality in the movement of the eyes, eyelids, or facial muscles. Sensory examination was normal. Withdrawal of diltiazem was followed by improvement of the nasal voice and muscular weakness. Both symptoms reappeared with diltiazem but again decreased after withdrawal. The serum concentration of diltiazem correlated with the severity of the muscular weakness. Signs of muscular weakness did not change with edrophonium chloride, but were relieved after five days of guanidine (300 mg/day).

Electromyographic study
Electrodiagnostic studies on the abductor pollicis brevis demonstrated a small compound muscle action potential in response to a single supramaximal stimulation of the median nerve (2.5 mV, normal > 5.0 mV). At 5 Hz repetitive nerve stimulation, 11% decrement, and post-exercise facilitation and exhaustion occurred in the muscle (fig A, B, C). There was a 270% facilitation at 30 Hz supramaximal stimulation. (fig D). Improvement in the incremental response at 30 Hz stimulation (57% facilitation) was observed after withdrawal of diltiazem (fig E).

Laboratory examination
Full blood counts and routine laboratory tests for hepatic and renal functions gave normal values. At the time of maximal weakness, serum electrolytes including potassium, magnesium and calcium were of normal levels. Immunological tests gave a negative reaction for anti-nuclear factor, LE cells and RA. Anti-skeletal muscle antibody, anti-ACh receptor antibody, oligoclonal IgG and myelin basic protein were not detected. Tumour markers including a FP, CEA, elastase I, CA 125 were all negative. Serum levels of thyroid hormones, anti-thyroglobulin test, and microscopic test gave normal values. Chest x ray, whole body
The amounts of serum antibody to LEMS were obtained from healthy subjects. Anti-VOCC antibody in our case (1.2 pM) was of no significant level (normal > 5.2 pM).

Discussion

This patient fulfilled the clinical, pharmacological and electrodiagnostic criteria for LEMS; proximal muscular weakness, amplitude of low compound muscle action potential, decremental response at low stimulus rates, abnormal facilitation after exercise and beneficial effect of guanidine. The abnormality in classical LEMS usually spares bulbar musculatures. The most significant problem in this case, however, was the nasal voice, because the patient worked as a telephone operator. On withdrawal of diltiazem, the serum level decreased, and subsequently the muscular weakness and electromyographic findings improved. This correlation was reproducible in a second trial with diltiazem. All these facts showed the adverse effect of diltiazem on neuromuscular transmission in this case.

VOCCs are divided into three categories, T, N, and L defined in terms of their activation potentials and rate of inactivation. It is not fully clarified which types of channels are involved in transmitter release and are blocked in patients with LEMS. Several authors have reported that the L type channel is not involved in the transmission in mammals, but others have stated that N and L coexist in the presynaptic and both may be involved in the neuromuscular transmission. Peers et al showed that IgG from LEMS patients acted on the L type calcium channel of hybrid cells and suggested the immunological similarities between the channel and presynaptic membrane in LEMS patients.

The data obtained from our case strongly suggests that diltiazem, antagonist of L type calcium channel, impaired neuromuscular transmission. The possible explanation for the blocking mechanism was that diltiazem reduced channel lifetime and the frequency of channel activation, leading to a decrease in the safety margin for neuromuscular transmission. Such a decrease will become especially important when transmission is depressed by pre-existing neuromuscular blocking agents. This explanation may agree with the data obtained from animal experiments. Low concentrations of verapamil and diltiazem increase potency of the neuromuscular blocking agents. Although we have not found the neoplasms or autoimmune disorders in which anti-VOCC antibodies are occasionally produced, a possibility that the undefined factors interfere with the neuromuscular transmission in this patient is not excluded. If such factors pre-existed, the diltiazem could enhance the neuromuscular transmission block by reducing ACh release from the motor nerve terminals. The relationship to the clinical worsening in this case and the theoretical dangers of calcium channel blockade appear to support this hypothesis.
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