"Chronic sensory demyelinating neuropathy": chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy presenting as a pure sensory neuropathy

Shin J Oh, Juan L Joy, Reha Kuruoglu

Abstract
The clinical electrophysiological and histological features of 10 cases of "chronic sensory demyelinating neuropathy" (CSDN) are reported. This entity is characterised by: 1) subacute or chronic progression; 2) pure sensory neuropathy; 3) high spinal fluid protein in the majority of cases; 4) electrophysiological evidence of demyelination affecting motor as well as sensory nerve fibres; 5) demyelination on sural nerve biopsy and 6) good response to immunotherapy in progressive phase. It is believed that this entity represents chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) presenting as pure sensory neuropathy.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:677–680)

In 1988 we reported "sensory neuropathy as a variant of chronic inflammatory demyelinating neuropathy" in the abstract form. We report here the details of the clinical, electrophysiological and histological features of this entity, which we termed "chronic sensory demyelinating neuropathy (CSDN)".

Patients and methods
Ten patients were examined over a period of 18 years. One case (case 8) was previously reported. All had clinically pure sensory peripheral neuropathy and normal muscle strength upon careful examination. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) patients with mixed sensory-motor neuropathy but with clear sensory predominance were excluded. Patients with acute sensory neuropathy or with subacute sensory neuropathy due to dorsal root ganglion lesions were excluded. Patients with known causes of neuropathy were also excluded. These include diabetes, uremia, alcoholism, vitamin deficiency, heavy metal intoxication, collagen vascular diseases, thyroid disease, malignancy, and hereditary neuropathy. One patient (case 7) with transient benign gammopathy is included. Patients were followed for periods ranging from one to seven years.

Routine nerve conduction studies were performed and abnormalities were recognised following previously described methods and criteria. Conduction block was considered to be present when the peak-to-peak amplitude was reduced by more than 40% compared to the distal value (fig 1). Abnormal temporal dispersion was considered to be present when the CMAP shape was abnormal with multiple phases (more than four) and total duration longer than three standard deviations above the normal mean value (fig 1). In five patients, the plantar nerves were studied using the near-nerve needle sensory nerve conduction technique. Needle EMG examination was carried out in all cases.

Sural nerve biopsy was performed in eight cases. Frozen sections were stained with modified trichrome, cresyl-fast violet, hematoxylin and eosin, and crystal violet stains. Paraffin sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and congo-red stains. Semithin EM sections in three cases were stained with toluidine blue. Teased nerve preparations were studied in four cases.

To compare the degree of sensory impair-
ment, we used the peripheral nerve disability scoring system which we reported previously. According to this system, 0 is normal and 10 is maximally disabled in the sensory functions. The disability score is the sum of the scores of two different modalities: pin-prick and vibration/position sense.

Results
Clinical features There were ten male patients, ranging in age from 28 to 65 years (table 1). All these patients had a slowly progressive monophasic course. Duration of progression from onset to maximal disability ranged from four months to 10 years. None had any antecedent illness, or vaccination.

The most common initial symptom, observed in eight cases, was numbness in the feet. Numbness in the hands was the initial symptom in two cases and numbness in the entire arm in two cases. In three cases, pain was also described in addition to numbness. Gradually, these sensory symptoms spread to the distal parts of the limbs, culminating in a symmetrical stocking-glove distribution in most cases. In one case (6), there were complaints of numbness in the left T8-10 dermatomes. In one case (10), unsteadiness was the chief complaint at the time of first examination because of sensory ataxia.

Although the degree of impairment varied, nine cases showed the classic finding of sensory polyneuropathy: sensory impairment in “stocking-glove distribution,” worse distally and symmetrically. In one case (6), sensory multiple mononeuropathy was an appropriate label because of sensory impairment in fingers, toes, and the left T8-10 dermatome. In 9 cases, pinprick and proprioceptive sensations were affected equally. In one case, proprioception loss was severe enough to produce sensory ataxia. In one case (8), almost the entire body was hypalgesic, including the trigeminal area. Three patients could be classified as having painful sensory neuropathy because of severe pain. Muscle stretch reflexes were impaired in all six cases: diffuse areflexia in three and distal areflexia or hyporeflexia in three. In four cases, reflexes were normal. Muscle strength was normal in all cases. Essential tremor (case 5) and fasciculations (case 9) were each noted in one case respectively.

Spinial fluid findings Protein was elevated in five patients, ranging from 55–139 mg/dl, and normal in two. Lymphocytes and glucose were normal in all tested cases. In three cases, oligoclonal bands were positive. In one of these three, IgG paraprotein was also present in the serum once.

Serum auto-antibodies In four tested cases, autoantibodies for MAG, GM1, asialo-GM1, and Gal (β1–3) GalNAc were normal.

Nerve conduction findings In all cases, there was evidence of diffuse peripheral neuropathy. Nerve conduction abnormalities were present in all cases in lower extremities and in eight cases in upper extremities. Motor nerve conduction were abnormal in all cases and just as prominent as sensory nerve conduction abnormalities though all our cases had a clinically pure sensory neuropathy. The most common abnormalities were a prolonged F-wave latency and slow motor nerve conduction velocity (NCV) (table 2).

Electrophysiologically evidence of demyelination (abnormal temporal dispersion, conduction block, more than 150% prolongation of normal means in terminal and F-wave latencies, and/or NCV slower than 60% of normal means) was not widespread but was present in two or more nerves in all cases, confirming demyelinating neuropathy (fig 1). Conduction block was observed in six cases, abnormal temporal dispersion in nine, marked slowing of NCV (NCV less than 60% of normal mean) in seven, and more than 150% prolongation of normal means in terminal and F-wave latencies in six cases each. Sensory nerve conduction was abnormal in all cases (table 3).

---

**Table 1 Clinical feature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Sex/age</th>
<th>Duration of progression</th>
<th>Sensory deficit</th>
<th>Reflexes</th>
<th>CSF protein (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Final status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/48</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>SP, 3*</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td>74, OCB</td>
<td>P, improved</td>
<td>Stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M/28</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Painful SP, 4</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>Propyphenone</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/62</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>Painful SP, 6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Am/narcotic</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M/49</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>SF, 3</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Steroid dependent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/53</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>SF, 5</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>55, OCB</td>
<td>P, improved</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/55</td>
<td>1-5 months</td>
<td>MNM, 2</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>P, improved</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M/59</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Painful SP, 4</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td>39, OCB*</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M/62</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>SP, 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>139</td>
<td>P, A, &amp; PE, improved</td>
<td>Normal with steroid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M/53</td>
<td>8 months</td>
<td>SE, 2</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M/65</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Ataxic SE, 7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>P, A, &amp; PE, improved</td>
<td>Stable with steroid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Neurological disability score: 0, normal; 10, maximal disability. + + +, normal; +, decreased; -, absent. Abbreviations: M, male; SP, symmetrical polyneuropathy; MNM, mononeuropathy multiples; P, prednisone; A, azathioprine; Am, amitriptyline; PE, plasma exchange; OCB, oligoclonal band.

**Table 2 Motor Nerve Conduction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nerves</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Ulnar</th>
<th>Peroneal</th>
<th>Post tibial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No potential</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low CMAP*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged terminal latency*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slow nerve conduction velocity: D</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal segment*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 60% of normal means**</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal temporal dispersion**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduction block**</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduction block**</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of cases. **These were considered to indicate demyelination.

Abbreviations: CMAP, compound muscle action potential. TL, terminal latency. NCV, nerve conduction velocity. Normal lower limits for the CMAP amplitude: 5 mV for median, ulnar, and posterior tibial nerves; 4 for peroneal nerve. Normal upper limits for the terminal latency: 3-6 msec for median; 2-5 for ulnar; 4-8 for peroneal; 5-1 for posterior tibial nerves. Normal lower limits for the NCV: 48-2 m/sec for median; 42-9 for ulnar; 41-9 for peroneal; 40-6 for posterior tibial nerves. Normal lower limits for the NCV: 48-2 m/sec for median; 42-6 for ulnar; 41-9 for peroneal; 40-6 for posterior tibial nerves. Normal lower limits for the NCV: 56-0 m/sec for median; 52-7 for ulnar; 59-1 for peroneal nerves. Normal upper limits for the F-wave latency: 29-7 msec for median; 30-3 for ulnar; 55-5 for peroneal; 57-5 for posterior tibial nerves.
most common abnormality in sensory nerve conduction was slow NCV. In cases 6 and 8, the near-nerve needle test of the plantar nerve confirmed demyelinating neuropathy by showing marked slowing of sensory NCV. Mixed nerve conduction was abnormal in eight cases.

**Needle EMG findings** The needle EMG study was performed in distal muscles in 10 cases and in paraspinal muscles in two cases. Fibrillation and positive sharp waves were detected in four cases and fasciculation in five. Long-duration (>17 ms) and high-amplitude (>5 mV) motor unit potentials (MUP) were observed in three and eight cases respectively. Interference pattern was reduced in 10 cases. Thus the majority of cases showed evidence of chronic denervation.

**Sural nerve biopsy findings** Sural nerve biopsy was performed in eight cases. In case 2, only paraffin sections were available. In five cases, there was a definite decrease in the population of myelinated fibres. In no case were there any inflammatory cells or amyloid deposits. Onion-bulb formation was not observed in any case. Loss of myelin was the most prominent finding in the longitudinal cuts of nerve on the frozen sections. This could be due either to demyelination or loss of large fibres. In two cases a few "myelin digestion chambers" indicating mild axonal degeneration were observed. In three cases with semithin EM sections, there was evidence of demyelination: "remyelinated fibres" in three and demyelination in one. Teased nerve fibre preparation showed segmental demyelination in 18–33% of teased nerve fibres in four cases and axonal degeneration in 0–4% in two cases. Thus, the nerve biopsy confirmed that this neuropathy was predominantly demyelinating.

**Treatment** In five cases, there was no evidence of recent progression at the time of initial evaluation. In three of these five cases (cases 2, 3, 7), pain had to be controlled with strong analgesics and narcotics. In five cases in which neuropathy was progressing at the time of initial evaluation, corticosteroids were tried. In three cases, there was objective improvement (by at least 2 "disability scores") with steroids alone. In cases 8 and 10, high-dose prednisone was not effective in reversing progression, and thus azathioprine and plasmapheresis were needed to produce objective improvement. In cases 6 and 8, complete recovery occurred after one course of immunotherapy. In case 5, there were two episodes of exacerbation of neuropathy when corticosteroid dosage was reduced. In case 1, an improved status, though not normal, was maintained even after corticosteroid was withdrawn.

**Discussion** We have described ten patients with idiopathic sensory neuropathy characterised by subacute or chronic progression of neuropathy and electrophysiologic and histologic evidences of demyelination. Thus this neuropathy is termed descriptively as "chronic sensory demyelinating neuropathy" (CSDN). In view of the universal involvement of motor fibres in the nerve conduction, one could argue that this neuropathy is not purely sensory. However, following the traditional method of classification of neuropathy on the basis of clinical findings, this neuropathy is justifiably classified as sensory neuropathy.

The clinical features typical of CSDN are summarised as follows: The neurological symptoms and signs were those of a diffuse sensory neuropathy with chronic progression over months and years. The majority of patients had polyneuropathy. Pain sensation and proprioception were equally affected in majority of cases. There was no motor weakness. Reflexes were either normal or diminished. Trigeminal involvement was rare. There was no antecedent history of infection or event. There was no family history of a similar disease. The spinal fluid protein was high in most of cases. There were no cells in any cases. Oligoclonal band was present in half of cases.

Diffuse nerve conduction abnormalities were invariable findings. Motor as well as sensory and mixed nerve conductions were affected. Electrophysiologic evidences of demyelination was observed at least in two nerves in all cases. We found that motor nerve conduction abnormalities were usually the first objective clues suggestive of demyelinating neuropathy, and thus motor nerve conduction study was the most important diagnostic test for CSDN. Neuropathy was monophasic. In many, neuropathy seemed to be stabilised after certain period of progression, spontaneously or with steroid treatment. In one case (5), there was a relapse of neuropathy with reduction of steroid dose. Thus this case became steroid-dependent. Immunotherapy was effective in progressive phase of disease. Immunotherapies were effective in improving neuropathy in majority of cases during the progressive phase. Thus we believe immunotherapies are indicated in CSDN when neuropathy is progressing. Segmental demyelination is the predominant finding in the sural nerve biopsy. This finding confirmed the basic nature of this entity as demyelinating neuropathy and was the basis of the electrophysiologic evidence of demyelination.
On the basis of typical features as described above, CSDN is a distinct entity. The aetiology of CSDN is not obvious from this study. However, there is evidence to suggest an autoimmune disorder: oligoclonal bands in the spinal fluid in half of cases, responses to immunotherapy, and similarities with CIDP, a well known autoimmune disease.

Whether CSDN represents a distinct clinical entity or CIDP presenting as pure sensory neuropathy cannot be determined until the diagnostic laboratory marker is identified. We believe that CSDN represents CIDP presenting as pure sensory neuropathy. CSDN has many similarities with the classical CIDP. In both there are subacute or chronic progression of neuropathy, high spinal fluid protein, and favourable response to immunotherapy. Histopathological and electrophysiological findings in CSDN are indistinguishable from CIDP. In two series, sensory neuropathy was recognised in passing as a variant of CIDP and reported to constitute 5–6% of cases. However, no detailed description of this variant was presented. Most publications do not mention this presentation. In formulating the diagnostic criteria for CIDP, one group excluded sensory neuropathy from the spectrum of CIDP while the other group included it as a spectrum of CIDP. In our series, CSDN represents about 8% of the cases of CIDP.

From our study, we conclude that CSDN, a distinctly recognisable entity, is CIDP presenting as pure sensory neuropathy. An important observation in our study is that immunotherapies are effective in the progressive phase of CSDN.
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