Dystrophin analysis using a panel of anti-dystrophin antibodies in Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy
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Abstract
Dystrophin, the protein product of the Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) gene, was studied in 19 patients with Xp21 disorders and in 25 individuals with non-Xp21 muscular dystrophy. Antibodies raised to seven different regions spanning most of the protein were used for immunocytochemistry. In all patients specific dystrophin staining anomalies were detected and correlated with clinical severity and also gene deletion. In patients with Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD) the anomalies detected ranged from inter- and intra-fibre variation in labelling intensity with the same antibody or several antibodies to general reduction in staining and discontinuous staining. In vitro evidence of abnormal dystrophin breakdown was observed reanalysing the muscle of patients, with BMD and not that of non-Xp21 dystrophies, after it had been stored for several months. A number of patients with DMD showed some staining but this did not represent a diagnostic problem. Based on the data presented, it was concluded that immunocytochemistry is a powerful technique in the prognostic diagnosis of Xp21 muscular dystrophies.

Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry 1993;56:26-31

Materials and methods
Each biopsy sample was tested with 7 different anti-dystrophin antibodies, two of which were monoclonal antisera (Dys 1 and Dys 2, Novocastra Laboratories, UK). The polyclonal antibodies 90K (1), H12 and P6 were the generous gift of P Strong and T Sherratt, London, while antibody P20 and D1–2 were donated by I Ginjaar, Leiden (The Netherlands) and A Mora and F Cornelio, Milan (Italy), respectively. The mouse peptides correspond to amino acids 53–664 (D1–2), 407–815 (90K), 1181–1388 (Dys 1), 1750–2248 (P2), 2542–3025 (H12), 2814–3028 (P6), 3688–3685 (Dys II). In fig 1 the region of the protein recognised by each antibody is indi-
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the main clinical parameter used to differentiate DMD, intermediate and BMD phenotypes. Patients who became wheelchair bound before the age of 13 were classified as DMD, whereas individuals who were ambulatory at the age of 16 years were classified as BMD patients; if only minor difficulties were present after the age of 30 years we diagnosed mild BMD. Subjects who became wheelchair bound between the ages of 13 and 16 years were classified as intermediate. Those patients who were too young to be differentially classified according to the above scheme were assessed using clinical, histopathological, genetic and biochemical data.

All patient muscle samples were obtained with needle muscle biopsy of the quadriceps. Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen-cooled isopentane and stored in liquid nitrogen. Previously sectioned slices were stored at −30 °C until use. A total of 19 patients (8 DMD, age range 19 months–13 years and 11 BMD foetus, 8 BMD, age range 7–57 years; 3 patients with an intermediate phenotype between DMD and BMD, age range 9–12 years) were studied. Immunocytochemical analysis was performed on 6 μm thick unfixed frozen tissue sections. Normal muscle samples were processed in parallel with biopsies from patients to provide a positive control of immunolabelling. Muscle histology and histochemistry were studied according to the method described by Dubowitz. Twenty five patients with non-Xp21 muscular dystrophies (6 autosomal recessive, 4 autosomal dominant, 7 facio–scapulohumeral, 1 Fukujama, 2 Emery–Dreifuss, 5 congenital muscular dystrophy) were also studied. Western Blot analysis was performed as previously described on all patients with BMD in whom no deletion was found to confirm the diagnosis.

Screening for deletions was performed by direct amplification of exons by multiplex PCR and by Southern blotting with cDNA probes on Hind III digest.

Results

Pattern of dystrophin abnormalities in BMD patients

Various abnormalities were detected in the muscle of patients with BMD (table 1), the most frequent being an immunostaining variability between individual fibres. In contrast to what is consistently found in normal muscle (that is, identical levels of immunostaining in all fibres, fig 2), the interfibre variability in staining, using any antibody, was the most frequent abnormality encountered in the vast majority of BMD patients (table 1, fig 3). A discordance in staining between the various antibodies was the second most frequent abnormality. This was also noted in patients with very mild or preclinical phenotypes, in which the use of just one or two antidystrophin antibodies gave normal results when taken individually. Only the comparison of results obtained with antibodies raised against different portions of the protein was revealing in these mildly affected individuals. Several patients had deletions of the cDNA in a region partially encompassed by one of the antibodies used (for example BMD 2 for H12 antibody) which gave a very faint signal in this subset of cases. A discontinuous labelling of the membrane was the third most common abnormality, but was confined to patients in the moderate/severe range of BMD (fig 4); rare dystrophin negative fibres were detected in these subjects (table 1). No specific immunofluorescence abnormality was detected in the 25 patients with non-Xp21 dystrophies except

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Exon deleted</th>
<th>Reading-frame</th>
<th>Pattern of fibre labelling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMD 1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>48–50</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>80% continuous, of variable intensity; 10% discontinuous, moderate intensity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD 2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>48–51</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100% normal for all antibodies except for H12 (most fibres showed variable intensity with this antibody. 90% continuous, of variable intensity; 8% discontinuous, faint; 2% of negative fibres. (<em>) 75% continuous, of variable intensity; 23% discontinuous, of moderate or faint intensity; 2% of negative fibres. (</em>) 5% continuous, variable intensity; 70% discontinuous, faint or very faint; 25% of negative fibres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD 17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Preclinical</td>
<td>non deletion</td>
<td></td>
<td>100% normal for all antibodies except for H12 (most fibres showed variable intensity with this antibody. 90% continuous, of variable intensity; 8% discontinuous, faint; 2% of negative fibres. (<em>) 75% continuous, of variable intensity; 23% discontinuous, of moderate or faint intensity; 2% of negative fibres. (</em>) 5% continuous, variable intensity; 70% discontinuous, faint or very faint; 25% of negative fibres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD 19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>48–51</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>94% continuous, variable intensity; 6% discontinuous, moderate intensity. (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/MDD 35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>Non-deletion</td>
<td></td>
<td>100% discontinuous, faint or very faint. No immunoreactivity was detected with D1–2 antibody. 70% continuous, normal or variable intensity; 30% discontinuous, faint or very faint. (*) 100% discontinuous, faint or very faint. 99% of fibres showed no immunoreactivity with D1–2 antibody. 98% discontinuous, moderate intensity; 2% of negative fibres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD 51</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>49–51</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>88% continuous, variable intensity; 8% discontinuous, moderate; 4% negative fibres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/MDD 63</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>3–7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>100% discontinuous, faint or very faint. No immunoreactivity was detected with D1–2 antibody. 70% continuous, normal or variable intensity; 30% discontinuous, faint or very faint. (*) 100% discontinuous, faint or very faint. 99% of fibres showed no immunoreactivity with D1–2 antibody. 98% discontinuous, moderate intensity; 2% of negative fibres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/MDD 73</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>non deletion</td>
<td></td>
<td>88% continuous, variable intensity; 8% discontinuous, moderate; 4% negative fibres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/MDD 87</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>3–9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>100% discontinuous, faint or very faint. 99% of fibres showed no immunoreactivity with D1–2 antibody. 98% discontinuous, moderate intensity; 2% of negative fibres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMD 89</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>non deletion</td>
<td></td>
<td>88% continuous, variable intensity; 8% discontinuous, moderate; 4% negative fibres.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The symbol + or − under the heading READING-FRAME indicates the maintenance of reading frame. The asterisk (*) indicates that reduction in immunostaining was detected using C-terminal antibodies or antibodies distal to a deleted portion of the protein.
for the absence of dystrophin in fibres in an advanced state of necrosis. The same fibres lacked surface immunolabelling with β-spectrin, indicating a complete loss of their plasma membrane. Western blot analysis performed with 90K and P6 antibodies on all our patients with BMD confirmed the dystrophin abnormalities detected with immunofluorescence (data not shown).

In vitro evidence of abnormal dystrophin breakdown in BMD muscle
When the muscle samples of patients with BMD (when stored at -30°C) were retested for dystrophin immunoreactivity, a progressive fading of signal intensity was detected after two to 12 months. The degree of immunoreactivity loss was maximal when using antibodies partially encompassed by or distal to a deletion; some variation was also noted using C-terminal antibodies. The same phenomenon (that is, greater loss of immunoreactivity using C-terminal as opposed to N-terminal antibodies) was also noted in patients with BMD in whom no deletion was found. The decrease in immunoreactivity was maximal when the muscle was stored already sectioned; control muscle, or muscle belonging to a patient with non-Xp21 muscle disorders, stored identically, showed no evidence of loss of signal after the same time lapse or even longer (up to a maximum of 2-5 years). No decrease in immunoreactivity with time was noted in serial sections using antibodies to β-spectrin.

Pattern of dystrophin abnormalities in DMD patients
The greater number of boys with DMD had no detectable dystrophin with most of the antibodies used (table 2). In a few patients (3/10) a very faint immunoreactivity, correctly located at the periphery of the muscle fibres, was detected in the majority of fibres using D1-2 and 90K N-terminal antibodies, but not with all remaining antibodies. The same phenomenon was observed in the muscle of a DMD foetus. A progressive loss of this weak immunoreactivity was also observed in this subgroup of patients with DMD when retested after 3 weeks and up to a couple of months.

When muscle of boys with DMD was analysed using the complete panel of anti-dystrophin antibodies, the following exceptions to the rule “dystrophin absence = DMD” were detected:

a) Scattered positive fibres in DMD. Occurrence of scattered positive fibres was noted in normal dystrophin sarcolemmal dystrophin was noted in most of the boys with DMD (table 2 and fig 5). The occurrence of these positive fibres was detected with all antibodies used. Immunoreactivity could often be followed, using several serial sections, for more than 120μ (that is, more than 20 slices) in the majority of cases; sometimes positivity was followed for more than 200μ before the positive signal was lost. The same phenomenon was found in a DMD foetus (14 weeks), in which the presence of rare positive myotubes (as opposed to negative myotubes) was detected.

b) Dystrophin immunoreactivity in the muscle spindle of a boy with DMD. The intrasural fibres of a muscle spindle of a boy with DMD (patient 41) were very brightly stained with all

Figure 2 Normal muscle stained with P6 antibodies (320x). All fibres are equally stained by anti-dystrophin antibody.

Figure 3 BMD muscle, stained with P6 antibodies (320x). Not all fibres have identical levels of staining.

Figure 4 Severe BMD antibody (320x). Discontinuous and weak labelling is visible in all fibres.

Figure 5 DMD muscle. Only 2 fibres show some dystrophin immunoreactivity (D1-2 antibody, 320x). Extremely weak labelling is visible in some other fibre using this N-terminal antibody.
antibodies used. In figure 6 immuoneactivity obtained with 90K antibody is shown: perinuclear staining was detected only with this antibody. The strong positive immunoactivity was followed, in serial sections, for approximately 140μ (20 slices), but disappeared thereafter in all fibres.

c) Split fibres. In DMD, the newly synthesised membrane of a splitting fibre frequently displayed low levels of dystrophin immunoreactivity although the periphery of muscle fibres was negative (fig 7). This positivity was detected with all antibodies. Splits (and vacuoles) were always strongly positive in BMD and non-Xp21 disorders.

Very weak immunostaining was detected in the three patients with an intermediate phenotype. Patient 86 (deleted for exons 3–9) and 63 (exons 3–7 deleted) showed no immunoreactivity with the most N-terminal antibody D1–2, raised against an epitope partially deleted in these two patients (fig 8a), but starting from antibody 90K and as far as the most C-terminal antibody a clear, although very weak immunoreactivity was detected (fig 8b); several dystrophin-negative fibres were also present (table 1). Patient 35, who had no deletion of the cDNA showed very weak immunostaining with all antibodies.

Fifty per cent of patients with DMD and BMD exhibited a deletion. In tables 1 and 2 the number of exons deleted is recorded. The deletion altered the reading frame in all patients with DMD but in none of the BMD patients we analysed with the exception of patient 1, a 27 years old ambulant patient with Becker muscular dystrophy: he was missing exons 48–50, causing a frame-shifting. Of the two subjects with an intermediate phenotype with a deletion, one had an in-frame deletion (subject 87, exons deleted 3–9), while the other carried an out-of-frame deletion (case 63, exons deleted 3–7) (table 2).

**Discussion**

Dystrophin deficiency has been well documented as the underlying cause of Duchenne muscular dystrophy by both immunofluorescence and immunoblot analysis. Abnormalities in the quantity and/or quality of dystrophin have also been well characterised in patients with BMD. In the main part of the original studies only one (N-terminal) antibody was used. In this study we have selected a panel of 7 different anti dystrophin antibodies and immunofluorescence analysis in a group of 19 patients with Xp21 disorders.

In patients with BMD we were able to identify different kinds of abnormalities related to phenotype severity. We established that the most frequent abnormalities in our BMD patients were staining variability between individual fibres (using one antibody) and differences in staining intensity when several antibodies were employed. The use of a complete set of antibodies enabled us to identify very subtle anomalies that cannot be detected with the use of only one antibody in the BMD patients (our data and ).

A further useful test to reveal abnormalities in patients with very mild BMD was that of reaining serial sections of muscle after storing
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**Figure 6** DMD muscle, 90K antibodies (320x). All intrafusal muscle fibres show a distinct immunoreactivity for the antibody, while extrafusal fibres are completely negative. The nuclear membrane of intrafusal fibres is also stained with this antibody.

![Figure 7](http://jnnp.bmj.com/)

**Figure 7** DMD muscle, DYS 1 antibody (320x). Membrane of several splitting fibres is weakly stained. A necrotic fibre shows some non specific cytoplasmic staining.
minimum of two antibodies (one N-terminal and one C-terminal) should be obtained before a diagnosis of DMD is confirmed. In 20% of DMD cases that were analysed, a very weak immunostaining was observed only with antibodies raised against the N-terminal region. This is not surprising as we (and other authors) have demonstrated that low levels of dystrophin mRNA are produced in the majority of patients with DMD. We propose that the mRNA produced is effectively translated into a protein up to the mutation/translocation region; the truncated protein is rapidly degraded, but in rare instances is still detectable with antibodies raised to the protein portion proximal to the mutation (our data 5-8). Interestingly, this truncated protein, missing the C-terminal domain involved in linking dystrophin to a sarcoglycan protein 20 is correctly localised at the periphery of the membrane. The occurrence of scattered, positive fibres in the muscle of patients with DMD is a well-known phenomenon; 6-8 we show that positivity is detectable with all antibodies used, indicating that a crossreactivity with the recently described dystrophin-related protein 61.22 is highly unlikely. The presence of scattered positive myotubes was also observed in a DMD foetus; the occurrence of this phenomenon in a myotube may explain the finding of groups of positive fibres later on in development. We also provide the first evidence for dystrophin positive fibres of a muscle spindle in a boy with DMD. No such positivity was found in our other patients with DMD, or in another patient described by Tanaka. 23 Positivity was detectable in all fusiform fibres and with all antibodies for a distance of 140μm, but disappeared thereafter in all fibres. Again, the fact that this positivity was detected with antibodies directed towards different dystrophin domains and that it disappeared after many serial sections, demonstrates that positivity was unlikely to be due to crossreactivity with a dystrophin-related protein. The newly synthesised membrane of splitting fibres was also found to be positive (with all antibodies) in several boys with DMD, who did not produce dystrophin in the periphery of the same fibres.

A close correlation was found between quantity of dystrophin immunoreactivity and phenotype severity: mild BMD patients produced more dystrophin than severe BMD, and the latter presented more detectable dystrophin than intermediate patients; there were a few boys with DMD in whom some immunoreactivity was observed with N-terminal antibodies and they had less dystrophin than intermediate individuals.

Of the individuals with deletions, two represented exceptions to the reading frame theory: one intermediate and one moderate BMD patient had frame-shift deletions instead of a translational in-frame mutation. In both of them a clear immunostaining was detected in all fibres with antibodies recognising portions of the protein distal to the deletion. One of the two patients (B/DMD 63), carried a deletion of exons 3–7; more than twenty individuals

**Figure 8** Serial sections of an intermediate patient (D/BMD 86). No immunoreactivity is detected with antibody DI–2 (8a, that was deliberately overexposed to make the muscle visible), while a weak labelling is visible with antibody DWS II (8b).
with the same deletion and an attenuated phenotype have been described.24-28 The finding that three minor additional in-frame transcripts were detectable in the muscle of these subjects may explain their relatively mild phenotype.28 The second patient, a 27 year old ambulant BMD, had an out of frame deletion involving exons 48-50. The same mutation was associated with a severe DMD phenotype in a recent study.29 We do not know the reasons for this discrepancy: identical deletions producing a DMD and a BMD phenotype have already been reported.29,30 In some instances, however, it is not possible to come to a conclusion about the reading frame as exons might be only partially deleted.29,30

We conclude that the simultaneous use of several anti dystrophin antibodies is a powerful technique for detecting dystrophin abnormalities, including minor ones present in the muscle of patients with very mild phenotypes. Western blotting of muscle is useful especially when minor or no abnormalities can be detected with immunocytochemistry. However, the use of several antibodies greatly increases the likelihood of detecting some abnormalities with immunocytochemistry.
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