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Abstract
Studies on surgery for temporal lobe epilepsy are hampered by lack of information about the actual surgery that has taken place. A method is described for accurately measuring the volumes of resection by MRI after surgery. Ten cases of surgically treated temporal lobe epilepsy (nine non-tailored resections, one selective amygdalohippocampectomy) are presented to show the technique. Indices of extent of resection in both the mesiobasal and lateral temporal lobe compartments have been measured, compared, and evaluated. By comparison with identical preoperative volumetric MRI the hippocampal resections have been correlated with the demonstrated hippocampal volume loss, thought to be of relevance in the aetiology of temporal lobe epilepsy. Detailed postoperative audit in this manner is vital in providing a rational basis for follow up studies of outcome.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1994;57:1221–1227)

The surgical treatment of medically refractory temporal lobe epilepsy secondary to hippocampal sclerosis is a well recognised and often highly successful form of treatment with long term seizure control obtained in 60–80% of cases. The optimum surgical resection remains elusive, however, despite attempts to tailor resections to electrophysiological and neuroradiological abnormalities. In particular there is uncertainty over the extent of the resection that is required of the mesiobasal the lateral neocortical structures to maximise the efficacy of the seizure control while causing minimum neuropsychological morbidity. There are essentially two surgical approaches: firstly, anterior temporal lobectomy (either as a standardised operation or with some tailoring of the resection dependent on the intraoperative electrocorticography); secondly, more selective resections either of the mesiobasal structures or lateral neocortex localised by preoperative imaging and electrophysiology. Although anterior temporal lobectomy, developed some 40 years ago, remains the gold standard and the routine operation in most centres there is a trend towards the more local procedures where a minimal brain resection is attempted without compromising seizure control. In this regard the concept of selective resection of the mesiobasal temporal structures is not new.

More recently, however, several units have developed selective mesiobasal resections in the belief that lateral neocortical resection may be unnecessary for seizure control and can cause postoperative memory deficits especially in neuropsychologically compromised patients. Conversely, a minority of neurosurgeons perform solely lateral neocortical resections with comparable results. The use of preoperative high resolution volumetric MRI has had an enormous impact on epilepsy surgery planning in general. Thus far, however, there has been limited interest in the systematic analysis of the postoperative appearances. This is an important omission as with the advent of high resolution MRI an objective record of the operative resection is now possible that would not only give technical feedback to the surgeon, but would also give an anatomical basis to outcome studies. In this paper we describe a method for measuring the volumes of resection.
tion of the mesiobasal and lateral compartments of the temporal lobe after surgery for temporal lobe epilepsy. These volumetric measurements are also compared with linear estimates of resection magnitude as it is our belief that only volumetric indices can give an accurate assessment of the amount and position of brain resection. Finally, by comparing with preoperative hippocampal volumetric morphometry, a correlation between the preoperative hippocampal volume loss shown on MRI and the site of resection has been performed.

**Patients and methods**

Ten patients with medically intractable temporal lobe epilepsy underwent postoperative volumetric MRI three months after surgery. All had undergone an identical volumetric MRI study before their resective surgery. There were six women and four men with a mean age of 32.5 (range 18–45) years. All had chronic temporal lobe epilepsy with a mean seizure history of 16.2 (range 5–30) years. Clinical, electrophysiological, and imaging features were concordant in every case (five left temporal lobe epilepsy; five right temporal lobe epilepsy). In nine patients surgery consisted of a non-tailored anterior temporal lobectomy and in one patient (case 9) a stereotactic amygdalohippocampectomy was performed. Cases 1 to 4 were performed by surgeon "A" and the remainder by surgeon "B". The histopathological diagnosis from the surgical specimens examined was hippocampal sclerosis in nine and non-specific pathological change in one.

The MRI sequence protocols before and after operation were identical and have previously been validated and described in detail as has the method of assessing the hippocampal volume before operation. Briefly, the imaging protocol was as follows (figs 1 and 2). A 1.5 Tesla GE Signa Unit (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, USA) was used in all cases. The volumetric imaging was performed in the coronal plane with a T1 weighting and a spoiled echo gradient technique; 124 contiguous 1 mm thick slices were thus obtained throughout the entire head. Also, sagittal T1 and axial T2 and proton density weighted series were obtained with a slice thickness of 5 mm, and an interslice gap of 2.5 mm. Image analysis took place in the scanning suite on an adjacent IGE IC workstation. The coronal images through the operation site were magnified ×2.5 (fig 2) and the resection margin was outlined manually with a tracker ball driven cursor (fig 2B). The enclosed area was then automatically calculated by pixel counting. Summation of the resection areas in the slices through the operation site allowed the total resection volume to be easily calculated (by a multiplication factor of 1.5, the mm thickness of the contiguous slices). The coronal, sagittal, and axial series were then analysed to study the anatomy of resection in three planes and linear measurements (fig 3) made on the console screen.
In both the preoperative and postoperative studies the posterior limit of the hippocampus was defined as appearing on the coronal slice where the fornix length was maximal.  On the preoperative study the volume and length of the affected hippocampal formation (including alveus and fimbria) were measured. Also, for each patient a hippocampal area morphometric map was constructed according to our previously described method, to show the extent and position of any hippocampal volume loss. As the preoperative and postoperative studies had a common defined point for the posterior hippocampal limit it was possible to overlay the hippocampal resection margins on the preoperative graphs (see fig 4). The area beneath the graph and bound by the resection margins thus defined the hippocampal resection volume. Knowing the total resection volume and the volume of the hippocampal resection the non-hippocampal (“lateral”) resection volume could be calculated by subtraction.

Thus for each patient the MRI variables obtained were: (1) hippocampal volume (mm$^3$ preoperative study); (2) hippocampal resection volume (mm$^3$); preoperative hippocampal volume bound by the resection margins obtained from the postoperative study; (3) percentage of hippocampal volume resected ((2)/(1)); (4) hippocampal length (mm; preoperative study); (5) length of hippocampal resection (mm; postoperative study); (6) percentage hippocampal length resected ((5)/(4)); (7) total resection volume (mm$^3$; postoperative study); (8) volume of lateral structures resected (mm$^3$ ((7)-(2)); (9) linear extent of resection of lateral structures (measured on the T2 axial MRI where the resection appeared maximal (fig 3). There are various choices of linear measurement with which to estimate the extent of lateral resection (such linear measurements are extremely difficult to standardise on a computer screen let alone by the surgeon at operation). Two such measurements, which could be expected to correlate with the extent of lateral resection, were assessed—namely, (1) distance from lateral cortical resection margin to temporal pole; (2) orthogonal distance from lateral cortical resection margin in the axis of the temporal lobe to the level of the temporal pole; (10) hippocampal morphometric resection graphs (preoperative study with resection overlay (fig 4).

**Results (table and figs 4-8)**
The table shows variables obtained by MRI for the 10 cases.

Volumes are given to the nearest 5 mm$^3$ and lengths to the nearest 0·5 mm.

The range of resection volumes in this small sample of 10 cases shows the large variability that can occur between cases having a “standardised” procedure (all except case 9 had a non-tailored anterior temporal lobectomy). This variability is seen with the total (6159–23 690 mm$^3$), hippocampal (680–1006 mm$^3$), and non-hippocampal (4790–22 025 mm$^3$) resection volumes. The variability in the three measures was similar between surgeon A and surgeon B.

In these 10 cases the total resection volume was significantly correlated with the proportion of hippocampal volume resected (correlation coefficient 0·72, p < 0·05)—that is, a larger total resection was significantly associated with a more complete hippocampal resection. With respect to the hippocampal resection itself we believe that the proportion of hippocampal formation resected is of more importance than the actual amount as the more atrophic is the structure the shorter and smaller it will be. Furthermore, there is great variability in the volume and length of normal hippocampi, making comparison in absolute terms problematic. For example, case 10 had 58% of the hippocampal volume resected against 85% in case 5, despite the fact that the actual resection volume was greater (1430 mm$^3$ v 1330 mm$^3$). This indicates that case 5 had a more complete resection of a more atrophic (and therefore diseased) hippocampus. Figures 5 and 6 show the relation between the length and volume of hippocampal resection expressed in absolute and in percentage terms. In both instances the simple linear measurements correlate well with the volumetric data (correlation coefficients 0·77 (absolute measurements) and 0·93 (proportions)). Although this correlation is greater with the resection proportions than with the absolute resection amounts in both cases this reached statistical significance (p < 0·001 and p < 0·01 respectively). In other words the simple linear measurements of hippocampal resection with our technique are a good indication of the hippocampal resection volume. By contrast, both linear measurements (lengths 1 and 2; fig 3) of the extent of the lateral resection correlated extremely poorly with the lateral resection volume (correlation
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Figure 4  Morphometric graphs of hippocampal resection area for each patient. The variability in hippocampal volume loss and the varying degree of correlation between the surgical resection and volume loss is clearly shown in all 10 cases. The slices are numbered passing anteriorly from the posterior hippocampal limit at slice 1, and are 1.5 mm thick. The hippocampal area in mm² is obtained directly from the console screen by pixel counting. Black squares = right hippocampus; white squares = left hippocampus. Arrows indicate resection margins of the diseased hippocampus. In case 2 the slice 18 mm anterior to the posterior hippocampal limit corresponds to figs 1 and 2 and is annotated with a small arrow.

coefficients of 0.49 (1) and 0.47 (2), p > 0.2 (1 and 2)). Figures 7 and 8 show this graphically.

Measurements of the hippocampal surgical specimen by the pathologist had been possible in eight cases and the resection lengths thus obtained were in broad agreement with those obtained by MRI (table; correlation coefficient 0.81, p < 0.01), and were mostly within 2 mm, although in one case (case 5) there was a difference of 7 mm. Caution should be used in the interpretation of these retrospective findings however, for although the mesial structures were by and large removed en bloc the amount of material lost during the dissection (by fine suction and diathermy) is unknown and the pathologist simply measured the specimen that he had received in the normal way.

The morphometric graphs of hippocampal
MRI variables for 10 cases of surgically treated temporal lobe epilepsy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Reaction volume (mm³) (%)</th>
<th>Reaction length (mm) (%)</th>
<th>Specimen length (mm)</th>
<th>Lateral resection volume (mm³)</th>
<th>Lateral resection length (1) (mm)</th>
<th>Lateral resection length (2) (mm)</th>
<th>Total resection volume (mm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1735</td>
<td>25-5</td>
<td>1665 (96)</td>
<td>22-5 (88)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>22025 44</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23690</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>31-5</td>
<td>1280 (64)</td>
<td>16-5 (52)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12465 19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13745</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>30-0</td>
<td>680 (38)</td>
<td>10-5 (35)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10030 25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10710</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>34-5</td>
<td>1440 (71)</td>
<td>20-5 (59)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>21540 38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>22980</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1550</td>
<td>25-5</td>
<td>1330 (85)</td>
<td>21-0 (82)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18250 42</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>19550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>31-5</td>
<td>1365 (76)</td>
<td>20-5 (65)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4790 41</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>25-5</td>
<td>1160 (75)</td>
<td>18-0 (71)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17240 26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>34-5</td>
<td>1170 (77)</td>
<td>18-0 (52)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18715 36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19885</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4130</td>
<td>37-5</td>
<td>785 (19)</td>
<td>9-0 (24)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4955 21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5740</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2475</td>
<td>30-0</td>
<td>1430 (58)</td>
<td>12-0 (40)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10450 26</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11880</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = Not determined; lengths were estimated to the nearest 0·5 mm and volumes to the nearest 5 mm³.

Discussion
We have described a method for measuring surgical resection of the hippocampal (mesiobasal) and lateral (non-hippocampal) temporal lobe compartments by high resolution MRI. This study came about from the impression gained by our routine use of postoperative MRI in surgery for epilepsy that temporal lobe resections for epilepsy may be more variable than the term "standard" temporal lobectomy would suggest and furthermore that the other methods used routinely for estimating the resection amount, such as the manual linear measurements performed at the time of surgery or from postoperative CT or MRI, are relatively crude. As a result any audit of the efficacy of differing surgical procedures is hampered by lack of information regarding the surgery itself.

There have been several attempts to quantify temporal lobe resections by compartmentalisation techniques. These methods were designed to answer specific questions regarding temporal lobe epilepsy, however, and are not applicable to other types of resection, do not measure the volume of brain resection in absolute terms, and are laborious to perform. By contrast, we have developed a simple methodology based on 1·5 mm thick contiguous MRI to provide sufficient sampling of the area of interest that is applicable to surgery for all types of epilepsy. In this paper we have described its use in temporal lobe resections for hippocampal sclerosis. A similar methodology is currently being applied to cases of lesional epilepsy. Our method does not use an indirect scoring system to estimate the extent of resection but instead calculates the linear and volumetric resection indices directly. Furthermore by applying the identical MRI protocol to our preoperative studies we have been able to maximise the information of each and accurately correlate the resection with the preoperative imaging abnormality. This is important because of the variability in the temporal lobe to be operated on and the operative resection itself.

Routine use of both preoperative and

---

**Figure 5** Relation between hippocampal resection volume and length. Correlation coefficient 0·77 (p < 0·01). There is good correlation between the two variables.

**Figure 6** Relation between percentage of hippocampal volume and percentage of hippocampal length resected. Correlation coefficient 0·93 (p < 0·001). There is excellent correlation between the variables.
postoperative volumetric MRI will make important contributions in some key areas in surgery for epilepsy. Not only will there be feedback for the surgeon on his success at achieving the intended resection in the individual patient (and this will become more important as the resections become more selectively tailored to the preoperative imaging and abnormalities on EEG), but in addition, analysis of the results of the differing surgical techniques used in medically intractable temporal lobe epilepsy may now be compared. Such image directed audit of surgery for temporal lobe epilepsy therefore works on two levels; completing the feedback loop for the individual surgeon but also more generally in allowing proper and valid comparisons of surgical results.

In conclusion we have found our MRI based technique to be simple and easy to apply. We have been able to document the resection volumes of the temporal lobe compartments and correlate the hippocampal resection with the volume loss seen after operation. Although simple linear measurements of the hippocampal resection correlated well with the resection volume this was not the case with the non-hippocampal or "lateral" resection where linear measurements proved very unreliable in indicating the actual volume of resected brain. As the hippocampal resection volume is smaller than the overall resection volume this unreliability is true of the total as well as the lateral resection volumes and shows the problems of overinterpretation of measurements taken by the surgeon at the time of surgery.

There is considerable variation in the resection volumes of all compartments and in the degree to which the hippocampal resection correlates with the preoperative imaging abnormalities. These may have prognostic significance and we are currently performing follow-up studies on a larger cohort of patients to test these hypotheses.
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