Continuous monitoring of cortical perfusion by laser Doppler flowmetry in ventilated patients with head injury
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Abstract
A method for monitoring cortical perfusion by laser Doppler flowmetry (LDF) in the neurointensive care unit is described. Out of 22 patients with head injuries, reliable and long term recordings were obtained in 16. Laser Doppler flowmetry registered changes in cortical microcirculatory flow in response to spontaneous waves of raised intracranial pressure, and to therapeutic manoeuvres that altered the cerebral perfusion pressure. Comparisons of variations in flux signal with cerebral perfusion pressure provided an indication of the autoregulatory state of the cortical microcirculation, and analysis of raw LDF data demonstrated an autoregulatory breakpoint of cerebral perfusion pressure of 58 mm Hg, below which cortical perfusion failed. Although middle cerebral artery flow velocities were generally tightly coupled with LDF signal changes, episodes of uncoupling were seen. The potential uses and limitations of LDF in the neurointensive care setting are discussed.

(The Neuro Neurosurgery Psychiatry 1994;57:1382-1388)
LDF registers changes in cortical microcirculatory flow during changing intracranial pressure and cerebral perfusion pressure. The response to ventilator adjustments and manipulation of the arterial blood pressure with dopamine can also be monitored with LDF. Further, group analysis of the LDF signal data has supported the concept of an autoregulatory threshold of cerebral perfusion pressure below which cerebral perfusion fails. Problems in providing reliable flux signals, avoidance of artifacts, and difficulties with quantification and comparison of signal changes during long term monitoring are discussed.

**Patients and methods**

The theoretical basis for LDF is complex. Briefly, monochromatic coherent laser light, delivered via a transmitting fibre optic, is scattered by biological tissues. Light scattered from moving structures (red blood cells) experiences a Doppler shift in frequency (shifted light), whereas that reflected from surrounding stationary structures remains unaltered (reference light). The shifted and reference light signals are collected by a receiving afferent fibre optic and detected on the surface of a photodetector. The interference of the two signals produces optical beating (heterodyning), the frequency of which is equal to the Doppler shifted frequency. A spectrum of shifted frequencies is generated from variations in red cell velocities, and variations in the angle of incidence of light on red cell surfaces. The power spectral density of these shifted frequencies is determined by the red cell concentration and velocity. From the alternating photodetector current, various algorithms relating red cell flux to output signal can be derived.

The MBF3D dual channel laser Doppler flowmeter (Moor Instrument Ltd, Devon UK) adopted in this study uses a low energy (0.5–1.5 mW) laser light generated by a semiconductor laser diode in the near infrared spectrum (wavelength = 780–820 nm). The signal processor provides variable recording bandwidths of 3.1, 14.9, and 22.4 kHz. In the present study a recording frequency of 14.6 kHz was adopted with a Moor P3 probe (fig 1).

Before use, the probes were precalibrated in 5 ml of motility standard (Moor Instruments Ltd). Sterilisation was achieved by immersion in 2% glutaraldehyde solution for 30 minutes (Cidex, ASEPs, UK) with subsequent washing in sterile saline.

**PATIENTS**

Over a nine month period, 22 patients (aged 19–69) with moderate to severe head injuries (Glasgow coma score 3–12) were entered into the study. The study was approved by the Cambridge Health Authority local research ethics committee.

**PATIENT MANAGEMENT**

All patients were sedated with midazolam (2–10 mg/h infusion) and fentanyl (0·1–0·5 mg/h infusion), paralysed with atracurium (0·3–1·2 mg/kg/h infusion), intubated, and ventilated to a PCO₂ of 3·5–4·0 kPa. Intravenous fluid (Haemaccel and normal saline) were given to achieve a central venous pressure of between 5–10 cm H₂O. Attempts were made to maintain a cerebral perfusion pressure of greater than 55 mm Hg in those patients with raised intracranial pressure using a constant infusion of dopamine (5–15 μg/kg/min). If this treatment failed, boluses of mannitol (200 ml of 20% over 20 minutes) were given and repeated as necessary.

For assistance with the interpretation of data, the nursing staff were asked to document and time any manoeuvre that might cause a signal artifact (such as turning the patient, endotracheal suction, flushing of arterial lines). They were also invited to perform their tasks during specific periods, providing two-hour intervals for the collection of relatively undisturbed data.

**MONITORING**

Invasive and continuous monitoring of arterial blood pressure (20 G catheters, Arrow UK; transducers and monitors, S and W Denmark) and intracranial pressure (Camino, USA) were routinely undertaken in all patients. The intracranial pressure supporting bolt was positioned in the right or left frontal region according to the side of maximum injury as seen on admission CT. In 10 patients, long term monitoring of middle cerebral artery flow velocities was also undertaken by transcranial Doppler (TCD–Scimed, UK).

**LASER DOPPLER FLOWMETRY**

At the time of insertion of the Camino intracranial pressure device, a second Camino bolt was sited about 3 cm further lateral to the intracranial pressure bolt to support a single LDF probe. Care was taken during the sitting of this bolt not to breach the dura with the twist drill such that once the drill hole was completed, a blunt stylet passed down the hole could be felt to spring upon the dura. The camino bolt was then twisted in position, and the dura was punctured with a lumbar needle passed down the bolt shaft. If any bleeding occurred, haemostasis was secured by irrigation with normal saline until the
effluent was clear. A precalibrated and sterilised LDF probe was passed through the support bolt until the pliable surface of the cortex was encountered. With the probe connected to the monitor, the probe position was withdrawn (2–3 mm) until a maximal pulsatile signal was achieved. On further withdrawal (1–2 mm) the signal began to fall. The depth of the probe was increased again until the maximum signal was regained, at which point the locking screw was tightened. Repositioning of the probe was possible once the patient was on the neurointensive care unit allowing intermittent optimisation of the signal.

In four cases, intraoperative placement of the LDF probe indicated that the cortex had been breached (thereby offering little resistance to the LDF probe as it penetrated into the brain parenchyma). Two of these occurred as a result of the twist drill inadvertently penetrating the dura. As the probe tip entered the brain parenchyma, the intensity of the signal fell to about 30% of the more superficial flux readings. To achieve a reliable surface cortical flux signal, the probes had to be resited.

**SIGNAL CAPTURE AND PROCESSING**

Signals of arterial blood pressure, intracranial pressure, LDF, and LDF amplitude were sampled at a frequency of 40 Hz, and digitised with a 12 bit analog to digital converter (DT 2814, Data Translation, USA). Arterial blood pressure and intracranial pressure were calibrated in appropriate units (mm Hg), whereas the raw LDF signal was recorded in arbitrary units (0–1000 AU). Waveforms were processed with specific software (ICM; M Czosnyka, University of Cambridge). A minute by minute graphical display of mean cerebral perfusion pressure, intracranial pressure, LDF, and middle cerebral artery flow velocity (in 10 patients) was provided to assist in the clinical management of the individual patients. High frequency noise and artifacts were filtered, and signals averaged over consecutive 3 second periods. Pulse waveforms of arterial blood pressure, intracranial pressure, and LDF were evaluated with a spectral analysis algorithm to determine the amplitudes of the fundamental signals and heart rate detected. Collection of data continued until the patient was withdrawn from the ventilator (n = 12), had reached a static cerebral haemodynamic state (n = 8), or had died (n = 2).

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Time averaged raw LDF data from 16 patients in whom a reliable and continuous LDF signal had been recorded were imported into a statistical package (Statgraphics, 6+, Manugistics, USA) and the relations between LDF signal and mean cranial perfusion pressure evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) within a cerebral perfusion pressure range of 30–80 mm Hg. Breakpoints for decreases in the LDF signals were defined at cerebral perfusion pressure levels below which the LDF signals started to decrease significantly (p < 0.05).

**Results**

**COMPLICATIONS**

No complications resulted from either intracranial pressure or LDF probe insertion apart from the need to reinsert the probe in two cases. No case of CSF infection occurred. Hence although our initial cautious policy was to insert the intracranial pressure and LDF probes in theatre, we have identified no reason why they should not be inserted within the neurointensive care setting.

**RELIABILITY**

In 14 patients, a continuous pulsatile LDF signal was obtained throughout the period of monitoring. Repositioning of the probe by 1–2 mm did not alter the signal significantly.
in these patients. In six other patients, the LDF signal was unreliable. Frequent adjustments to the probe position was needed to obtain a pulsatile signal, which would often disappear after a few hours. Finally, in two patients, the LDF signal could not be found on return to the intensive care unit despite several attempts to reposition the probe. On resiting the probes in theatre, continuous LDF signals were obtained in both cases.

EFFECT OF LOW CEREBRAL PERFUSION PRESSURE ON LDF SIGNALS

At cerebral perfusion pressure values of below 30 mm Hg, the LDF signal often fell below 50 AU and became non-pulsatile. Such low levels of cerebral perfusion pressure were recorded in five patients, two of whom died. Under such low states of cortical perfusion wide variations in non-pulsatile signal intensities occurred that were thought to be artifactual and were excluded from final data analysis (see discussion).

RELATION BETWEEN CORTICAL FLUX AND CEREBRAL PERFUSION PRESSURE

Figure 2A shows an example of a recording captured from one patient with a severe diffuse head injury during spontaneous changes in cerebral perfusion pressure. Fluctuations in intracranial pressure resulted in variations in cerebral perfusion pressure that were accompanied by changes in the LDF signal. Regression analysis showed a linear relation between cerebral perfusion pressure and LDF (r = 0.83) indicating a state of impaired cortical autoregulation.

Long term recordings showed variation in the autoregulatory state between patients and with time in the same patient. Analysis of the relation, however, between the raw LDF signal and cerebral perfusion pressure from all 16 patients in whom long term monitoring was achieved indicated an autoregulatory breakpoint (fig 3). The upper and lower limits of the SEM for LDF signals at varying levels of cerebral perfusion pressure (30–80 mm Hg) indicated a breakpoint of cerebral perfusion pressure (58 mm Hg) at which LDF

fell significantly on further reduction of the cerebral perfusion pressure (p < 0.05). No change in LDF amplitude was detected within this range of cerebral perfusion pressure.

RELATION BETWEEN CORTICAL FLUX AND MIDDLE CEREBRAL ARTERY FLOW VELOCITY

Figure 4 shows a recording captured during spontaneous wave activity of raised intracranial pressure. Periodic falls in cerebral perfusion pressure and middle cerebral artery flow velocity are seen indicating impaired perfusion in the middle cerebral artery territory. This was accompanied by a simultaneous fall in red cell flux signal. Regression analysis showed a close correlation between middle cerebral artery flow velocity and flux signals (r = 0.84), confirming tight coupling of large vessel flow velocity and small vessel red cell flux.

During planned withdrawal from the ventilator in one patient, the PCO₂ was allowed to rise from 4·0 kPa to 4·8 kPa. After this manoeuvre, plateau waves of raised intracranial pressure resulted in a reduced cerebral perfusion pressure (fig 5). A tightly coupled fall in flow velocity and LDF signal (r = 0.80) indicated impaired cerebral and cortical perfusion. Withdrawal from the ventilator was thus delayed for a further 48 hours when a similar challenge caused no fall in perfusion at a PCO₂ compatible with self ventilation.

Uncoupling between flow velocity and the
LDF signal was seen in several instances. Figure 6 shows that attempted withdrawal from dopamine caused a sudden increase in intracranial pressure and a fall in cerebral perfusion pressure. Both middle cerebral artery flow velocity and LDF signals simultaneously fell indicating impaired perfusion. On restarting the dopamine and recovering the cerebral perfusion pressure, flow velocity returned to previous levels, whereas LDF indicated a pronounced cortical hyperaemia lasting 25 minutes. Figure 7 shows the events of a terminal cone in another patient. During the final hours before brain death, monitoring indicated a gradual increase in intracranial pressure despite maximum treatment. As cerebral perfusion pressure fell toward zero, the LDF signal also fell towards zero indicating failing cortical perfusion. Flow velocity recordings still registered a positive middle cerebral artery blood flow pattern which later became reverberant.

Discussion
The advantage of LDF over other methods adopted for measurement of blood flow is the high temporal resolution provided. In cerebrovascular pathology, this facility is particularly important, as a fall in cerebral blood flow lasting only a few minutes can cause irreversible ischaemic lesions. The potential use of LDF in monitoring cortical blood flow has been shown in individual long term recordings from 16 patients with head injuries. Thus by comparing variations in cerebral perfusion pressure with the flux signal, a real time assessment of the autoregulatory state of the cortical microcirculation was possible. Further, such recordings may prove of value when considering general therapeutic manoeuvres to improve cortical flow. For example, in one patient, the withdrawal of isotropic support caused a rapid fall in cortical perfusion, and in another, a similar event occurred after attempted withdrawal from the ventilator.

In most instances, changes in cortical perfusion measured with LDF were tightly...
coupled to changing middle cerebral artery flow velocity. These findings lend support to the use of transcranial Doppler in patients with head injuries, indicating that variations in flow velocity usually reflect variations of blood flow through the cortical microcirculation. Uncoupling of flow velocity and LDF signals were also noted, however. The hyperaemic response that followed manipulation of a dopamine infusion was registered only by LDF, indicating a possible differential effect of the drug on different parts of the vascular tree. A wider experience using combined transcranial Doppler and LDF may help to determine the incidence and relevance of such events where uncoupling occurs between large vessel and small vessel perfusion.

The technique of LDF is subject to several artifacts that are difficult to overcome in the clinical setting. Maintenance of constant probe position is particularly difficult. The sampling volume is small (1–2 mm³) and may not give clinically relevant information in cases of focal pathology. Finally, LDF does not provide quantitative data. These points are considered further.

LDF ARTIFACT
By rigid fixation of an LDF probe in a position that samples from the surface of the brain, we have been able to record cortical red cell flux over long periods of time in ventilated patients with head injuries. Such monitoring is only possible in paralysed patients as movement significantly affects laser scatter, and hence output signal. Any movement incurred by nursing manoeuvres causes substantial variations in flux signal. The documentation of such events, and their restriction to allocated periods when possible, was an essential part of the study.

Unfortunately, unlike recordings in experimental animals, LDF through the intact dura did not provide an adequate LDF signal in our patients. The probe was therefore positioned adjacent to the pia within the subdural space. The major concern regarding data collected with this arrangement is the effect of pressure on the cortex from an inflexible probe. Variations in cerebral swelling might impinge on the probe end, thereby reducing capillary perfusion in that area and give an artificially low reading. No significant change in the LDF amplitude was noted, however, in any recording during raised intracranial pressure. Further, adjusting the depth of probe penetration into the cranial cavity by 2–3 mm did not significantly affect the signal intensity. Additional penetration (5–6 mm) caused a fall in LDF output. We interpret this as indicating that the initial probe position in these patients was 2–4 mm above the cortical surface without significant impingement, and that this position was maintained throughout the period of the recording.

At low levels of cerebral perfusion pressure (<30 mm Hg), LDF signals often became non-pulsatile and showed wide variations in signal intensity. These findings are likely to represent a signal artifact resulting from mechanically induced oscillations in red cell movement and reverberant flow patterns. Because LDF cannot discriminate flow direction, excessively large signal changes may result by summation of artifact.

RELIABILITY OF THE METHOD
In six patients, the LDF signal proved unreliable despite frequent attempts to adjust the depth of probe penetration. A number of explanations can account for this. Firstly, the probe may have been overlaying injured brain. Secondly, inadvertent breach of the cortical surface may cause local injury that will impair red cell perfusion and cause local haemorrhage. Thirdly, the laser scatter theory of LDF assumes a small parenchymal concentration of red cells. Should the probe lie above a medium or large sized vessel, these assumptions are not satisfied. Finally, significant cerebral swelling may impair perfusion by the mechanisms outlined. The fact that we were able to reSITE the probes successfully in two patients indicates that attention to probe position with respect to the cortical surface is critical if LDF is to provide reliable data. It is probable that these difficulties may be partly overcome with improved probe design and technique of insertion.

PROBE LOCATION
Sampling purely from the grey matter would seem an advantage, providing information on sub-pial collateral cortical flow. The flux values would also be devoid of multicompartamental influences. Inadvertent penetration of the pia during insertion often resulted in a drop of flux signal by up to 60%. This could reflect cortical injury, but may also indicate white matter flow. Long term recordings with the probe in this position were not undertaken in this study so we cannot decipher these findings further. Except for the characteristic "feel" of the probe on the surface of the brain, the methods did not allow us to be precise about the location of the probe tip. Standardisation of probe design, insertion, and calibration will be of the utmost importance for the further development of the method.

SAMPLE VOLUME OF LDF
A sample volume of 1–2 mm³ indicates that LDF registers flow changes only within the cortical grey matter. Despite a restricted sample volume, the long term recordings showed the expected pathophysiological responses to raised intracranial pressure. Further, in cases where transcranial Doppler recordings were also captured, a close correlation between middle cerebral artery flow velocity and cortical perfusion was seen indicating that changes in flow measured with LDF were representative of cortical perfusion in the general territory of the ipsilateral middle cerebral artery. Although LDF will not resolve focal anomalies in cerebral blood flow, the technique may monitor general changes that are an important component of secondary mechanisms in brain injury.
QUANTIFICATION OF LDF
Quantification of LDF has been hampered by the variation in baseline signals produced during readings. Our own findings with LDF in experimental animals have shown that, despite a very close correlation between cortical flux and cerebral blood flow, the stable state LDF baseline readings can vary considerably between animals. This partly results from variations in the "biological zero" output signal that the instrument records when blood flow is zero. In our patients with head injuries, we noticed that LDF signal levels for cerebral perfusion pressure above 65 mm Hg were remarkably constant. Indeed, analysis of the raw LDF data has shown a clear autoregulatory break point at a cerebral perfusion pressure of 58 mm Hg, below which cortical perfusion fails. This value is consistent with the autoregulatory breakpoint derived from middle cerebral artery flow velocity waveform analysis obtained with transcranial Doppler studies in other patients with head injuries. These highly convergent data came as a surprise, and indicate that by contrast with experimental experience with small animals, the methodology adopted seems to provide an LDF baseline reading that is comparable between patients. The use of a skull bolt that excludes interference from external light may be a helpful factor. If further experience gives reproducible flux signals in adult brain for a given cerebral blood flow, calibration of the LDF signal may be possible.

SUMMARY
In summary, early experience with LDF has indicated that long term recordings of cortical perfusion are possible. The reliability of the technique seems to depend on probe positioning and avoidance of movement artifact. An autoregulatory threshold for cortical microcirculatory failure was demonstrated at a cerebral perfusion pressure of 58 mm Hg, and variations in red cell flux were closely coupled with middle cerebral artery flow velocity measurements in most, but not all, instances. The high temporal resolution of LDF provides the opportunity to monitor the microcirculatory effects of treatment that alters the cerebral perfusion pressure in patients with raised intracranial pressure. Further attention to probe design and the technique of insertion are required for the method to become fully reliable.
Continuous monitoring of cortical perfusion by laser Doppler flowmetry in ventilated patients with head injury.
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