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Abstract

Hypofrontality or reduced activity in the prefrontal cortex, measured as reduced frontal perfusion or glucose uptake, has gained the status of an established finding in the medical literature on schizophrenia. Many relevant studies, however, have potential sources of bias, such as small subject numbers, or unreliable performance of activation tasks by the patients during the scanning procedure. Seventy patients with non-affective and non-organic psychoses were recruited—most qualifying for DSM-III-R schizophrenia or schizophreniform psychosis (n = 60)—together with 20 healthy volunteers. They underwent single photon emission computed tomography with 99mTc-exametazime, carried out at rest. Tracer uptake was normalised to the occipital cortex. Group differences in tracer uptake were predicted in anterior regions of interest (prefrontal cortex and mesial frontal/cingulate cortex). Actively psychotic (including schizophrenic) patients not taking any drugs showed increased uptake in the prefrontal cortex. Reduced tracer uptake occurred in the mesial frontal cortex of schizophrenic patients, particularly if they were taking drugs. Relatively increased prefrontal tracer uptake associated with relatively decreased mesial frontal uptake characterised the patients in comparison with the controls. Generalised hypofrontality is, therefore, not a feature of schizophrenic patients at rest whether taking drugs or not.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1995;58:452–456)
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Relative frontal hypometabolism (hypofrontality) in schizophrenia was first described 19 years ago by Ingvar and Franzen, who used an invasive non-tomographic method to determine regional cerebral blood flow with 133Xe in a group of patients with severe chronic illness. Since then, hypofrontality in schizophrenia has achieved the status of a paradigm in neuropsychiatry. In a recent exhaustive review, Andreasen found that only half of all functional imaging studies carried out in schizophrenic patients at rest showed hypofrontality. This they explained by the heterogeneity of patient samples. In fact, hypofrontality is typically reported in chronically ill patients treated with drugs, whereas several studies of patients with or without drug treatment have failed to replicate it. Drug naive acutely ill patients have even shown the opposite pattern—namely, increased frontal tracer uptake. The second conclusion that can be drawn from recent studies is that activation procedures increase the separation in frontal brain activity between control and schizophrenic groups. Some authors have argued that this is due to the task removing the variability that occurs during the uncontrolled resting state in patients and have redefined hypofrontality as “the inability to increase frontal blood flow in response to a frontal stimulus”. It is doubtful, whether such a redefinition advances our understanding of schizophrenia. The poorer performance of “frontal” activation tasks by patients with schizophrenia is probably sufficient explanation for the difference from controls, who perform such tasks well. Activation hypofrontality may, therefore, tell us more about the functional topology of the task than about schizophrenia.

The emergence of lipophilic blood flow markers, such as technetium-99m labelled hexamethyl-propyleneamine oxime (HM-PAO, exametazime), has made it possible to examine large numbers of more representative psychotic patients. Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) with 99mTc-exametazime provides a monotonic measure of regional cerebral blood flow that has been validated in animal and human studies. It reflects metabolic activation patterns during physiological, psychological, and pharmacological activation experiments. Tracer activity can be measured reliably by normalising regional counts to a reference area such as the cerebellum, occipital cortex, or whole brain. By contrast with the non-tomographic and tomographic methods involving xenon-133 inhalation, SPECT with 99mTc-exametazime allows for high spatial resolution (up to 7 mm) in deeper structures of the brain. This is relevant for the detailed examination of mesial cortical and subcortical grey areas. Most tomographic imaging studies have not distinguished further between frontolateral and mesial frontal cortex. Studies which make this distinction tend to report mesial frontal reductions in activity.

In the present study we have examined four subgroups of psychotic patients and one control group with the hypothesis that by contrast...
with our actively psychotic, schizophrenic patients not taking drugs, more chronically ill schizophrenic patients treated with drugs would show a hypofrontal pattern of tracer uptake.

Subjects and methods
To be included in the first patient group, patients had to be actively psychotic at presentation to the Royal Edinburgh Hospital (to experience delusions, hallucinations, or display formal thought disorder). Patients meeting criteria for DSM-III-R diagnosis of major depressive or manic episodes were excluded. Patients were included if they were either neuroleptic naive, or if they had not received neuroleptics for at least two weeks for oral medication, or two months for intramuscular treatment. Nineteen patients qualified for DSM-III-R diagnosis of schizophrenia (15 paranoid, three disorganised, one undifferentiated), one patient for schizophreniform disorder, five as schizoaffective disorder, one paranoid disorder, one brief reactive psychosis, and two psychoses not otherwise specified. These drug free patients were treated as two groups, one consisting of 19 schizophrenic and a single schizophreniform patient, the other consisting of the remaining 10 schizoaffective and other psychoses. Data on the schizophrenic subgroup have previously been published. Table 1 lists details of these patients.

The second group consisted of 40 patients qualifying for DSM-III-R schizophrenia (n = 38) or schizophreniform disorder (n = 2) (table 1). This group was selected from inpatients and outpatients at the Royal Edinburgh Hospital, specifically to include 20 patients with and 20 without a good treatment response. Patients were symptomatically assessed with the Manchester scale. Patients with a good treatment response were in remission or partial remission from symptoms, patients with a poor treatment response showed more symptoms. All were receiving neuroleptic drugs.

As a control group, we used the 20 youngest subjects of our control pool of healthy volunteers who have been recruited from community sources (table 1).

All subjects were examined with a single slice 12 detector scanner (SME-Multi X 810; Strichman, Medfield, MA, USA) with an in slice resolution of 7.5 mm (full width half maximum) at a slice thickness of 15 mm and a sensitivity measured as 520 c/s in a head sized phantom containing 1 kBq/ml. Intermediate resolution (572 hole) focusing collimators were used. Subjects underwent cannulation of an arm vein and were allowed to rest for about 15 minutes before injection of 250 MBq to 500 MBq of 99mTc-exametazime. During the injection, subjects were lying comfortably on the imaging table with eyes covered and ears unplugged, and environmental noises were kept to a minimum for five minutes after the start of the injection. Before acquiring the image, subjects were positioned with the aid of crossed light beams and external markers so that slices of brain were acquired parallel to the orbitomeatal plane. The head was fixed lightly with pressure pads over the zygomatic arches during the whole scan, and position was checked intermittently. This method allows for reliable replication of tracer uptake measurements. At least eight transaxial slices parallel to the orbitomeatal plane were acquired. For the present study, three non-overlapping transaxial slices, one at the midventricular level, the second about 2 cm above, and a third 4 cm above the first were available for all subjects and were, therefore, selected for analysis.

For the quantitative analysis of uptake patterns, templates were drawn from a standard brain atlas. These templates were symmetrically and linearly deformed to fit the outline of the brain defined by the 40% isocontour line of each slice. This changed the size and shape of the overall template, leaving the relative relation of regions of interest to each other intact. It has the disadvantage of not taking account of individual variations in anatomy but it provides an objective and reliable method of determining relative uptake patterns. The fitting of the template and the scan analysis were performed by a trained technician who was unaware of the diagnosis and naive as to any anticipated group differences. Regional count densities were transferred from the imaging programme (SME 810 software version 2.61) and analysed with SPSS for the Apple Macintosh (version 4.0). Regional count densities were normalised by

Table 1 Descriptive variables for the five original subject groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Controls (n = 20)</th>
<th>Schizophreniform/other psychoses no drugs (n = 10)</th>
<th>Schizophrenia active psychotic no drugs (n = 20)</th>
<th>Schizophrenia good drug response (n = 20)</th>
<th>Schizophrenia poor drug response (n = 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33.5 (22-0)</td>
<td>22.5 (13-3)</td>
<td>32.5 (16-8)</td>
<td>33.5 (20-5)</td>
<td>33.5 (16-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>8/12</td>
<td>9/1</td>
<td>12/8</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>10/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness (left/right)</td>
<td>2/18</td>
<td>1/9</td>
<td>3/17</td>
<td>2/18</td>
<td>2/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NART predicted IQ</td>
<td>113.5 (18-0)</td>
<td>118.0 (11-5)</td>
<td>104.0 (28-0)</td>
<td>117.0 (20-0)</td>
<td>89.0 (18-0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness (days)</td>
<td>10.0 (4-0)</td>
<td>10.0 (4-0)</td>
<td>7.5 (9-8)</td>
<td>7.5 (9-8)</td>
<td>7.5 (9-8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPZ units</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>137.5 (322.5)</td>
<td>950.0 (112-5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data for sex and handedness are numbers of patients; other variables are medians (interquartile range). CPZ units = chlorpromazine units.
division by an area weighted mean of posterior brain regions of interest (fig 1). This method was preferred to a whole brain normalisation because the study was to focus on anterior brain structures; if these structures had been included in the reference area, any effects would, of course, be diminished. The occipital cortex was chosen because the visual stimulus conditions of all subjects were controlled for during the time of injection. The cerebellum was not used as a reference area, because the objective fitting of regions of interest provides particular difficulties with this structure, and normal tracer uptake cannot be assumed in all patients, particularly if they were treated with drugs for some time.63

Finally, region of interest analysis was preferred to pixel by pixel analysis because previous published work has averaged activity over large areas, and pixel by pixel studies have not shown highly localised frontal changes in schizophrenia.63

We used planned comparisons for the frontal and anterior cingulate regions of interest where abnormalities were predicted from the medical literature. Mann-Whitney U tests were used for a comparison of patient groups and controls in the four anterior cingulate and six frontal regions of interest. No adjustment was made for multiple testing as all comparisons that were carried out were planned.64

The two groups of schizophrenic patients treated with drugs were combined, because there was no a priori hypothesis about the effect of treatment responsiveness on anterior tracer uptake.

Results
Table 1 gives the age, sex, handedness, IQ predicted by the National Adult Reading Test (NART65), as well as duration of illness and drugs in chlorpromazine units. The patients with schizophrenia were well matched with controls for age and sex. The non-schizophrenic psychotic patients were younger and had an excess of male patients compared with schizophrenia and control groups. Premorbid intelligence as estimated by the NART was lower for actively psychotic patients and schizophrenic patients with a poor response to drugs. The relevance of this finding may be related to illness factors rather than simply to premorbid ability. As expected, patients taking drugs had a longer duration of illness than the non-schizophrenic patients or the drug-free schizophrenic groups.

Table 2 and fig 2 give the results of the planned group comparisons between patient groups and healthy volunteers for anterior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of interest</th>
<th>Controls (n = 20)</th>
<th>Schizophrenia treated with drugs (n = 40)</th>
<th>Schizophrenia no drugs (n = 20)</th>
<th>Schizophrenia no drugs (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior cingulate</td>
<td>1-09 (0-09)</td>
<td>1-02 (0-17)*</td>
<td>0-98 (0-12)*</td>
<td>1-03 (0-11)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior cingulate (6)</td>
<td>1-11 (0-11)</td>
<td>1-12 (0-12)</td>
<td>0-97 (0-08)</td>
<td>0-92 (0-08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left frontal (6)</td>
<td>0-95 (0-08)</td>
<td>0-99 (0-10)</td>
<td>0-95 (0-08)</td>
<td>0-91 (0-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left frontal (6)</td>
<td>0-92 (0-08)</td>
<td>0-92 (0-09)</td>
<td>0-92 (0-12)</td>
<td>0-85 (0-08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior cingulate</td>
<td>1-08 (0-10)</td>
<td>1-05 (0-15)</td>
<td>0-92 (0-12)</td>
<td>0-85 (0-08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior cingulate (6)</td>
<td>1-13 (0-09)</td>
<td>1-05 (0-15)</td>
<td>0-96 (0-08)</td>
<td>0-87 (0-09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right frontal (6)</td>
<td>0-96 (0-05)</td>
<td>0-98 (0-08)</td>
<td>0-97 (0-07)</td>
<td>0-93 (0-09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right frontal (6)</td>
<td>0-94 (0-06)</td>
<td>0-97 (0-09)</td>
<td>0-97 (0-07)</td>
<td>0-93 (0-09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right frontal (8)</td>
<td>0-89 (0-05)</td>
<td>0-93 (0-08)</td>
<td>0-93 (0-10)</td>
<td>0-93 (0-09)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are medians (interquartile ranges); numbers in parentheses after regions of interest indicate slice in cm above the OM-line. Confirmatory analysis with planned comparisons between controls and each patient group was carried out with Mann-Whitney U tests.

* P < 0-05, two tailed.
regions of interest. Non-schizophrenic psychotic patients not given drugs showed increased tracer uptake in most prefrontal regions of interest, particularly on the right side (fig 2A). Schizophrenic patients not treated with drugs showed similar hypofrontality, pronounced on the right side and in the upper two slices, combined with reduced uptake in the left inferior cingulate cortex (fig 2B). Schizophrenic patients treated with drugs showed reductions in frontal areas but these were too small to reach significance. Significant reductions in tracer uptake were seen only in mesial frontal areas (fig 2C). The expected hypofrontality in the prefrontal cortex was, therefore, not discernible in this large sample of 40 patients taking drugs. There were no significant correlations between symptom scores or dose of drug and anterior regional tracer uptake in the treated group.

Discussion
Our patients taking drugs showed a reduction in tracer uptake to the mesial frontal-anterior cingulate regions of cerebral cortex. The central finding of this study is, therefore, the dissociation of activity between prefrontal and anterior cingulate structures at rest in psychoses and schizophrenia. Hypofrontality, confined to the anterior cingulate, could also be found in acutely ill patients with DSM-III-R schizophrenia and schizophreniaiform disorder but not treated with drugs, thereby replicating the results of Tamminga and coworkers.36 By contrast, the prefrontal cortex, the traditional focus of hypofrontality, was overactive in psychotic and schizophrenic patients not given drug treatment, with small non-significant reductions in schizophrenic patients taking drugs.

Global or prefrontal hypofrontality is, therefore, not the robust finding at rest that it is often taken to be in chronic schizophrenia. The common denominator for a diagnosis of schizophrenia instead seems to be a reduction of tracer uptake localised to the mesial frontal and anterior cingulate cortex. It is difficult at present to assess the functional relevance of this underactivity. Pardo et al36 have associated anterior cingulate activation in normal volunteers with performance in the Stroop task, a verbal-visual attention task performed poorly by schizophrenic patients.47 Furthermore, we have previously examined a patient presenting with right unilateral auditory hallucinations with delusional elaboration in the presence of right hearing impairment and tinnitus with a localised right unilateral anterior cingulate reduction of tracer uptake (AB Doris, RE O’Carroll, KP Ebmeier, unpublished data). The localised reduction in mesial frontal activity can be interpreted, after Frith and Done,48 as reflecting a disruption at a nodal point in the brain monitoring system involved in the supervision of willed actions, that could arguably be the correlate of psychotic experiences in schizophrenia. It is likely not to be the only possible mechanism involved in the generation of psychotic experiences, because the non-schizophrenic patients showed, if anything, cingulate overactivity.

It cannot be entirely excluded that drugs played a part in the anterior reduction of tracer uptake in the treated group, although there were no correlations with dose. It is also impossible to claim primacy for medial frontal involvement in schizophrenia, because this area is closely linked with limbic cortex, prefrontal cortex, and subcortical structures such as the thalamus.

What then is the status of generalised hypofrontality in schizophrenia? Wender34 has argued that the failure of rests studies to control for the mental activity of subjects during tracer uptake or wash out is responsible for contradictory results. This explanation is consistent with the recent finding that symptom patterns or syndromes at the time of study are associated with specific regional patterns of resting perfusion—for example, negative symptoms or psychomotor poverty with reduced prefrontal uptake.49 It might also explain why hypofrontality in schizophrenic patients has only been described in resting, albeit, actively psychotic patients.50 The association of psychomotor impairment with prefrontal hypofrontality49,50 predicts that the extent of hypofrontality is related to patient selection. The original findings with xenon-133 were on extremely retarded, in some cases mute, patients. There was, however, no convincing relation between prefrontal perfusion and psychomotor poverty in our patients treated with drugs. This implies that other factors have contributed to the hypofrontality of other samples. One possibility is that older patients and patients with more severe cognitive deficits may show disproportionate frontal deficits.49 The complexity of the syndrome we call schizophrenia means that few studies present or control for all the details relevant to the clinical state of different patient groups. The challenge is to isolate those components of the schizophrenia syndrome that can be related reliably to abnormal patterns of brain function: the idea that hypofrontality is a central pathophysiological abnormality in schizophrenia does not help us to meet it.
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