Editorial

From thalamic syndrome to central poststroke pain

Chronic pain sometimes follows a stroke. This is often thought to be an unusual, if not rare, occurrence, and it took over 10 years for Bowers to amass the 130 patients with pain from stroke and subarachnoid haemorrhage reported in this _Journal_. Yet in the first prospective study reported last year, Andersen and colleagues showed that as many as 8% of patients have persistent pain a year after their stroke. Although only a small proportion of stroke victims will have chronic pain, because stroke is so common, pain must affect surprisingly large numbers of people, many of whom will not necessarily be under medical review. The purpose of this editorial is to draw attention to the importance of this "hidden" disorder, place it within the context of central pain, and briefly summarise some clinical aspects and possible mechanisms.

Pain from an example of central pain, defined as "regional pain caused by a primary lesion or dysfunction in the CNS, usually associated with abnormal sensibility to temperature and to noxious stimulation." (For reviews on central pain, see 4; for a historical review of the terminology and development of the concept, see 1.) Whereas stroke is the commonest cause, followed by multiple sclerosis 5-11 and spinal cord injury, 12 there are numerous other neurological disorders that can give rise to central pain; cerebral causes include tumours, 13 penetrating head injuries, 14 epilepsy, 15-17 Parkinson's disease, 18-19 and motor neuron disease 20; and spinal causes include syringomyelia, 21-23 spinal cord infarction, 12 and tumours. 14 Central pain may also follow surgical lesions of the nervous system, 6,24 but curiously it rarely follows blunt head injury or craniotomy. Pain after stroke can be considered the epitome of central pain, and many of the clinical features and therapeutic strategies employed in this condition are common to other conditions causing central pain.

From thalamic syndrome to central poststroke pain

The finding that strokes could give rise to pain became established in 1906 with the famous paper entitled _Le syndrome thalamique_ by Dejerine and Roussy. 25 They described the postmortem findings in three patients who had pain that followed stroke. They also correlated the clinical features with the pathological findings of a lesion that included "the external nucleus of the thalamus (lateral and posterior portions especially) . . . ," and defined the thalamic syndrome—a term they introduced—as a mild hemiplegia without contractures, persistent superficial hemianesthesia (in some cases replaced by superficial hypesthesia) with impaired deep sensation, mild hemiataxia and astereognosis, and in addition there might be choreaothetosis on the paralysed side and "sharp, enduring, paroxysmal, often intolerable pain on the hemiplegic side which does not respond to any analgesic treatment". 26

Despite Dejerine and Roussy 25 reporting that pain was an inconstant feature, the thalamic syndrome is often—incorrectly—thought of as being synonymous with pain that follows stroke. Furthermore, it soon became apparent that similar pain could arise after strokes that affect not just the thalamus but many and possibly any part of the central somatosensory pathways. 4 As a result, the term "thalamic syndrome" for the painful condition is neither historically correct nor accurate in respect of the clinical features and has been replaced by the term "central poststroke pain".

The nature of the vascular lesion

Why some, and possibly younger, 1 patients should develop pain, why most strokes remain painless, and why pain tends not to occur when there is severe hemiplegia are unknown. There is no relation between the pain and the arterial territory involved, nor the size of the lesion, and no single structure has been implicated in the generation of the pain.

Studies with CT 26 and more recently MRI 27-28 have disclosed the numbers and sites of vascular lesions which may be giving rise to pain. Sometimes multiple, these lesions often include small infaracts especially in the brainstem, and tiny infaracts could account for the pain in those patients in which this is the only symptom. Often, however, it is difficult to correlate any specific lesion on the scan with the site of origin of the pain. Very infrequent after midbrain infarcts, pain is commonest after thalamic infaracts but even then it is unusual; Bogousslavsky _et al_ reported pain in only three of 42 patients with thalamic infarcts established by CT, and in all three there was infarction affecting the ventroposterior thalamus. 29 Poststroke pain is also well recognised after cortical and subcortical infarcts affecting the parietal region, and the term parietal pseudothalamic pain has been used in this context. 30

Infaracts are not the only vascular disorders causing poststroke pain. Pain can occur after intracerebral and subarachnoid haemorrhage 11 (independent of neurosurgical intervention 31), although the contribution of spasm and infarction after subarachnoid haemorrhage remains unclear. Pain that resolved after decompressive surgery has also been reported in association with an unruptured aneurysm that projected into the thalamus. 32

Rarely, pain may be abolished by another stroke. 33

Clinical features

Most of the clinical features of central poststroke pain have been recognised for decades. 4 Delay in onset of the pain, often by days or weeks, sometimes for months, and occasionally for many years, is striking. 4-26 The pain may
unfortunately gradually develop as sensory impairment and weakness improve, and the pain may then remain constant and often permanent although variable in intensity. The pain, which can be very severe, may be superficial or deep, is typically burning, with an ice-like quality, but may also be aching, tearing, squeezing, or stabbing, and the pain tends to be worsened by emotion, diurnal factors, movement, and sometimes electrical stimulation. There may also be spontaneous or evoked sensory disturbances such as paraesthesiae, dysesthesiae, hyperesthesia, allodynia to cold, touch or movement, radiation of cutaneous stimuli, and aftersensations. Pain is often unilateral (with about equal right-left distribution) and affects one half of the body, but may also occur in an unusual distribution—for instance, in a quadrant of the body, or around one side of the face, or the mouth and hand (the cheiro-oral syndrome).

Recently the sensory changes accompanying the pain have been studied more extensively. The area of pain is within or less than that of the sensory deficit, which often shows allosthenia or hyperalgesia. The cardinal findings are that appreciation of pinprick and temperature is nearly always impaired, and there is almost always a raised threshold to warm and cold detection and to a lesser extent also to painful heat and pain. Modalities subserved by the posterior columns are less commonly affected, although in patients with poststroke pain, dysaesthesiae after physiological stimuli including light touch and movement or electrical stimulation are probably mediated by the posterior columns.

Confirmation of the typical spinothalamic dysfunction and small fibre mediated involvement has come from evoked potential studies. These demonstrate that laser evoked cerebral potentials are usually abnormal when elicited from the affected side of patients with poststroke pain and in whom there is impairment of spinothalamic function, compared with normal electrically evoked sensory potentials subserved by large diameter fibres. Patients with strokes and impaired spinothalamic function may, however, not experience pain, and it seems that a lesion affecting the spinothalamic system “is a necessary but not sufficient condition” for the development of poststroke pain.

Patients often show features of autonomic impairment on the painful side. The painful area tends to be cooler and vasoconstricted; changes in sweating are inconstant.

Treatment
It is distressing to patients and disheartening for doctors to realise that the original finding of Dejerine and Roussy as to the ineffectiveness of treatment remains true for the majority of patients, and many would not share the view that pain relief can be achieved in almost two thirds of patients. New approaches therefore remain the best hope for the future. The principles of treatment are first to treat any nociceptive components, related, for instance, to skin changes, infection, and musculoskeletal factors including abnormal posture. This is because these peripheral factors are generally easier to treat than the central pain, and because abnormal peripheral input may enhance central pain.

DRUGS
Numerous types of drugs ranging from phenothiazines to levodopa have been tried in the treatment of poststroke pain, and occasional patients unpredictably respond, for example, to anticonvulsants such as carbamazepine. Few drugs, however, have stood the test of time, and on the rare occasions that controlled studies have been under-
While enthusiasm for lesioning procedures has waned, there has been increasing interest in stimulation procedures, and various parts of the nervous system have been stimulated in an attempt to excite ill understood ascending or descending pathways that may subserve inhibitory processes. As with ablative techniques, few of these methods have been evaluated by appropriate controlled studies, any improvement in pain may wane, often only a few patients have been studied, and pain may in trials often have heterogeneous conditions, and follow up tends to be for a short period and is rarely undertaken by independent observers.

A practical approach is to start with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS). This non-invasive and non-destructive technique is simple, safe, and cheap. It can be tried in almost any patient, and has been reported as occasionally improving central pain after strokes. However, TENS may exacerbate central pain during stimulation and obviously then needs to be discontinued.

Deep brain stimulation is invasive but relatively non-destructive. The regions that have most commonly been stimulated include the somatosensory areas of the ventralbasal thalamus (including the ventroposterior lateral and medial nuclei), the periventricular and periaqueductal grey matter, and adjacent nuclei. There is uncertainty as to optimal stimulation sites and parameters of stimulation, and even the site of stimulation may not prove to be exactly that intended. For the reasons stated above, it is not possible to assess the clinical efficacy of deep brain stimulation at present.

Recently motor cortex stimulation has been developed. This site is of both practical and theoretical interest. Initial reports of benefit have, as usual, been followed by others that have been less optimistic. Stimulation sometimes, but not always, produces tingling and vibration sensations but below-threshold for muscle stimulation. Epilepsy has been reported as a complication. The rationale behind this technique, which relies on extrudal stimulation of the premotor cortex, depends on stimulation of descending inhibitory non-nociceptive sensory pathways present in the motor cortex. PET studies of cerebral blood flow, however, suggest that this form of stimulation, which seems to be somatotopically mediated, may involve inhibitory processes and be related to increased synaptic activity in the ipsilateral thalamus and brainstem, as well as cingulate gyrus and orbitofrontal cortex.

BEHAVIOURAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL APPROACHES

Patients with chronic pain often find their pain not only extremely unpleasant but distressing to a degree that it seriously affects their lives. The role of behavioural therapists and psychologists in helping these patients is often invaluable, and many techniques have been employed. Not infrequently psychiatric advice may also be appropriate.

Mechanisms

The mechanisms subserving poststroke pain and other central pains have been considered for a century and remain unknown. Whereas there has been recent interest in the effects of plasticity and in alterations of affective processes in the anterior cingulate and subfrontal cortex in respect of how pain in humans is experienced, two main mechanisms have been postulated. These are excitation in damaged sensory pathways and the effects of impaired inhibitory pathways.

Evidence for abnormal excitation comes from several sources. Referred to above, epilepsy can be associated with pain, and occasionally epileptic attacks with a painful aura follow strokes. Furthermore, epileptic activity has been recorded from the midbrain and thalamus of patients with poststroke pain by some workers but not others. Disconnection has been considered a possible factor in patients with pain related to parietal strokes that interrupt sensory pathways between thalamus and cortex, and the sequelae may represent an example of deafferentation. Deafferentation phenomena, however, akin to changes seen in preservation supersensitivity, have been much discussed in relation to those neurophysiological changes in the thalamus that occur in patients with poststroke pain and other central pains. These changes include abnormal spontaneous and evoked burst activity, presence of this activity in medial as well as posteroventolateral neurons, and the loss of point to point relation between thalamic neurons and their usual receptive and projected fields.

Whether such changes relate specifically to pain, however, remains unclear. Thus microelectrode studies in 29 patients with central poststroke pain showed both normal and abnormal receptive and projected fields, leading Tasker in a review that included this study to comment: "Burst-firing cells and somatotopographical reorganisation were found in most patients and bore no relationship to their pain." Again, whereas neurogenic pain may be associated with cells in the thalamus which show bursting activity with characteristics typical of low threshold calcium spikes, the tendency to generate such bursts is also seen in various other yet painless disorders of the CNS that are associated with positive phenomena.

Furthermore, not only is it unresolved whether neurogenic pain is necessarily associated with excitation in spinothalamic pathways at thalamic or cortical level, but poststroke pain has also been reported in patients whose entire thalamus on one side has been infarcted. What structures might subserve pain when extensive damage has occurred in the CNS and the question of involvement of ipsilateral and infrathalamic pathways have not been established.

Damage to central inhibitory pathways is likely to be another mechanism involved in the causation of central pain (for review of central inhibition, see ). Noradrenaline and serotonin are implicated both in the function of these inhibitory pathways and in the effects of tricyclic antidepressants, effects which include the relief of pain. Enhancement of inhibition, either chemically or by means of peripheral and central stimulation, may thus explain the mechanisms underlying some of the therapeutic strategies described.

Although several studies of functional imaging in pain after strokes have been reported, their contribution to understanding poststroke pain in a relatively central pain is unclear. The bewildering use of different types of metabolic studies using different techniques, the different clinical features of the patients, and the different brain areas that have been investigated mean that correlation of the findings with the clinical phenomena is not possible at present.

Thus in 1988 a PET study by Laterre and colleagues showed decreased glucose metabolism in the affected posterior thalamus in a patient with poststroke thalamic pain. By comparison, a SPECT study of four patients with central poststroke pain, two of whom had hyperpathia, indicated a relative hyperactivity of the thalamus contralateral to the hyperpathic side. This hyperactivity was present only in those two patients with hyperpathia; the lesion was thalamic in one patient and in the subcortical parietal region in the other. In another SPECT study assessing cortical involvement in five patients with central pain, in two (one with central poststroke pain, the other with anaesthesia dolorosa) there was decreased parietal blood flow contralateral to the painful side; a similar
further developments may not necessarily relate specifically to central poststroke pain. This is because, as with the physiological phenomena recorded from the thalamus,\textsuperscript{79,80} the widespread changes seen on PET are also seen in painful conditions that arise outside the brain.\textsuperscript{81,82} These PET changes included an unexpected decreased thalamic activity contralateral to the symptomatic side in patients whose pain arises peripherally, a finding reminiscent of that reported in the patient with central pain referred to above.\textsuperscript{83} Apart from this question of specificity, it also remains unclear whether the long-term and functional imaging findings relate to the experience of pain, to mechanisms that inhibit pain, or to epiphenomena.

At the end of this century in which pain after stroke became recognised, much has been learnt about the clinical characteristics of this and related conditions, and new techniques have started to contribute to understanding the underlying pathophysiology. It has been established that central poststroke pain, originally thought an uncommon neurological curiosity, is in reality an important and underrecognised condition. Both spontaneous and evoked pains and other sensory disturbances may be present, the pain is often burning in quality, and when sensory changes are slight the most characteristic finding is impaired temperature appreciation in and surrounding the painful area. Management consists of treatment of secondary or associated musculoskeletal and other nociceptive components, drug therapy with tricyclic antidepressants sometimes combined later with anticonvulsants such as carbamazepine, trials of transcannibal electrical nerve stimulation and occasionally sympathetic blockade, and only very rarely to deep brain or the currently experimental motor cortex stimulation. Psychological methods of pain management and occasionally referral for a psychologist’s opinion may also be very valuable. It is to be hoped that further developments in therapy will reach fruition early in the next century.
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