Role of the ipsilateral motor cortex in mirror movements

Tadashi Kanouchi, Takanori Yokota, Fumiko Isa, Kenji Ishii, Michio Senda

Abstract
The mechanism of mirror movements in two patients was investigated; one with congenital mirror movement, the other with schizophrenal. Transcranial magnetic stimulation on one side elicited motor evoked potentials (MEPs) in their thenar muscles on both sides with almost the same latencies, minimal thresholds, and cortical topographies. During voluntary contraction of the thenar muscle on one side, contralateral transcranial magnetic stimulation induced a silent period not only on the voluntary contraction side but also on the mirror movement side and of the same duration. By contrast, ipsilateral transcranial magnetic stimulation elicited MEPs without silent periods in both muscles. With intended unilateral finger movements, an H_2^18O-PET activation study showed that the regional cerebral blood flow increased predominantly in the contralateral sensorimotor cortex, as seen in normal subjects, although mirror movements occurred.

It is considered that the ipsilateral motor cortex plays a major part in the generation of mirror movements, which may be induced through the ipsilateral uncrossed corticospinal tract.
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Case reports
Patient 1 was a 16 year old, ambidextrous boy with congenital mirror movement. He had no neurological abnormalities except these movements. Brain MRI was normal. His family history was negative for mirror movements. Patient 2 was a 37 year old, left handed man with schizophrenal. He had tetraparesis, predominantly on the right side. Brain MRI showed clefts in both hemispheres; one in the right central region and a more severe one in the left frontal region, which communicated with the lateral ventricles. In both patients slight to moderate mirror movements occurred only in the two upper limbs, predominantly in the distal parts.

Methods
We studied the mirror movements in the left hand of patient 1, which appeared during voluntary right hand movement, and those in the right hand of patient 2. All EMG data were recorded from surface electrodes placed on both thenar muscles using a bandpass filter of 10 to 3000 Hz.

TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION
Transcranial magnetic stimulation was carried out with a Magstim 200 (the Magstim Company, Witland, Dyfed, UK) through a figure of eight coil with a 9 cm outer diameter in each loop of the figure of eight and which produces a peak magnetic field of 2-2 T; transcranial magnetic stimulation at 80% to 100% intensity of the maximum stimulator output was given over the left hand motor area with the coil handle pointing backward for patient 1 and over the right hand motor area with the coil handle pointing posterolaterally for patient 2. We estimated the latency of the rectified and averaged motor evoked potentials (MEPs) in each relaxed muscle and the minimal threshold intensity for eliciting MEP.

Topographical maps of the cortical representation of the MEPs in the relaxed thenar muscles in both patients were made from the MEP sizes evoked by transcranial magnetic stimulation at 120% the intensity of the mini-
The topographical maps for MEPs in the the thenar muscles of both patients (left: thenar muscle contralateral to transcranial magnetic stimulation; middle: thenar muscle ipsilateral to transcranial magnetic stimulation and right: substracted $H^2$O-PET images between the unilateral motor task and resting control conditions. All are superimposed on the patients' own MRIs (A: patient 1; B: patient 2). Yellow circles in the topographical maps are the sites at which transcranial magnetic stimulation elicited MEPs. Circle size shows the mean MEP amplitude. In both patients, an increase of more than 20% of the rCBF is present only in the primary sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the voluntarily moved fingers, but mirror movements were found during the motor task. The areas of increased rCBF are almost identical to the MEP topographical maps for the thenar muscles of both patients.

**Figure 1** Topographical cortical maps for MEPs in the thenar muscles of both patients (left: thenar muscle contralateral to transcranial magnetic stimulation; middle: thenar muscle ipsilateral to transcranial magnetic stimulation and right: substracted $H^2$O-PET images between the unilateral motor task and resting control conditions. All are superimposed on the patients' own MRIs (A: patient 1; B: patient 2). Yellow circles in the topographical maps are the sites at which transcranial magnetic stimulation elicited MEPs. Circle size shows the mean MEP amplitude. In both patients, an increase of more than 20% of the rCBF is present only in the primary sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the voluntarily moved fingers, but mirror movements were found during the motor task. The areas of increased rCBF are almost identical to the MEP topographical maps for the thenar muscles of both patients.

In both patients, transcranial magnetic stimulation on one side elicited MEPs in the contralateral and ipsilateral thenar muscles with similar latencies (21-5 and 20-7 ms in patient 1 and 21-1 and 21-4 ms in patient 2) and with
similar minimal thresholds (62% and 60% in patient 1 and 62% and 62% in patient 2). Topographical cortical mapping showed that the area over which transcranial magnetic stimulation elicited MEPs in the ipsilateral thenar muscle was almost identical to that in the contralateral muscle (fig 1).

Transcranial magnetic stimulation over the hand motor area contralateral to the voluntary contraction side always induced silent period after MEP on both the voluntary contraction and mirror movement sides. Both silent periods showed complete cessation of EMG activities. These lasted almost the same time, and became longer with the increase in transcranial magnetic stimulation intensity (fig 2A B). The durations of the silent period induced by the maximum intensity transcranial magnetic stimulation were 106 ms and 114-8 ms on the contralateral voluntary and ipsilateral mirror movement sides for patient 1, and 84-6 ms and 89-4 ms for patient 2.

The ipsilateral silent periods in the normal subjects often showed incomplete cessation or partial reduction of EMG activities, the mean duration being 24-0 (SD 4-70) ms (range 16-2-30-4 ms) at maximum stimulator output (fig 2C).

In both patients, transcranial magnetic stimulation given over the hand motor area contralateral to the mirror movement side often failed to induce silent periods on either side, whereas MEPs always were elicited on both sides (fig 3).

An $\text{H}_2^{15}$O-PET activation study showed that the intended unilateral finger movement produced an increase of more than 20% of the rCBF only in the contralateral primary sensori-
motor cortex, but mirror movements occurred in both patients during the motor task (fig 1).

Discussion
In both patients, transcranial magnetic stimulation on one side elicited MEPs in both thenar muscles with similar latencies, minimal thresholds, and cortical topographies. These findings suggest that there are connections between the primary motor cortex and ipsilateral thenar muscle, which can conduct efferent volleys as fast as the crossed corticospinal tract in normal subjects. Similar results were reported in studies of patients with mirror movements; but, in all except a patient with Klippel-Feil syndrome, it is not clear whether the mirror movements are actually induced through the ipsilateral pathway. To determine whether the same side of the cortex as that which generates voluntary movements on the contralateral side produces mirror movements as transcranial magnetic stimulation elicited ipsilateral MEPs, we investigated the silent period in the ongoing EMG activities of mirror movements.

The silent period is the inhibition of ongoing voluntary EMG activities after the MEP elicited by transcranial magnetic stimulation. Although the segmental inhibitory mechanism may play a part in the origin of the first 50 ms of the normal contralateral silent period, the latter part may be generated by the cortical inhibitory mechanism.9 10 Schnitzler and Benecke showed that in two patients with focal isolated ischaemic lesions of the primary motor cortex, transcranial magnetic stimulation elicited MEPs, but failed to induce silent periods in the clinically affected contralateral muscles.11 They concluded that both the early and late phases of the silent period are generated in the primary motor cortex.

In our patients, transcranial magnetic stimulation over the hand motor area contralateral to the voluntarily contracted muscle always induced equally long silent periods on both the voluntary contraction and mirror movement sides. Silent periods on the ipsilateral mirror movement side were much longer than the normal ipsilateral silent period which is speculated to be due to transcallosal inhibition of the contralateral motor cortex.12 13 The very similar silent periods produced on the voluntary contraction and mirror movement sides suggest that mirror muscle activities were inhibited by the same mechanism that inhibits contralateral voluntary muscle activities. Because of the more than 50 ms duration, those inhibitions are considered to occur at the cortical level. We therefore suggest that mirror activities were inhibited in the cortex on the mirror movement side. This is supported by the fact that transcranial magnetic stimulation given contralateral to the mirror movement side did not inhibit mirror muscle activities. Schnitzler and Benecke suggested that selective damage of inhibitory interneurons in the primary motor cortex can cause a complete loss of the silent period in the contralateral muscle without affecting the MEP.11 In our patients, however, we attribute a loss of the silent periods, when transcranial magnetic stimulation was given over the contralateral primary motor cortex of the mirror movement side, not to the impaired inhibitory mechanism for silent period in the stimulated cortex, but to an absence of the neural activities generating mirror movements in the cortex. Possibly, the muscle activities which were not inhibited originated in the motor cortex contralateral to transcranial magnetic stimulation. This explanation is well supported by the results of our PET study: more than 20% of the rCBF increase was only in the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the voluntarily moved fingers, but mirror movements were seen during the motor task. The side of the rCBF increase was identical to the cortical side on which transcranial magnetic stimulation induced silent periods bilaterally and vice versa.

We therefore consider that the same side of the motor cortex that generates voluntary movements has a major role in the generation of mirror movements which may be induced through the ipsilateral uncrossed corticospinal pathway as suggested by the ipsilateral MEP results.
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