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Abstract

Objectives—To determine the incidence, treatment, and outcome of Guillain-Barré syndrome in south east England.

Methods—Patients presenting with confirmed Guillain-Barré syndrome between 1 July 1993 and 30 June 1994 were recruited via a voluntary reporting scheme coordinated by the British Neurological Surveillance Unit, hospital activity data collected from acute admitting hospitals within the South East and South West Thames Regional Health Authorities, death certificates, and a contemporary research study of Guillain-Barré syndrome and Campylobacter jejuni infection. All patients were followed up for one year to determine outcome.

Results—Seventy nine patients were recruited, 35 (44%) male, 44 (56%) female, including three children (two boys, one girl). The crude (95% confidence interval (95% CI)) annual incidence was 1.2 (0.9–1.4) cases/100 000 population and 1.5 (1.3–1.8)/100 000 when adjusted for undetected cases. Twenty (25%) patients required ventilation for an average (SD) of 42 (64) days. Thirty six (46%) patients received intravenous human immunoglobulin, five (6%) received plasma exchange, 11 (14%) both treatments, three (4%) steroids, and 25 (32%) no immunomodulatory treatment. One year later, six patients (8%) had died, all of whom were older than 60, three (4%) remained bedbound or ventilator dependent, seven (9%) were unable to walk, 14 (17%) unable to run, and 49 (62%) had made a complete or almost complete recovery. Increasing age was significantly associated with a poorer outcome at one year.

Conclusions—Despite the frequent use of modern immunomodulatory treatments Guillain-Barré syndrome still carries considerable morbidity and mortality.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1998;64:74–77)
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Guillain-Barré syndrome is the commonest cause of acute neuromuscular paralysis in most countries. Incidence figures vary from 0.4 to 4/100 000 population/year,¹ the higher figures being reported from detailed studies of small populations. Plasma exchange has been shown to shorten the duration of ventilation and inability to walk, with significant savings in healthcare costs.² ³ Intravenous human immunoglobulin (IgG) has equivalent clinical effects.⁴ ⁵ The effects of these treatments on long term prognosis, however, is not known. We have ascertained the incidence of Guillain-Barré syndrome in a south east England population in 1993–4 and compared the prognosis with that published from a similar population in 1983–4.⁶

Methods

Patients of all ages presenting with the onset of Guillain-Barré syndrome between 1 July 1993 and 30 June 1994 and residing in south east England (comprising south east and south west London, and Surrey, Kent, and Sussex counties with a mid-1993 census population of 6 716 917) were recruited in four ways:

(1) A voluntary reporting scheme coordinated by the British Neurological Surveillance Unit (BNSU) (n=23). All consultant neurologists and neurophysiologists within the South Thames and immediately surrounding Health Authority regions were invited to report new cases of Guillain-Barré syndrome via a monthly postal questionnaire.

(2) Hospital activity analysis (n=68). In August 1994, all acute admitting hospitals within the South East and South West Thames Regional Health Authorities provided a list of patients with a primary diagnosis of Guillain-Barré syndrome (code 357.0 in the International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision (ICD-9)). We also obtained hospital activity analysis data from the South Thames regional headquarters. These data would not have included patients admitted into hospitals in neighbouring regions but residing within the South Thames regions. Such “cross boundary” referrals should however have been included in data collected from 1, 3, and 4. Non-residents and readmissions were excluded.

(3) A contemporary research database (n=22). We actively sought patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome as part of a synchronous study investigating the relation between previous Campylobacter jejuni infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome⁷ by personal approaches to consultant neurologists, physi¬cians, and their admitting teams in south east England and surrounding regions.

(4) Death certificates (n=5). We obtained a list of patients who died from Guillain-Barré syndrome from the Office for Population and Census Studies (OPCS). Further details were then obtained from the responsible consultant.

The total number of 118 exceeds the final 79 as some patients’ details were collected from more than one source. To estimate the number of “missed” cases of Guillain Barré syndrome
in the region, capture-recapture analysis was performed on the four sources of cases using the statistical package GLIM. A 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was calculated for the estimate using the goodness-of-fit method of Regal and Hook, chosen because many of the subgroups were very small.

We checked the diagnosis from the contemporary records (all groups) and followed up patients for one year personally (group 3) or by telephone consultation with the family doctor (groups 1 and 2). Persistent disability was graded using a scale from a contemporary study \(^7\) (0=healthy; no signs or symptoms due to Guillain-Barré syndrome, 1=minor symptoms or signs and capable of running; 2=able to walk 5 m across an open space without assistance, walking frame, or stick but unable to run; 3=able to walk 5 m across an open space with the help of one person and waist level walking frame or sticks; 4=chairbound or bedbound: unable to walk as in 3; 5=requiring assisted ventilation for at least part of day or night; 6=dead).

A clinical diagnosis was accepted as Guillain-Barré syndrome if that diagnosis had been made by a consultant neurologist or by JHR, and the case fulfilled the criteria of developing Guillain-Barré syndrome). We also performed logistic regression analysis to determine the effect of a number of variables on one year outcome, dichotomised to good (disability grade 0 or 1) or bad (disability grade≥2) outcome. The variables tested were age, sex, previous diarrhoeal illness, treatment with IgG or plasma exchange, mechanical ventilation, transfer to or admission to a neurology centre and comorbidity (defined as the need for concurrent regular medications before developing Guillain-Barré syndrome).

The study had been approved by our ethics committee.

### Results

#### Patients

We identified 124 possible patients by all the above methods but excluded 45 because of incorrect diagnosis or coding (n=32) or insufficient data (n=13). We confirmed the diagnosis in 79 patients, 43 from the South East Thames Regional Health Authority and 36 from the South West Thames Regional Health Authority. Thirty five were men or boys and 44 women or girls (M/F ratio 0.8/1). The mean (SD) age was 47.7 (19.5) years and the range was 5 to 85 years. Three children (two boys and one girl) were included. All but two patients were white, one being Indian and one black-Caribbean (according to the classification of ethnic origin defined by the OPCS).

The capture-recapture analysis led to an estimate of 19 undetected cases (95% CI 7–41). This implies an estimate for the total number of cases of 98 (95% CI 86–120).

#### Incidence

The crude annual incidence rate (95% CI) of Guillain-Barré syndrome was 1.2/100 000 (0.9–1.4). When adjusted for undetected cases this becomes 1.5/100 000 (1.3–1.8). Age adjusted incidence rates were roughly similar in females (1.3 (0.9–1.5)) and males (1.1 (0.7–1.4)). The age-incidence distribution was somewhat bimodal with peaks at 15–24 years and 65–74 years. The incidence rates were identical in eastern and western parts of the region.

#### Hospital Treatment

The mean (SD) duration of stay in hospital was 50 (53) days and the median (range) 31 (one to more than 365) days. Twenty (25%) patients were ventilated for a mean (SD) of 23 (22) and a median of 19 days (range four to more than 365 days). Treatment with either intravenous human immunoglobulin (IgG), plasma exchange, or both was given in 52 (66%) cases and started within a mean (SD) of 3.9 (4.3) days and a median (range) of 2 (0–22) days from admission. Thirty six patients (46%) received IgG, five (6%) plasma exchange, 11 (14%) both treatments, and 25 (32%) no treatment at all. Three (4%) patients received corticosteroids, one also being treated with IgG.

Reasons given for not using plasma exchange or IgG were mild disease (n=11), not recorded (nine), difficulty with diagnosis (two), patient death (one), patient refusal (one) and absence of plasma exchange facilities (IgG not suggested) (one).

#### Complications of Treatment

Complications of treatment occurred in two of 36 patients (6%) given IgG (acute on chronic renal failure and meningitis), two of 16 (13%) patients given IgG and plasma exchange, both attributable to plasma exchange (hypocalcaemia and line infection with neck abscess formation), and none of five given plasma exchange alone.
Table 1 Causes of death

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Cause of death</th>
<th>Day of death</th>
<th>Antecedent event</th>
<th>Ventilation</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85, F</td>
<td>Respiratory and heart failure</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82, F*</td>
<td>Respiratory arrest</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Diarrhea, UTI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64, M</td>
<td>Multisystem failure</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Prostatectomy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PE/IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67, F</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>UTI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PE/IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70, F</td>
<td>Pulmonary embolus</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>IgG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61, M</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PE/IgG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Relapsed after ventilation discontinued.

PE = plasma exchange; IgG = intravenous immunoglobulin.

Table 2 Logistic regression of variables leading to a poor outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.02–1.10</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.21–2.25</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous diarrhoea</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0.70–15.83</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with IgG</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.57–6.31</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with FE</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.19–5.11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilation</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.45–7.31</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site (neurology centre or district general hospital)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.09–1.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.71–14.21</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Previous population-based studies of incidence of Guillain-Barré syndrome have not considered the uptake of IgG and plasma exchange treatment and so were not able to examine outcome in treated patients. To overcome the problem of incomplete case ascertainment, we used four sources of information to recruit as many patients as possible and capture-recapture methods to estimate the total number of cases. The uptake of treatment, proportion of patients ventilated, duration of admission to hospital, and mortality were similar to those of a group of 140 patients collected over a longer period and from a larger area as part of a separate study. We had insufficient data on 13 (16%) possible patients and may have missed patients who were not diagnosed or not admitted to hospital. Despite these reservations, our incidence figure of 1.2/100 000 or 1.5/100 000 with capture-recapture analysis is similar to that published from Oxfordshire and was identical in the eastern and western parts of our region.

The few patients (n=23) recruited via the BNSU scheme suggest that either patients with Guillain Barré syndrome are never seen by a neurologist or that voluntary reporting schemes are susceptible to underreporting. Analysis of hospital activity data confirmed that there were at least 45 more cases which had not been reported via the BNSU card scheme, indicating that hospital discharge data are more sensitive than passive surveillance in detecting cases. We noted many errors and duplications among the coding data, necessitating a careful review of all case notes or discharge summaries before accepting a diagnosis of Guillain-Barré syndrome or Miller Fisher syndrome.

We were pleased to discover that plasma exchange or IgG had been given in a timely fashion (median time to instituting treatment only two days) in most cases when it seemed appropriate. The longest delay in starting treatment, 22 days, occurred in an unusual case involving transfer from abroad and uncertainty about the diagnosis. Because of its greater ease of administration, the preferred treatment was IgG rather than plasma exchange, as IgG needs neither the complex machinery nor the specially trained personnel required for plasma exchange. Plasma exchange combined with IgG has not shown a clinically significant advantage.

To compare this cohort of patients treated when deemed appropriate with IgG or plasma exchange, we have made use of the cohort of 100 patients collected by Winer et al in 1983–4 when only 10 (10%) received plasma exchange and none received IgG. In our study 25% of patients needed ventilation compared with 23% in Winer’s study, 8% died compared with 13%, 30% had a poor outcome (defined as being unable to run, walk unaided, being chairbound or bedbound, or requiring ventilation) compared with 20%, and only 62% made a good recovery compared with 67%. Plasma exchange has been calculated to save so much intensive care unit and hospital time that it reduces healthcare costs but it has not been shown to reduce the percentage with severe persistent disability. The costs and benefits of IgG are about the same as plasma exchange in most institutions. Unfortunately our data show that these treatments do not prevent death and disability. Demonstration of moderate reductions in these outcomes would require much larger randomised trials than have been performed. Such trials would probably not be ethical in view of the established short term benefits of plasma exchange and similar efficacy of IgG.
We have identified a trend towards improved outcome of patients treated for all or part of their inpatient stay at neurology centres. The effect seems to be independent of other variables which may have influenced prognosis and, in particular, is not confounded by considerations of age—that is, a trend for younger patients to be referred up to neurology centres. Further studies are necessary to determine whether this is a real effect of specialist care, a selection bias, or a type 1 error.

Further efforts are needed to improve delivery of acute care, to avoid deaths and complications, and to identify more effective treatments particularly for elderly patients, who fare significantly worse than younger patients.
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Appendix: Diagnostic criteria for Guillain-Barré syndrome (Asbury and Cornblath11)

I Features required for diagnosis
A Progressive motor weakness of more than one limb.
B Areflexia

II Features strongly supportive of the diagnosis
A1 Progression within four weeks
A2 Relative symmetry
A3 Mild sensory symptoms or signs
A4 Cranial nerve involvement
A5 Recovery within four weeks of progression stopping
A6 Autonomic dysfunction
A7 Absence of fever at onset
B1 Raised CSF protein
B2 CSF mononuclear leucocyte count less than 10/mm³
C Electrodiagnostic features strongly supportive of the diagnosis (nerve conduction slowing or block)

III Features casting doubt on the diagnosis
A1 Pronounced persistent asymmetry of weakness
A2 Persistent bladder or bowel dysfunction
A3 Bladder or bowel dysfunction at onset
A4 More than 50 mononuclear leucocytes/mm³
A5 Presence of polymorphonuclear leucocytes in CSF

IV Sharp sensory level

1 Current history of hexacarbon misuse
2 Abnormal porphyrin metabolism
3 Recent diphtheric infection
4 Features clinically consistent with lead neuropathy
5 Purely sensory syndrome
6 Definite diagnosis of poliomyelitis, botulism, hysterical paralysis, or toxic neuropathy.
