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Abstract
Objectives—There is evidence that the polyol pathway is involved in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Aldose reductase (ALR2) is the first and rate limiting enzyme of this pathway and recent studies have suggested that polymorphisms in and around the gene are associated with the development of diabetic microvascular disease. The aim was to examine the role of ALR2 in the susceptibility to diabetic neuropathy in patients with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM).

Methods—One hundred and fifty nine British white patients with IDDM and 102 normal healthy controls were studied using the polymerase chain reaction to test for a highly polymorphic microsatellite marker 2.1 kilobase (kb) upstream of the initiation site of the ALR2 gene.

Results—Seven alleles were detected (Z-6, Z-4, Z-2, Z, Z+2, Z+4, and Z+6). There was a highly significant decrease in the frequency of the Z+2 allele in those patients with overt neuropathy compared with those with no neuropathy after 20 years duration of diabetes (14.1% v 38.2%, \( \chi^2 = 17.3, p<0.00001 \)). A similar difference was also found between the neuropathy group and those patients who have had diabetes for< five years with no overt neuropathy (14.1% v 30.2%, \( \chi^2 = 9.0, p<0.0025 \)). The neuropathy group also had a significant decrease in the frequency of the Z/Z+2 genotype compared with those patients who have no neuropathy after 20 years duration of diabetes (14.0% v 44.7%, \( \chi^2 = 13.0, p<0.0005 \)).

Conclusion—These results suggest that the aldose reductase gene is intimately involved in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy.
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Diabetic neuropathy is an extremely common complication in patients with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) with a prevalence of about 25%-30%.1 Although the cause of diabetic neuropathy is still unknown, it is well recognised that the degree and duration of hyperglycaemia correlates with the appearance and severity of the damage to the nervous tissue.2 3 Whereas poor glycaemic control is a major determinant of susceptibility and severity to neuropathy, it is now recognised that both metabolic and vascular factors play an essential part.4-7 There is ample evidence to implicate the involvement of the sorbitol or polyol pathway in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy.8-10 The polyol pathway converts glucose to fructose utilising the enzymes aldose reductase (ALR2) and sorbitol dehydrogenase. The diabetic milieu results in an accumulation of sorbitol in the cell leading to a cascade of metabolic abnormalities causing hypoxia, pseudohypoxia, and tissue ischaemia.11-15 It has recently been shown that mice transgenic for human aldose reductase develop a galactosaeemic neuropathy along with structural and functional changes similar to those found in diabetic animals.16

The cDNA and genomic sequence of the aldose reductase gene (ALR2) has been isolated from various tissues in several species including humans. The functional gene is situated on chromosome 7q35 and consists of 10 exons which span 18 kilobases (kb) of DNA.16-20 Non-functional copies of the ALR2 gene have also been identified.21 It has previously been reported that polymorphisms of the ALR2 and the adjacent TCRBC locus are associated with susceptibility to diabetic microvascular complications in patients with IDDM.22-24 Recently, a dinucleotide repeat polymorphic marker (microsatellite) was located 2.1kb upstream of the transcription start site of the ALR2 gene and was found to be associated with diabetic nephropathy in a white IDDM population and with retinopathy in patients of Chinese origin with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM).25 26 We have employed this microsatellite marker to study a large population of patients with IDDM and overt diabetic neuropathy.

Materials and methods

PATIENTS AND CONTROL SUBJECTS

Samples of DNA from 159 British white patients with IDDM as defined by the National Diabetes Data Group7 who had attended the diabetic clinic (Dr Millward) were randomly taken from the freezer for analysis. One hundred and two normal healthy British white subjects provided control samples. These comprised 40 cord blood samples collected...
The normal controls consist of samples from random healthy white blood donors, school children aged between 5 and 16, and sequential cord blood samples. sequentially after normal obstetric delivery from the labour ward, Derriford Hospital, Plymouth; 44 samples from schoolchildren aged between 5 and 16 years; and 18 samples from healthy adult donors. Local ethics committee approval had been obtained. The patients were classified according to their microvascular complications as previously described.22–24

**Uncomplicated patients (n=38)**

These patients had had IDDM for at least 20 years but remained free of retinopathy (fewer than five dots or blots per fundus) and proteinuria (urine Albustix negative on three consecutive occasions over 12 months).

**Short duration patients (n=43)**

These patients had had IDDM for fewer than five years and remained free of retinopathy, proteinuria, and overt neuropathy.

**Diabetic neuropathy patients (n=78)**

Overt neuropathy was defined if there was any clinical evidence of peripheral or autonomic neuropathy. Peripheral neuropathy was defined clinically; most of the patients having all of the following: (a) symptoms of pain, numbness, or paraesthesiae in the feet, or hands, or both; (b) sensory signs such as loss of vibration sense as assessed with a tuning fork, light touch, or pin pricking, and temperature, loss of ankle reflexes, evidence of past or present foot ulceration. Autonomic neuropathy was diagnosed if there was orthostatic hypotension, gastroparesis, or a flaccid neuropathic bladder. The normal controls consist of samples from random healthy white blood donors, school children aged between 5 and 16, and sequential cord blood samples.

PREPARATION OF DNA AND ANALYSIS OF THE MICROSATELLITE POLYMORPHISM

High molecular weight DNA was prepared from 15–20 ml of peripheral blood using Nucleon extraction kits (Scotlab, Paisley, Scotland). An aliquot (50–250 ng) of this DNA was then amplified using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Briefly, a pair of oligonucleotide primers were constructed which flank a 138 base pair (bp) region (5'ALR2) which contains the dinucleotide (CA) repeat.26 The antisense primer was labelled with 32P-dATP (Amersham UK) by T4 polynucleotide kinase (Pharmacia Biotech). The amplification reaction was performed in 50 µl volumes containing the end labelled ARpr1 primer (5'-GAATCTTAACATGCTCTGAACC), ARpr2 primer (5'-GCCCCCA-GCCCTATACCTAG), 10 mM dNTPs (Pharmacia), 10X buffer solution, and 1 unit Taq polymerase (HT Biotech UK). The samples were subjected to 30 cycles of amplification in a two step reaction which consisted of denaturation for one minute at 94°C and annealing extension for one minute at 61°C in a Techne thermal cycle.

An aliquot of the amplified DNA was electrophoresed through a 5% formamide/urea polyacrylamide gel (National Diagnostics US) at 90 W for three hours and subsequently dried and autoradiography performed using Kodak X-Omat film with intensifying screens at –85°C for 10-16 hours.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The frequency of 5'ALR2 alleles and genotypes in the patient subgroups and normal controls were compared using the χ² test and 2×2 contingency tables. The p values were corrected for the number of comparisons made (pc) using the Bonferroni inequality method28 and pc values of <0.05 were considered to be significant. When appropriate, the odds ratios were calculated.

**Results**

Seven alleles (Z-6, Z-4, Z-2, Z, Z+2, Z+4, and Z+6) at the 5'ALR2 locus were detected in the patient and control populations. Table 2 shows the frequency of the 5'ALR2 alleles in the patient subgroups and normal controls. There was a highly significant increase in the frequency of the Z+2 allele in those patients without overt neuropathy, retinopathy, or proteinuria after 20 years duration of diabetes (uncomplicated) compared with those patients with neuropathy (38.2% vs 14.1% respectively, χ² =17.3, p<0.00001, pc =0.0001). A similar difference was also found between the short duration (patients who have had diabetes for <5 years without overt neuropathy, retinopathy or nephropathy) and the neuropathy group (30.2% vs 14.1% respectively, χ² =9.0, p<0.0025, pc=0.025). The increased frequency of the Z+2 allele in the uncomplicated group was accompanied by a decrease in the Z-2 allele. By contrast, the Z-2 allele was significantly increased in the neuropathy group compared with the former group (30.2% vs 11.8% respectively, χ²=9.3, p<0.0025.

### Table 1 Clinical features of the patient groups and normal controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient subgroup</th>
<th>Uncomplicated</th>
<th>Short duration</th>
<th>Neuropathic patients</th>
<th>Normal controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male:female</td>
<td>17:21</td>
<td>17:26</td>
<td>42:36</td>
<td>43:59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset of diabetes (y)</td>
<td>17.7 (9.3)</td>
<td>17.2 (10.8)</td>
<td>20.7 (11.7)</td>
<td>1-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease (y)</td>
<td>31.1 (9.4)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>28.5 (8.5)</td>
<td>12-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results are expressed as mean (SD) (range).

Uncomplicated = patients who have had insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM) for > 20 y yet remain free of retinopathy and proteinuria; short duration = patients who have had IDDM for < 5 years and remain free of retinopathy and proteinuria; neuropathic patients = clinical evidence of peripheral or autonomic neuropathy. Peripheral neuropathy was defined clinically (symptoms of pain, numbness or paraesthesiae in feet or hands, loss of vibration sense, light touch or pin pricking, and temperature, loss of ankle reflexes, evidence of past or present foot ulceration). Autonomic neuropathy was diagnosed if there was orthostatic hypotension, gastroparesis, or a flaccid neuropathic bladder.

## Statistical analysis

The frequency of 5’ALR2 alleles and genotypes in the patient subgroups and normal controls were compared using the χ² test and 2×2 contingency tables. The p values were corrected for the number of comparisons made (pc) using the Bonferroni inequality method and pc values of <0.05 were considered to be significant. When appropriate, the odds ratios were calculated.

## Results

Seven alleles (Z-6, Z-4, Z-2, Z, Z+2, Z+4, and Z+6) at the 5’ALR2 locus were detected in the patient and control populations. Table 2 shows the frequency of the 5’ALR2 alleles in the patient subgroups and normal controls. There was a highly significant increase in the frequency of the Z+2 allele in those patients without overt neuropathy, retinopathy, or proteinuria after 20 years duration of diabetes (uncomplicated) compared with those patients with neuropathy (38.2% vs 14.1% respectively, χ² =17.3, p<0.00001, pc =0.0001). A similar difference was also found between the short duration (patients who have had diabetes for <5 years without overt neuropathy, retinopathy or nephropathy) and the neuropathy group (30.2% vs 14.1% respectively, χ² =9.0, p<0.0025, pc=0.025). The increased frequency of the Z+2 allele in the uncomplicated group was accompanied by a decrease in the Z-2 allele. By contrast, the Z-2 allele was significantly increased in the neuropathy group compared with the former group (30.2% vs 11.8% respectively, χ²=9.3, p<0.0025.
Table 2  Frequency (%) of 5'ALR2 microsatellite alleles in patients with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus and normal controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Uncomplicated (n=76)</th>
<th>Short duration (n=86)</th>
<th>Neuropathic patients (n=156)</th>
<th>Controls (n=204)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z−6</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>1.3 (2)</td>
<td>1.9 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z−4</td>
<td>1.3 (1)</td>
<td>8.1 (7)</td>
<td>7.7 (12)</td>
<td>4.4 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z−2</td>
<td>11.8 (9)</td>
<td>19.8 (17)</td>
<td>30.2 (47)</td>
<td>22.1 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>38.2 (29)</td>
<td>39.5 (34)</td>
<td>42.9 (67)</td>
<td>44.1 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z+2</td>
<td>38.2 (29)</td>
<td>30.2 (26)</td>
<td>14.1*† (22)</td>
<td>23.5 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z+4</td>
<td>7.9 (6)</td>
<td>2.3 (2)</td>
<td>3.8 (6)</td>
<td>2.3 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z+6</td>
<td>2.6 (2)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>1.9 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = Number of chromosomes. The number in parentheses is the number of chromosomes.  
* v Frequency in uncomplicated, $\chi^2 = 12.3$, p < 0.00001, pc = 0.0001.  
† v Frequency in short duration, $\chi^2 = 9.0$, p < 0.0025, pc = 0.025.  
‡ v Frequency in uncomplicated, $\chi^2 = 9.3$, p < 0.0025, pc = 0.025.

Table 3  Frequency (%) of 5'ALR2 microsatellite genotypes in patients with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus and normal controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Uncomplicated (n=38)</th>
<th>Short duration (n=43)</th>
<th>Neuropathic patients (n=78)</th>
<th>Controls (n=102)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z/Z</td>
<td>10.5 (4)</td>
<td>16.3 (7)</td>
<td>14.1 (11)</td>
<td>25.5 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/Z−2</td>
<td>2.8 (1)</td>
<td>9.3 (4)</td>
<td>10.3 (8)</td>
<td>8.8 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/Z+2</td>
<td>10.5 (4)</td>
<td>14.0 (6)</td>
<td>1.1 (1)</td>
<td>11.8 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/Z−2</td>
<td>10.5 (4)</td>
<td>14.0 (6)</td>
<td>30.8 (24)</td>
<td>15.7 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z/Z+2</td>
<td>44.7 (17)</td>
<td>20.9 (9)</td>
<td>14.0*† (11)</td>
<td>17.6 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z−/Z+2</td>
<td>5.2 (2)</td>
<td>4.6 (2)</td>
<td>7.7 (11)</td>
<td>4.9 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>15.8 (6)</td>
<td>20.9 (9)</td>
<td>21.8 (17)</td>
<td>14.7 (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses are the actual numbers.  
* v Frequency in uncomplicated, $\chi^2 = 13$, p < 0.0005, pc = 0.005.  
† v Frequency in short duration, $\chi^2 = 7.2$, p < 0.01, pc = NS.

The peripheral nerve in diabetic rats displays an accumulation of sorbitol and fructose along with a depletion in the level of myoinositol.44 Endoneural microvessels and nerve fibres are particularly rich in aldose reductase, abnormalities in sorbitol and myoinositol metabolism, and sodium-potassium ATPase activity may contribute to the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy.35 Changes in nerve conduction can be reversed by the inclusion of an aldose reductase inhibitor, although the efficacy of aldose reductase inhibitors in the treatment of diabetic neuropathy in humans is uncertain.31 It has still to be proved whether the findings in animal models of diabetic neuropathy mirror those changes seen in humans. Whereas there is evidence that nerve sorbitol content is inversely proportional to the number of myelinated fibres seen in diabetic patients with neuropathy,36 there is no substantial evidence to show that a reduction in the level of myoinositol occurs in neuropathy in humans.36 38 Alternatively, increased flux through the polyol pathway may be causing osmotic stress and swelling in one or more types of peripheral nerve cells leading to progressive nerve fibre damage.45 Non-ionic compounds such as sorbitol and myoinositol may have important physiological roles in the osmoregulation of the renal medulla.46 Alterations in the expression of the ALR2 gene or modifications of the membrane transporters such as the Na-myoinositol transporter may lead to changes in the intracellular concentrations of osmolutes causing osmotic stress in the nerve.47

An increased flux through the polyol pathway may result in metabolic defects caused by increased NADPH/NADP and NAD/NADH ratios, causing oxidative stress. The reduced availability of these cofactors for enzymes involved in free radical scavenging—such as glutathione reductase—together with those for vasodilatation—for example, endothelial nitric oxide synthase—would ultimately lead to tissue hypoxia and ischaemia. There is a growing body of evidence that nerve ischaemia plays an important part in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy.71 11 For instance, vasoconstriction can be overcome by giving vaso dilatory agents such as a-adrenergic receptor inhibitors and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors. The results presented here strongly support a role for the polyol pathway in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. It has previously been shown that increased enzymatic activity is associated with diabetic microvascular disease in patients with IDDM and NIDDM diabetes.42 43 Our results suggest that these differences in the enzyme activity may be due to genetic factors rather than the diabetes milieu. The osmoregulatory elements (OREs) of the ALR2 gene have been isolated and characterised and shown to be responsive to increasing concentrations of NaCl. A functional ORE (AR-ORE) has recently been cloned and is situated within 1kb of the 5'ALR2 locus.44 Therefore, the Z+2 and Z−2 alleles may be in linkage with a mutation in or around this regulatory element. The induction of ALR2
transcription and the accumulation of sorbitol are probably part of the normal physiological osmoregulatory mechanism, whereby renal tubular cells adjust to the extraluminal hyperosmolarity during urinary concentration. However, the osmotic stress in the nerve that occurs during hyperglycaemia may be directly related to the efficiency of the AR-ORE. An OR-ORE which is inefficient in driving ALR2 transcription is less likely to lead to an increased accumulation of sorbitol. By contrast, an over-efficient AR-ORE will lead to an increased accumulation of sorbitol in the nerve and start the irreversible damage to the tissue.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our results show that the 5’ALR2 locus is associated with diabetic neuropathy. This suggests that the events leading up to tissue damage in the kidney and nerve may have a common pathway, by contrast with retinopathy which is only weakly associated with this marker. This may be a reflection of the sensitivity of the different tissues to the diabetic milieu. Identification of genetic markers that may predict susceptibility to diabetic neuropathy may have an important role in imposing the diagnosis and treatment of this debilitating condition.
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