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Abstract

Objectives—Most studies that clinically validated peri-ictal SPECT in intractable partial epilepsy had used technetium-$^{99m}$-hexamethylpropylene amine oxime ($^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO or $^{99m}$Tc-exametazime) as the radiopharmaceutical. Because of some theoretical advantages, technetium-$^{99m}$-ethyl cysteinate dihydrolster ($^{99m}$Tc-ECD or $^{99m}$Tc-bicisate) is increasingly being used instead. This study compares unstabilised $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO and $^{99m}$Tc-ECD in the performance of peri-ictal SPECT in partial epilepsy.

Methods—The injection timing and localisation rates in 49 consecutive patients with partial epilepsy who had peri-ictal injections with unstabilised $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO were compared with 49 consecutive patients who had peri-ictal injections with $^{99m}$Tc-ECD. Quantitative cortical/subcortical and cortical/extracerebral uptake ratios were also compared. Subtraction SPECT coregistered to MRI (SISCOM) was performed in patients whose interictal SPECTs were available.

Results—In the $^{99m}$Tc-ECD patients, the latency from seizure commencement to injection was shorter (median 34 vs 80 seconds, $p<0.0001$) and there was a lower rate of postictal injections (16.3% vs 57.1%, $p<0.0001$). The cortical/extracerebral and cortical/subcortical uptake ratios were greater in the $^{99m}$Tc-ECD images (median 5.0 vs 3.6, and 2.5 vs 2.2 respectively; both $p<0.005$), but the relative peri-ictal increase in uptake in the cortical focus did not differ significantly (median 37.0% vs 37.0%; $p>0.05$). Blinded review of the SISCOM images was localising in a higher proportion of the $^{99m}$Tc-ECD patients ($40/45$ (88.9%) vs $25/37$ (67.6%), $p<0.05$), and had a better concordance with EGG, MRI, and with the discharge diagnosis.

Conclusion—$^{99m}$Tc-ECD compares favourably with unstabilised $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO as a radiopharmaceutical for peri-ictal SPECT studies. Its use results in earlier injections and less frequent postictal injections than unstabilised $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO, thereby enhancing the sensitivity and the specificity of peri-ictal SPECT for the localisation of intractable partial epilepsy. (J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1999;66:331–339)
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Peri-ictal SPECT shows great promise as a tool to accurately localise seizures in intractable partial epilepsy, particularly in those with temporal lobe seizures. Moreover, the recent development of computer aided methods of subtracting the interictal SPECT images from the ictal images, with the subsequent coregistration of the difference images on to the MRI, has been shown to further improve the clinical usefulness of SPECT, especially in extratemporal epilepsy and in epilepsy that is difficult to localise.7–13 The radiopharmaceutical used in the vast majority of studies of peri-ictal SPECT has been technetium-$^{99m}$-hexamethylpropylene amine oxime ($^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO or $^{99m}$Tc-exametazime), which is unstable and needs to be reconstituted immediately before injection.14 Thus, the performance of true ictal SPECT studies with unstabilised $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO is difficult, particularly in patients with extratemporal seizures which are usually brief. Technetium-$^{99m}$-ethyl cysteinate dihydrolster ($^{99m}$Tc-ECD or $^{99m}$Tc-bicisate) is a relatively new brain SPECT perfusion radiopharmaceutical that has uptake kinetics and distribution similar to $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO, but is stable in vitro for up to 6–8 hours after constitution.15 Therefore, it does not require mixing just before injection. As a result, the use of $^{99m}$Tc-ECD may facilitate earlier injections, and thereby, may improve seizure localisation.16 17 $^{99m}$Tc-ECD has only recently been used clinically for peri-ictal SPECT studies, with small preliminary studies reporting generally encouraging results.7 8 16–18 However, some authors have suggested that the focal ictal uptake of $^{99m}$Tc-ECD may not be as intense as with $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO.19–21 There is evidence that the two radiopharmaceuticals may show somewhat different distributions in some pathological conditions,22–26 which is likely related to different mechanisms of cerebral uptake.27 28–31 Therefore, the sensitivity and the specificity of $^{99m}$Tc-ECD need to be evaluated, especially in relation to those of the more established agent $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO. As yet, there has been no study that has directly compared these radiopharmaceuticals in peri-ictal studies of partial epileptic seizures.

In this study, we compared a group of consecutive intractable partial epilepsy patients who had peri-ictal SPECT using $^{99m}$Tc-ECD with another group of consecutive patients who had unstabilised $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO peri-ictal SPECT. The purpose was to determine whether the use of $^{99m}$Tc-ECD was associated...
with shorter injection latencies and fewer pos-
tical injections, and to compare its sensitivity
and specificity for seizure localisation with
those of \(^{99m}\)Tc-HMPAO. We also compared the
radiopharmaceuticals for the magnitude of the
ictal focal cortical increase in uptake, as well as
for the cortical/subcortical and the cortical/
extracerebral uptake ratios.

**Methods**

**PATIENT AND SPECT RADIOPHARMACEUTICAL
SELECTION**

We studied 49 consecutive patients with
intractable partial epilepsy who had peri-ictal
SPECT' injections performed at the Mayo
Medical Center, Rochester, MN, USA, using
unstabilised \(^{99m}\)Tc-HMPAO (June 1993–
January 1995) and another 49 consecutive
patients in whom \(^{99m}\)Tc-ECD was used (No-
1993 until January 1995, all adult patients
being considered for peri-ictal SPECT study
were offered the option of participating in an
open label phase II trial using \(^{99m}\)Tc-ECD for
their SPECT study. (The trial was approved by
our institutional review board.) During this
period, 12 patients were studied with \(^{99m}\)Tc-
ECD and another 32 were studied with \(^{99m}\)Tc-
HMPAO. After this period, \(^{99m}\)Tc-ECD was
used for all peri-ictal SPECT.

**CLINICAL AND SEIZURE INFORMATION**

The patients' medical records were abstracted
for demographic and clinical information.
Video tapes of the injected seizures were
reviewed for the time of onset and termination
of clinical seizures, and the timing of the injec-
tion. Seizure onset was defined as the time of
earliest indication of a warning (verbalised or
pushing the call button), or of abnormal mov-
ements, behaviour, or impaired awareness. The
end of a seizure was the time when the ictal
movements or behaviour ceased. When the
start and/or the end of the seizure could not be
confidently established from clinical features,
the beginning and/or the end of the rhythmic
seizure discharge on the ictal EEG was used for
the seizure timing. The injections were divided
into those that were 'ictal' (the injection was
performed while there was continuing clinical
and/or electrographic seizure activity), and
those that were 'postictal'.

**RADIOPHARMACEUTICAL PREPARATION AND
INJECTION METHODS**

\(^{99m}\)Tc-HMPAO was prepared in accordance
with the product information guidelines using
the Ceretec kit (Amersham Corporation,
Arlington Heights, Illinois, IL, USA).\(^{14}\) In the
Nuclear Medicine radiopharmaceutical labora-
tory, approximately 100 mCi (3.7 GBq) of
sterile freshly eluted, oxidant free \(^{99m}\)Tc
sodium pertechnetate was diluted up to 5 ml
with 0.9% sodium chloride in a shielded
syringe. This was then placed in a lead lined
container and transported to the epilepsy
monitoring unit for storage until use. At the
time the patient's seizure was noted to
commence, the EEG technician injected the
contents of the \(^{99m}\)Tc sodium pertechnetate

\(^{99m}\)Tc-ECD was labelled and reconstituted
using the Neurolite kit (Du Pont Merck Phar-
maceutical Company, Billerica, MA, USA) in
accordance with the product information,\(^{15}\)
before delivery to the epilepsy monitoring unit.
100 mCi (3.7 GBq) sterile, oxidant free \(^{99m}\)Tc
sodium pertechnetate in approximately 2.0 ml
was added to the buffer vial (vial B). The
lyophilised ligand vial (vial A) was reconsti-
tuted by the addition of 3.0 ml 0.9% sodium
chloride, and then 1.0 ml of this solution was
added to vial B. The preparation was thor-
oughly mixed for a few seconds and allowed to
stand for 30 minutes.\(^{15}\) A rapid preparation
technique was alternatively used in which the
contents were microwaved for 8 seconds at 300
W.\(^{12}\) The radiochemical purity was checked
using paper chromatography to ensure that it
was greater than 90%.\(^{12}\) The solution was then
drawn into a shielded syringe, placed into a
leaded container, and transported to the
epilepsy monitoring unit.

The peri-ictal radiopharmaceutical injec-
tions were performed by epilepsy technicans
during a typical seizure while the patients were
undergoing video-EEG monitoring in our
inpatient epilepsy monitoring unit. The techni-
cians had been specifically trained to perform
the injections, which included taking a course
in radiation safety. The interictal injections
were performed when the patient had been sei-
zure free for at least 24 hours, in standard
ambient room lighting with eyes open and ears
unplugged. The radiopharmaceutical dose
injected was approximately 20 mCi (740 MBq)
for all studies.

**SPECT METHODS**

Using an identical protocol for both studies,
the SPECT images were acquired with the
same scanner within 2 to 3 hours of the
radiopharmaceutical injection. A dual head
gamma camera system (Helix, Elscint Inc,
Haifa, Israel) equipped with ultrahigh resolu-
tion fan beam collimators was employed. The
data were acquired in a 128x128 byte matrix
over 360 degrees, with 120 views obtained at 3
degree intervals for 15 seconds per view using
a circular orbit. The energy setting was 140
keV with a 15%-20% window. Transaxial
images were reconstructed using a Metz filter
(power=3, full width at half maximum (FWHM)=6 mm) rebinned into a 64x64
matrix with a 2xzoom. Attenuation correction
was applied and images were viewed in the
usual three orthogonal planes. The recon-
structed system resolution was approximately 7
mm FWHM, consisting of cubic voxels with
dimensions of 3.6 or 4.4 mm (depending on
the image size). Images were reconstructed
using a standard filtered back projection
algorithm in the coronal, sagittal, transaxial,
and transtemporal planes.
For all patients in whom both an interictal SPECT scan and a brain MRI were available (37 of the $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO patients and 45 of the $^{99m}$Tc-ECD patients) subtraction ictal SPECT coregistered to MRI (SISCOM) images (fig 1) were constructed on an off line Unix based workstation with the aid of commercial image analysis software packages (ANALYZE 7.5 and Analyze/AVW, Biomedical Imaging Resource, Mayo Foundation, Rochester, MN, USA) and with the use of a chamfer distance based surface matching technique.

QUANTITATIVE SPECT ANALYSIS
The quantitative SPECT studies were performed by a single operator (BHB) who was blinded to clinical details, results of other investigations, and results of the qualitative analysis. For the quantitative analysis, SPECT images were reformatted so that they were all composed of cubic voxels with the same dimensions ($1.8 \times 1.8 \times 1.8$ mm). Six circular regions of interest (ROIs) with a $9.0$ mm diameter were used to measure the relative uptake in the neocortex, the subcortical white matter, and the extracranial tissue. On a single coronal slice at the mid-temporal level, these ROIs were respectively placed bilaterally over the parietal cortex, the adjacent parietal subcortical white matter, and extracerebral tissue, as shown in fig 2 A, for both the ictal and the interictal studies. The mean intensity within each of these ROIs was measured, and the corresponding right and left ROI values for each region were averaged to minimise the influence of the side of lateralisation of the epileptogenic zone. The cortical/subcortical and the cortical/extracerebral uptake ratios were then calculated for both the ictal and interictal studies to minimise the effects of any focal cortical hyperperfusion in the ictal studies or focal hypoperfusion in the interictal studies. These results were compared between the $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO patients and the $^{99m}$Tc-ECD patients. The rationale for comparing these ratios was to determine whether $^{99m}$Tc-ECD has a superior brain to extracerebral or cortical to white matter contrast, which has been stated as a potential advantage of the agent.

Figure 1 SPECT images of a patient with intractable non-lesional extratemporal seizures. The initial SPECT studies were done with $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO: (A) postictal image, (B) interictal image, and (C) SISCOM). The injection was postictal, resulting in a non-localising SISCOM image. A repeat ictal study and an interictal study were performed using $^{99m}$Tc-ECD ((D) ictal image, (E) interictal image, and (F) SISCOM). The ictal injection of $^{99m}$Tc-ECD resulted in a localised SISCOM abnormality in the left mesial frontal lobe, which was concordant with seizure semiology and with ictal EEG localisation.
To quantify the magnitude of the maximal focal cortical ictal increase in uptake, a single circular ROI was placed over the visually identified focus of hyperperfusion on the unthresholded subtraction image (fig 2 B). The mean intensity value for this ROI was then calculated and expressed as a percentage increase in intensity from the interictal to the ictal image. This value was then compared between the $^{99}$Tc-HMPAO and the $^{99}$Tc-ECD patients.

BLINDED QUALITATIVE SPECT INTERPRETATION

Both the traditional visual side by side ictal and interictal scans and the SISCOM images were interpreted independently by two reviewers (BPM and ELS) who were blinded to the clinical data, the SPECT radiopharmaceutical group, and the results of other tests. The reviewers were told whether the injections were ictal or postictal, and if postictal, they were also informed of the seizure length and injection timing. For the traditional visual interpretation, both the colour scale and grey scale images were used. For the SISCOM review, only 2SD images were used for those with ictal injection, but both the 1SD and 2SD images were used for those with postictal injections. The reviewers were asked to localise the images to one of 16 sites (either right or left: frontal, frontotemporal, temporal, frontoparietal temporoparietal, parietal, occipitoparietal, or occipital), or classify them as being non-localising. Final determination was based on the agreement of the reviewers on the localisation or non-localisation of the studies. If the two reviewers disagreed, a third blinded reviewer was used (MFH). Agreement of the third reviewer with one of the primary reviewers, the study was considered non-localising.

COMPARISON WITH THE EEG AND MRI LOCALISATION AND DISCHARGE DIAGNOSIS

Brain MRI was performed according to a standardised seizure protocol on a 1.5 Tesla Signa scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Ictal scalp-EEG was recorded using a 32 channel system with the electrodes arranged according to a modified 10–20 system that included subtemporal electrodes. Thirty of the patients had further prolonged monitoring with intracranial electrodes (14 of the $^{99}$Tc-HMPAO patients and 16 of the $^{99}$Tc-ECD patients). Thirteen had subdural strips/grids, four had bitemporal depth electrodes, and 13 had a combination of both strip/grids and depth electrodes. The localisations by ictal scalp EEG, ictal intracranial EEG, and seizure protocol MRI were determined by retrospective review of their reports. The discharge diagnosis was that given by the epileptologist on completion of the video-EEG monitoring, and was based on an assimilation of all localising or lateralising information available, except that of the SPECT studies (for example, the results of MRI, prolonged video-EEG, and the clinical history). The discharge diagnosis, as well as the localisations by ictal scalp EEG, ictal intracranial EEG, and seizure protocol MRI were determined by retrospective review of SPECT images and with the localisation by SISCOM images.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Two tailed Fisher’s exact test was used for comparisons between proportions. Student’s $t$ test (two tailed) was applied for comparisons of continuous variables that were approximately

![Figure 2](http://jnnp.bmj.com/). (A) Coronal SPECT image from a $^{99}$Tc-HMPAO injection showing the sites of placement of the 9 mm diameter circular ROIs for the quantitative measurement of the cortical/subcortical and cortical/extracerebral uptake ratios. (B) Coronal non-thresholded subtraction SPECT image from a patient injected with $^{99}$Tc-ECD, showing the site of placement of the 9 mm ROI for quantification of the percentage ictal increase in uptake at the cortical focus.
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Table 1  Comparison of patients who had $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO SPECT with those who had $^{99m}$Tc-ECD SPECT according to their demographic data, discharge diagnoses, seizure length, and injection timing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO (n=49)</th>
<th>$^{99m}$Tc-ECD (n=49)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y); median (range)</td>
<td>26 (1.5–69)</td>
<td>30 (6–54)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>25/24</td>
<td>27/22</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge diagnosis; temporal/others$^a$</td>
<td>17/32</td>
<td>21/28</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure length (seconds); median (range)</td>
<td>70 (5–314)</td>
<td>55 (19–268)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection latency (seconds); median (range)</td>
<td>80 (3–260)</td>
<td>34 (6–182)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal/postictal injections</td>
<td>21/28</td>
<td>41/8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Others=extratemporal or unlocalised partial epilepsy.

Table 2  Discharge diagnoses in the 98 consecutive patients with intractable partial epilepsy who had peri-ictal SPECT performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discharge diagnosis</th>
<th>Numbers of patients (n=98)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO (n=49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal lobe epilepsy</td>
<td>17 (34.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extratemporal epilepsy</td>
<td>22 (44.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontotemporal</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontoparietal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tempoparietal</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipitoparietal</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipitotemporal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlocalised</td>
<td>10 (20.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discharge diagnosis=diagnosis made by the epileptologist on discharge from the epilepsy monitoring unit.

Results

DEMOGRAPHIC, CLINICAL, AND INJECTION DETAILS

Of the 98 patients in the study, 52 (53.1%) were male and 46 (46.9%) were female. The median age of the patients was 28 years with a range of 1.5–69 years. Table 1 compares the demographic data, discharge diagnoses, seizure and injection timing between patients who had $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO and patients who had $^{99m}$Tc-ECD injections. There was no significant difference between the two groups in age, sex, and proportion of patients with temporal versus extratemporal or unlocalised partial epilepsy (all p>0.05). The details of the discharge diagnoses in the two groups are given in table 2.

$^{99m}$Tc-ECD patients had a significantly shorter injection latency (p<0.001, Student’s t test). $^{99m}$Tc-ECD patients also had a significantly lower proportion of postictal injections than $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO patients (16.3% vs 51.7%; p<0.001, Fisher’s exact test). The duration of the injected seizure did not significantly differ between the groups. When the analysis was restricted to patients who had peri-ictal SPECT during the time period when both $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO and $^{99m}$Tc-ECD were being used, $^{99m}$Tc-ECD patients (n=12) again had a significantly shorter injection latency than $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO patients (n=32) (median 41, range 19–58 seconds, v median 77, range 3–194 seconds; p<0.01, Student’s t test) and a lower proportion of postictal injections (8.3% vs 56.3%; p<0.01, Fisher’s exact test). The duration of the injected seizures again did not significantly differ between the groups (median 56.5, range 22–172, v median 61.5, range 5–314 seconds; p>0.05, Student’s t test).

Table 3  Comparison of the results of blinded analysis of SISCOM images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Postictal injections</th>
<th>All injections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO (n=49)</td>
<td>$^{99m}$Tc-ECD (n=49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locational</td>
<td>11 (84.6%)</td>
<td>33 (89.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extratemporal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interobserver agreement</td>
<td>76.9%</td>
<td>86.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa score</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$p<0.05; $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO v $^{99m}$Tc-ECD groups.

Quantitative SPECT Analysis

The median value for the cortical/extracerebral ROI intensity ratios was significantly greater for the ECD images than the $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO images (median 5.0 vs 3.6; p<0.001, Mann-Whitney U test). Median value for the cortical/subcortical ROI intensity ratios was also greater for the $^{99m}$Tc-ECD images (2.9 vs 2.2; p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U test).

There was no difference in the relative ictal increase in uptake in the cortical focus between the subtraction images of $^{99m}$Tc-ECD and of $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO studies (median 37.0% vs 37.0%; p>0.05, Mann-Whitney U test). Also, there was no significant difference between the radiopharmaceutical groups when subgroup analyses were performed on patients with ictal injections (37.3% v 34.1%, p>0.05) or on patients with postictal injections (34.6% v 40.8%, p>0.05).

Blinded SPECT Interpretation

Fifteen patients did not have an interictal SPECT performed and one patient did not have MRI available for coregistration. The blinded review of the SISCOM images and the traditional side by side ictal-interictal SPECT images was performed on the remaining patients (37 in the $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO group and 45 in the $^{99m}$Tc-ECD group). The results of the blinded analysis for the SISCOM images are summarised in table 3. Overall, $^{99m}$Tc-ECD studies were determined to be localising in a significantly higher proportion than $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO studies (p<0.05, Fisher’s exact test). However, when patients who had ictal injections were analysed...
separately from those with postictal injections, there was no significant difference in the localisation rates between the radiopharmaceutical groups. The interobserver agreement between the two primary reviewers was significantly higher for the \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD images than for the \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO images (k = 0.80 vs k = 0.61, p<0.05). However, the interobserver agreement was not significantly different between the radiopharmaceutical groups when those with ictal injections were analysed separately from those with postictal injections.

Using the traditional side by side visual comparison of the SPECT, there was no significant difference between \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD and \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO studies in the proportion that were localising (32.4% vs 37.8%; p>0.05, Fisher’s exact test), but the interobserver agreement was significantly better for the \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD studies (51.1% vs 37.8%; k = 0.43 vs 0.29, p<0.05). Compared with side by side visual comparison of SPECT images, the SISCOM method was localising in a significantly higher proportion of both \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD and \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO patients (both p<0.005, Fisher’s exact test), and for either ictal (88.0% vs 38.0%, p<0.0001) or postictal injection (65.6% vs 40.0%, p<0.005).

**Comparison with MRI, EEG localisation, and discharge diagnosis**

The seizure protocol MRI showed a potentially epileptogenic focal lesion in 50 patients (24/49 of the \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO patients and 26/49 of the \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD patients, p>0.05). The types of lesions were: mesial temporal sclerosis 21, encephalomalacia 12, focal cortical dysgenesis 10, low grade tumour six, cavernoma one. The ictal scalp EEG was localising in 67 patients (33 of the \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO and 34 of the \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD patients, p>0.05). Intracranial recordings were localising in 27/30 (90%) patients (14/14 of the \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO and 13/16 of the \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD patients, p>0.05).

Table 4 summarises the concordance of the SISCOM localisation with the MRI, the EEG localisations, or the discharge diagnosis in the patients in whom both were localising or lateralisng. Compared with \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO, a significantly higher proportion of the \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD localisations agreed with the intracranial EEG localisation (p<0.05, Fisher’s exact test).

Compared with \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO, there was also a trend for a greater proportion of \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD localisations to be concordant with the discharge diagnosis (p=0.07) and with the scalp ictal EEG localisation (p=0.11). However, there was no significant difference between radiopharmaceutical groups when the subgroups of ictal and postictal injections were analysed separately. No significant difference was found between \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO and \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD groups in the concordance of localisation by the traditional SPECT review with the localisation by each of the following: MRI (7/9 (77.8%) vs 10/12 (83.3%), p>0.05), ictal scalp EEG (7/9 (77.8%) vs 12/13 (92.3%), p>0.05), intracranial EEG (2/2 (100%) vs 4/4 (100%), p>0.05), discharge diagnosis (7/10 (70.0%) vs 14/15 (93.3%), p>0.05).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the use of \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD with that of \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO in peri-ictal SPECT. We found that the use of \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD was associated with significantly earlier SPECT injections than using unstaibilised \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO, resulting in a significantly increased rate of obtaining an ictal SPECT study. These findings are not surprising and almost certainly are a direct result of not having to reconstitute the \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD just before injection, which we found took 30–40 seconds for the unstaibilised \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO to be prepared. Because many of our \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD studies were performed more recently than our \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO studies, it is possible that improvement in technician skills over time was partly responsible for the earlier injection timing with \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD. However, when analysis was restricted to patients who were injected during the time period when both radiopharmaceuticals were being used, the \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD patients still had a significantly shorter injection latency and a higher proportion of ictal injections. We also do not think that our results can be explained by differences in \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD and \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO patient populations, as the two groups were well matched in the duration of injected seizures and in the proportions of each of following features: extratemporal epilepsies, MRI focal lesions, localising scalp EEG, and need for intracranial EEG recording (tables 1 and 2).

A stabilised formulation of \(^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO that does not require reconstitution immediately before injection has recently been approved for use in the United States. However, this is still not available or widely used in many countries. One of the arguments that has been put forward against the introduction of the more expensive stabilised radiopharmaceuticals is that shorter injection latency has not been previously proved to result in clinically significant improvement of seizure localisation.

---

**Table 4 Concordance of the blinded SISCOM localisation with that of MRI, ictal scalp EEG, ictal intracranial EEG, or the final discharge diagnosis when both were localising**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ictal injections</th>
<th>Postictal injections</th>
<th>All injections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(^{99})Tc-HMPAO</td>
<td>(^{99})Tc-ECD</td>
<td>(^{99})Tc-HMPAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>((n=13))</td>
<td>((n=24))</td>
<td>((n=17))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>4/5 (80.0%)</td>
<td>5/8 (62.5%)</td>
<td>2/3 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal Scalp EEG</td>
<td>5/5 (100%)</td>
<td>6/12 (50.0%)</td>
<td>3/4 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal Intracranial EEG</td>
<td>3/3 (100%)</td>
<td>0/5 (0%)</td>
<td>1/2 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy diagnosis</td>
<td>8/9 (88.9%)</td>
<td>4/13 (30.8%)</td>
<td>4/6 (66.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\(p<0.05, ^{99}\)Tc-HMPAO v \(^{99}\)Tc-ECD groups.*
The results of our study also show that the use of 99mTc-ECD is associated with a significantly higher proportion of localising SISCOM studies than un stabilised 99mTc-HMPAO. The most important factor for this improvement in the sensitivity seems to be the shorter injection latency and the resultant fewer numbers of postictal injections. When patients with ictal and postictal injections were analysed separately, there was no significant difference between the two radiopharmaceuticals in the rates of localisation. Also, the significantly lower interobserver agreement for the 99mTc-HMPAO studies for both the SISCOM and the traditional visual analysis methods seems to be primarily explained by the difference in injection time; the difference between the two radiopharmaceuticals was not significant when the ictal injections and the postictal injections were analysed separately.

The blinded SISCOM analysis had a significantly higher localisation rate than the traditional side by side review of SPECT images for both radiopharmaceutical groups, and for both ictal and postictal injections. In a previous study, we had shown that SISCOM had a superior localisation rate with ictal studies.8 In this study, we extend this finding to postictal studies. In both studies, the sensitivity of the traditional method of reviewing peri-ictal SPECT images has been somewhat less than previously reported.2 6 36-45 The difference could be explained by our patient population, almost two thirds of which had extratemporal or unlocalised seizures, and almost half had non-lesional epilepsies. By contrast, almost all previous studies of peri-ictal SPECT were of selected groups of patients with mostly temporal lobe epilepsy or with seizures that were already well localised by other means.9 9 9 9 9

Moreover, our protocol for the blinded review of the SPECT studies was more exacting than most previous studies, which have generally required the reviewers to select from only a limited number of possible localisations, or to just lateralise the SPECT abnormality.12 6 36-48 Overall we found that the specificity of the SISCOM localisation was poorer for 99mTc-HMPAO studies than for 99mTc-ECD studies (table 4). A significantly higher proportion of the 99mTc-HMPAO images in our study were localised to sites that were non-concordant with those localised by intracranial EEG. Also, there was a consistent trend for the 99mTc-HMPAO images to have lower concordance with the localisation by MRI and by ictal scalp EEG, and with the discharge diagnosis. Again it seems that the difference was due to the increased number of postictal injections with 99mTc-HMPAO, as there was no significant difference between the radioisotopes within the ictal or the postictal subgroups. It is not surprising that injection latency is an important determinant of the sensitivity and the specificity of ictal SPECT. With early ictal studies, the region of hyperperfusion most probably reflects the site of the dominant seizure activity around the time of the radiopharmaceutical injection.6 40 48 A late ictal injection allows time for perfusion in secondary areas to become affected by the spread of the seizure activity, and for the activity in the primary epileptogenic zone to wane, resulting in a poorly or a falsely localised study.51 With postictal injections, the situation is even more complicated, as the primary epileptogenic zone often develops prominent postictal hypoperfusion, so that other areas may appear relatively hyperperfused.2 52 53 The “positive” subtraction SPECT images of a postictal study may therefore fail to detect hypoperfusion in the primary epileptogenic region, while displaying regions of hyperperfusion in areas that have been secondarily involved by seizure propagation. It is possible that the combined use of “positive” and “negative” subtraction SPECT may improve the sensitivity and specificity of SISCOM when the injection is postictal.

The new stabilised formulation of 99mTc-HMPAO may allow injection latencies to be similar to those we obtained with 99mTc-ECD. None the less, when ictal injections and postictal injections were separately assessed, there was still a consistent trend for the SISCOM images in our 99mTc-HMPAO patients to have lower localisation rates, poorer interobserver agreements, and less concordance with the EEG, the MRI, or the discharge diagnosis. This suggests that the timing of injection is not the only factor that explains the difference between the radiopharmaceuticals. Uptake of 99mTc-HMPAO in the background extracerebral tissues has been reported to be higher than that of 99mTc-ECD, possibly due to the slower rate of clearance of 99mTc-HMPAO from the blood.34 54-57 The results of our quantitative analysis support this finding, with the 99mTc-HMPAO patients having a significantly lower cortical/extracerebral uptake ratio. The increased extracerebral uptake makes the derivation of a clear and accurate binary image for surface matching more difficult. This difficulty may result in poorer SPECT to SPECT coregistration, which increases the noise in the subtraction images and thereby reduces the sensitivity and specificity of the SISCOM method. Also, some authors have suggested that the grey-white differentiation in uptake may be greater with 99mTc-ECD than with 99mTc-HMPAO SPECT.17 54 57-59 The results of our quantitative analyses provide support for this opinion. 99mTc-ECD may therefore allow subtle focal changes in cortical uptake to be more readily detected because of their greater contrast against the background activity in the white matter and the extracerebral tissues.54 55

Some investigators have suggested that the focal ictal increase in uptake in partial seizures may be less prominent with 99mTc-ECD than with 99mTc-HMPAO.10-21 Our quantitative analysis of subtraction images disproves the suggestion, as the magnitude of the peri-ictal increase in focal cortical uptake was not significantly different between the radiopharmaceutical groups, for either ictal or postictal injections. Interestingly, the overall magnitude of the relative peri-ictal increase in SPECT uptake did not differ significantly between ictal and postictal studies. The most likely explanation is that postictally, there is a spatially
progressive blood flow switch, with some regions becoming focally hyperperfused (for example, the lateral temporal neocortex) whereas other regions remain relatively hypoperfused for at least several minutes (for example, the mesial temporal region). In our study, relatively small ROIs were placed over the region of greatest relative hyperperfusion on the subtraction scan to quantify the maximum peri-ictal increase in radiopharmaceutical uptake. In the post-ictal studies, this ROI was placed over the region with persistent hyperperfusion. Thus, the maximum value for the relative increase in postictal uptake was similar to the value for the ictal scans (although the size of the region of focal hyperperfusion would be expected to be less with postictal studies).

In conclusion, our study shows that $^{99m}$Tc-ECD compared favorably with $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO as a radiopharmaceutical for the performance of peri-ictal SPECT studies of partial seizures. Its use was associated with shorter injection latencies and a higher proportion of ictal injections than with unlabelled $^{99m}$Tc-HMPAO, thereby enhancing the sensitivity and specificity of localising seizures in intractable partial epilepsy.
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