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Abstract
Objectives—Prospective studies with a complete follow up in patients with spinal epidural metastases (SEM) are rare, so little is known of the incidence and relevance of recurrent spinal epidural metastases (RSEM). This prospective study was undertaken as a part of a previously started and extended prospective study to determine the occurrence and details of RSEM.

Methods—Patients with SEM of various primary malignancies were followed up until death. The diagnosis was confirmed after neurological examination by imaging studies visualising not only the clinically suspected level, but also as much of the spinal canal as possible.

Results—Recurrent spinal epidural metastases (RSEM) occurred in 21 of the 103 patients (20%) after a median interval of 7 months and, after treatment, a second recurrence occurred in 11 patients (11%), a third recurrence in two patients (2%), and a sixth recurrence in one patient (1%). RSEM developed as often at the initial level (55%) as at a different level (45%), did not occur more often in patients with initially multiple SEM, but, not surprisingly, occurred much more often in patients with longer survival. About one half of the patients surviving 2 years, and nearly all patients surviving 3 years or longer developed RSEM. Ambulatory state could be preserved in most patients, even after their second recurrence.

Conclusion—RSEM are common and even several episodes of RSEM in the same patient are not rare. Patients with SEM who survive long enough have a high risk of RSEM and prompt treatment of RSEM to maintain the ambulatory state of the patient is valuable.
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The subject of spinal epidural metastases (SEM) has been discussed increasingly often in the past few decades. Many papers and several reviews emphasised the crucial importance of early diagnosis and prompt treatment to achieve the best possible clinical result, and to keep the patient ambulatory and continent.11 Less attention has been paid to the recurrent SEM (RSEM),2 12–21 complete follow up being exceptional.17

This prospective study presents the occurrence and details of RSEM in a group of patients, nearly all of whom were followed up until death.

Patients and methods
As a part of a previously started and extended prospective study22 23 patients with SEM of various primary malignancies were followed up until death. Patients with known malignant disease who presented with symptoms or signs of radiculopathy or myelopathy underwent imaging studies visualising not only the clinically suspected level, but also as much of the spinal canal as possible. From December 1984 to September 1988 unselected consecutive patients were included whenever the diagnosis SEM was confirmed. As MRI at that time was not yet available, myelography was used, either alone or in combination with CT. Using this imaging procedure the whole spinal canal could be visualised in 17% of cases, the thoracic and lumbar regions in 67%, the thoracic and cervical regions in 3%, the thoracic region in 3%, the cervical region in 5%, and the lumbar region in 6%. After treatment the patients were followed up by the neurologists (JJvdS, WB) until discontinuation of the steroids and stabilisation of the neurological condition. Subsequently, follow up was performed by the medical oncologist or radiotherapist at intervals of usually 3 months. Again, whenever symptoms or signs of radiculopathy or myelopathy developed, the patient was referred to the neurologist and imaging studies were performed.

Results
A hundred and three patients (72 women (mean age 55 years), 31 men (mean age 56 years)) were treated for SEM. The primary tumours are presented in table 1.

Twenty four patients (23%) had multiple SEM, two of whom had a combination of epidural and intradural metastases.

Table 1  Distribution of primary tumours in patients with spinal epidural metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumour</th>
<th>RSEM</th>
<th>No RSEM</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RSEM: recurrent spinal epidural metastases.

PRIMARY TREATMENT
Primary treatment (table 2) consisted, in the great majority of cases (90/103), of radiotherapy, either alone, or in combination with...
surgery or chemotherapy and/or hormonal therapy. In 10 patients treatment was confined to chemotherapy, hormonal therapy, or both, two patients were treated exclusively with steroids, and in one patient treatment was abandoned. The total dose of radiotherapy varied between 8 and 36 Gy in one to 10 fractions, according to the primary tumour and to previous treatment. Median radiation dose was 21 Gy in seven fractions. Surgery was performed in cases of vertebral instability, or when the dose of radiotherapy had to be limited because of previous radiotherapy† at the same level for painful vertebral metastases without epidural extension. Usually treatment included temporary administration of dexamethasone in the initial stage.

Thirteen patients (13%) were not ambulatory at the moment of diagnosis of SEM, due to neurological deficit. Five patients regained their ambulatory state after treatment. Another five patients (5%) had lost their ambulatory state, mainly due to severe pain or skeletal metastases; two became ambulatory again after treatment.

FOLLOW UP
Follow up (table 3) was performed until death in 102 of the 103 patients. One patient with breast cancer is still alive without symptoms 120 months after treatment for a sacral epidural metastasis and a thoracic vertebral metastasis without epidural extension.

RECURRENT SPINAL EPIDURAL METASTASIS (RSEM)
Recurrent spinal epidural metastases (RSEM) occurred in 21 of the 103 patients (20%), in 12 of the 56 patients with breast carcinoma (21%), and in nine of the 47 patients with other primary tumours (19%, table 1). RSEM developed after an interval of 1–28 months (median 8 weeks before his death).

SITE OF RECURRENT METASTASES
Multiple RSEM in one episode were not uncommon and were found in 11 of the 37 episodes with RSEM in this study. Twenty-eight (55%) of the total number of 51 RSEM in these 37 episodes occurred at the same level as at a previous examination, 23 (45%) occurred at a different level. The distribution of the RSEM in comparison with the initial metastases is shown in table 4. First recurrence at the same level occurred after a median interval of 8 (range 12–28) months and at a different level after 6 (range 1–20) months.

Seventeen of the 90 patients who received radiotherapy as primary treatment developed RSEM (table 2). Nine of these 17 patients developed RSEM both at the initial and at different levels, five patients developed RSEM only at the initial level, and three patients only at different levels. Radiation dose in the five patients who developed RSEM at the initial level and radiation dose in the three patients who did not, were strikingly similar.

CLINICAL CONDITION OF THE PATIENTS WITH RSEM
The clinical condition of the patients with RSEM permitted an ambulatory state (table 5) in most patients after their first (initial) and second (first recurrent) episode. Most patients were still ambulatory during their third (second recurrent) episode, although treatment failed in two patients. The patient with six recurrences of epidural metastases maintained the ambulatory state until the sixth recurrence, 6 weeks before his death.

TREATMENT OF THE PATIENTS WITH RSEM
Treatment of the patients with RSEM (table 2) consisted mainly of radiotherapy, chemo-

---

### Table 2 Treatment of spinal epidural metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients who developed RSEM</th>
<th>1st SEM (n=21)</th>
<th>1st recurrence (n=21)</th>
<th>2nd recurrence (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy*</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy*</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery†</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormonal therapy*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone alone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SEM = spinal epidural metastasis; RSEM = recurrent spinal epidural metastasis.
* Alone or in combination.
† Anterior spinal body fusion, laminectomy or both.
‡ In combination with radiotherapy.

### Table 3 Survival (months) from first occurrence of spinal epidural metastases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breast carcinoma</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>Survival median (range)</td>
<td>No of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>10.5 (0.5–120*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9 (0.5–120*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSEM</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12 (0.5–42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSEM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22 (2.5–56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSEM = single spinal epidural metastasis; MSEM = multiple spinal epidural metastases; RSEM = recurrent spinal epidural metastasis.
* One patient still alive after follow-up of 120 months.
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patients surviving 3 or more years. of the patients surviving 2 years, and in most surviving 1 year, in slightly more than one half of the patients number of patients surviving 6 months, in 6: RSEM occurred in one third of the total of the patients with six recurrent episodes. The with three recurrent episodes and 38 months in the 10 patients with one recurrent episode, 22 months after the first episode was longer in patients to have a shorter survival than patients with SEM, but not from breast carcinoma, tended to have a shorter survival than patients with single SEM (median survival 3 months v 7 months). Patients with multiple SEM, but not from breast carcinoma, tended SURVIVAL

Survival of the whole group of 102 patients who were followed up until death (table 3) ranged from 1 week to 56 months (median 6 months). Patients with SEM from breast carcinoma survived longer than patients with SEM from other primary tumours, median survival being 10.5 v 3.5 months. Patients with multiple SEM, but not from breast carcinoma, tended to have a shorter survival than patients with single SEM (median survival 3 months v 7 months). Patients with RSEM, both from breast carcinoma and from other primary tumours survived longer, median survival being 22 and 18.5 months, respectively. Median survival after the last recurrence was 2.5 (range 0.5–27) months. Median survival after the first episode was longer in patients with multiple recurrent episodes: 18 months in the 10 patients with one recurrent episode, 22 months in the eight patients with two recurrent episodes; survival was 33 months in the patient with three recurrent episodes and 38 months in the patient with six recurrent episodes. The relation between the duration of survival and the occurrence of RSEM is presented in table 6: RSEM occurred in one third of the total number of patients surviving 6 months, in slightly less than one half of the patients surviving 1 year, in slightly more than one half of the patients surviving 2 years, and in most patients surviving 3 or more years.

Discussion

Recurrent spinal epidural metastases are not uncommon. In the present study RSEM occurred in 19% of the patients with spinal epidural metastases, slightly more often in the patients with breast cancer (21%) than in the patients with other primary tumours (17%). In

the literature the second occurrence of SEM has received little attention, and usually has been mentioned only occasionally. Gilbert et al15, when presenting their results of treatment of SEM, reported a relapse rate in less than 6 months of 22% of the 170 patients in their group treated with radiotherapy, and in 25% of the 65 patients in their surgically treated group; about one half of the patients in both groups remained ambulatory for at least 1 year. No further details about RSEM were given. Greenberg et al16 analysed long term follow up from the series reported by Gilbert et al15, and found three patients with recompression of the 19 patients who were ambulatory at 1 year. Recompression occurred after 16, 36, and 48 months respectively. Nine patients were still alive at the moment of analysis. Loeffler et al17 reported RSEM in 11% of their 80 patients within the original treatment field, and in 26% elsewhere in the spinal canal. Nearly one half of the RSEM occurred within 1 week, and could be considered as “skipped lesions” at the time of diagnosis.

Rodichok et al18 found progression of a previously treated epidural metastasis in 9%, and a new epidural metastasis in 7% of their 71 evaluable patients.

Maranzano et al19 analysed prospectively 105 consecutive patients with metastatic spinal cord compression and reported a median survival time of 7 months, and a median duration of improvement after treatment of 8 months, but did not mention patients with RSEM.

Smith et al20 and Huddart et al21 studied patients with prostate carcinoma and found RSEM in respectively seven out of 26 (27%) and 13 out of 69 (19%) patients. In the study of Smith et al three patients (43%) had RSEM within a previous radiation port, whereas four (57%) developed RSEM at a different level.

Only two studies presented RSEM in more detail: Kaminski et al22 reviewed the records of 79 men with spinal epidural metastases, most of whom had prostate cancer, and found RSEM in 16%. Mean interval between the moment of diagnosis of the first epidural metastasis and of the RSEM was 2.8 months in cases of RSEM within two vertebral bodies, and 15.2 months in cases of RSEM at three or more vertebral bodies from the prior lesion.

Helweg-Larsen et al23 following up their 107 patients until death, reported RSEM in eight of 107 (7.5%) patients with spinal epidural metastases from various primary malignancies. The initial SEM were treated with radio-
therapy (28 Gy, given in seven fractions of 4 Gy). All RSEM occurred in a new location in the spinal canal. RSEM occurred with the same frequency in patients with single as in patients with multiple epidural metastases, and RSEM did not occur significantly more often in patients who had not received local treatment for asymptomatic epidural metastases. Patients with RSEM survived longer, and the authors concluded that the duration of survival might have been the main determining factor for the risk of RSEM. More recently, in a larger series of patients with a less complete follow-up, the same author observed RSEM in 14 of 153 patients (9%).

The higher frequency of RSEM in the present study (20%), might be explained for several reasons. A difference in distribution of the primary tumour, more breast carcinoma, and less lung and prostate carcinoma in the present study in comparison with the Helweg-Larsen study, may be important. However, in our study RSEM from breast carcinoma did not occur much more often than RSEM from other tumours. Another difference between the two studies is the difference in clinical condition: 45% of the patients were not ambulatory at the time of diagnosis in the Helweg-Larsen study versus 18% in the present study. Possibly referral to the neurological department occurred earlier in the present study, which may be related with a longer median survival (6 vs. 3.4 months). In both studies longer survival was associated with an increased risk of RSEM, and in the present study nearly all patients surviving 3 years or longer had RSEM. This increased risk of RSEM in patients with longer survival was also reported by Huddart et al. in their study of patients with prostate carcinoma: 50% at 3 years, and 75% at 5 years.

Finally the use of a relatively low dose of radiotherapy in the present study might be a reason for the high frequency of RSEM: 55% of RSEM occurred at the same level as at a previous examination. Possibly, a higher radiation dose would have resulted in a lower frequency of RSEM. However, even if radiotherapy would have prevented all RSEM at the same level, 12 patients who received radiotherapy as primary treatment, and four patients who had chemotherapy or hormonal therapy as primary treatment, would have developed RSEM at a different level. The frequency of RSEM in the whole group of patients would have been 15.5% (16 of 103 patients) instead of 20% (21 of 103 patients). It may be concluded that the optimal result of radiotherapy could be a considerable reduction of RSEM but a much smaller reduction of patients with RSEM.

In this respect it is worthy of note that (1) Huddart et al., in their study of patients with prostate carcinoma, found no significant difference in the rate of RSEM at the initial site after a radiation dose of 30 Gy or less and a radiation dose of more than 30 Gy, and (2) in the present study radiation dose in the patients who developed RSEM only at the initial level, and radiation dose in the patients who developed RSEM only at a different level, were strikingly similar.

Third or more episodes of SEM are hardly ever reported. Kacinski et al. report one of their 13 patients with RSEM as having a third epidural metastasis of a prostate carcinoma, at the same level as the initial metastasis. Helweg-Larsen et al., although following up their patients until death, do not mention third occurrences of SEM. The findings of the present study, a third occurrence in one half of the patients with a second occurrence—that is, 11% of the whole group of 103 patients—confirms the importance of continuing neurological observation of patients with SEM, most of whom will remain ambulant after repeated and timely treatment. At the first occurrence of SEM radiotherapy is usually the treatment of choice, but, although retreatment has been shown to be an efficacious treatment, at second and third occurrence other treatment modalities, such as surgery and chemotherapy may become more important, particularly when radiotherapy has been administered extensively at the same level.

Multiple RSEM occurred in nearly one third of the episodes (11/37)—that is, with the same frequency as multiple SEM in the initial episode in a previous study. Accordingly, it should be recommended that as much spinal canal as possible is visualised, not only in case of the first occurrence of SEM, but also in cases of recurrent SEM.

Both patients and doctors should be prepared to encounter symptoms and signs of RSEM, diagnosis should be as extensive and early as during the first occurrence of SEM, and prompt treatment is important to maintain an acceptable quality of life.
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