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Abstract

The most common neuropathological substrates of dementia are Alzheimer’s disease, cerebrovascular disease, and dementia with Lewy bodies. A preliminary, retrospective postmortem analysis was performed of the relative burden of each pathology in 25 patients with predominantly Alzheimer’s disease-type dementia. Log linear modelling was used to assess the relations between ApoE genotype, Alzheimer’s disease, and cerebrovascular disease pathology scores. Sixteen of 18 cases (89%) with a Braak neuritic pathology score 4 had, in addition, significant cerebrovascular disease, or dementia with Lewy bodies, or both. There was a significant inverse relation between cerebrovascular disease and Braak stage (p<0.015). The frequency of the ApoE-4 allele was 36.4%. No evidence was found for an association between possession of the ApoE-4 allele with Alzheimer’s disease or dementia with Lewy bodies. In this series most brains from patients with dementia for which Alzheimer’s disease is the predominant neuropathological substrate also harboured significant cerebrovascular disease or dementia with Lewy bodies. The data suggest that these diseases are perhaps pathogenetically distinct, yet conspire to produce the dementing phenotype.

Methods

Cases were selected from the 1994–7 Neuropathology files of the Western General Hospital, Edinburgh. All patients had a clinical diagnosis of sporadic senile dementia, the major neuropathological substrate of which was Alzheimer’s disease, with or without Lewy bodies. Inpatient records, postmortem reports, and systemic and brain pathology were reviewed.

Neuropathological indices were graded independently by two investigators, blind to the results for other indices and to ApoE genotype. Braak staging of neuritic pathology5 was determined independently by two investigators, blind to the results for other indices and to ApoE genotype.

Neurofibrillary tangles and neurophil threads were identified for use with tau stained 5 µm sections.6

Neurofibrillary tangles and neurophil threads density were assessed (absent, +, ++, ++++) and cases assigned to one of Braak stages 3–6. Consecutive sections stained for βA4-amyloid (1:100; clone 6F/3D, DAKO) and tau proteins (1:3500; clone TAU-2, Sigma), and a polyclonal antibody against ubiquitin (1:300, DAKO).

Neuropathological indices were graded independently by two investigators, blind to the results for other indices and to ApoE genotype. Braak staging of neuritic pathology was modified for use with tau stained 5 µm sections.6

Neurofibrillary tangles and neurophil threads density were assessed (absent, +, ++, ++++) and cases assigned to one of Braak stages 3–6. Consecutive sections stained for βA4-amyloid were used to derive an amyloid plaque stage.

Cerebral amyloid angiopathy was scored using βA4-amyloid stained sections of the frontal and occipital blocks. For leptomeningeal vessels, 100 vessels were counted in each section. Four categories of vessel involvement were defined: none, mild (slight, polar involve-
Neuropathology and ApoE genotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case/age (y)/sex</th>
<th>Duration of dementia (y)</th>
<th>Fixed brain weight (g)</th>
<th>Neuritic pathology stage</th>
<th>Amyloid plaque stage</th>
<th>CA stages</th>
<th>Leukocyte bodies b/s cortical</th>
<th>Diffuse white matter pathology stage</th>
<th>Focal infarcts</th>
<th>Combined CVD (focal and diffuse) stage</th>
<th>ApoE genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/80/F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/85/F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/80/M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1305</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/79/F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/86/M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/92/F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/75/M</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>1370</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/90/M</td>
<td>NK</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/63/M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/83/M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/85/F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/80/M</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/82/M</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/67/M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/78/M</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/82/F</td>
<td>Long standing</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/84/F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/81/M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/80/M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/82/F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/77/F</td>
<td>Long standing</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/71/M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ApoE = apolipoprotein E; bg = basal ganglia; b/s = brain stem; c = cortical vessels; CAA = cerebral amyloid angiopathy; CVD = cerebrovascular disease; L = left; lm = leptomeningeal vessels; MCA = middle cerebral artery; NK = not known; R = right; +/− = present/absent.

** = Parkinsonism.
performed, with no qualitative change in the result. For the purposes of analysis, neuritic pathology stages 1–4 were grouped together and diffuse white matter disease stages II and III merged. The leptomeningeal cerebral amyloid angiopathy score was converted into “low” (none or mild) and “high” (moderate or severe) groupings. \( p \) Values \( \leq 0.05 \) were considered significant.

**Results**

Relevant clinical and pathological details are shown in the table. In only one of the 25 cases (case 20) was the possibility of subcortical or multi-infarct dementia considered clinically; in the remainder the cause of dementia was either not specified or considered most likely to be Alzheimer’s disease. In no case was there a history or clinical signs of stroke.

Twenty of 25 cases (80%) had, in addition to varying degrees of Alzheimer’s disease pathology, either significant cerebrovascular disease (18 cases), or Lewy bodies (eight cases), or both (six cases). Thus, only five cases (20%) were “pure” Alzheimer’s disease. Sixteen cases were placed in the “limbic” category (Braak stages 3 and 4) and seven in the “isocortical” category (stages 5 and 6). The two cases (5 and 18) with insufficient neuritic pathology for limbic stage disease were classified as Braak stage \( \leq 2 \). Only one case (7) was devoid of extracellular amyloid, and the remainder had a Braak amyloid plaque score of either B (\( n = 7 \)) or C (\( n = 17 \)).

Brain stem Lewy bodies were present in eight of 25 cases (32%), in seven of which cortical Lewy bodies were also detected.

All cases showed some centrum ovale white matter pallor, relative to preserved subcortical \( U \) fibres. In each there was a comparable loss of axons, hyaline arteriolar sclerosis, and a few scattered macrophages and reactive astrocytes. In 13 cases (52%) this diffuse white matter disease was considered within normal limits for age. Of the remainder, white matter disease was of moderate severity in 11 cases, and severe in one case. In four of the 12 cases with significant diffuse white matter disease, this was not documented in the original neuropathology report; in the remaining eight cases it was originally considered to be of no or minimal clinical significance. In 12 of 25 cases (48%) there were small, focal infarcts. There were no large regional infarcts or haemorrhages, and no other significant neuropathology.

ApoE genotype was determined in 22 cases. Of these, 14 (64%) possessed one or more \( e4 \) alleles. The individual allele frequencies were: \( e2 \) (2.3%), \( e3 \) (61.3%), and \( e4 \) (36.4%).

Sixteen of 18 cases (89%) with a Braak neuritic pathology score of 4 had either significant cerebrovascular disease (a combined score of II or III), or Lewy bodies, or both. All six cases with a Braak neuritic pathology score of \( \leq 3 \) had such additional pathology. There was a significant inverse relation between cerebrovascular disease stage, both diffuse (\( p = 0.015 \)) and combined diffuse/focal (\( p = 0.033 \)), and Braak neuritic stage. In other words, as the severity of cerebrovascular disease increased, the Braak stage decreased. After controlling for this association, no evidence for significant associations between possession of \( e4 \) and either Alzheimer’s disease (neuritic or amyloid plaque stages) or cerebrovascular disease stage was found. Combined cerebrovascular disease stage did correlate positively with leptomeningeal CAA severity (\( p = 0.008 \)), but there was no evidence that either was associated with \( e4 \).

**Discussion**

Alzheimer, cerebrovascular, and Lewy body pathologies often coexist,\(^1^\)\(^2\)\(^3\) yet the burden of each needed to cause dementia is unknown, as are their relative contributions in “mixed” cases. The presence of diffuse white matter disease in Alzheimer’s disease brains is well documented,\(^4\)^\(^5\) and is usually interpreted as being ischaemic.\(^6\) Focal infarcts may also affect the rate of cognitive decline in Alzheimer’s disease.\(^1\)\(^2\)

Of 25 cases with Alzheimer predominant dementia, 18 (72%) had a Braak neuritic pathology stage of 4, corresponding at most to “clinically incipient” Alzheimer’s disease.\(^7\) Of these 18 cases, 16 (89%) also had either significant cerebrovascular disease, or Lewy bodies, or both. The inverse relation between cerebrovascular disease stage and neuritic pathology stage would seem to support the concept of synergistic pathologies. In other words, limbic stage Alzheimer’s disease tends to manifest clinically if there is significant additional vascular pathology, analogous to a putative “unmasking” of early stage Alzheimer’s disease by Lewy body pathology.\(^1\)\(^2\)

The high frequency of “mixed pathology” in this series may relate to the selection criteria, and to the relatively high mean age of patients. However, our relatively limited screen for cortical Lewy bodies compared with consensus guidelines\(^8\) may have led to our underestimating the frequency of dementia with Lewy bodies.\(^9\)\(^10\) Notwithstanding, it seems clear that various pathologies conspire with Alzheimer’s disease to produce the dementing phenotype.

Although our data do justify larger scale, prospective clinicopathological case-control studies, the small sample size in this preliminary survey, the range of disease durations and ages of disease onset, and the fact that the severity of cognitive deficit was not defined mean that they should not be overinterpreted. Although a standardised clinical diagnosis and a CERAD neuropathology diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease were not required, there is some evidence that this cohort is similar to many published Alzheimer’s disease cohorts. Firstly, the \( e4 \) frequency in this series (36.4%) closely approximated to that established by meta-analysis in late onset sporadic Alzheimer’s disease (36.7%).\(^11\)\(^12\) Secondly, recent studies of cases fulfilling (modified) CERAD criteria for Alzheimer’s disease show that in a similar proportion there is neuropathological evidence of the same additional pathologies.\(^1\)

The association of ApoE-\( e4 \) with late onset Alzheimer’s disease is well documented.\(^13\)\(^14\) An increased frequency of the ApoE-\( e4 \) allele has
also been reported in coronary heart disease, atherosclerosis, and ischaemic cerebrovascular disease. Perhaps the association of ApoE-4 with late onset Alzheimer’s disease may reflect, at least partly, an association with an underdiagnosed cerebrovascular component. Recent clinical studies of Alzheimer’s disease cohorts have linked ApoE-4 with atherosclerosis, and with large vessel lesions, and with focal cerebrovascular lesions in postmortem studies. Although our data did not show which of the various brain pathologies was being “driven” by the e4 allele they do illustrate how such an approach may be of value in larger scale studies.

The diffuse white matter disease in Alzheimer’s disease, presumed to be ischaemic, could be mediated by a variety of cardiac, large vessel atheromatous or small vessel pathologies, either separately or in combination, some but not all of which may be associated with possession of ApoE-4. This study establishes a need for larger surveys to show the clinically significant cause and effect relations, and we describe a potentially useful approach. We emphasise the importance of screening for additional pathology and incorporating it into the more objective category of “Alzheimer predominant dementia”. We describe an inverse relation between cerebrovascular disease and Alzheimer’s disease which requires confirmation.
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