Relation between abnormal patterns of muscle activation and response to common peroneal nerve stimulation in hemiplegia

J H Burridge, D L McLellan

Abstract

Objective—To investigate the relation between response to common peroneal nerve stimulation, timed to the swing phase of walking, and abnormal ankle movement and muscle activation patterns.

Method—Eighteen patients who took part had a drop foot and had had a stroke at least 6 months before the study. Twelve age matched normal subjects were also studied. Response to stimulation was measured by changes in the speed and effort of walking when the stimulator was used. Speed was measured over 10 m and effort by the physiological cost index. Abnormal ankle movement and muscle activation were measured in a rig by ability to follow a tracking signal moving sinusoidally at either 1 or 2 Hz, resistance to passive movement, and EMG activity during both passive and active movements. Indices were derived to define EMG response to passive stretch, coactivation, and ability to activate muscles appropriately during active movement.

Results—Different mechanisms underlying the drop foot were seen. Results showed that patients who had poor control of ankle movement and spasticity, demonstrated by stretch reflex and coactivation, were more likely to respond well to stimulation. Those with mechanical resistance to passive movement and with normal muscle activation responded less well.

Conclusions—The results support the hypothesis that stimulation of the common peroneal nerve to elicit a contraction of the anterior tibial muscles also inhibits the antagonist calf muscles. The technique used may be useful in directing physiotherapy by indicating the underlying cause of the drop foot.
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In 1960 Liberson and colleagues first used functional electrical stimulation (FES) to improve the gait of hemiplegic patients with a drop foot. Since then FES has aroused enthusiasm but generally sporadic interest in various parts of the world, most consistently Slovenia. Despite the reported benefits it is still not widely used. Merletti and colleagues studied the therapeutic effects of stimulation in a sample of 49 patients, selected from a hemiplegic population of 250.

The percentage of “excellent” results decreased with time from onset of lesion and severity of spasticity and increased with increasing duration of treatment. Merletti and colleagues concluded that good FES candidates represented about 20% of the ambulatory hemiparetic population but that careful selection and application was important. No statistical analysis of the results was presented.

A cross-over study by Granat and colleagues found an improvement both in gait variables (correction of inversion at the ankle) and in dependency measures (Barthel index) when the common peroneal nerve was stimulated during the swing phase of walking. They concluded that FES is a useful orthotic device for a selected subpopulation of hemiplegic patients. A meta-analysis identified further evidence to support the use of FES during stroke rehabilitation.

In 1993 a prospective study of a small sample of patients with hemiplegia of vascular origin compared the effects of conventional physiotherapy with physiotherapy that included training with a single channel FES device. The bursts of stimulation were timed, using a foot switch, with the swing phase of the gait cycle. Patients used the stimulator both during physiotherapy and in their everyday life. The group of patients were monitored while using the stimulator during a period of 3 months after which significant improvement in speed and physiological cost of walking (measured by physiological cost index) were shown when the stimulator was used. However, closer examination of these results showed that, although 11 patients experienced more than 10% improvement in walking variables two had minimal benefit and three none at all. Failure of one third of apparently suitable patients to benefit could perhaps explain why FES has not become more widely used. It also raises the question as to whether closer analysis of the pattern of muscular activation in patients with foot drop due to upper motor neuron lesions could improve the selection of suitable patients and thus reduce the failure rate.

Patients with hemiplegia as a result of an upper motor neuron lesion are often assumed to have all the characteristic features of spasticity—brisk tendon reflexes including clonus and an increased tonic stretch reflex. Other components of the upper motor neuron syndrome, such as weakness of voluntary muscular activation, inappropriate activation, or poor voluntary control are often if not invariably present. A third set of potential factors relate to the mechanical changes in the muscle associated...
with immobility and increased tone—notably, increased stiffness during stretch and contraction of muscle fibres and increased stiffness of soft tissues around the joints.

One of the difficulties in evaluating the clinical relevance of spasticity is that although active voluntary movements (such as walking) are the target of clinical assessment and treatment, spasticity is usually measured by reference to the excitability or strength of passive movements applied to the affected muscles, such measurements being made while the patient lies in a relaxed position. Detailed studies of the relation between the activation of lengthening muscles under these two conditions have shown that there is considerable variability from one patient to another even when conventional classic testing has suggested that the patients are similar. Neural activation of the lengthening muscle during passive movement (the stretch response) may be greater than, the same as, or less than its activation during active voluntary movement (inappropriate coactivation) when it causes what Knutsson and Martensson have termed “spastic restraint”. Moreover, antispasticity medication may suppress passive stretch responses without affecting restraint during voluntary movement and may indeed enhance agonist activation rather than reduce spastic restraint in certain people. For this reason it is important to demonstrate the degree of inappropriate coactivation that causes spastic restraint during voluntary movements and not to assume that what is detected during passive examination will necessarily reflect what is happening during functional movements.

In the study reported here, muscle activation of the anterior tibial and calf muscles during both passive and active movement, control of voluntary movement, and resistance to passive movement were all measured and related to clinical response to FES.

Method

SUBJECT SELECTION

Patients with residual hemiplegia after stroke (more than 6 months after onset) who had been referred for treatment with FES were invited to take part. As in the prospective study patients were selected who were unable to achieve heel strike when walking, were able to walk 10 m, and had no serious medical conditions likely to influence response to treatment. Twelve age matched normal subjects were also studied. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and ethics committee approval had been granted.

FUNCTIONAL ELECTRICAL STIMULATION

Ankle dorsiflexion was produced during the swing phase of walking, through stimulation of the common peroneal nerve. One electrode, usually the cathode, was positioned on the skin, close to the nerve as it passes around the head of the fibula. The second electrode, usually the anode, was positioned either on the motor point of the tibialis anterior, slightly lateral to this, or occasionally in the popliteal fossa. Electrode positions were adjusted so that ankle movement and heel strike were, by observation, as close to “normal” as possible. Stimulation was initiated and terminated to synchronise with the swing phase of walking using a force sensitive resistor switch, positioned either under the heel or the first metatarsal head of the foot on the hemiplegic side. Adjustment of the rate of rise of the amplitude of the stimulation pulses allowed active dorsiflexion to occur when toe lift was needed. The common peroneal stimulator used in the study was the

Figure 1 Photograph of the ankle rig showing the position of the leg, electrodes, potentiometer, strain gauge, counterbalance, and lever.
ODFS II. This delivers biphasic asymmetric and charge balanced pulses at 300 µs with an output of 0–85 mA into a 1 kΩ load at a frequency of 40 Hz. PALS 3.6 or 5.0 cm electrodes were used.

MEASUREMENT OF MUSCLE ACTIVATION PATTERNS
A rig was built to monitor the angle and resistance to passive movement of the ankle joint, while allowing simultaneous recording of electrical signals from the muscles acting across the joint (fig 1). The rig supported the lower leg and foot, and was hinged so that the ankle could be moved in the sagittal plane either passively (by the operator moving the lever attached to the fulcrum) or actively, by the subject.

A computer generated elliptical tracking signal, oscillating in simple harmonic motion at a frequency of 1 or 2 Hz, with an amplitude of 30 degrees, was displayed on a computer screen. Ankle angle, measured by a potentiometer, was also displayed on the screen in the form of a cross. When the movement of the ankle matched the oscillation of the tracking signal the cross appeared within the ellipse. To reinforce accurate tracking the cross changed colour, green when it was within the ellipse, amber when partially within it, and red when completely outside it. A strain gauge on the lever measured the torque (Nm) exerted when the ankle was moved passively. The voltage from this and the goniometer were recorded digitally on videotape through a Softel datalog recorder. Torque and angle were calibrated with voltage from the strain gauge and potentiometer and with Softel units.

EMG RECORDING AND PROCESSING
Location of electrodes: The electrode over the anterior tibial muscle was located 1.5 cm from the anterior border of the tibia at the junction between the upper and middle third of a line between the tibial tubercle and the intermalleolar line. The electrode over the calf muscles was located 1.5 cm lateral to a line between the tendoachilles and the knee crease at the junction between the upper and middle thirds. In this position signals arising from the lower part of the lateral head of the gastrocnemius and from soleus would have been detected.

Surface EMG signals were recorded using Medelec contact electrodes, with a common mode rejection at 50 Hz of approximately 100 dB. Frequencies between 10 and 1000 Hz were received and amplified ×15. The signal was transmitted to the main amplifier at low impedance. In the main amplifier the calf channel was further amplified ×470 and the tibialis anterior channel ×47. The signal was rectified then integrated by a low pass filter, using a 2.2 µf capacitor (time constant 13.8 seconds). Both the raw and integrated EMG signals were digitised, recorded on videotape using the Softel system, and saved for reference together with the torque, goniometer, and tracking signal data. There were therefore seven channels recording in real time on videotape at a sample rate of 500/s. ADJUSTING THE RIG FOR INDIVIDUAL SUBJECTS
Tests were performed through an arc of 30 degrees with all subjects, but the starting position was adjusted so that it corresponded with the middle of each subject’s active range. This was defined as the mean of three measurements taken at the start of the first baseline test. The weight of the footplate was counterbalanced by a lead weight on an arm attached to the side of the rig. The position of the weight was adjusted for each subject to correct for variations in mass and length of the foot.

PASSIVE AND ACTIVE TASKS
During the passive test the ankle was moved at 2 Hz and the assessor ensured that the tracking signal followed precisely the ellipse displayed on the screen. Subjects provided neither voluntary assistance nor resistance. The active test was performed at 1 and 2 Hz. Subjects were asked to follow the tracking signal as accurately as possible. Sufficient practice time was allowed for them to feel that they had mastered the task as well as they were able.

RETRIEVING DATA
Video recordings of the tests were replayed and samples were selected for analysis. The accuracy of tracking varied during the active test; therefore, the samples used for analysis were taken from each subject’s best performance, defined as the best match between target trace and tracking signals. In the passive test, the earliest accurate samples were used because in certain subjects responses showed some habituation after many repetitions. Three samples, each lasting 5 seconds, were retrieved from each test and analysed. The degree of interrater and intrarater error was tested and found to be reliable.

ANALYSIS OF THE ANKLE RIG DATA AND CALCULATION OF THE INDICES
Samples of data, retrieved from the video recordings, were analysed in Microsoft Excel using a macro written in visual basic.

DERIVATION OF THE INDICES USING THE EMG SIGNALS
Problems are encountered when comparing EMG amplitudes in different subjects and to a lesser extent in the same subject on different occasions. Electrode contact, thickness and condition of the skin, subcutaneous fat, and proximity of the electrode to the muscle motor point all influence the amplitude of the signal. Using the ratio of EMG activity during two defined periods under controlled conditions overcomes these problems, showing greater consistency than the absolute EMG signals in repeated measurements.11,12 Ratios to quantify muscle activity during defined phases of movement were therefore derived and are described below. Although raw and root mean squared (RMS) EMG signals were recorded and checked visually for the presence of artefacts, only the RMS envelope was used in the analysis. For each RMS sample analysed the minimum value was determined and used
as the zero reference. The following values and indices were derived to describe the subject’s performance in the passive and active tasks.

PASSIVE TASK
Peak torque was recorded when the ankle was dorsiflexed (TQ). The stretch index was derived (fig. 2) to quantify the reflex muscle activity in the calf muscles, experienced by some hemiplegic patients, during passive stretch (SI) at 2 Hz through 30 degrees, as described above.

\[
\text{Stretch index (SI)} = \frac{\text{Sum of calf muscle EMG activity in the stretch phase}}{\text{Sum of calf muscle EMG activity in the shortening phase}}
\]

ACTIVE TASK
Voluntary tracking (VT)
Accuracy in following the tracking signal (VT) was defined as the ratio between the peak excursion of the tracking and ankle angle signals (fig. 3).

Calf modulation index (CMI)
A normal subject who has no neurological impairment demonstrates reciprocal activation of the calf and anterior tibial muscles when following the sinusoidal trace, so that, for example, when the ankle moves from dorsiflexion to plantarflexion the calf muscles are active and the tibialis anterior is silent. During movement from plantarflexion to dorsiflexion the calf muscles are silent whereas the tibialis anterior is active. In normal subjects, although most activity of the tibialis anterior was confined to the dorsiflexion phase, activation of the calf muscles was sometimes seen after peak plantarflexion—at the beginning of dorsiflexion. To allow for this the CMI was defined as the ratio:

\[
\text{CMI} = \frac{\text{Sum of calf EMG activity from mid-position to peak dorsiflexion}}{\text{Sum of calf EMG activity from mid-position to peak plantarflexion}}
\]

Tibialis anterior modulation index (TAMI)
The ratio TAMI =

\[
\frac{\text{Sum of tibialis anterior EMG activity from peak dorsiflexion to peak plantarflexion}}{\text{Sum of tibialis anterior EMG activity from peak plantarflexion to peak dorsiflexion}}
\]

was used to identify pattern of activity in the anterior tibial muscles during active ankle movement. The charts of active ankle movement and EMG activity showed no subjects, either normal or hemiplegic, with more than minimal coactivation between the anterior tibial and calf muscles during plantarflexion. A high TAMI was therefore considered to represent poor activation of the anterior tibial muscles during dorsiflexion.

DESIGN OF THE STUDY
An AB case study design was used. After recruitment, subjects were assessed three times over a period of between 3 and 4 weeks (period A). Baseline values were therefore the mean of three prestimulation tests. A single channel FES device was then set up and adjusted. Subjects were then reassessed after 1 and 3 months of continuous use of the stimulator (period B).

OUTCOME MEASURES
The response to stimulation was measured by changes in walking speed and physiological cost index (PCI), a validated measure of the effort of walking defined by Nene13 as:

\[
\frac{\text{Heart rate after walking}}{- \text{resting heart rate (beats/min)}} \times \text{Walking speed (m/min)}}
\]

Measurements were taken both with and without stimulation. Subjects were tested over a 10 m walk. Two metres were allowed at either end of the walkway for acceleration and deceleration. The average of three consecutive measurements was recorded, the first two measurements were made without stimulation followed by three with and ending with one without. Measurements were taken at each baseline assessment, when the stimulator was first set up, and after 1 and 3 months of continued use.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Data were not normally distributed and therefore non-parametric tests were used to analyse continuous variables. Median as well as mean values have been quoted. Relations between normal subjects and hemiplegic patients and between variables within these groups were
measured using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Data from the normal sample were compared with data from the hemiplegic sample using the Mann-Whitney U test and data from within each sample, using the Wilcoxon signed ranks test. Where appropriate 95% confidence intervals have been quoted.

Hemiplegic patients were grouped into three categories on the basis of their muscle activation patterns. Increasing abnormality was represented by an increase in SI, TQ CMI, and TAMI and a decrease in VT. Where the index fell within the last quartile the abnormality was classed as severe. More specific classification was considered inappropriate because of the small sample size and lack of previous experimental evidence.

Results

Subjects

Eighteen hemiplegic patients were recruited and data were also collected from 12 age matched normal subjects (table 1). All the hemiplegic patients who were recruited completed the baseline and 3 month assessment period.

Comparison of Hemiplegic and Normal Data

Values measured and indices derived identified significant differences between hemiplegic patients and normal subjects in all baseline performance measures except the TAMI measured at 1Hz. The differences between the

Results of Ankle Rig Tests

Analysis of the data from all the subjects indicated that the cause of drop foot was not necessarily an inability to activate the ankle dorsiflexors during walking. Different types of abnormal muscle activation were identified in different people, but people were not seen to change from one type to another.

Relation between Indices and Raw Data

Throughout the data collection period the indices that were derived from the raw data were compared with the video data and graphs of the raw data, to ensure that the indices represented a true reflection of the observed movement and pattern of muscle activity. Data collected from the normal subjects were used to define the normal range for each of the indices.
two groups are shown in table 2, and illustrated in the charts shown in fig 6.

CRITERIA USED TO SORT SUBJECTS INTO PATHOLOGICAL CATEGORIES

For each baseline performance measure, subjects’ responses were defined as either normal or pathological. Five categories were identified (table 3).

- Failure to follow the tracking signal (measured by the ratio between the tracking signal and goniometer at peak dorsiflexion during active movement at 1 Hz) (VT)
- Excessive coactivation of the calf and anterior tibial muscles during active dorsiflexion (identified in the active test at 1 Hz by the calf modulation index) (fig 6).
- Failure to modulate the activity of the anterior tibial muscles appropriately (identified in the active test at 1 Hz by the tibialis anterior modulation index) (TAMI)
- Excessive resistance to passive movement (measured as the peak torque when the ankle was moved passively into dorsiflexion at 2 Hz) (TQ)
- Excessive stretch response (measured by the stretch index during passive movement at 2 Hz) (SI) (fig 4).

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECTS BY ABNORMAL PATTERNS OF MUSCLE ACTIVITY

Abnormal types of muscle activity that may have affected the patients’ ability to dorsiflex the ankle when walking or to respond to stimulation were identified. Some patients had one whereas others had multiple abnormalities. It was not possible to identify a predominant abnormality in every case.

Table 2 Median, mean, and SD of variables and indices measured with normal subjects and hemiplegic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VT (1Hz)</th>
<th>VT (2Hz)</th>
<th>CMI (1Hz)</th>
<th>CMI (2Hz)</th>
<th>TAMI (1Hz)</th>
<th>TAMI (2Hz)</th>
<th>TQ</th>
<th>SI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal subjects:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiplegic patients:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>10.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>12.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>6.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VT=voltary tracking (accuracy in following tracking the target signal); CMI=calf modulation index (coactivation during active movement); TAMI=tibialis anterior modulation index (the ability to activate the anterior tibial muscles during ankle dorsiflexion); TQ=torque (peak resistance measured during passive ankle dorsiflexion); SI=stretch index (response of the calf muscles to passive stretching). Significant values are shown in bold.

This method of categorisation allowed the following inferences to be drawn:

All subjects who were able to follow the tracking signal were also able to activate their anterior tibial muscles appropriately, but not vice versa. Other factors such as coactivation and mechanical resistance to movement may therefore influence the subjects’ ability to follow the tracking signal.

Inability to activate the anterior tibial muscles appropriately (nine patients) was in each case associated with other abnormalities such as inability to follow the tracking signal or coactivation; as illustrated in table 4.

Ten patients demonstrated either an excessive stretch response or a mechanical resistance to movement, and three had both. In any of the patients where resistance to passive movement was identified it may have contributed to the dropped foot, but for patients 14 and 15, for whom this was the only abnormality detected to explain their inability to follow the tracking signal, it may have been the predominant factor.

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS

Fifteen of the 18 patients studied had an abnormal pattern of muscle activation that may have accounted for their drop foot. Patients 1 and 8 seemed to have no abnormality and, although patient 5 was not able to follow the tracking signal, no explanation for this was identified by the ankle rig test.

Eight subjects had a normal response to passive movement.

DISTINGUISHING COACTIVATION FROM STRETCH REFLEX

In some patients both coactivation and a stretch reflex in the calf muscles were seen. Two patients in whom coactivation was present at 1 Hz and a passive stretch response at 2 Hz were tested passively at 1 Hz. In both cases no passive stretch reflex was found at 1 Hz. It is not possible to say whether the coactivation was due to a stretch response the gain of which had been increased in the process of voluntary activation or whether it represented central coactivation. Isometric testing would be needed to resolve this question.

CROSS TALK

A further possibility was that the observed coactivation was an artefact due to cross talk between the two sets of surface electrodes. One patient in whom coactivation was seen with surface electrodes was also studied using
intramuscular needle electrodes placed in the tibialis anterior and soleus muscles. The presence of calf muscle EMG during active dorsiflexion at both 1 Hz and 2 was confirmed.

CORRELATION BETWEEN BASELINE VARIABLES
Statistical analysis, using ranked correlation coefficients, suggested that certain baseline performance measures were covariables (table 4). These are summarised as follows:

**TAMI and VT**
This suggests that the ability to activate the anterior tibial muscles appropriately was an important factor in control of voluntary movement independent of other abnormalities.

**TQ and VT**
This suggests that ankle stiffness hindered control of voluntary movement.

**Baseline physiological cost index and VT coactivation, and TAMI**
This suggests that impaired voluntary performance and inappropriate calf activity during movement increases the physiological cost of walking.

RESULTS OF OUTCOME MEASURES
**Changes in walking speed and physiological cost index**
A statistically significant increase in walking speed and reduction in physiological cost index was measured when the stimulator had been used for 3 months. Patients experienced what
might be described as an orthotic effect, measured by improvement in these variables only when the stimulator was used at the fifth, 3 month assessment, and a therapeutic effect, evidenced by improvement even when not using the stimulator—after 3 months of using it. Median and percentage changes and results of the statistical tests are shown in table 5.

**Relation between baseline performance measures and response to stimulation**

Statistical tests (table 4) indicated that the following baseline performance measures were associated with an increase in walking speed with stimulation and could thus be classed as a predictor of variables:
- An inability actively to dorsiflex the ankle
- Calf coactivation at 1Hz

**Table 3 Summary of the categorisation of each subject.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>VT</th>
<th>CMI</th>
<th>TAM1</th>
<th>TQ</th>
<th>TL</th>
<th>SI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4 Matrix of ranked correlation coefficients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VT (1Hz)</th>
<th>CMI (1Hz)</th>
<th>TAM1 (1Hz)</th>
<th>Torque</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>BSpeed</th>
<th>BPCI</th>
<th>Speed</th>
<th>PCI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VT (1Hz)</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>−0.721</td>
<td>−0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMI (1Hz)</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>−0.658</td>
<td>−0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAM1 (1Hz)</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>−0.125</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>−0.123</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>−0.125</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>−0.123</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSpeed</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPCI</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation coefficients that were significant at the 0.05 level are shown in bold script. The table illustrates where there was a correlation between baseline variables and between baseline variables and response to stimulation. PBI=physiological cost index; SI=measurements made with stimulation; NS=measurements made without stimulation; S=average baseline measurement; 5=9th assessment (after the stimulator had been used for 3 months)

**Table 5 Walking speed and PCI measurements at baseline and assessment 5 (3 months).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Baseline (NS)</th>
<th>Assess 5 (NS)</th>
<th>Baseline (NS)</th>
<th>Assess 5 (NS)</th>
<th>Baseline (NS)</th>
<th>Assess 5 (NS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared with:</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compared with:</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median change</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>0.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

(1) For the group as a whole treatment with FES was associated with an increase in walking speed and a decrease in physiological cost of walking.

(2) The greatest increase in speed of walking was seen in people who had difficulty following a tracking signal and in whom inappropriate coactivation occurred during this task.

(3) Not all those who had a marked resistance to passive movement benefited from FES. Those who did not benefit tended to be those who had mechanical stiffness rather than spasticity (increased stretch reflex and/or coactivation during voluntary dorsiflexion).

(4) The mechanisms underlying foot drop were varied. Foot drop in poststroke hemiplegia could in different patients be associated with one or more of the following:
- Calf coactivation of calf and anterior tibial muscles during active dorsiflexion
- Selective activation in the anterior tibial muscles
- Failure to modulate actively the anterior tibial and/or calf muscles
- A normal response to passive dorsiflexion of the ankle.

(5) There is a trend for those with an excessive stretch response on EMG to have a high passive torque but either can occur.
independently. Intrinsic stiffness of the muscles is likely to be a factor in certain subjects.

**Discussion**

This is a small study and these findings should therefore be regarded as preliminary observations that need to be extended. The patients were enthusiastic participants in a clinical trial of FES, other patterns of activation and response might be discovered in a larger sample more representative of hemiplegia after stroke. It should also be recognised that individual patient’s use of stimulation varied and was not independently measured. In some cases a poor clinical response may simply have been due to ineffective application of the stimulator, whereas in others, a strong determination to succeed may have enabled them to use the stimulator with a consistency that the average patient might not be able to achieve.

In some cases the rapid stretch of the calf muscles during ankle dorsiflexion may itself have triggered a stretch response. This may have been why using a gradual rise in stimulation amplitude (ramp), after heel switch initiation that allowed the ankle to dorsiflex more slowly, sometimes resulted in a more effective movement. Certain patients showed increased stiffness in the calf muscles to passive stretch, which seemed to reflect intrinsic stiffness of the tissues rather than neuromuscular activation, a phenomenon that has been extensively studied by Dietz and Berger. This study would have been further strengthened if two technical difficulties had been overcome. The first problem was that it was not possible to obtain acceptable recordings of EMG during stimulation because of the stimulus artefact. It is probable that stimulation of the lateral popliteal nerve concurrently activates the tibialis anterior and inhibits the calf muscles. The size of such an effect might constitute a further predictor variable but it could not be demonstrated. The second was the question of whether coactivation in the calf was a stretch response or not. This could have been investigated further by using the strategy of setting up a comparable isometric tracking task as first reported by Hassan, but it was not feasible to add this to an already very time consuming set of tests. Analysis of the relative roles of the soleus and gastrocnemius muscles would also be of interest, given the evidence that their relative levels of activation in normal subjects tends to shift as the velocity of ankle plantarflexion increases.

**APPLICATION TO PHYSIOTHERAPY**

An interesting finding was made during the period in which subjects were learning to perform the tracking task. Initially normal subjects demonstrated some coactivation, which disappeared, as they became skilled at following the signal, a phenomenon that is well described. In some hemiplegic patients, by contrast, coactivation increased as they practiced the task and concurrently their performance tended to decline. This too is commonly reported among physiotherapists who encourage patients showing this pattern of activity to refrain from making maximal voluntary effort to achieve an optimum level of performance. Whereas it is logical to avoid intensive muscular exercise for those with inappropriate overactivity, such exercise could be beneficial for those whose primary problem is of weakness of muscular activation. Furthermore, those patients in whom stiffness in the absence of an excessive stretch response is identified as a predominant abnormality might benefit more from passive stretching than those in whom the stiffness is primarily due to non-habituating stretch responses. It may be important in the latter case for passive movements to be applied slowly to optimise both comfort and stretch of the soft tissues.

The techniques described in this study could be very useful in exploring the possibilities further and providing a stronger neurophysiological rationale for physiotherapy in this common condition.
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