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Abstract

Objectives—To evaluate the characteristics of cardioembolic small (maximum lesion diameter<1.5 cm) subcortical infarcts (SSI) in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF).

Methods—Twenty seven patients with chronic AF and an isolated SSI established by CT/MRI in the anterior circulation (SSI-AF group) were evaluated and their characteristics compared with those of 45 age matched (±11 year) patients with SSI but no arterial or cardiac embolic source (SSI-control group). Using the criterion of the presence or absence of established risk factors (hypertension or diabetes mellitus) for small artery disease (SAD), the SSI-AF group we also subdivided into two groups, SSI-AF-SAD+ (n=22) and SSI-AF-SAD− (n=5) and their characteristics compared.

Results—Although the lack of any significant difference in the distribution of hypertension and diabetes mellitus between the SSI-AF and SSI-control groups emphasises SAD as a common cause of infarct in SSI-AF, the presence of AF—together with the higher frequency of neuropsychological disturbances in the SSI-AF group versus the SSI-control group (15% v 2%; p=0.066)—favours cardioembolism as a potential cause of infarct in several patients. The characteristic factors seen more often in the SSI-AF-SAD− group compared with the SSI-AF-SAD+ group were secondary haemorrhagic transformation, facio-brachial pure motor weakness, subsinular involvement, and better recovery of neurological deficits.

Conclusions—The study suggests that either SAD or cardioembolism can be the cause of SSI in patients with AF. Atrial fibrillation is not always coincidental in patients with SSI and a clinical lacunar stroke. Certain clinical and radiological findings may be useful in differentiating cardioembolism from SAD in patients with SSI.
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Small subcortical infarcts (SSI) are commonly considered to be synonymous with lacunar infarction caused by in situ small artery disease (SAD). However, although a large proportion of subcortical infarcts are accounted for by lacunar infarction, other forms may occur.1,2

Cardiac embolism, carotid disease, and other causes should not be overlooked.3,4 In lacunar infarction, a prevalence of up to 18% of cardioembolism has been reported,14 and several case reports provide radiological and pathological evidence for embolic lacunar infarction.15–18 By contrast, other studies suggest that embologenic cardiac disease is unlikely to be the cause of lacunar infarction.19–21 Using data from the Lausanne Stroke Registry for 27 patients with chronic atrial fibrillation (AF), one of the main cardiac sources of embolism, and an isolated, small (maximal lesion diameter<1.5 cm) subcortical infarct, established by CT/MRI, we systematically evaluated the epidemiology, risk factors for SAD, and clinicoradiological characteristics of SSI lesions probably attributable to a cardioembolic mechanism.
Table 1  Age, sex, and factors for SAD in the SSI-AF and SSI-control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>SSI-AF</th>
<th>SSI-controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>13/14</td>
<td>21/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (y)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>21 (78)</td>
<td>39 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>5 (19)</td>
<td>10 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td>8 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raised PCV</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudication</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are %.

HTN=Hypertension; DM=diabetes mellitus; HC=hypercholesterolaemia; PCV=packed cell volume; SAD=small artery disease.

cardiac sources of embolism on two dimensional echocardiography included mitral or aortic valvular disease, prosthetic valves, akinetic left ventricular segment with or without thrombus, and global cardiac hypokinesia.

The radiologically identified SSIs were all relevant to the presenting clinical manifestations, including, but not limited to the four lacunar syndromes of pure motor hemiparesis, sensory motor stroke, ataxic hemiparesis, or pure sensory stroke. During a stay in hospital, all patients underwent several neuropsychological tests from a standard battery performed in our institution by experienced neurologists or neuropsychologists. Associated visuospatial dysfunction (hemineglect and hemianosognosia) and speech disturbance were recorded. Tests of hemineglect usually included observation of the patient’s response to environmental stimuli, bilateral simultaneous sensory and visual stimulation, simple figure copying, drawing spontaneously, line bisection, picture scanning, and article reading. The number, frequency, side, duration, and time before stroke of transient ischaemic attacks, and the pattern of stroke onset were recorded according to the protocol of the Lausanne Stroke Registry. Functional status was measured on discharge using a five point scale, with 1 as no disability, 2 as mild disability (able to return to all activities, but with difficulty for certain activities), 3 as moderate disability (able to return with difficulty to only certain main activities), 4 as severe disability (not able to return to most activities), and 5 as death.

To determine whether the clinicoradiological features of SSI with AF could be distinguished from those of SSI due to SAD, an SSI-control group, consisting of age matched (+1 year) patients with SSI in the anterior circulation without cardiac embolicogenic source or significant internal corotid artery stenosis (≥50%), was selected from patients with first stroke consecutively admitted to our hospital over the same period. The clinical characteristics and concomitant factors of the SSI-AF group were compared with those in the SSI-control group. To assess the potential role of AF itself as a probable cause in SSI, we compared the characteristics of patients with and without presumed SAD (presence of at least one of the two established risk factors for SAD—that is, hypertension or diabetes). The χ² test and Fisher’s exact test were used for statistical comparisons.

Results

The SSI-AF and SSI-control groups consisted of 27 and 45 patients, respectively; their mean ages and sex distributions are shown in table 1. The SSI-AF-SAD− and SSI-AF-SAD+ subgroups consisted of five (men/women 4/1) and 22 (men/women 9/13) patients with mean ages of 72 and 78 years, respectively.

Vascular Concomitants

Hypertension was the dominant factor for SAD in the SSI-AF (78%) and SSI-control (87%) groups, which contained four (15%) and nine (20%) patients with diabetes mellitus respectively (table 1). No statistical difference in the distribution of other risk factors (cigarette smoking, hypercholesterolaemia, raised packed cell volume, and limb claudication) was seen between the SSI-AF and SSI-control groups. The internal carotid artery Doppler ultrasound examinations showed that no patient had significant disease (stenosis ≥50% or occlusion) on both sides except one SSI-AF-SAD+ who had ipsilateral occlusion.

Coexisting Cardiac Sources

The echocardiographic findings are summarised in table 2. A cardiac pacemaker was implanted in one patient in the SSI-AF-SAD− group because of third degree atrioventricular block. Echocardiography was not performed on one SSI-AF-SAD+ patient because of associated pulmonary disease. Valvular disorders were found in three (17%) patients, two of whom had prosthetic mitral valve or rheumatic mitral sclerosis. Coexisting myocardial abnormalities were found in 12 (44%) patients. Normal or non-significant aortic stenosis findings were found in seven (26%) patients.

Clinical Findings and Location of Infarcts

These are shown in fig 1. In the SSI-AF group, the lesion was identified by CT in 26 patients and by MRI in three patients; in 14 (52%) patients, the lesion was on the right, whereas in 13 (48%) it was on the left. In the SSI-control group, in 25 (56%) patients, the lesion was on the right whereas in 20 (44%) it was on the left.

The distribution of lacunar syndromes did not differ significantly between the SSI-AF and SSI-control groups. Pure motor stroke (44%), sensory motor stroke (38%), and ataxic

Table 2  Echocardiographic results in the SSI-AF group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SSI-AF-ASD−</th>
<th>SSI-AF-ASD+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial abnormality</td>
<td>GH+LVT 1</td>
<td>GH+LVT 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular abnormality</td>
<td>GH-LVT 1</td>
<td>FLVH-LVT 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mv</td>
<td>Pr MV 1</td>
<td>Pr AV 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA Dilatation only</td>
<td></td>
<td>FLVH and MR1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal or non-significant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GH=global hypokinesia; FLVH=focal left ventricular hypokinesia; LVT=left ventricular thombous; MV=mitral valve; MS=mitral stenosis; MR=mitral regurgitation; LA=left atrium; AV=aortic valve; AS=aortic stenosis; Pr=prosthetic; +=with; −=without.
hemiparesis (9%) were the main neurological syndromes. Sensory motor ataxia (4%), sensory motor stroke with visual field defect (2%), and ataxic hemiparesis with visual field defect (2%) were uncommon. The lesion was located in the posterior internal capsule in 18 patients, the posterior internal capsule and lenticular nucleus in 11, the periventricular white matter in five, the centrum ovale in four, the lenticular nucleus in three, the globus pallidus in two, the anterior internal capsule in one, and the thalamocapsular area in one. No significant difference was found in the distribution of lesion location between the SSI-AF and SSI-control groups. Four (15%) patients in the SSI-AF group and one (2%) in the SSI-control

Figure 1 Infarct locations and associated clinical findings in the SSI-AF group. Infarct locations in five (1–5) SSI-AF-ASD− patients and in 22 (6–27) SSI-AF-ASD+ patients. PM=Pure motor stroke; MS=Motor/sensory stroke; MSH=Motor/sensory stroke with visual field defect; AH=Ataxic hemiparesis; FB type=faciobrachial type of motor weakness; anos=anosognosia; negl=hemineglect; *haemorrhagic transformation.
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PREVIOUS TRANSIENT ISCHAEMIC ATTACKS AND STROKE ONSET

Two (9%) patients in the SSI-AF-SAD+ group and five (11%) in the SSI-control group reported one episode of transient ischaemic attack ipsilateral to their subsequent infarct. Stroke was immediately stabilised in five patients in the SSI-AF-SAD− group, 20 (91%) in the SSI-AF-SAD+ group, and 35 (80%) in the SSI-control group, fluctuated in four (18%) patients in the SSI-AF-SAD− group and five (11%) in the SSI-control group, and progressed gradually in four (18%) patients in the SSI-AF-SAD+ group and four (9%) in the SSI-control group. One patient died due to cardiac failure 36 days after stroke in the SSI-AF-SAD− group. No statistical significance was found in the prevalence of transient ischaemic attacks and fluctuation or progression of neurological deficits between the SSI-AF and SSI-control groups or between the SSI-AF-SAD− and SSI-AF-SAD+ groups.

TREATMENT AND SHORT TERM EVOLUTION

One (20%) patient in the SSI-AF-SAD− group and 12 (55%) in the SSI-AF-SAD+ group were treated with aspirin, four (80%) patients in the SSI-AF-SAD− group and eight (36%) in the SSI-AF-SAD+ group were anticoagulated, and the remaining two patients in the SSI-AF-SAD+ group did not receive antithrombotic drugs because of a bleeding tendency (thrombocytopenia or liver disease). All patients except one with gastric intolerance in the SSI-control group were treated with aspirin. Figure 2 shows the functional disability on discharge and the mean duration of stay in hospital. All five patients in the SSI-AF-ASD− group and 12 (55%) in the SSI-AF-ASD+ group had no disability on discharge. The mean duration of stay in hospital in the SSI-AF-ASD− group was shorter than in the SSI-AF-ASD+ group (9.7 days vs 12.5 days). Functional disability at the time of discharge after adjustment for the duration of stay in hospital was not significantly different between the SSI-AF and SSI-control groups.

Discussion

Cardioembolic subcortical infarcts have been diagnosed mainly from the presence of cardioembolic sources, usually without considering the clinical characteristics. This can be explained by the fact that only a few studies documented clinical features of such infarcts. As far as we know, this is the first attempt to systematically evaluate the characteristics of potentially cardioembolic subcortical infarcts in patients with atrial fibrillation.

Whereas hypertension and diabetes mellitus are not specific risk factors for small artery disease, our findings that the frequency of risk factors for small artery disease did not differ significantly between the SSI-AF and SSI-control groups emphasise small artery disease as a cause of subcortical infarcts with atrial fibrillation. Some previous studies have demonstrated hypertension and diabetes mellitus to be potent risk factors for subcortical infarcts.36 However, atrial fibrillation as a potential mechanism of subcortical infarct formation should not be overlooked. Now that hypertension is better controlled because of more effective treatments, and is less likely to be the sole cause of subcortical infarcts, reports increasingly suggest an embolic mechanism as a cause of the infarcts.37–39 In a recent clinical study that strongly supported cardiac embolic sources as a cause of subcortical infarcts, five (17%) of 29 new infarcts associated with cardiac surgery were subcortical. Recently, on the basis of pathological studies, Lammie et al40 suggested that embolic occlusion of the small penetrating vessels may be more common than generally assumed in elderly hypertensive patients.

Subcortical infarcts can lead to various neuropsychological disturbances.41–43 Our data do not allow us to draw a conclusion as to whether the mechanism of neuropsychological disturbances in cardioembolic subcortical infarcts is similar to that of subcortical infarcts due to small artery disease. The few cases and inherent selection biases must be taken into consideration.

Acute onset, transient duration, and lateralisation of neuropsychological dysfunction suggest that stroke is the main cause, and an insufficient cardiac output for the maintenance of an adequate brain perfusion, resulting from chronic atrial fibrillation and associated myocardial dysfunction, could be an additional factor.44 Another possible explanation for the higher incidence of neuropsychological disturbances in the SSI-AF group is that some cardiac emboli obstructed the deep perforating branch, whereas others lodged in the pial branches, causing cortical neuropsychological disturbances.45–48 Transient disturbance of the cortical or subcortical blood flow resulting from fragmentation and migration of an embolus could be another possibility.50 The cortical ischaemic lesion may have been invisible due to
early recanalisation of emboli, the small size of the emboli, or limitations in the ability of brain imaging to demonstrate an associated cortical lesion. The fluctuation and progression of neurological deficits in the SSI-AF group does not seem helpful in predicting the mechanism of subcortical infarct formation. Although stepwise or gradual onset due to small artery disease has been reported,\(^{42,43}\) embolic infarcts can also have various modes of onset, and several factors combine to cause progression of neurological deficits in patients with subcortical infarcts.\(^{42,44}\)

In two patients in the SSI-AF-ASD− group, the lesions were in the posterior internal capsule, which agrees with the previous published location in embolic SSI.\(^{46} 47\) However, secondary haemorrhagic transformation, which suggests an embolic mechanism, whereas the other patient had anosognosia and paraphasia. In one patient in the SSI-AF-ASD− group, the lesion was in the subinsular area (the extreme capsule), and, considering the transient faciobrachial motor weakness seen in this case, we cannot rule out the possibility of ischaemic damage at other sites, including the cerebral cortex. The lesion site suggests an embolic mechanism, as the extreme capsule is supplied by the adjacent cortical branch.

Recovery from neurological deficits in the SSI-AF-ASD− group occurred earlier and was better than in the SSI-AF-ASD+ group; however, these differences did not reach significance, partly due to the few patients. Less severe ischaemia due to early recanalisation of an occluded single perforating artery in the SSI-AF-ASD− group may be an explanation for this excellent prognosis. Small artery disease cannot be definitely excluded as a possible cause of stroke in the SSI-AF-ASD− group.\(^{46} 47\) However, secondary haemorrhagic transformation, facioabrachial motor weakness with extreme capsule involvement, the remarkably favourable short term prognosis, and atrial fibrillation as a strong embolic risk factor strongly suggest an embolic mechanism in the SSI-AF-ASD− group. The increase in prevalence of atrial fibrillation with age\(^{48}\) may account for the older mean age (72 years) of patients in the SSI-AF-ASD− group.

In conclusion, either cardioembolism or small artery disease can be a causative factor in patients with subcortical infarcts with atrial fibrillation, and atrial fibrillation is not always coincident in patients with subcortical infarcts and a clinical lacunar stroke. Certain clinical and radiological features may be useful in distinguishing cardioembolic from subcortical infarcts due to small artery disease.

HISTORICAL NOTE

Henry Hun (1854–1924)

Neurology in upper New York State was pioneered by the Hun family.1 Thomas Hun MD (1808-unknown), the neurological patriarch, completed medical studies at the University of Pennsylvania and spent 6 years of postgraduate study in Europe.2 On his return, he helped found the Albany Medical College (1839), where he was appointed Professor of the Institutes of Medicine. Long before neurology was a recognized specialty in the United States, he gave lectures on the nervous system, held weekly neurological clinics with medical students, and stimulated his sons Edward and Henry to pursue neurological careers.

Edward Reynolds Hun MD (1842–1880), the eldest son, graduated from the College of Physicians and Surgeons in 1866 and practiced in Albany.2 He was appointed pathologist to the New York State Lunatic Asylum at Utica, and in 1876 accepted the Chair of Diseases of the Nervous System at Albany Medical College. Edward was one of the 35 original members of the American Neurological Association, founded in 1875. Unfortunately, he died prematurely.

Henry Hun MD (1854–1924) graduated from Harvard Medical School in 1879 and spent more than 2 years of postgraduate study, encompassing Vienna, Heidelberg, Berlin, Paris, and London.3 His interest in neurology was further stimulated by exposure to Jean-Martin Charcot (1825–93) at the Salpêtrière Hospital. On return, he was appointed Professor of Diseases of the Nervous System in the Albany Medical College (1884–1914). He wrote several treatises, including A guide to American medical students in Europe (1883); Syllabus of a course of lectures on the diseases of the nervous system (1901; 1902; 1907); and An atlas of the differential diagnosis of the nervous system (1913; 1914; 1922). He also served as President of the Association of American Physicians (1910), and President of the American Neurological Association (1914).

Henry Hun is now most often recognized for his detailed clinicopathological description of the lateral medullary syndrome. Hun’s 1897 report included a very detailed (albeit delayed) pathological report from the neuropathologist Ira Van Gieson (1866–1913) after the patient’s death in 1893.4 Hun’s 33 year old patient had acutely developed ipsilateral ptosis and anhidrosis (but reportedly not miosis), diplopia, ipsilateral analgesia and thermanaesthesia of the face, dysphagia, dysphonia, dysarthria, ipsilateral appendicular ataxia, postural instability with a tendency to fall toward the side of the lesion, gait ataxia, contralateral analgesia and thermanaesthesia of the body, and vomiting. Pathological findings included extensive posterior cerebellar atrophy, resulting from foci of softening in the medulla oblongata and cerebellum, to occlusion of the left posterior inferior cerebellar artery. A study of the course of the sensory and co-ordinating tracts in the medulla oblongata. N Y Med J 1897;65:513–19.


Hun concluded that the manifestations resulted from left posterior inferior cerebellar artery occlusion. Hun’s report was partially preceded by Adolf Wallenberg’s detailed clinical (but not pathological) description in 1895,5 and both of these reports were partially anticipated by others.6 Based on his knowledge of neuroanatomy, Wallenberg had correctly localised his patient’s lesion to the lateral medulla and attributed it to occlusion of the ipsilateral posterior inferior cerebellar artery, but it was not until 1901, 4 years after Hun’s clinicopathological report, that Wallenberg reported the pathological findings on his patient, who died in 1899.6
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