Acute functional reorganisation of the human motor cortex during resection of central lesions: a study using intraoperative brain mapping

H Duffau

Abstract

Objectives—Brain plasticity is supposed to allow the compensation of motor function in cases of rolandic lesion. The aim was to analyse the mechanisms of functional reorganisation during surgery in the central area.

Methods—A motor brain mapping was performed in three right handed patients without any neurological deficit, operated on for a slow growing lesion near the rolandic region (two precentral resected under general anaesthesia and one retrocentral removed under local anaesthesia to allow also sensory mapping) using intraoperative direct electrical stimulations (5 mm space tips bipolar stimulator probe, biphasic square wave pulse current: 1 ms/phase, 60 Hz, 4 to 18 mA).

Results—For each patient, the motor areas of the hand and forearm in the primary motor cortex (M1) were identified before and after lesion removal with the same stimulation parameters: the same eloquent sites were found, plus the appearance after resection of additional sites in M1 inducing the same movement during stimulations as the previous areas.

Conclusions—Multiple cortical representations for hand and forearm movements in M1 seem to exist. In addition, the results demonstrate the short term capacity of the brain to make changes in local motor maps, by sudden unmasking after tumour resection of a second redundant site participating in the same movement. Finally, it seems not necessary for the whole of the redundant sites to be functional to provide normal movement, a concept with potential implications for surgery within the central region.
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Several authors have already reported experiences of functional recovery with evidence of brain plasticity in humans—that is, the reorganisation of cortical sensorimotor maps after cerebral injuries.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\) Regarding mechanisms of motor restoration, although recruitment of the ipsilateral pyramidal tract has been described,\(^8\)-\(^10\) a recovery within the primary motor cortex (M1) system has also been suggested when damage of this area was partial,\(^9\)-\(^11\) particularly with slowly progressive lesions. This recruitment of adjacent corticospinal motor output neurons was explained by a recent concept of a dynamic pattern of M1, with multiple and overlapping representations for hand muscles and movements.\(^9\)-\(^11\) From physiological data reviewed previously,\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\) it seems that a horizontal connectivity may exist among local circuits linking pyramidal cells (between layers III or V), leading to a simple model of latent intracortical connections that could provide a substrate for remodelling intrinsic circuitry. Indeed, this model for plasticity predicts that reduced inhibition (particularly in M1 lesions) would tend to strengthen the coupling of reciprocally connected pyramidal cells, with unmasking of a new motor output architecture.\(^1\) Thus, the hypothesis of within motor system recovery by new relations between local circuits and muscle grouping in the case of an M1 lesion—labelled the “plasticity of the motor cortex”—\(^6\) was only indirectly assumed in humans, by studies using functional neuroimaging methods comparing the displacement of cortical hand and arm representations with regard to the expected normal location\(^1\) (although reorganisation of the...
motor map has been clearly demonstrated after M1 lesion in monkeys.19

From an intraoperative study of cortical motor mapping using cerebral electrical stimulations before and after surgical resection of a lesion near the central region in three patients, I describe direct evidence for a short term local unmasking of additional motor areas. On the basis of these findings, I discuss the potential implication of this phenomenon in the compensatory plasticity mechanisms in humans, in lesions in functional regions and their surgical treatment.

Materials and methods
Three right handed women (32, 42, and 55 years of age) underwent surgery in our institution for a brain lesion discovered after seizures. All lesions were slowly progressive; two were low grade gliomas and one an arteriovenous malformation. Neurological examination was normal in all patients, without any motor disturbance. One glioma had a precentral location; the vascular malformation had a rolandic site; and the other glioma was postcentral.

Surgery was performed under general anaesthesia without curarisation, with motor mapping in the two patients with precentral and central lesions (anaesthetic levels constant during all the procedure), and under local anaesthesia on an awake patient (with the retrocentral tumour) with the possibility of motor and somatosensory mapping (no anaesthetic agent during all the resection). In all patients, the lesion was first localised after opening the dura mater, by eye for the readily apparent vascular malformation, and with the help of ultrasonography for the two gliomas (the boundaries were marked with sterile letter tags).

Before any resection, a motor cortical mapping using direct electrical stimulations was carried out, previously described in the literature as a safe, reliable, and accurate (without any diffusion) method.20-24 This was done using a 5 mm spaced tips bipolar stimulator probe (Ojemann Cortical Stimulator, Radionics*). Stimulation parameters were set at 60 Hz, biphasic square wave pulses (1 ms/phase), with
a progressive increase in the current amplitude (from 2 mA) until a motor (or sensory in one patient, see below) response was obtained. An intensity of 18 mA was necessary in the two patients under general anaesthesia (18 mA being the highest level considered as non-deteleterious for the brain), and an intensity of 4 mA in the awake patient. The duration of each brain stimulation was 1 second. Motor responses of the fingers, the wrist, and the forearm were clinically obtained in all patients and, in the awake patient, a somatosensorial map was also obtained, by inducing contralateral sensations described by the patient himself. Responsive cortical sites were marked using number tags.

Resection was then performed, sparing the functional areas detected by stimulations, regularly given during the resection, to define precisely the interface between lesion and functional areas. At the end of the resection, cortical stimulations were repeated using the same electrical parameters (particularly, the same intensity) as before, to check the functional integrity of the pyramidal pathways again, with the same clinical criteria of evaluation used previously.

Results

In the first patient, with a left precentral low grade glioma (fig 1) and normal neurological examination, intraoperative preresection mapping found three functional sites in M1 (behind
the lesion), inducing motor responses of the forearm, wrist, and fingers (fig 2), without any other response during stimulations of the adjacent exposed areas. Cortical stimulations using the same electrical parameters after tumour removal showed the same motor responses at the three same sites as before, plus three new redundant areas in M1 also generating exactly the same movements respectively of the forearm, wrist, and fingers (fig 3).

In the second patient, without clinical abnormalities, with a left rolandic arteriovenous malformation (fig 4), intraoperative pre-resection mapping showed two motor sites in front of the lesion, inducing movement of the fingers and wrist (fig 5). Again, postresection stimulations with the same intensity level found the same two motor sites, plus one new redundant functional area in M1 inducing exactly the same motor response of the wrist than the previous site (fig 6).

In the third patient without neurological deficit, harbouring a left postcentral low grade glioma (fig 7), and operated on awake under local anaesthesia with the goal of performing intraoperative motor and somato-sensory mapping (taking account of the location of the lesion), pre-resection cortical stimulations found four functional sites: two located in M1, inducing motor response of the wrist and fingers, and two postcentral inducing sensitive responses in the hand and the fingers (fig 8). Again, postresection mapping showed the same four eloquent areas, plus two new redundant motor sites in M1 generating exactly the same movement of the wrist and the fingers as the two previous motor areas (fig 9).

The type of the movement (flexion in all cases) and the amplitude (same before and after resection in the three patients) were quoted by an observer, but without objective quantification by electromyography.

The median distance between each “pair” of redundant (new and previous) sites was 1 cm (range 0.8 to 1.2 cm). The median time between the first mapping and the unmasking of new motor sites was about 30 minutes.

No partial or generalised seizure and no oedema was induced during the procedure.
Figure 6  Intraoperative postresection view photography (top) and drawing (bottom) shows that the same responses as before resection were found with the same electrical parameters (18 mA) at the same two sites (1, 2). But a new site was also found inducing the same motor response of the wrist (3) as the area 2, just in front of the cavity. A=Angioma; B=backward; C=cavity; F=forward; M=midline; arrow=central sulcus.

Figure 7  Preoperative axial T2 weighted enhanced MRI in a 55 year old woman with a normal neurological examination, showing a left retrocentral low grade glioma.

Figure 8  Intraoperative preresection drawing demonstrating four functional sites detected by direct stimulations (4 mA) under local anaesthesia on the awake patient: two located in M1, inducing a contralateral motor response of respectively the wrist (1) and the fingers (2), and two retrocentral areas (in front of the tumour) eliciting sensitive responses in the hand (3), and the fingers (4). No other response was obtained. Letters identify the limits of the tumour, detected using ultrasonography. A=Angioma; B=backward; C=cavity; F=forward; M=midline; T=tumour; arrow=central sulcus.
These findings also demonstrate the short term capacity of the brain for sudden unmasking of a second functional cluster participating in the same finger, wrist, or forearm movements. Changes in short term mapping have already been reported experimentally. It was assumed that although long term changes occurring for at least as long as 5 months are likely due to anatomical reorganisation with neosynaptogenesis within M1, the rapid changes in M1 output occurring within hours likely reflect modifications in synaptic efficacy or switches in local network properties. This phenomenon of rapid unmasking of eloquent networks, previously advocated as participating to the mechanisms of functional redistribution in lesions of the motor brain area, was nevertheless only directly illustrated to our knowledge in animals, or in humans using transcranial magnetic stimulation exclusively in cases of skill acquisition or peripheral deafferentation. Our results give further arguments in favour of the existence of latent parallel motor redundant circuits, potentially “unmaskable”, directly and in real time (intraoperatively) in humans harbouring tumours or malformation of the central region.

On the other hand, it seems important to underline that no electrocorticographic monitoring was performed in this work for assessing potential activity. Accordingly, taking account of the fact that the high settings of current intensity used are close to the levels that are commonly seen as afterdischarges and local seizure activity, the present findings could simply be due to the current spread with depolarisation occurring with afterpotentials due to the increased irritability of the cortex after resection of the tumour. However, the first point is that the intensity of stimulation was progressively increased without two consecutive stimulations at the same site—because of the existence of a well known interindividual variability in the minimal threshold (depending on the patient, the type of lesion, and the anaesthetic condition—local or general anaesthesia)—until a motor or a sensory response was obtained. It is nowadays admitted that there is usually no afterdischarge before the first clinical somatomotor response—but not for language mapping due to functional inhibition and not activation in this case—consequently without obligation to perform intraoperative electrocorticography to obtain a reliable sensorimotor mapping. Secondly, there were no partial or generalised seizures generated during the three procedures (easily detectable on the awake patient, and also under general anaesthesia as in this location, even partial seizures should induce movement).

Thirdly, the median distance between two redundant sites was 1 cm, thus involving no direct adjacent areas. These data do not suggest that the current spread is the mechanism of the present findings.

The meaning of such a phenomenon of unmasking remains unclear. It could be hypothesised, by the theory of multiple cortical motor sites, that the mass effect of the tumour may have inhibited the second site (or its meta-
bolic activity) and this redundancy is unmasked after debulking of the tumour. This mechanism of reappearance of cortical function after mechanical decompression of an eloquent motor site was previously described in rapid growing lesions—for example, in metatases. Indeed, we had also operated on, in the same period, six patients with precentral metastases, for which stimulations gave no response before resection, with the appearance of cortical motor areas detectable by the same stimulations after removal. The difference in these cases is the existence of a motor deficit before surgery with immediate recovery after the operation: we did not find a compensatory “redundant focus” for the same function as the compressed site before resection, which may explain the preexisting paresia, and the clinical recovery after metastasis resection (after reappearance of the corresponding cortical site function, identified by stimulations). There is then no compensatory phenomenon in these cases, probably due to the rapid growth of the metastasis, in opposition to slow growing low grade glioma or congenital arteriovenous malformation.

In the present study, the lack of neurological deficit despite the “masking” of the second site by the tumour before resection, is an argument in favour of the fact that the motor function is likely compensated by the other homologous cortical focus in M1, then that the functionality of the whole of the redundant sites is not essential to provide normal movement. Nevertheless, it remains unclear whether the additional site unmasked during surgery is:

● One “secondary” accessory eloquent cluster masked by the lesion (according to the concept of a hierarchical organisation of the motor cortex suggested by Sanes et al\(^1\)) without any repercussion on the normal and sufficient functioning of the “primary cluster” (detected by stimulation before resection).

● Or the “primary” functional cluster compensated by the “secondary clusters” recruited during the tumour growth?

If the second hypothesis defines the real situation, it means that the intraoperative unmasking might correspond to the detection of a “reactivation “ of the “primary” cluster, and then could illustrate a local brain plasticity phenomenon.

Answers to this question seem crucial for the neurosurgeon. Indeed, if the first hypothesis constitutes the real mechanism, it could then become possible if necessary (for instance in case of tumour infiltration), to surgically remove the “secondary cluster”, allowing improvement in the quality of resection in M1 without neurodeficit. One perspective to resolve this problem could be the realisation under local anaesthesia of an alternative transitory inhibition of each redundant site, using a method of cooling already reported as accurate and safe in monkeys,\(^2\) and to observe the clinical motor consequences on the awake patients.

Conclusions

These findings give further arguments for the existence of multiple cortical representations for hand and forearm movements in M1. In addition, they demonstrate the short term capacity of the brain for local motor map changes, by sudden unmasking after tumour resection of a second redundant site participating in the same movement. Finally, these data seem to show that it is not necessary for the whole of the redundant sites to be functional to provide normal movement, a concept potentially useful in surgery near or within the motor region.
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