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Abstract

Objectives—Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type I (CMT1) is a hereditary sensorimotor neuropathy causing variable degrees of handicap. The risk for relevant disability in respect to genetic counselling is unknown. An attempt was made to define it.

Methods—Disability and ambulation of 50 patients with CMT1 were scored by the Hauser ambulation index score and the Rankin scale. Rankin score 2 was subdivided into 2a (independent without relevant slowness) and 2b (independent, though at the cost of excessive time consumption). The sickness impact profile was assessed and compared with patients 6 months after stroke who were without mental deficit. To define at which degree sickness and disability become relevant for genetic counselling, the patients were asked whether they would refrain from childbearing if the children were at risk of inheriting a disease that caused as much disability as they experienced themselves.

Results—Subdivision of Rankin score 2 was reliable and improved validity. High disability significantly predicted an attitude against childbearing (stepwise logistic regression) only with this subdivision. Thirty six per cent of the patients voted for the consequences of the disease. Depression was present in 18% of the patients. Psychosocial impact was comparable with patients with stroke and similar disability. Depression was present in 18% of the patients.

Conclusion—Subdivision of Rankin score 2 is recommended for the assessment of longstanding disability in neuromuscular disorders. Disability becomes relevant for the attitude towards childbearing as soon as everyday activities become markedly slow (Rankin score 2b). Relevant disability occurred in 44% of the patients. Emotional stress in CMT is similar to that of patients with stroke and comparable disability.
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Prenatal diagnosis for Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1 (CMT1) is now available. For adequate counselling, we have to know more about the impact of the disease. Information about the inheritance risk may be sufficient in uniformly severe disorders such as Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Even then, prospective parents ask for the consequences of the disease. Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1 has been designated as a relatively mild disease. Others say that 20% of the patients are seriously handicapped. This information is too contradictory. Disability has not yet been properly assessed in CMT1. Use of the neurological disability score measures impairment rather than disability. Only 60 of 104 patients with CMT were able to assign themselves a score between 0 (no effect) and 10 (every activity impaired). Other studies did not consider the arms, which are often involved in CMT1. To our surprise, the Rankin scale, a standard measure of disability in stroke, multifocal motor neuropathy, and CIDP, has not yet been used in CMT1.

Our patients often realised their disability only after queries about professional and pastime activities, or when we witnessed their slow undressing. Timed motor activities in CMT1 are up to sixfold prolonged. Therefore we subclassified Rankin score 2 for independent but exceedingly slow patients. To illustrate the burden of CMT1, we also compared it with a more frequent and better known disease: stroke with predominantly physical disability.

We asked our patients whether they would advise against childbearing, if the prospective child would have similar disability. In this hypothetical setting, a vote against childbearing implies that the patient considers the disease to be so severe that it is better not to start a life with the disease. It thus informs about perceived quality of life, and defines “relevant disability” in regard to prenatal diagnosis.

Patients and methods

METHODS

The study was approved by the local ethics committee. A structured interview explored time consumption and disabilities for professional and pastime activities. The Rankin scale was prospectively modified by subclassification of independent patients who required more than twice the time for everyday activities
Table 1 Influence of different factors on the attitude towards childbearing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>50 patients with CMT (denominating and (hereditary or confirmed mutation))</th>
<th>Univariate Logistic regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Age (range 17–76 y; mean 47 y)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sex (male 19; female 31)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Affected family members of previous generation (35 patients)</td>
<td>0.15 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Presence of children (24 patients)</td>
<td>0.02 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5* Rankin score (1, 4 patients; 2a, 24 patients; 2b, nine patients; 3, 12 patients; 4 one patient)</td>
<td>0.01 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Deterioration within the past 5 years (27 patients)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 SIP: physical dimension</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Neuropathy related pain or painful muscle cramps (34 patients)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 SIP: psychosocial dimension</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Depression (eight patients)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Regular use of healthcare institutions (16 patients)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Use of orthopaedic devices (26 patients)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Attitude towards childbearing (pro 32 patients; contra 18 patients)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For calculations values of 2 and 2.5 were used for Rankin grades 2a and 2b. SIP=Sickness impact profile.

Figure 1 Sickness impact profile (SIP) of CMT1. The upper and lower boundaries of the boxplots of the SIP % scores of our patients with CMT are the lower and upper quartiles. The bar indicates the median. The whiskers extend to the highest and lowest values that are less than one interquartile range from the box. Values beyond these limits are outliers (circles: within two interquartile ranges from the box; stars: more than two interquartile ranges from the box). The SIP scores are compared with the means of a group of 312 elderly controls (dotted line) and a group of 441 patients 6 months after a stroke (broken line) taken from the study of de Haan et al12 (SR=Sleep and rest; EB=emotional behaviour; BCM=body care and movement; HM=household management; M=mobility; SI=social interaction; A=ambulation; AB=alertness behaviour; C=communication; RP=recreation and pastime; E=eating; the first three categories are combined to the physical dimension score and the next four categories, to the psychosocial dimension score).
patients with Rankin scores 2b and seven of 12 patients with Rankin score 3 did so. The full regression model with all variables predicted the attitude towards child bearing correctly in 81.4% of the patients. The final model:

\[ Z = 1.54 - 1.43 \times \text{(Rankin score)} + 2.02 \times \text{(presence of children)} + 2.25 \times \text{(presence of affected family members from the previous generation)} \]

predicted the attitude correctly in 76.7% of the patients. The different signs for “Rankin score”, and “presence of children” and “presence of affected family members” indicate effects in opposite directions: high disability predicts a vote against childbearing, whereas presence of own children or affected family members favours childbearing. Various backward selection starting from subsets of the full model selected the same final model. After reclassification of Rankin scores 2a and 2b into 2, disability dropped from the logistic regression model.

Discussion

We expected higher emotional stress in patients with stroke because they have less time to develop successful coping. However, emotional stress was comparable in both diseases. Patients with CMT scored unexpectedly high in two SIP domains: “sleep and rest” and “alertness behaviour”, due to frequent affirmations to “I sit during the day”, “I lie down more often during the day in order to rest”, “I have minor accidents”, or “I react slowly to things that are said or done”. These statements infer something different from that intended by the SIP designers, but illustrate typical problems of our patients, who behaved clumsily, which may provoke teasing already at school age, stamping designers, but illustrate typical problems of our patients, who behaved clumsily, which may provoke teasing already at school age, stamping, and pain are additional burdens. The high percentage of patients seeking medical help also falsifies the idea that impairment is hard to perceive in CMT1. The worst case scenario for prospective parents deciding about prenatal diagnosis includes the facts that CMT1 affects choice of profession, severely slows important activities, requires help in everyday life, and causes emotional distress similar to other chronic conditions.

The split between irrelevant and relevant disability passed through Rankin grade 2: disability dropped from stepwise regression after reclassification of Rankin scores 2a and 2b into 2. We suggest a division of Rankin grade 2 for CMT and other diseases with longstanding physical disability.

Disability was similar to that found in other studies. Forty of 119 patients with CMT1A were unable to run: 56% of our patients had similar walking difficulties (HAS >2). Another study found a similar degree of ambulation impairment (walking obviously impaired, running impossible) in 42% of their patients. Relevant disability (Rankin score >2a) was present in 44% of our patients. The vote against childbearing (36%) underestimates the prevalence of relevant disability because family factors opposed its effect, as shown by logistic regression. Therefore, the inheritance risk in mathematical decision models for prenatal diagnosis should be weighted by 0.44, corresponding to the percentage of patients with Rankin grade above 2a.
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