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Objective: To detect disconjugate ocular motor abnormalities and a possible extraocular muscle myotonic phenomenon in patients with myotonic dystrophy (MyD).

Methods: The magnetic scleral search coil technique was used to record monocularly the small (25°) and large (50°) saccades, which were paced to two interstimulus intervals (ISIs), one short (1 s), the other long (5 s). The case study comprised 20 patients with MyD, 10 patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), and 10 controls. The amplitude, duration, peak velocity, and skewness of the velocity profile (ratio between the acceleration and the deceleration periods) of each saccade were measured. The disconjugate parameters (difference between the two eyes of the same measure), and the myotonic parameter (the maximal (as absolute value) short–long ISI difference between the same measures) were considered.

Results: The disconjugate parameters were the same in all three groups. The mean values of myotonic parameters found in patients with MyD for duration (for both small and large target displacements) and skewness (for small target displacements only) differed from those found for both the MS and the control groups. Additionally, the occurrence of individual patients presenting with abnormal duration and skewness parameters was higher in the MyD than in the MS group. In patients with MyD, the saccade duration was longer for long than for short ISI; the effect derived from a prolongation of the acceleration period, which manifested as an increase in skewness.

Conclusion: The results can be explained by a combination of the myotonic and the warm up phenomena. A delay in the relaxation (myotonia) of the extracocular muscle may be more evident after a long fixation period (long ISI) and it may improve by increasing saccade pacing (short ISI–warm up). This phenomenon is slight, and is unlikely to affect saccade performance significantly, but it may provide some insight into the nature of the disorder affecting extracocular and skeletal muscles in myotonic dystrophy.

Myotonic dystrophy is an autosomal dominant multisystem disorder that derives from an unstable CTG repeat located on chromosome 19. Skeletal muscles may present dystrophic changes, which mainly involve temporal, facial, and distal limb muscles, and a delay in muscle relaxation after contraction. This delay is the defining feature of the myotonic phenomenon, or myotonia. Several and repetitive muscle contractions may momentarily reduce myotonia in the given muscle, and this has been called the warm up phenomenon.

Patients with MyD rarely complain of, or present with, ocular motor symptoms or signs other than lid ptosis. By contrast, eye movement recordings have disclosed several abnormalities, including inaccurate and slowed saccades, but no data are available about the yoking of the eyes during saccades, or about the possibility that saccade abnormalities may be disconjugate. Whether these abnormalities are attributable to the ocular motor structures within the CNS, or to the extraocular muscles, or both, is still a matter of debate, and it is possible that the pathophysiology of ocular motor abnormalities differs from patient to patient.

When ocular motor abnormalities are considered to be the consequence of an extraocular muscle dysfunction, they are usually attributed to an extraocular myopathy. Although myotonic discharges are electromyographically detectable in extraocular muscles, to our knowledge only one case report has considered the question of the possible occurrence of extraocular myotonia.

The aims of the present report are to detect whether saccade abnormalities involve saccade yoking—namely, disconjugate parameters—and to demonstrate that saccadic abnormalities may be related both to the extraocular myotonia and to the warm up phenomenon.

Materials and methods

Patients

We enlisted 20 patients with MyD (mean age 41.2 years; age range 15–68 years) derived from 17 families, 10 patients with multiple sclerosis (MS; mean age 35.6 years; age range 20–57 years), and 10 controls (mean age 39.5 years; age range 22–65 years). All participants gave their informed consent to eye movement recording.

All patients showed visual acuity above 7/10 in both eyes, and none of them complained of diplopia or presented with clinically evident ocular motor signs, other than lid ptosis.

We included the MS group because their ocular motor abnormalities are likely to be attributable to a CNS rather than to an extraocular muscle dysfunction.

Eye movement recording

Using a scleral search coil technique (Skalar 3010 system), we recorded monocularly saccadic eye movements simultaneously from both eyes in binocular vision after the signal had been calibrated monocularly in monocular vision. The ocular motor signal was sampled at 250 Hz (12 bit analog to digital converter resolution), filtered with a band pass from 0 to 70 Hz and stored on magnetic support for subsequent analyses.

Abbreviations: MyD, myotonic dystrophy; MS, multiple sclerosis; ISIs, interstimulus intervals
Saccade paradigms
We used four different paradigms in all subjects. In the first two paradigms, the targets were light emitting diodes in the 0°, +25° (right), and −25° (left) positions, 104 cm from the subject. The target in primary position was activated for 1 second and then switched off, whereupon a target in a lateral position was lit either for one (paradigm 1) or for 5 seconds (paradigm 2), before the target in primary position was relit. The targets in lateral positions were activated 15 times in a pseudorandom sequence.

In the other two paradigms we only used the light emitting diodes in the +25° and−25° positions, and lit them alternately 15 times each. The activation period was either 1 second (paradigm 3) or 5 seconds (paradigm 4).

Overall, we used two different target displacements (small (25°) and large (50°)), and two different interstimulus intervals (ISIs) (short (1s) and long (5 s)). Accordingly, we labelled paradigm 1 as small amplitude and short ISI, paradigm 2 as small amplitude and long ISI, paradigm 3 as large amplitude and short ISI, and paradigm 4 as large amplitude and long ISI.

The first two (small amplitude) paradigms consisted of four saccade directions—that is, rightward centrifugal and centripetal and leftward centrifugal and centripetal, whereas the last two paradigms (large amplitude) consisted of two saccade directions—that is, rightward and leftward.

Eye movement parameters
Saccades were identified by means of an interactive ad hoc software.

We measured the amplitude, the duration, and the peak velocity of each saccade the beginning and the end of which were identified on the basis of velocity threshold criteria (25°/s). Moreover, we computed the skewness of the velocity profile—namely, the ratio of the duration of the acceleration period (the time elapsing between the beginning of the saccade and the peak velocity instant) to the duration of the deceleration period (the time elapsing between the peak velocity instant and the end of the saccade); acceleration periods longer than deceleration periods lead to skewness greater than 1, whereas acceleration periods shorter than deceleration periods lead to skewness smaller than 1.

For each patient, for each eye, for each paradigm, and for each saccade direction, we computed the mean value of each parameter.

Statistical analyses

Monocular parameters
For each subject and for each eye, we compared the amplitude, duration, peak velocity, and skewness mean values for the myotonic and control groups; we used analysis of variance (ANOVA) (group variable: diagnosis, two levels: MyD and control). Although target displacement was constant throughout each paradigm, the duration, the peak velocity, and the skewness are related to and, for this reason, were divided by the corresponding actual eye displacement (amplitude) values.

Disconjugate parameters
For each subject, for each eye, for each paradigm, and for each saccade direction, we considered the disconjugate amplitude, duration, peak velocity, and skewness computed as the difference between the corresponding values of the eyes divided by the mean of these two values.

The disconjugate parameter mean values were compared by ANOVA (group variable: diagnosis, three levels: MyD, MS, and control).

Myotonic parameters
The evaluation of myotonic parameters was based on the hypothesis that short but not long ISIs would promote the warm up phenomenon.

Accordingly, for each subject and for each eye parameter, we computed the difference between short and long ISIs for corresponding parameters divided by the average of the two values. We considered both saccade directions for the large amplitude paradigms, and centripetal saccade directions alone for the small amplitude paradigms. Finally, as the myotonic phenomenon is likely to involve the extraocular muscles on an individual basis, for each subject we considered the largest (as an absolute value) ISI difference with its sign among the four available (two eyes and two saccade directions) for each small and large amplitude paradigm. The myotonic, MS, and control group mean values for maximal ISI difference were compared by ANOVA (group variable: diagnosis, three levels: MyD, MS, and control) and, when ANOVA showed a statistically significant difference between the means of the three groups, we also performed contrast analysis. This procedure enabled separate comparison of mean values of myotonic parameters with those collected for the other two groups. Accordingly, we performed two contrasts: contrast 1 compared the MyD with the MS group whereas contrast 2 compared the MyD with the control group.

We also evaluated the patients with MyD and those with MS on an individual basis and to do this, we defined a normal range for maximal ISI difference as the control mean values ± 2 SD. Then we compared the occurrence of abnormal values in the two groups by Fisher’s exact test.

The SPSS/PC+ program was used for all the analyses and the significance level was set at p=0.05.

RESULTS

Monocular parameters
Saccade duration and peak velocity, but not saccade amplitude and skewness mean values, were significantly different for all target amplitudes, ISIs, and saccade directions in both eyes. Duration was invariably longer, and peak velocity lower in the MyD than in the control group.

Disconjugate parameters
None of the mean values in disconjugate parameters in the MyD group differed from those both in the MS group (despite two patients presenting with subclinical internuclear ophthalmoplegia) and in the control group. This held true for all target amplitudes and directions, and for both short and long ISIs. The next section will report the results of additional analyses on disconjugate ocular motor phenomena possibly induced by the occurrence of the myotonic phenomenon.

Myotonic parameters
Table 1 reports the mean values for the myotonic parameters. The maximal difference in short—long ISI mean values for saccade duration were invariably negative—that is, durations were greater for long than for short ISIs. The mean values for the three groups were significantly different both for small (F2,37=3.33, p=0.047) and for large (F2,37=7.32, p=0.002) amplitudes, and contrast analysis showed that the MyD mean value was larger than those of the MS group (contrast 1: t37=2.13, p=0.04 for small saccades; t37=2.21, p=0.034 for large saccades) and of the control group (contrast 2: t37=2.1, p=0.045 for small saccades; t37=3.68, p=0.001 for large saccades) for both target displacements.

The maximal mean values of the short—long ISI difference for saccade skewness were negative. In other words acceleration duration was greater than the deceleration duration for long ISIs than it was for short ISIs in the MyD group, this was the case both for small and for large target displacements, whereas in the MS group, it was the case for large but not for small saccades; moreover the skewness maximal differences were positive in the control group. The mean values for the three groups differed significantly for small (F2,37=6.94, p=0.003) but not for large (F2,37=2.59, p=0.089) amplitudes. For small target displacements, contrast analysis
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showed that the MyD mean value was higher than those of the MS group (contrast 1: \( t_{37}=3.19 \) and \( p=0.03 \)) and of the control group (contrast 2: \( t_{37}=2.88 \) and \( p=0.007 \)).

The maximal mean values for the short–long ISI difference for saccade peak velocity were invariably positive—that is, peak velocities were usually higher for short than for long ISIs. The mean values for the three groups did not differ significantly either for small (\( F_{2,37}=0.68, p=0.513 \)) or for large (\( F_{2,37}=2.45, p=0.1 \)) amplitudes.

For each subject, we then considered the disconjugate (the difference between the right and the left eye values divided by the mean of these two values) short–long ISI and the disconjugate long ISI values (either with their signs and as absolute values) corresponding to the maximal short–long ISI difference that identified the myotonic parameters. The mean values for all these disconjugate parameters (duration, peak velocity, and skewness) proved to be no larger in the MyD group than in either the MS or the control groups. Table 2 reports the means (SEM) of the disconjugate long ISI values considered with their signs.

Patients with MyD showed a greater occurrence of abnormal duration (both for small and large amplitudes) and of abnormal skewness (for small amplitudes only), but not of abnormal peak velocity (figs 1 and 2).

Finally, we split the patients with MyD into different subgroups on the basis of MDRS score, and used ANOVA to evaluate whether MDRS score significantly affects any of the myotonic parameters. We found no significant effect. Moreover, we found no correlation between a possible ocular motor myotonia + warm up phenomena and a clinical warm up phenomenon in the skeletal muscles (table 3).
DISCUSSION
The abnormalities in ocular movement detectable in our group of patients with MyD are in keeping with those described in previous reports. More specifically, the abnormalities consist of a reduction in saccade peak velocity and an increase in saccade duration.

The main new findings concern the lack of disconjugate (different in the two eyes) abnormalities and the modifications induced on saccade duration and skewness of velocity profile by increasing the interstimulus interval. This finding is explained by the occurrence both of the myotonic and of the warm up phenomenon.

Patients with MyD showed normal eye yoking, as their disconjugate parameters did not significantly differ from those obtained in the control group. None of the patients with MyD presented with clinically evident ocular misalignment or complained of diplopia; nevertheless a subclinical abnormal eye yoking could still be expected as the consequence either of ocular muscle dysfunction (whether myotonic or myopathic) or of CNS impairment.

Within the CNS, cerebellar dysfunction may affect eye yoking. However, despite the occurrence of CTG expansions in cerebellar tissue, patients with MyD do not usually show preeminent clinical and neuroradiological cerebellar involvement, and the saccade abnormalities usually described in patients with MyD are not those attributable to cerebellar dysfunction. Accordingly, our finding that saccades are normally yoked in
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>MDRS</th>
<th>Warm up</th>
<th>DS</th>
<th>DL</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>SL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3*</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10†</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11†</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18†</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19†</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, †, ‡ Patients deriving from the same family.

MDRS, Muscular disability rating scale developed by Mathieu et al. MDRS, ordinal scale of clinical muscular impairment ranging from 1 (no impairment) to 5 (severe proximal weakness, confined to wheelchair), yes or no, whether the patient’s value exceeds the normal limits computed in the control group. DS and DL, respectively the duration values for small and large target displacements; SS and SL, respectively the skewness values for small and large target displacements.
MyD argues against both cerebellar impairment (as might be expected) and ocular muscle dysfunction.

The last point concerns the detection of an ocular motor myotonic phenomenon. We hypothesised that if it co-occurred with the warm up phenomenon, saccade pacing would presumably modify saccade parameters. Moreover, the two phenomena possibly occurred in a single rather than in all ocular motor muscles, in which case the given saccade modifications could be expected to vary between the different subjects in an eye and direction dependent way. Accordingly, for each subject we considered the largest (as absolute value) ISI difference with its sign between the values available from the two eyes and from the different saccade directions.

Our findings showed that saccade duration and skewness were influenced by the interstimulus interval. In the patients with MyD, prolongation of the stimulus interval led to an increase in saccade duration, without significant modification in saccade peak velocity, and to a reduction in saccade skewness. The reduction derived from an increase in the acceleration duration with respect to the deceleration duration as shown in figure 3. The figure refers to the patient with the largest myotonic skewness, and in this patient the second half of the acceleration period seems to be more prolonged than the first half. However, this asymmetric behaviour was detectable, but less evident, in only three other patients.

These modifications were more significant for small than for large target displacements, and were larger, and occurred more often, in the MyD than in the control or the MS groups.

Moreover, many patients with MyD (15/20 for small target displacements) showed both the maximal increase in duration and the maximal decrease in skewness as occurring in the same eye and in the same saccade direction.

Taken together, these findings may be presumed to derive from a delay in decontracting an extraocular muscle after fixation, in order to make a saccade in the off direction for that muscle (for instance, a delay in decontracting the right lateral rectus to make a leftward saccade starting from a rightward orbital position).

Moreover, the fact that the delay here described occurs more often in the MyD than in the MS group suggests that this phenomenon likely derives from ocular muscle rather than from CNS dysfunction; we hypothesise that the delay may be considered an ocular motor myotonic phenomenon, although we cannot exclude a myopathic origin.

The phenomenon is both slight, as it is not able to affect saccade peak velocity and saccade yoking, and short lasting, as it is less evident for large amplitude and long duration saccades. However, it may provide some insight into the nature of the disorder affecting extracocular and skeletal muscles in myotonic dystrophy.
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