New psychotropic drugs

Relevance of new psychotropic drugs for the neurologist
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Clinicians can now select psychotropic agents from a wide choice

The discovery of neuroleptic and antidepressant drugs about 50 years ago marked a breakthrough in pharmacological therapy. It has revolutionised the treatment of many neuropsychiatric conditions. The efficacy of the early and then standard agents in alleviating depressive and psychotic symptoms and preventing their recurrences has been established in numerous trials, but other factors limit their utility. These include the facts that not all patients respond to treatment, and that they tend to have an unacceptable incidence of side effects, many of which are neurological in nature—such as parkinsonism, dystonia, tardive dyskinesia, and seizures.

In an effort to match improved therapeutic efficiency with a better side effect profile, various new antidepressant and antipsychotic drugs have recently been developed. These are becoming widely used and their introduction may have important consequences for neurological practice.

ANTIPSYCHOTIC DRUGS

According to the dopamine hypothesis for schizophrenia, limbic D2 receptor blockade is essential for a drug to have antipsychotic activity.1 Classic neuroleptic drugs, such as haloperidol, antagonise dopamine D2 receptors and their clinical efficacy correlates with inhibitory activity at these receptor subtypes. Haloperidol leads to parkinsonism in 15%-45% of treated schizophrenic patients.2

The development of clozapine with properties differing from traditional neuroleptic agents has heralded the era of “atypical” antipsychotic drugs which are claimed to have improved tolerability and effectiveness compared with conventional neuroleptic drugs. The effectiveness of clozapine in treating patients with schizophrenia refractory to other medications,3 coupled with a low incidence of extrapyramidal side effects, has been attributed to a unique receptor profile and marked a major advance in psychopharmacotherapy. However, therapy with clozapine is limited by its potential for serious adverse effects, in particular the induction of agranulocytosis in 1%-2% of patients and it is therefore considered a second line therapy. Patients treated with clozapine initially need weekly blood count screening, which is reduced to fortnightly after 18 weeks and then to monthly after 1 year of satisfactory blood results. All patients on clozapine have to be registered with the Clozaril Patient Monitoring Service.4 Other disadvantages of clozapine include its propensity to cause worsening confusion due to anticholinergic properties,1 and its potential to induce seizures.5 Seizures are seen with clozapine in up to 5% of patients with doses of 600 mg or more, but even on lower doses EEG changes may be noted. Generalised tonic-clonic and myoclonic seizures are the most frequent reported.

The new generation of antipsychotic drugs includes those that have a similar receptor profile as clozapine, such as olanzapine and quetiapine, and others, such as risperidone.

The term “atypical” relates to their low propensity to cause extrapyramidal side effects, and they have minimal effects on serum prolactin concentrations.6 The mechanism of this “atypicality” seems to relate to different receptor profiles—that is, broader receptor profiles or more selective dopamine receptor binding. Several mechanisms have been proposed.

Some atypical antipsychotic drugs occupy lower levels of D2 receptors (for example, 20%-50% for clozapine) than the classical antipsychotic drugs, which occupy between 80% and 90%.7 This effect is dose dependent and it has been proposed that it may be partly due to rapid displacement of these agents from the receptors by endogenous dopamine— that is, they are more loosely bound.8 The D2 receptor occupancy of olanzapine and risperidone is similar to traditional neuroleptic drugs at clinically used doses.

Newer antipsychotic agents generally have a lower affinity for striatal D2 receptors and some preferentially bind to limbic rather than striatal D2 receptors (for example, clozapine, amisulpride).9,10 A possible explanation for this phenomenon would be that the higher output of endogenous dopamine in the striatum displaces more D2 bound drug, compared with low output in the limbic cortex.11 Of all the newer drugs, clozapine is the only one that seems not to bind to the core of the nucleus accumbens.

Other relevant mechanisms might include a high affinity for muscarinic M1 receptors of some agents (for example, clozapine, olanzapine), that make them potent anticholinergic drugs. It has been argued that the simultaneous blockade of D2 and M1 receptors by these drugs may be much more effective in preventing parkinsonism than non-simultaneous blockade.11

Several atypical antipsychotic drugs also have a higher affinity for cortical serotonin (5-HT) receptors rather than striatal D2 receptors. The blockade of 5-HT receptors could explain the lack of parkinsonian side effects, as neuroleptic induced catalepsy can be reduced by serotonin antagonists such as mianserin or cyproheptadine or by lesions of serotonin nuclei. Reduced serotonin moderates the reduction in dopaminergic function, resulting from blockade of D2 receptors.12 It seems, however, that an at least 10-fold greater affinity for 5-HT than for D2 receptors is required to achieve this effect (as with clozapine or risperidone).7

A strong affinity to the dopamine D4 receptor has been proposed to be relevant in the atypical action of some new antipsychotic drugs, in particular clozapine. The therapeutic dose of clozapine correlates well with its dissociation constant at D4, and clozapine has a higher affinity for D4 rather than D2. The D4 receptor belongs to the D2 receptor family and it has been suggested that it may be a relevant receptor for mediating antipsychotic action.13 D4 receptors seem to be restricted to the limbic region, which could account for the reduced likelihood of clozapine to cause extrapyramidal side effects.14

Many mixed atypical compounds act on various other receptors—for example, histamine, sigma, or adrenergic receptors. In addition they influence GABA and neuropeptides including neurotensin, metencephalin, and cholecystokinin. Even though several hypotheses for a potentially important role of these actions have been proposed, the relevance in terms of therapeutic and adverse effects of treatment is not known.

Despite their common features, each of the atypical antipsychotic drugs has a different relative affinity for the various receptors, which accounts for their individual properties. Table 1 summarises the receptor profiles of haloperidol and various atypical antipsychotic agents.

Table 2 shows results of animal studies, which have measured the potential of different antipsychotic drugs to generate catalepsy and their effect on conditioned avoidance, which is sensitive for
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Table 1  Receptor binding profiles of antipsychotic drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D4</th>
<th>α1</th>
<th>α2</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>5-HT2a</th>
<th>5-HT2c</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haloperidol</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clozapine</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olanzapine</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quetiapine</td>
<td>.455</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Information from premarketing trials and product monograph.

Table 2  Effect of antipsychotic drugs in behavioural models of extrapyramidal syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Effect on conditioned avoidance in rats (ED50 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Catalepsy scores in rats (ED50 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haloperidol</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clozapine</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>&gt;8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olanzapine</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quetiapine</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>(80 mg quetiapine produces 37.9 s catalepsy compared with 36.5 s for 4 mg haloperidol and 9.5 s for 80 mg clozapine)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproduced from Kerwin and Taylor 1996.
ANTIDEPRESSANT DRUGS
The development of antidepressant drugs represents another important advance in psychopharmacology. The lifetime rates for major depression are between 3% and 19%—a number that is probably higher for patients with neurological disease (for example, 25%-60% in patients with medically intractable epilepsy). The original monoamine hypotheses suggested that depression is due to deficiency of one or another of three monoamines—namely serotonin, noradrenaline, and/or dopamine, and it has stood the test of time.

The almost unsurpassed efficacy of tricyclic antidepressant drugs (TCAs) is probably the result of their non-selective interaction with these monoaminergic neurotransmitters. However, their action on these and other transmitter systems (for example, cholinergic, histaminergic) produces a wide range of clinically relevant side effects, including cardiotoxicity and the occurrence of spontaneous seizures. This is relevant for any patient in whom the seizure threshold may be reduced. The exact neurotransmitter mechanisms underlying the proconvulsant effect are unclear.

Early studies reported seizures in 3% to 4% of patients receiving TCAs, however many of these patients had predisposing factors. More recent studies reported rates of less than 1% for patients with no risk factors on therapeutic doses. The risk of seizures with TCAs is dose related and rises with increased plasma concentrations. Reviews of patients who have taken overdoses of TCAs report seizures in 3%-8%.

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), which were introduced in the late 1980s are chemically unrelated to tricyclics and tetracyclics and have a more selective effect on the reuptake of serotonin. The currently available preparations are citalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine, and sertraline. Citalopram is the most selective of the SSRIs, and it inhibits serotonin reuptake 3000 times more than noradrenaline (norepinephrine) reuptake and 22 000 times more than dopamine. The SSRIs are now the most widely prescribed antidepressants. They are better tolerated, safer in overdose, and have a lower seizure risk compared with tricyclic drugs. However, there are some case reports of patients without predisposing factors, who have had seizures on therapeutic doses of SSRIs. Additional side effects include anxiety, sleep disturbance, sexual dysfunction, and gastrointestinal disturbance, which have been attributed to the action of increased serotonin concentrations on the 5-H-2 and 5-HT3 receptor subtypes. The SSRIs can also provoke extrapyramidal disorders including akathisia and dystonias.

The latest generation of antidepressant drugs has been developed to derive their therapeutic benefits from tailor-made action on specific receptors, as a basis for efficacy with better tolerability.

Reboxetine is a highly selective noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor (NARI) with a low affinity to histamine, cholinergic, dopaminergic, and 51-adrenergic receptors. It has minimal interaction with the serotinergic system, which mediates side effects such as nausea or sexual dysfunction. Reboxetine has been shown to be as equally effective as imipramine and more effective than fluoxetine in treating severe depression, but is better tolerated compared with first generation antidepressant drugs.

Venlafaxine is a serotonin-noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor (SNRI), similar to first generation antidepressant drugs. It does not, however, interact with adrenergic, histaminergic, or cholinergic receptors, avoiding the side effects associated with activity on these receptor systems. Several studies have indicated at least equal and occasionally superior effectiveness of venlafaxine compared

### Table 3 Side effects associated with action on different receptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor system</th>
<th>Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blockade of α1 adrenergic receptors</td>
<td>Postural hypotension, reflex tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation of serotonin 5-HT1 receptors</td>
<td>Antidepressant, anxiolytic effect, hypophagia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation of serotonin 5-HT2 receptors</td>
<td>Insomnia, sexual dysfunction, agitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockade of 5-HT3 receptors</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockade of M1 muscarine receptors</td>
<td>Dry mouth, confusion, tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blockade of H1 histamine receptors</td>
<td>Urinary retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sedation, weight gain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4 Receptor profile and epileptogenic potential of antidepressant drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Action on receptor :</th>
<th>Seizures/epilepsy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>M1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipramine</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In overdose36,37,38,39</td>
<td>3.8–8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no seizures in 15 patients with maximum dose 850 mg</td>
<td>Rare reports of seizures secondary to SIADH41,42.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in overdose40,41,42</td>
<td>&lt;0.1%43,44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In overdose: 100–190 g: 18% seizures</td>
<td>19 g: 49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In overdose: seizures in dosages over 1000 mg</td>
<td>Seizures in patients with maximum dose 850 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sertraline</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citalopram</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reboxetine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venlafaxine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nefazodone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirtazapine</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Information from premarketing trials and product monograph. O, no or negligible effect; +, stimulation; /–, blockade; H1, histamine, M1, muscarine; NA, noradrenaline; 5-HT1, 5-HT2, 5-HT3, serotonin receptors.
with other antidepressant drugs (imipramine, fluoxetine). Nefazodone is a serotonin antagonist/reuptake inhibitor (SARI), the most potent action of which is blockade of 5-HT2 postsynaptic receptors leading to a dual mechanism of action on the serotonergic system. Noradrenaline reuptake inhibition is only minimal and there is no interaction with histamine and cholinergic receptors. Nefazodone has a good side effect profile with low rates of sexual dysfunction; it lacks cardiac toxicity in overdose, and seems minimally proconvulsant.

Mirtazapine is a noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant (NaSSA). It increases noradrenergic and serotonergic transmission by blockade of central α2- auto and heteroreceptors. In addition it blocks 5-HT2 and 5-HT3 receptors so that the increased serotonin only stimulates 5-HT1 receptors. Mirtazapine is free of muscarinic and α1-adrenergic side effects but acts on histamine receptors causing sedation and increased appetite. Mirtazapine seems safe in overdose and has a low potential to cause seizures. Several studies have shown equal or superior efficacy of mirtazapine compared with amitryptiline or trazodone.

Table 3 summarises potential side effects associated with antidepressant action on different receptor systems. Table 4 summarises receptor profiles and effects associated with antidepressant drugs in patients with epilepsy.

Table 4 summarises receptor profiles and effects associated with antidepressant drugs in patients with epilepsy.

The past decade has witnessed the evolution of a new generation of psychotropic drugs, and clinicians can now select agents from a wide array of choices. Many of these new drugs seem to have advantages over conventional drugs in terms of efficacy and neurotoxicity and other side effects. Early results of usage of these drugs in patients with neurological disease—for example, Parkinson’s disease or epilepsy—are encouraging. However, further studies are needed to confirm these benefits.
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vCJD

Variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease

R G Will

How new is new?

If variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (vCJD) is caused by bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) it must be a new disease, as human exposure to the BSE agent is unlikely to have happened much before 1983. The study by Hillier and coworkers (this issue, pp 304–309) adds significantly to the evidence supporting the hypothesis that vCJD really is a new disease, with the caveat that the study unavoidably had incomplete follow up data. No previously unrecognised cases of vCJD were found in a systematic retrospective study in Wales, which included review and re-staining of available neuropathological tissue. A similar study based on death certificates in England and Wales between 1979 and 1996 also failed to identify missed cases of vCJD and no case with a similar neuropathological phenotype to vCJD has been identified in any country despite extensive review of archival neuropathology tissues. Although there are still doubts, informed opinion supports the view that vCJD really is a new disease.

It is important to recognise that the availability of stored brain samples was critical to the identification of vCJD and to the study of Hillier and coworkers. Defending medical activities deemed by some to be ethically controversial is essential both for research and informed clinical practice.

Although the clinical features of the first three cases of vCJD were judged to be “well within the recognised diagnostic spectrum for CJD”, the neurological binding profile of antidepressants and their metabolites. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1997;283:1305–22.
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Dystonia in multiple system atrophy

D E Riley

Dystonia is often encountered in untreated MSA

In this issue (pp 300–303) Boesch et al report on their experience with dystonia in multiple system atrophy (MSA). They correctly point out the relative neglect of dystonia in previous clinical descriptions of patients with MSA. In 1986, Adams declared that dystonia was “not part of the clinical tableau” of striatonigral degeneration, a quarter of a century after he described the disease. (Striatonigral degeneration corresponds to the MSA-P designation, carried by the bulk of the patients of Boesch et al, of current diagnostic classifications of MSA). Even when discussion focused on the motor problems caused by MSA, dystonia was not mentioned. These influential writings have led us to discount the likelihood of MSA causing a combination of dystonia and parkinsonism in favour of other diagnoses, such as Parkinson’s disease or corticobasal degeneration.

Much as in Parkinson’s disease, dystonia may be a direct manifestation of MSA or the result of treatment with dopaminergic agents. The highest previously recorded prevalence of dystonia in MSA was 12%. In the current report, dystonia was documented in 46% of untreated patients with MSA. Antecollis accounted for most of the dystonia encountered in these patients, with focal limb dystonias comprising the rest. Of note, disease related focal limb dystonia improved on levodopa in five of five patients. Over 80% of patients with a predominantly parkinsonian presentation (MSA-P) enjoyed a moderate to excellent response to levodopa initially, although this was unsustainable in most. Almost half of those responsive to levodopa developed drug induced cranial-cervical dystonia. Their facial predilection and their dystonic character, regardless of distribution, seem to distinguish the drug induced dyskinesias of MSA from those seen in Parkinson’s disease.

Boesch et al do not comment on the discrepancy between the high prevalence of dystonia in their series and the low occurrence rates in prior studies. Undoubtedly the observational meticulousness of the authors of this prospective study played a major role. It is also likely that focal dystonia is overshadowed in most patients by parkinsonism, cerebellar deficits, or corticospinal tract impairment. Nevertheless, Boesch et al have made an important contribution by determining that, if actively sought, dystonia may be one of the most common clinical features of MSA. The authors do note that some doubt was cast on the dystonic nature of antecollis in MSA in a recent report attributing the forward flexion of the neck to myopathy in extensor muscles.

The report of Boesch et al helps flesh out our knowledge regarding the clinical repertoire of MSA. It also extends previous descriptions of the response of patients with MSA to levodopa. It is a welcome addition to our expanding body of knowledge on the clinical differential diagnosis of parkinsonism.

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2002;72:286
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