Peripheral facial palsy and dysfunction of the oropharynx
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PATIENTS AND METHODS

We investigated 44 patients with PFP (23 men, 21 women, mean age 35.7, age range 16–67). The patients were divided into two groups; the first (acute phase) group comprised the patients in the first 15 days after the onset of PFP (n=30). The second (chronic phase) group (n=14) comprised patients investigated later than 3 months after the onset of PFP. In the acute PFP group clinical examination, EMG, and deglutition studies were performed between 3 and 15 days of onset of the disease. Thirteen of the 30 patients with acute PFP were followed up until complete or partial clinical recovery. A control group comprised 20 healthy subjects (six men, 14 women, mean age 34.8, age range 19–66 years) in whom the same clinical and electrophysiological methods were applied.

The diagnosis of PFP was supported by electrodagnostic studies including facial nerve stimulation and needle EMG applied to facial muscles. Apart from a standard neurological examination, the oral and pharyngeal structures were particularly examined and functionally evaluated. The examination included saliva accumulation in the mouth, the change of voice after drinking water, head and neck posture during swallowing, any abnormality in laryngeal elevation, the quality of voluntary cough, the position of the uvulae at rest and during phonation, palatopharyngeal reflexes, tongue movements, and control of the bolus. The tactile sensation of the intraoral cavity and anterior part of the tongue was carefully tested by probing with a spatula. The perioral muscles of the facial nerve and their functions were examined by various clinical manoeuvres. Any drooling from the paretic side was noted while the mouth was full of water. Taste function was assessed by specifically asking the patient.

Electrophysiological methods

Orbicularis oris EMG

The orbicularis oris EMG was recorded by concentric needle electrodes (Medelec, disposable needle electrode DMC-37; diameter 0.46 mm, recording area 0.07). The motor unit potentials, recruitment and spontaneous denervation activity, and evoked M responses were measured (Medelec Mystro MS-20 or Medelec Synergy).

According to the EMG pattern of the orbicularis oris muscle, the degree of PFP was classified as follows: (1) Interference pattern with some neurogenic motor unit changes; (2) mixed pattern; (3) single oscillation; (4) no voluntary EMG activity

Sensory and motor conduction

Sensory and motor conduction studies in the median, ulnar, and accessory nerves were performed in all patients and control subjects.

Dysphagia limit

The dysphagia limit technique has been developed to objectively diagnose neurogenic dysphagia. All subjects were given 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20 ml water. Any multiple swallowing at or below 20 ml water was taken as the “dysphagia limit” and was regarded as a sign of dysphagia. The dysphagia limit procedure was repeated for three sides of the oral cavity. Firstly, the patient tried to collect the water on the midline of mouth and swallowed, this test was repeated for three sides of the oral cavity. A descriptive analysis method, Mann-Whitney U test, and t test (independent and paired) were used as statistical analysis methods of the study.

RESULTS

There were some clinical abnormalities indicating a “silent” swallowing disorder in patients with PFP. The main complaint
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Difficulty in controlling a bolus in the mouth in 79% (25/30 in acute group, 10/14 in chronic group) and a decreased ability to taste in 39% (14/30 in acute group, 2/14 in chronic group). The most interesting finding was the decrease of tactile sensation on the mucosae of the cheek and tongue on the paretic side in 43% of patients. In the acute patient group, the intraoral hypaesthesia was combined with hypogusia or agraphia on the same side in 71% of the patients.

The dysphagia limit of the patient group was found at a level of 20 ml water or below, and was significantly lower than in normal healthy subjects (p<0.05). Swallowing from the paretic side produced double or multiple swallowing with 20 ml or less of water. After recovery from the PFP the dysphagia limit returned to the normal value (fig 1). In the acute phase of PFP the dysphagia limit was abnormal not only on the paretic side but also swallowing from the midline or non-paretic side was recorded as repetitive swallows within 8 seconds. The dysphagia limit was abnormal in 55% of all patients from the paretic side, in 44% from the midline, and 28% from the non-paretic side of swallowing. The abnormalities in dysphagia limit were more apparent from the paretic side of swallowing (fig 2). Severe motor unit loss in the orbicularis oris muscle was associated with the pathological dysphagia limits in 57% of patients, although this was not statistically significant. There was no correlation between deglutition limit and sensory loss in the mouth (p>0.05).

All nerve conduction studies, including median, ulnar, and accessory nerves were normal.

**DISCUSSION**

Difficulty in controlling a bolus in the mouth was found in 79% of all patients and a dysphagia limit was encountered in 55% of them. Their recovery coincident with clinical improvement indicates that there is a benign swallowing disorder in PFP and this disorder was related to the oral phase of swallowing.

Why does the swallowing disorder occur? The answer may be sought in motor, sensory, taste, and parasympathetic innervations related to deglutition.

Two suprahyoid muscles (posterior digastric and stylohyoid muscles) situated behind the mandible are innervated by the facial nerve. They are probably inactive during swallowing although the contrary view has also been proposed. Orbicularis oris and buccinator muscles seem to be very important for the oral phase of swallowing. These muscles firmly close the mouth to prevent food escaping, flatten the cheeks, and hold the food in contact with the teeth. Their contraction and muscle tone acts as a valve mechanism. In PFP, the paretic orbicularis oris and buccinator muscles cannot prevent the accumulation of food in the anterior and posterior sulci. As a result, food, especially liquids, drools from the paretic side to the outside. Liquids can also accumulate in the posterior sulcus between the cheek and teeth. This material can remain and later on the remaining liquid material can slide down into pharyngeal cavity and second swallowing occurs. This may be one of the explanations of the abnormalities of the dysphagia limit in our patients. Because the sensory-motor integration of

---

**Figure 1** (A) Patient with acute PFP on the 4th day showed an abnormal dysphagia limit of 5 ml from paretic left sided swallowing (arrows denote second swallow). (B) Two months later, during left sided swallowing the patient’s dysphagia limit was in the normal range and coincided with clinical recovery (in both A and B first lines represent laryngeal sensor recordings and second lines represent submental EMG recordings (SM-EMG). rectified and integrated EMG were used in the first record).

**Figure 2** Bar histograms of dysphagia limits of patients with acute or chronic PFP from paretic sides, non-paretic sides, and from the midline. Number of patients is shown on the y axis.
Pharyngeal swallowing is represented bilaterally in the larynx and the cough reflexes remain normal in unilateral PFP, penetration into the airway would be rare and aspiration would not be encountered. In normal deglutition and in its disorders, the clinical effect of taste sensation has never been clearly understood although a change in bolus taste has been shown to affect swallowing physiology. We could not find any relation between hypogusia and the incidence of swallowing disorders in PFP.

The submandibular and sublingual glands are innervated by the parasympathetic fibres of the facial nerve and a reduction in salivary secretion may have a dramatic effect on swallowing. However, in a unilateral facial palsy, salivary glands and parotid glands on the other side may easily compensate for this defect.

Our method of investigating the sensory loss in the face and mouth was subjective and gross but the sensory loss was clearly described by our patients. We speculate that this sensory loss is due to a cranial neuropathy or trigeminal afferent involvement. These sensory findings did not correlate with the dysphagia limit abnormalities in our patients. Furthermore, the accessory, median, and ulnar nerve conduction study results were normal as was the standard neurological examination.

Why are the swallowing abnormalities more spread in the oral cavity and even bilateral in some patients? The answer may be the physiological bilaterality of swallowing function. Pharyngeal swallowing is represented bilaterally in the cortex and in the bulbar centres. In acute unilateral facial palsy, the abnormalities of the paretic side cannot be compensated for within the first few days or weeks and the non-paretic side can also be affected by the paresis of the opposite side. The asymmetry of motor function can also affect the other side negatively. All swallowing disorders in the oral phase improved together with the clinical recovery.

Our findings are not only of academic interest but may be of help in clinical practice. Especially, elderly patients and patients with some systemic diseases such as diabetes or pulmonary disorders with weak cough reflexes who develop unilateral facial palsy can be at risk from dysphagia.
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