Significance of white matter high intensity lesions as a predictor of stroke from arteriolosclerosis

H Yamauchi, H Fukuda, C Oyanagi

Objectives: To determine whether the extent of white matter high intensity lesions (WML) on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an independent predictor of risk for stroke from arteriolosclerosis, and whether serial evaluation of WML can be used to identify patients who are at risk of strokes.

Methods: Prospective follow up with serial MRI scans was done in 89 patients who were either diagnosed as having symptomatic lacunar infarcts or were stroke-free, neurologically normal individuals with headache or dizziness. None had significant stenosis of major cerebral arteries or atrial fibrillation. Multivariable analysis with the Cox proportional hazards model was used to test the predictive value for subsequent stroke of risk factor status at entry and during follow up, lacunar infarction, and the extent of WML (scored from 0 to 16) on the baseline scans.

Results: During follow up (mean (SD), 51 (19) months), seven strokes occurred (five lacunar infarcts and two haemorrhages): four in nine patients with severe WML (score 9–16), and three in 40 patients with mild WML (score 1–8) (log-rank test; p < 0.005). None of 40 patients without WML experienced stroke. The extent of WML was an independent predictor of subsequent stroke (relative risk for a 1 point score increase, 1.60; 95% confidence interval, 1.02 to 2.54; p < 0.05). In three strokes among 80 patients without severe WML, two occurred in four patients with an increase in WML score during follow up, and one occurred in the other 76 patients without an increased score (p < 0.0001).

Conclusions: Severe WML at baseline is an independent predictor of risk for stroke from arteriolosclerosis, while progression of WML during follow up may be associated with subsequent stroke in patients with initially mild WML.
METHODS

Patients

We studied 89 consecutive outpatients aged 43 to 88 years (mean (SD) age, 66 (9) years), who were either diagnosed as having symptomatic lacunar infarcts or were stroke-free, neurologically normal individuals with headache or dizziness. There were 38 men and 51 women. All subjects were selected prospectively from patients with neurological symptoms who visited the outpatient department of neurology at Saiseikai Noe Hospital on Thursdays between April 1993 and March 1998, and underwent MRI to diagnose or rule out central nervous system diseases.

Inclusion criteria were as follows:
- patients with a history of lacunar stroke, a clinical presentation consistent with one of the lacunar syndromes described by Fisher, and MRI evidence of lacunar infarcts that appeared to be responsible for their symptoms;
- patients who underwent MRI because of headache or dizziness and had normal neurological findings and no specific neurological diseases other than tension-type headache, irrespective of MRI evidence of infarcts or any degree of WML.

Exclusion criteria were as follows:
- cortical infarct on MRI;
- significant stenosis of the cervical or intracranial arteries on magnetic resonance angiography;
- complications of other neurological or psychiatric disorders, including alcohol abuse and depression;
- patients who did not need follow up because they had normal MRI findings, no symptoms after initial MRI examination, and no vascular risk factors.

In all, 144 patients satisfied the inclusion criteria. Among 43 patients with a history of lacunar stroke, two with stenosis of the middle cerebral artery were excluded. Among 101 stroke-free patients, two with depression were excluded. Fifty one patients were also excluded because they had normal MRI findings, no symptoms after initial MRI examination, and no vascular risk factors. None had cortical infarction on MRI or atrial fibrillation on ECG. In patients with ischaemic heart disease, none showed sources of embolism on echocardiography.

Forty two of the 89 patients had lacunar infarcts on MRI. A lacunar infarct was identified as an increased signal intensity on T2 weighted images, with clearly delineated decreased signal intensity on T1 weighted images. No patient had lesions with a diameter of more than 1.5 cm. Twenty one of these 42 patients had multiple lacunar infarcts, most of which were located in the basal ganglia and were not considered compatible with the clinical symptoms and signs. There were 41 patients with symptomatic lacunar stroke and one with an asymptomatic lacunar infarct in the putamen. These comprised 27 men and 15 women, aged 50 to 82 years (mean (SD), 68 (8) years). The other 47 patients without lacunar infarcts comprised 11 men and 36 women aged 43 to 88 years (mean 65 (10) years).

Patients were followed up at Saiseikai Noe Hospital. Treatment of risk factors and the use of drugs were left to individual clinical judgment. In principle, however, antiplatelet agents (aspirin or ticlopidine HCl) were given to patients with lacunar stroke if they did not have contraindication to this treatment or poor blood pressure control. Although the attending physicians were not blinded to the findings of the MRI studies, treatment did not differ markedly among the patients. All patients were examined at two month intervals or more often after the baseline MRI scans. At each visit, an intern history was obtained and a neurological examination was performed. In all patients, follow up MRI scans were repeated at intervals of 12 to 18 months.

The vascular risk factors evaluated were hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischaemic heart disease, hypercholesterolaemia, and smoking: information on these was obtained from clinical history and laboratory findings at baseline and during follow up. Hypertension at entry was judged to be present when there was a systolic blood pressure of more than 160 mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure of more than 95 mm Hg on repeated measurements, or when there was a history of treatment for hypertension. Blood pressure was measured at each visit. The mean values of blood pressure during follow up were judged as controlled (systolic pressure less than 140 mm Hg and diastolic pressure less than 90 mm Hg), mild hypertension (systolic pressure 140–159 mm Hg, or diastolic pressure 90–99 mm Hg), or moderate hypertension (systolic pressure more than 159 mm Hg or diastolic pressure more than 99 mm Hg). Blood pressure was measured at each visit. The mean values of blood pressure during follow up were judged as controlled (systolic pressure less than 140 mm Hg and diastolic pressure less than 90 mm Hg), mild hypertension (systolic pressure 140–159 mm Hg, or diastolic pressure 90–99 mm Hg), or moderate hypertension (systolic pressure more than 159 mm Hg or diastolic pressure more than 99 mm Hg). Blood pressure was measured at each visit. The mean values of blood pressure during follow up were judged as controlled (systolic pressure less than 140 mm Hg and diastolic pressure less than 90 mm Hg), mild hypertension (systolic pressure 140–159 mm Hg, or diastolic pressure 90–99 mm Hg), or moderate hypertension (systolic pressure more than 159 mm Hg or diastolic pressure more than 99 mm Hg).

Diabetes mellitus at entry was judged to be present when the fasting blood glucose concentration was more than 140 mg/dl or when there was a history of treatment for diabetes mellitus. The blood glucose level and glycated haemoglobin concentration (HbA1c value) were measured once a month in patients with diabetes mellitus and once a year or more often in those without. The blood glucose concentration during follow up was judged as controlled when the mean value of HbA1c was less than 7%.

Ischaemic heart disease at entry was judged to be present when there was a history of angina pectoris or myocardial infarction.

Hypercholesterolaemia at entry was judged to be present when the serum total cholesterol was more than 6.2 mmol/l (240 mg/dl) or when there was a history of treatment. The cholesterol level was measured at least once a year. The cholesterol level during follow up was judged as controlled when the mean value of serum total cholesterol was less than 5.7 mmol/l (220 mg/dl).

End points were defined as the occurrence of stroke or death. In patients with stroke, MRI or CT was undertaken and compared with the initial studies to confirm the occurrence of stroke.
intensity areas on proton weighted images and as normointense areas or poorly delineated hypointense areas on T1 weighted images. Punctate lesions were judged as present when the diameter was 2 mm or more. The severity of WML on T2 weighted images, including areas of infarct on T1 weighted images, was graded on a two point scale in each of the two regions on the three slices, according to their number and configuration. A score of 0 denoted no lesion or only a single lesion; a score of 1 denoted multiple focal lesions; a score of 2 denoted multiple confluent lesions scattered throughout the white matter. Total WML scores were calculated by summing the scores in each region in both hemispheres, with a maximum score of 16 and a minimum score of 0.

The grading of WML was done by one investigator who was blinded to the clinical status of the patients. To investigate the change in WML grade, all initial and follow up scans (before the occurrence of stroke, if any) were read side by side, and the sequence of the scans was known to the reader. We chose this method because we thought it would allow optimal evaluation, even though independent assessment of the scans might have been preferable from the point of view of avoiding bias.

Before the study, observer reliability for grading the severity of WML was evaluated from a blind re-review of 50 MRI scans without WML. Of the 89 patients, 49 had WML on the baseline images, 12 showed increase of WML, and 28 had no WML change during follow-up.

We compared the clinical background between patients with WML and those without using Student’s t test or the χ² test as appropriate. Stepwise regression analysis was used in all patients to test the independent predictive value of multiple variables at entry with respect to the WML score on the baseline scans. In this analysis the WML score was the dependent variable and the patient’s age, sex, the presence of other medical illness (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, or ischaemic heart disease), smoking status, and the presence of single or multiple lacunar infarcts as the independent variables. We also compared the incidence of subsequent stroke among patients with severe WML, mild WML, and no WML using the Mantel–Cox log-rank statistics and Kaplan–Meier survival curves.

Single variable or multivariable analysis with the Cox proportional hazards model was used to test the effect of multiple variables on the occurrence of stroke. The age, sex, presence of single lacunar infarct, presence of multiple lacunar infarcts, presence (at entry) or control status (during follow up) of other medical illness (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischaemic heart disease, hypercholesterolaemia), smoking status (current or past), and WML scores were considered covariates.

In patients without a severe degree of WML, stepwise regression analysis was used to test the independent predictive values of multiple variables with respect to the increase of WML score during follow up. The increased value of the WML score (before the occurrence of stroke, if any) was used as the dependent variable, and the age, sex, presence or control status of other medical illness, smoking status, presence of single or multiple lacunar infarcts, and WML scores at baseline were used as the independent variables.

In patients with an increase in WML score, the mean values of blood pressure, HbA1c, and total cholesterol during follow up until the detection of an increase in WML were also calculated and used for determining control status for this analysis. We also compared the incidence of subsequent stroke between patients with and without an increase in WML score using the Mantel–Cox log-rank statistics and Kaplan–Meier survival curves.

Significance was established at p < 0.05. Two tailed tests were used in all analyses. Statistical analyses were done using Statview for Macintosh (SAS Institute Inc, 1998).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics of patients with and without WML. Of the 89 patients, 49 had WML on the baseline

### Table 1 Characteristics of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>p Value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WML</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacunar infarct‡</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple infarction</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>68.4 (8.3)</td>
<td>63.4 (9.8)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>25/24</td>
<td>13/27</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up time (months)</td>
<td>51.1 (21.2)</td>
<td>51.9 (17.3)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medical illness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic heart disease</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking ever</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past smoking</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelet treatment</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD) or n. †Symptomatic or asymptomatic lacunar infarcts on MRI. ‡WML score >0.

### Table 2 Multiple linear regression analysis with the WML score as the dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>t Value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 Age [years]</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple lacunar infarcts [no=0, yes=1]</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus [no=0, yes=1]</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking [no=0, yes=1]</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WML, white matter high intensity lesions on T2 weighted MR images.
### Table 3 Patients developing strokes during follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Initial diagnosis</th>
<th>Location of infarct</th>
<th>WML score on MRI</th>
<th>Stroke during follow up</th>
<th>Interval after baseline MRI, months</th>
<th>Risk factor status</th>
<th>Antithrombotic agents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>63/M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>HT, current smoking</td>
<td>Lacunar stroke (R hemisphere)</td>
<td>Multiple lacunes in the L internal capsule, R corona radiata and bilateral putamen</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15 (one)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lacunar infarct, L corona radiata (dysarthria), R hemiparesis, gait disturbance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>68/M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, IHD, current smoking</td>
<td>Lacunar stroke (R hemisphere)</td>
<td>Multiple lacunes in the L internal capsule, R corona radiata and bilateral putamen</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11 (one)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lacunar infarct, R corona radiata and bilateral thalamus (dysarthria, gait disturbance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>78/F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>HT, DM</td>
<td>Lacunar stroke (L hemisphere)</td>
<td>R corona radiata</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 (one)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lacunar infarct, R corona radiata (L hemiparesis, dysarthria)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>79/F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>HT, DM</td>
<td>Lacunar stroke (L hemisphere)</td>
<td>Multiple lacunes in the L internal capsule and bilateral putamen</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lacunar infarct, R corona radiata (L hemiparesis, gait disturbance, incontinence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>81/M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>Lacunar stroke (L hemisphere)</td>
<td>Multiple lacunes in the R internal capsule, L corona radiata and bilateral putamen</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>R putaminal haemorrhage (L hemiparesis, consciousness disturbance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>58/M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Past smoking</td>
<td>Normal, tension headache</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>All 10 (five)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L thalamic haemorrhage (R hemiparesis, R limb ataxia, R hypesthesia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>63/F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Normal, dizziness</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lacunar infarct, L pons (dysarthria)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM, diabetes mellitus; F, female; HT, hypertension; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; L, left; M, male; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; R, right; WML, white matter high intensity lesions.

---

**Figure 1** Kaplan–Meier cumulative failure curves for strokes in patients with no, mild, and severe white matter high intensity lesions (WML). (A) Log-rank test: *p* < 0.0001; (B) *p* = 0.36 (adjusted *p* = 0.42; 0.5 > *p* > 0.01) for the group of patients with no WML. The WML score was significantly higher in patients with severe WML (score 9–16) than in patients with mild WML (score 1–8) (log-rank test, *p* < 0.005). The figure shows the distribution of age, lacunar infarct, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus in patients with mild, moderate, and severe WML, respectively. A score of 1 was found in two patients, 2 in 10, 3 in 13, 4 in 8, 5 in 6, 6 in one, 7 in five, 8 in 10, 9 in two, 11 in two, 12 in one, 14 in one, 15 in one, 16 in two. The distribution of age, lacunar infarct, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus was significantly different between patients with mild, moderate, or severe WML.
Extent of WML at baseline and subsequent stroke

During follow-up, seven strokes occurred (table 3), all in the 49 patients with WML. No stroke other than lacunar infarction or cerebral haemorrhage occurred in the 89 patients. Five strokes were lacunar infarctions, including four supratentorial and one brain stem infarct, and two were haemorrhages, including one putaminal and one thalamic haemorrhage. Three strokes occurred in patients with mild degrees of WML (WML scores 4, 5, and 8), and four in patients with severe WML (WML scores 10, 14, 15, and 16). Death occurred in one patient with WML and in three patients without ($\chi^2$ test, p = 0.47). The Kaplan–Meier cumulative failure curve is shown in fig 1. The incidence of stroke was significantly higher in the nine patients with severe WML than in the 40 patients with mild WML (log-rank test, p < 0.005).

In single variable analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model, the extent of WML and the presence of multiple lacunar infarcts at baseline scans and the presence of moderate hypertension, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, and smoking during follow up were significant predictors of subsequent stroke (table 4). None of the vascular risk factors at entry was a significant predictor. When seven variables with probability values of < 0.20 in univariate analysis were entered into the multivariate model along with sex, only the extent of WML at entry and the presence of uncontrolled diabetes mellitus during follow up were significant independent predictors of subsequent stroke; the relative risk for a 1 point increase in WML score was 1.60 (95% confidence interval, 1.02 to 2.54; p < 0.05) (table 4). When only the patients with lacunar infarcts were analysed, the extent of WML became the sole significant independent predictor of subsequent stroke.

Progression of WML during follow up and subsequent stroke

All but three patients with early occurrence of subsequent stroke underwent follow up MRI scans at least once. Asymptomatic progression of the WML (an increase in WML scores) was found on MRI before the occurrence of stroke in two patients with recurrent stroke; at the start of follow up, WML scores were 8 to 11 and 4 to 5, respectively (fig 2, table 3). No progression of WML occurred in the other four patients with

Table 4  Single variable and multivariable analyses of risk factors for the end point of subsequent stroke

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Single variable analysis</th>
<th>Multivariable analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WML score (point) at baseline scan</td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95%</td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>confidence interval)</td>
<td>confidence interval)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled diabetes mellitus (no=0, yes=1)</td>
<td>1.39 (1.18 to 1.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.98 to 1.17)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male=0, female=1)</td>
<td>20.15 (3.86 to 105.29)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hypertension (no=0, yes=1)</td>
<td>9.56 (2.13 to 42.81)</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking (no=0, yes=1)</td>
<td>0.81 (1.29 to 26.25)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelet agent (no=0, yes=1)</td>
<td>7.26 (0.87 to 60.45)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All variables with p<0.20 were listed in univariate analysis.

WML, white matter high intensity lesions on T2 weighted magnetic resonance images.
severe WML (scores of 10, 14, 15, and 16) or in one patient with subsequent brain stem infarction. Among patients without stroke during follow up, only two patients without a history of stroke also showed asymptomatic progression of WML; at the start of follow up, WML scores were 0 to 1 and 2 to 3, respectively, in these patients. In 79 patients with follow up MRI scans and no or mild WML, stepwise regression analysis showed that three variables during follow up (the presence of moderate hypertension, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, and smoking) accounted for a significant proportion of the variances of the increased value of WML score (adjusted $R^2 = 0.33; p < 0.0001$); these three variables were independently correlated with the increased value of WML score (table 5). The other variables, including WML scores at baseline, did not contribute significantly to the magnitude of the $R^2$ value. Regression of the WML (a decrease in WML scores) was not found in any patient.

The follow up time of all the 80 patients with no or mild WML was 52 (19) months. When one patient with early occurrence of subsequent stroke and no follow up MRI scans was categorised as a patient without an increase in WML score, the incidence of stroke in patients with an increase in WML score and in those without was two of four and one of 76 patients, respectively. The risk of stroke in patients with an increase in WML score was significantly greater than in those without (log-rank test, $p < 0.0001$).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study shows that after scrutinising the risk for atherothrombotic or embolic stroke, the extent of WML is a predictor of risk for subsequent stroke from arteriolosclerosis. Severe WML at baseline was an independent predictor of subsequent stroke (lacunar infarcts or haemorrhages) even after controlling for the risk factor status during follow up. Furthermore, progression of WML during follow up was associated with subsequent stroke in patients with initially mild WML. Serial evaluation of the extent of WML on MRI may be used to identify patients who are at risk of subsequent strokes.

Severe WML at baseline may be associated with a high risk of subsequent stroke through diffuse brain arteriolosclerosis—a risk factor for stroke that may be difficult to eliminate. Supporting this interpretation was the fact that all subsequent symptomatic strokes included lacunar infarcts and putaminal or thalamic haemorrhages, which are related to arteriolosclerosis. Correlative analysis of baseline data showed that age, the presence of hypertension, the presence of diabetes mellitus, and current smoking were independently correlated with the WML score, as found in previous studies.1–21 Hypertension and multiple lacunar infarcts (mostly in the basal ganglia) were interchangeable in the model, because the presence of multiple lacunar infarcts was associated with hypertension.22 In our patient sample, the extent of WML may reflect the total effect of these risk factors on brain arterioles at entry, leading to a closer association with subsequent strokes than the presence of any one of these risk factors at entry that were potentially modifiable during follow up.

In stroke patients with medical management, the degree of control of vascular risk factors, but not their presence, may be an important determinant of stroke. Thus the strength and independence of the predictive value of WML for stroke may become clearer when risk factor status during follow up is controlled for using multivariable analysis. In our sample, the presence of moderate hypertension, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, and smoking during follow up were associated with subsequent stroke in single variable analysis. For the prevention of stroke, these risk factors should have been more strictly controlled. However, the association between the extent of WML and the risk of subsequent stroke was independent of the risk factor status during follow up. This suggests that patients with severe WML have a high risk of subsequent stroke, even if vascular risk factors are strictly controlled. In addition, the use of antithrombotic agents did not affect the risk of stroke significantly. Thus we must seek special treatment strategies for preventing subsequent stroke in patients with severe WML and a low risk of atherothrombotic or embolic stroke.23–25

The correlation between the extent of WML and the risk of subsequent stroke is supported by the finding that progression of WML during follow up was associated with subsequent stroke in patients with initially no or mild WML. Asymptomatic progression of WML occurred in two patients with initially mild WML before the recurrence of symptomatic stroke—at the start of follow up their WML scores were 8 to 11 and 4 to 5, respectively. Among patients without stroke occurrence during follow up, two without a history of stroke also showed asymptomatic progression of WML. However, their initial and follow up WML scores were low (0 to 1 and 2 to 3), suggesting that these patients were still at relatively low risk of stroke.

In our patients without severe WML, risk factor status during follow up was associated with progression of WML. Some conditions—including aggravation of vascular risk factors and progression of arteriolosclerosis or arteriosclerosis—might cause an increase in WML over time. A few population based studies on the rate of WML progression have shown asymptomatic increases in the number or extent of WML in relation to hypertension.21–23 Asymptomatic progression of WML during follow up may indicate an increased risk of symptomatic stroke, which warrants a change of treatment, including more strict control of risk factors. Further studies are required to determine whether the identification of patients with asymptomatic deterioration of WML by serial MRI examinations may have clinical significance in preventing subsequent symptomatic stroke in those with initially mild WML. In this study, we evaluated WML on a thick MRI slice using a rather simple scale. The use of a thin slice technique and the application of semiautomated segmentation techniques which might give normalised volumes of WML or automated approaches to lesion counting could provide higher sensitivity for detecting deterioration of WML.

The predictive value of WML for stroke may be most pronounced in patients with lacunar infarction. However, it is controversial whether the extent of WML is an independent predictor of stroke in patients with lacunar infarcts.24–26 One follow up CT study showed an association between the presence of severe WML and the risk of symptomatic stroke in patients with lacunar infarcts.26

### Table 5

**Multiple linear regression analysis with the increase of WML score as the dependent variable**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>t Value</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hypertension* (no=0, yes=1)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncontrolled diabetes mellitus* (no=0, yes=1)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking* (no=0, yes=1)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*During follow up.

This analysis is based on only those 79 patients with mild or no WML (white matter high intensity lesions on T2 weighted magnetic resonance images).
of extensive leucoaraiosis and a high risk of recurrent stroke, but only by single variable analysis. This association has not been supported by other studies. The inconsistencies among the previous studies may originate from possible differences in risk factor status among the patients studied. In patients with lacunar infarction, the coexistence of significant stenosis of major cerebral arteries or atrial fibrillation would increase the risk of atherothrombotic or embolic infarction. Many patients with lacunar infarction may develop recurrent non-lacunar type stroke, as shown in the two studies which found no association between WML or leucoaraiosis and stroke. No patients in our study had significant stenosis of the cervical or intracranial arteries, atrial fibrillation, or ischaemic heart disease with a source of emboli, resulting in a low risk of concomitant atherothrombotic or embolic infarction. The selected nature of our patients may have resulted in the significant association of the extent of WML with subsequent stroke from arteriosclerosis, as well as the lower recurrence rate in patients with lacunar infarction in this study compared with previous studies. The predictive value for stroke of WML, as an indicator of arteriosclerosis, becomes clear when the risk associated with WML is calculated on the basis of the occurrence of arteriosclerotic strokes, including lacunar infarction and haemorrhages, in selected patients at low risk for atherothrombotic or embolic strokes.

The strength of our study is the careful follow up, with characterisation of the control of risk factors and serial MRI scans. However, our study is limited by the selected nature of the population, and a community based population study would have been ideal. Our patients included those with symptomatic lacunar infarcts and also stroke-free, neurologically normal patients with headache or dizziness. We did not consider the use of a control group with headaches or dizziness—as opposed to healthy, community volunteers—to be a major problem, because the control subjects were otherwise neurologically normal and had no evidence of significant pathology other than WML on MRI scanning. No report has shown any difference between the nature of WML in patients with and without lacunar infarction. Thus the nature of WML in the two patient populations in this study is probably the same as in healthy volunteers, although we cannot completely exclude the possibility that WML in patients with tension-type headache might differ from those in patients with lacunar infarcts. In addition, the distribution of vascular risk factors was not different between the two populations, except for sex (data not shown). As the association between WML and subsequent stroke based on arteriosclerosis was independent of the presence of lacunar infarction, this association may apply to this mixed population.

Although it is unclear whether the current findings apply to other populations, a population based study of normal adults without history of cerebrovascular disease also suggested that the presence of WML on T2 weighted MR images was an independent risk factor for subsequent subcortical stroke. Our study suggests that, after examining the risks for atherothrombotic or embolic stroke, patients without non-lacunar infarction but with a high WML score (9–16) should be managed as having a high risk of subsequent stroke from arteriosclerosis (hazard ratio more than 14.4 compared with patients without WML). This study is reasonable in clinical neurological practice because the management of stroke patients is dependent on the subtypes of stroke. In this study, we confined our investigations to echocardiography to evaluate ischaemic heart disease and an ECG to exclude atrial fibrillation. More detailed investigation for sources of cardioembolism may be needed for the appropriate management of these patients.

Conclusions After scrutinising the risk for atherothrombotic or embolic stroke, severe WML at baseline was found to be an independent predictor of risk for subsequent stroke from arteriosclerotic even after controlling for risk factor status during follow up. Progression of WML during follow up is associated with subsequent stroke in patients with initially mild WML. Serial evaluation of the extent of WML on magnetic resonance imaging may be used to identify patients who are at risk of subsequent stroke.
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