Are functional motor and sensory symptoms really more frequent on the left? A systematic review
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Methods: Systematic review of the literature published since 1965. The following databases were searched: Medline (from 1966), Cinahl (from 1982), Embase (from 1980) and Psyclit (from 1982). We reviewed the titles of articles published after 1965. Studies in English, French, Spanish, and German were included.

Results: 121 eligible studies, involving 1139 patients, were analysed. The pooled proportion of functional left sided weakness and sensory symptoms in adults was 58% (95% confidence interval (CI) 55 to 61%). A much higher proportion of left sided symptoms (66%, 95% CI 61 to 71%) was found in studies where laterality featured in the title of the paper. However, when laterality was not mentioned in the title, no significant difference between left and right was observed (53% on the left, 95% CI 48 to 57%). This difference could not be explained on the basis of sex differences between the groups or the date of the study. Functional or “psychogenic” movement disorder was right sided in 68% (95% CI 61 to 75%). Handedness did not influence symptom lateralisation.

Conclusions: The findings of this systematic review question whether functional weakness and sensory symptoms do in fact occur more commonly on the left side of the body. A type of outcome variable reporting bias in combination with non-blinding of investigators may be responsible for this long held but erroneous belief.

Data extraction and analysis
Three investigators reviewed the papers independently (JS, RG, and AC) using a structured proforma. Two adjudicators (MS and CPW) resolved discrepancies. We collected the following data: (a) the nature of the symptom: sensory, weakness, or movement disorder; (b) whether the title referred to the laterality or location of the symptoms (headline studies); (c) sex, (d) year of publication, and (e) handedness. If a patient was recorded as having ipsilateral weakness and sensory disturbance this was counted as a motor symptom and then again as a sensory symptom but only once for the combined analyses.

Three methods of meta-analysis were used. Firstly, we obtained a simple pooled average by adding data summatively.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval

S
ymptoms unexplained by identifiable disease (medically unexplained symptoms), sometimes called “functional”, “psychogenic”, or “hysterical”, account for up to one third of new neurological outpatients and are poorly understood. Functional motor and sensory symptoms such as weakness, tremor, and numbness are often unilateral and are said to be more common on the left side of the body than the right. Functional or “psychogenic” movement disorder is more common on the left side of the body than the right. Are there parallels between “la belle indifférence”, said to accompany hysteria in some cases, and parietal anosagnosia, usually a right hemisphere problem? Is it simply less disabling to have a malfunctioning non-dominant limb—the so called “convenience hypothesis”? These theories—linking neurobiology with clinical practice—are attractive, but are they based on a valid assumption? We undertook a systematic review of the published literature since 1965 to examine whether it supports the hypothesis that functional neurological symptoms are more common on the left side of the body and to explore possible biases in previous studies.

METHODS
Search strategy
We searched the following databases: Medline (from 1966), Cinahl (from 1982), Embase (from 1980) and Psyclit (from 1965) to June 2001. We used all database controlled vocabulary headings for functional neurological symptoms in addition to the following text words: psychosomatic, psychogenic, somatisation, unexplained, conversion, non-organic, dissociation. These were combined with headings and text words for paralysis, paresis, sensory disturbance, hyperventilation, movement disorders, laterality, and cerebral dominance. In addition, we examined all references under the heading “conversion disorder” or with the text word hysterical. We reviewed the titles and abstracts on line and obtained copies of all studies that conceivably contained data on laterality. The reference lists of all obtained articles were hand searched for further articles published after 1965. Studies in English, French, Spanish, and German were included.

Study inclusion and exclusion
Studies were included if the following criteria were met: firstly, they reported at least one patient with symptoms described as medically unexplained, functional, non-organic, psychogenic, hysterical, conversion disorder, or hyperventilation; and secondly, data on the laterality of a motor symptom (weakness or movement disorder (dystonia, tremor, or myoclonus) of face, arm, or leg) or sensory symptom (face, arm, trunk, and leg) could be extracted. We included studies of functional motor or sensory symptoms associated with pain but excluded studies where unexplained pain was the sole symptom. We also excluded studies of functional visual or hearing loss and those reporting motor symptoms as part of reflex sympathetic dystrophy or complex regional pain syndrome.

We hypothesised that “headline” studies, in which the title referred to laterality or location of the symptom, would be more likely to have found a left sided predominance than “non-headline” studies, in which laterality was recorded incidentally. In addition, we conducted exploratory analyses to examine the influence of sex, date of study, and handedness on symptom laterality.
so that each study contributed to the final result according to its size. Secondly, we used a fixed effects model, which assumes that all studies are measuring an outcome that is constant across different populations. Studies with fewer than 20 subjects were aggregated to make a single additional data point for this analysis. Thirdly, we applied, in a similar way, a random effects model that assumed that the studies were a random sample representative of all hypothetically available results.

RESULTS

Searching

Figure 1 shows the number of studies we included and excluded, with reasons for exclusion. We reviewed 13 089 titles and abstracts and obtained 703 papers. Of these, 121 studies met the study entry criteria and comprised observations on 1139 patients.

Only four studies that reported laterality of motor and sensory symptoms were excluded. Stefansson et al6 commented on 31 mainly right sided conversion symptoms but many had "conversion pain". Another study cited duplicate data7 and in an additional study it was not clear which side the symptoms were on.8 A final study had initially looked for patients with symptoms on the same side for imaging purposes (although left and right were represented in the final study).9

Four studies10–13 of patients with conversion symptoms that included unilateral deafness and blindness were included because at least 80% of the data related to weakness or sensory disturbance. Ninety studies were of weakness and sensory disturbance in adults. There were 12 studies in which the title referred either to laterality14–24 or location of the symptoms10 and 78 "non-headline" studies.1–78

There were 26 studies of laterality of functional movement disorder. 10–15 29–32 34–43 72–74 96–99 112–114 Five studies contained data on both patients with weakness and patients with movement disorder13–15 and in these the data were split accordingly. In

Analysis

Figure 2 and table 1 show the results of the meta-analysis based on the simple pooled values. When all studies of adults were included, 58% of patients had functional weakness, sensory symptoms, or both on the left side of the body (95% confidence interval (CI) 55 to 61%). However, the analysis by type of study was revealing. In the non-headline studies, only 53% (95% CI 48 to 57%) of symptoms occurred on the left. This is in contrast to headline studies where 66% (95% CI 61 to 71%) had functional symptoms on the left. The fixed and random effects models, apart from the expected wider CIs in the random effects model, produced broadly the same overall result as did simple pooling of data (table 1).

To determine whether the way we had coded sensory symptoms had affected the result, we reanalysed the data, counting sensory symptoms only if they were unaccompanied by motor symptoms. The results were similar—in particular the CIs for sensory symptoms in the non-headline group continued to cross the 50% line.

We also explored the effects of handedness. A pooled analysis of all nine studies in adults with weakness and sensory symptoms and handedness data15–17 31–32 72–74 96–99 112–114 showed that 55% (95% CI 39 to 71%) of left handed patients had left sided symptoms (n = 38), suggesting that this is not a major
The proportion of left handers overall was slightly above that in the general population at 16% (95% CI 11 to 21%).

Functional or “psychogenic” movement disorder (tremor, dystonia, myoclonus) occurred on the right side in 68% (95% CI 61 to 75%). In the paediatric group 48% of symptoms were on the left but with wide CIs because there were only 28 subjects (95% CI 30 to 66%).

**DISCUSSION**

Left sided functional symptoms may not be more common than right

These findings cast doubt on the hypothesis that functional weakness and sensory symptoms are more common on the left than the right. Although the overall pooled result suggests a slight left lateralising effect, this may not be the most accurate answer to the question. We suggest that, for a variable as obvious as laterality, studies in which the investigators are blind to the hypothesis are preferable. It is likely that blinding was more common in the non-headline group. In this group there was no clear lateralising effect.

**Limitations**

One source of heterogeneity in this sample may be that functional symptoms arising “spontaneously”, as might be seen in hyperventilation, may be more left sided, whereas symptoms following on from pain, for example, after a work related injury, may be more right sided. There are insufficient data to answer this question. Another limitation is that some studies may have included patients with misdiagnosed “hysteria” who in fact had organic disease. Misdiagnosis is now unusual (a recent London study found a misdiagnosis rate of 5% at six years) and the lack of trend by date of study is also reassuring in this respect.

**Research implications: “buried” negative results may differ from “headline” positive results**

The “headline bias” we propose may be described as a case of “when you’re looking for a positive result you’re more likely to find it”. This bias emphasises the danger in carrying out research without blinding, particularly when there may be a strong preconception of the result. We found that data contrary to the prevalent hypothesis were more likely to be buried in the paper than headlined in the title. This can be described as outcome variable reporting bias. While it is difficult in observational studies to eliminate bias and to blind investigators, the recording of data incidentally by investigators interested in another outcome may be the best way of achieving it.

**Clinical and theoretical implications: laterality is not a useful clinical sign**

The clinical implications of our study are that the laterality of a patient’s symptoms should not be used to judge whether symptoms are likely to be associated with recognisable neurological disease. Similar caution is urged before theorising on the assumption that such symptoms are more common on the left.

Additional references appear on the JNNP website (www.jnnp.com).
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