The Parkinson’s disease sleep scale: a new instrument for assessing sleep and nocturnal disability in Parkinson’s disease
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Background: No formal instruments are available for quantifying sleep problems in Parkinson’s disease.

Objective: To develop a new sleep scale to quantify the various aspects of nocturnal sleep problems in Parkinson’s disease, which may occur in up to 96% of affected individuals.

Methods: Employing a multidisciplinary team approach, a visual analogue scale was devised addressing 15 commonly reported symptoms associated with sleep disturbance in Parkinson’s disease—the Parkinson’s disease sleep scale (PDSS). In all, 143 patients with Parkinson’s disease completed the PDSS, covering the entire spectrum of disease from newly diagnosed to advanced stage. As controls, 137 age healthy matched subjects also completed the scale. Test–retest reliability was assessed in a subgroup of subjects. The Epworth sleepiness scale was also satisfactorily completed by 103 of the patients with Parkinson’s disease.

Results: PDSS scores in the Parkinson group were significantly different from the healthy controls. Patients with advanced Parkinson’s disease had impaired scores compared with early/moderate disease. Individual items of the scale showed good discriminatory power between Parkinson’s disease and healthy controls. Relevant items of the PDSS correlated with excessive daytime sleepiness. The scale showed robust test–retest reliability.

Conclusions: This appears to be the first description of a simple bedside screening instrument for evaluation of sleep disturbances in Parkinson’s disease. A combination of subscales may help identify specific aspects of sleep disturbance, which in turn may help target treatment.

Sleep disturbances occur in up to 96% of patients with Parkinson’s disease and appear to arise from a combination of neurochemical and neurodegenerative changes in central sleep regulatory centres such as the forebrain, thalamus, and midbrain dopamine neurones. Sleep disturbances and sleepiness are variably manifested by excessive daytime naps, hypoactivity response to dopaminergic stimulation, and alteration in dopaminergic transmission in target organs. These factors underline the importance of the dopaminergic system in the maintenance of normal sleep, and recent surveys have revealed the importance of sleep disturbance in determining the quality of life of patients with Parkinson’s disease. In this disorder, studies of sleep architecture show alterations in stages 3, 4, and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep. However, motor and related neuropsychiatric symptoms are also important causes of sleep disturbance in Parkinson’s disease.

Currently, no formal instruments are available for quantifying the various aspects of nocturnal sleep problems in Parkinson’s disease. Scales widely employed in clinical practice—including the Epworth sleepiness scale (ESS) and the Pittsburgh sleep quality index (PSQI)—do not systematically address and quantify the different aspects of sleep disturbance in Parkinson’s disease. Lang and colleagues have used a modified version of the ESS (MESS) and reported that the ESS has poor sensitivity for predicting sudden sleep onset during driving, while Arnulf et al have reported that excessive daytime sleepiness as measured by the ESS is not dependent on nocturnal sleep disturbances in Parkinson’s disease. The unified Parkinson’s disease rating scale (UPDRS) contains only one question related to sleep problems, and the newly validated Parkinson’s disease quality of life scale (PDQ39) is also limited in terms of questions related to sleep. Thus a validated, simple to use, bedside clinical instrument to provide a semiquantitative assessment of the multifactorial nature of sleep problems in Parkinson’s disease would be useful.

Employing a multicentred, multidisciplinary team approach (involving a neurologist, a neurophysiologist, a psychologist, and a Parkinson’s disease nurse specialist), and with collaboration from colleagues in Germany (CT, BH) and Italy (FRP), we have devised a tool for comprehensive assessment of the symptoms contributing to sleep disturbance in Parkinson’s disease. We have termed this instrument the Parkinson’s disease sleep scale (PDSS).

Patients with advanced Parkinson’s disease had impaired scores compared with early/moderate disease. Individual items of the scale showed good discriminatory power between Parkinson’s disease and healthy controls. Relevant items of the PDSS correlated with excessive daytime sleepiness. The scale showed robust test–retest reliability.

Conclusions: This appears to be the first description of a simple bedside screening instrument for evaluation of sleep disturbances in Parkinson’s disease. A combination of subscales may help identify specific aspects of sleep disturbance, which in turn may help target treatment.

Abbreviations: ESS, Epworth sleepiness scale; MESS, modified Epworth sleepiness scale; PDSS, Parkinson’s disease sleep scale; PSQI, Pittsburgh sleep quality index; UPDRS, unified Parkinson’s disease rating scale
METHODS

The PDSS

Items of the PDSS address the following:

- overall quality of night’s sleep (item 1);
- sleep onset and maintenance insomnia (items 2 and 3);
- nocturnal restlessness (items 4 and 5);
- nocturnal psychosis (items 6 and 7);
- nocturia (items 8 and 9);
- nocturnal motor symptoms (items 10–13);
- sleep refreshment (item 14);
- daytime dozing (item 15).

Completion of the PDSS

Patients, or caregivers (by proxy), completed the PDSS, based on their experiences in the past week. Patients were asked to fill in the PDSS either in the consultation room or at home; involvement of the caregiver was encouraged. The severity of symptoms was reported by marking a cross along a 10 cm line (labelled from worst to best state). Responses were quantified by measuring the distance along each line to the intersection with the cross in centimetres, to the nearest 0.1 cm. Thus scores for each item range from 0 (symptom severe and always experienced) to 10 (symptom-free). The maximum cumulative score for the PDSS is 150 (patient is free of all symptoms).

Evaluation and calculation of the data were done by SP, AD, RM, and FRP. As the PDSS is employed as part of routine clinical practice and audit in the outpatient clinic assessment of patients with Parkinson's disease, the institutional ethics committee agreed that specific ethical approval was not required for this study.

Subjects

In all, 280 adult subjects completed the PDSS, 143 patients with Parkinson's disease and 137 aged matched healthy controls.

Parkinson’s disease patients

The 143 patients with Parkinson's disease completed the PDSS as part of local routine clinical practice, while attending outpatient clinics at King's College and Lewisham hospitals. There were 89 men (62%) and 54 women. Their mean (SD) age was 67.0 years (range 38 to 89), and the duration of their disease was 6.0 (5.1) years (range 1 to 26). The Hoehn and Yahr score was 2.7 (0.7), range 1 to 4. Subjects included the entire spectrum of Parkinson's disease from newly diagnosed to treated patients in the advanced stages. Those with clinical features suggestive of parkinsonian syndromes due to multiple system atrophy, progressive supranuclear palsy, or Lewy body dementia were excluded. We also excluded subjects with cognitive impairment who were unable to complete the PDSS.

Demographic details and antiparkinsonian, sedative, and antidepressant drugs prescribed for the patients were noted.

Controls

The 137 healthy age matched controls who completed the PDSS were principally hospital employees and relatives, with no known concomitant medical conditions (non-obese with no past medical history of significant neurological or respiratory disorders). There were 61 men (44%) and 76 women. Their mean (SD) age was 65.6 (11.7) years (range 35 to 93).

Test–retest reliability and repeatability of scale

To investigate its repeatability, 15 patients with Parkinson's disease completed the PDSS on two separate occasions with a
one to two week interval, under standardised conditions with the same health care professional administering the scale on each occasion.

Assessment of impact of nocturnal symptoms on excessive daytime sleepiness

To investigate the impact of nocturnal disabilities on excessive daytime sleepiness, the ESS was administered to the Parkinson's disease group, and 103 patients completed the scale satisfactorily during the same visit as the PDSS (66 men (64%), 37 women; mean age 66.9 (9.8) years; mean duration of disease 5.6 (4.7) years; mean Hoehn and Yahr score 2.7 (0.7)).

Statistical methods

Simple descriptive statistics were used to summarise the responses to each item and the total, and in particular to identify “ceiling” and “floor” responses—respectively, the maximum and minimum possible scores. A high number of either indicates that the scale may not be asking the right questions and has no discriminatory power. The correlation coefficients between each pair of items were calculated to investigate the interrelations. Any particularly high correlation would indicate possible redundancy of items if they were effectively recording the same thing.

For test–retest reliability, the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated using the estimated between and within subjects variances derived from the analysis of variance. This was done for the total scores and for the individual items, to see whether there were problems in relation to reproducibility associated with any items individually. To demonstrate reasonable repeatability, an ICC of at least 0.7 is desirable.

To assess the sensitivity of the scale in distinguishing between patients with Parkinson's disease and controls, the total score was compared between groups using analysis of variance. Classifying the Parkinson's disease patients according to their Hoehn and Yahr score extended this: those scoring between 1 and 3 were classified as early/moderate Parkinson's disease and those scoring 4 or 5 were classified as advanced Parkinson's disease. Analysis of variance was again used to see if there were differences. The mean difference between the groups and the associated 95% confidence intervals were derived from the analysis. The confidence intervals for the difference between each group of Parkinson's disease patients and controls were derived using Dunnett's method, which allows for repeated testing against the same group of controls.

RESULTS

The correlation coefficients between the items were all positive, indicating that scores for all items lay in the same direction. Correlations within the control group were generally higher than within the Parkinson group, mainly because of the larger number of subjects who scored 10 (best score) on each item. Among the patients with Parkinson's disease, the highest correlation was seen between items 1 (overall quality of sleep) and 3 (difficulty staying asleep), with a value of 0.70. The only other pair of items to achieve a correlation of 0.5 or above was items 4 and 5 (restlessness of arms and legs, fidgeting).
Analysis of variance comparing total PDSS scores between Parkinson’s disease and controls showed a highly significant difference (p < 0.0001) (fig 2). Furthermore, PDSS scores were markedly different between early/moderate Parkinson’s disease (HY = 1–3), advanced disease (HY = 4–5), and control subjects (p = 0.007) (fig 3). Patients with advanced disease had substantially lower scores than those with early/moderate disease, at 86 (21) vs 103 (21), respectively. The mean difference between controls and patients with early/moderate Parkinson’s disease was 17.7 (95% confidence interval, 11.8 to 23.5); the mean difference between controls and patients with advanced Parkinson’s disease was 34.7 (20.9 to 48.4). These differences show that the scale provides good discriminative power in differentiating between the sleep problems of patients with advanced Parkinson’s disease and those of patients with early Parkinson’s disease.

The summary of responses to each item and the total score for the Parkinson group and controls is shown in a profile format in fig 4. Only one item was missing for one Parkinson’s disease patient: item 9. There were highly significant differences between the patients and controls for most items except item 2 (difficulty in falling asleep) (table 2). The Parkinson group scored approximately 1.5 points lower than the controls (table 2). Profiles were similar between the two groups (fig 4), with item 8 (nocturia) scores being lowest in both groups. The number of controls scoring 10 for any item was considerably higher than the number of patients scoring 10 (table 2). Generally the incidence of “floor” and “ceiling” responses was low among the patients.

When item 15 (related to daytime naps) was correlated with the ESS, a strong relation was found, with a correlation coefficient of −0.59. This indicates that high scores on the PDSS are associated with low scores on the ESS. Figure 5 illustrates the relation between the two measures.

The results of the repeatability analysis are summarised in table 3. The repeatability estimated using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was 0.94 for the total score, which is high. The lower 95% confidence limit for this estimate is 0.89, which confirms good reliability for this group of patients and controls. The repeatability for the individual items in the questionnaire was also high. Some items did, however, show a greater spread of responses, especially items 1, 6, and 14. Item 8 displayed a bimodal response, with patients either scoring very high or very low.

### Table 1
**Probability values for each item of the PDSS comparing scores of patients with Parkinson’s disease with those of controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>Stepdown Bonferroni p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>var1 PD v control</td>
<td>0.0573</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var2 PD v control</td>
<td>0.5804</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var3 PD v control</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var4 PD v control</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var5 PD v control</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var6 PD v control</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var7 PD v control</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var8 PD v control</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var9 PD v control</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var10 PD v control</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var11 PD v control</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var12 PD v control</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var13 PD v control</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var14 PD v control</td>
<td>0.0573</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var15 PD v control</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD, Parkinson’s disease; PDSS, Parkinson’s disease sleep scale.

### Table 2
**PDSS total score and individual items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Controls (n=137)</th>
<th>Parkinson’s disease (n=143)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Scoring 0 (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>120.7</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 10</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 11</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 13</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 14</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 15</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDSS, Parkinson’s disease sleep scale.
DISCUSSION
This study shows that the PDSS is easy to use and is a reliable instrument for measuring sleep disturbances in Parkinson’s disease. The PDSS scores were highly significantly different between healthy age matched controls and patients with Parkinson’s disease. The patients with advanced Parkinson’s disease also had significantly impaired PDSS scores compared with patients with early/moderate disease. Individual items showed good discriminatory power between Parkinson’s disease subjects and healthy controls, and we found that poor scores on item 15 of the PDSS correlated with excessive daytime sleepiness measured with the ESS. The scale showed robust test–retest reliability.

To our knowledge this is the first description of a bedside instrument designed to assess the multifactorial nature of sleep disturbances in Parkinson’s disease. Sleep disturbances are believed to occur in over 90% patients with this disorder at some stage, yet currently the UPDRS has only one question aimed at establishing sleep problems. Additional advantages of this visual analogue scale include its ease of administration and its ability to provide a quantitative measure of symptoms contributing to sleep disturbance. Potential applications of the scale in clinical practice merit further discussion, as follows.

The need for an instrument such as the PDSS
Work from our own group and others indicates that the aetiology of nocturnal disabilities in Parkinson’s disease is multifactorial and that nocturnal motor symptoms are of importance. Traditionally, sleep assessments in Parkinson’s disease have taken the form of pure subjective questioning or, in some cases, measurement of sleep architecture. These techniques, however, do not provide a holistic assessment of the night time problems of sufferers from Parkinson’s disease. It can be argued—given the importance of sleep function in this disease—that assessment of night time problems requires a specific instrument similar to the UPDRS. The PDSS aims to provide this. Some currently available tools, including the ESS, only address single items such as excessive daytime sleepiness and are thus not comprehensive. In a study of excessive daytime sleepiness and its potential relation to sudden onset sleep in 638 patients with Parkinson’s disease, Lang et al recently concluded that the ESS has poor sensitivity for predicting falling asleep while driving, and may not be appropriate for assessing susceptibility to unintended sleep episodes. Other studies have indicated that ESS scores do not correlate significantly with multiple sleep latency test scores, thought to be the gold standard for measuring sleep, and especially REM sleep latency during the daytime. Thus we feel the PDSS may offer a more practical and relevant way of assessing sleep disruption in Parkinson’s disease.

Interpretation and use of the PDSS
Sensitivity analysis showed that the PDSS successfully discriminated between healthy subjects and patients with Parkinson’s disease in 13 of the 15 items, and the means of these item scores for the Parkinson group were at least 1.5 points lower than for the control group. While there were no significant differences between the patients and the controls on item 2 (sleep onset insomnia), there was a highly significant difference in item 3, suggesting that sleep maintenance insomnia is Parkinson’s disease related. The lower PDSS scores obtained by the Parkinson group is consistent with the observation that the sleep disturbances measured here are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>(\sigma^2_r)</th>
<th>(\sigma^2_e)</th>
<th>ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>744.38</td>
<td>45.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 4</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 5</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 6</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 7</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 8</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 9</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 10</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 11</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 12</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 13</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 14</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 15</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICC, intraclass correlation; \(\sigma^2_r\), within patient variability; \(\sigma^2_e\), between patient variability.

Figure 5 Scatterplot of item 15 (unexpectedly falling asleep during the day) against scores on the Epworth sleepiness scale (ESS). These data yield a significant correlation coefficient of \(-0.59\).
more common in Parkinson’s disease than in healthy controls. Furthermore, significant differences in PDSS scores were identified between early and advanced disease states, suggesting that advancing disease is more likely to predispose to sleep disruption. Worsening of scores in advanced Parkinson’s disease was seen across all 15 items of the PDSS.

Thus the PDSS allows sensitive differentiation of the specific factors contributing to sleep disruption in Parkinson’s disease, emphasising its potential value in targeting the most appropriate treatment for nocturnal symptoms in this condition. Additionally, specific symptoms can be identified by combining items such as 4 and 5 for nocturnal restlessness, 10 to 13 for nocturnal off periods, and 14 and 15 for daytime sleepiness, and thus help target the treatment. Indeed, from a combination of these subitems we have recently reported a syndrome of nocturnal restlessness similar to restless legs syndrome causing sleep disruption in Parkinson’s disease. However, we accept that detailed assessment of complex sleep architecture requires polysomnography.

From the data in table 1 it can be seen that the standard deviations for the PDSS scores are reasonably constant across all items. Table 2 shows the numbers of patients with Parkinson’s disease scoring maximum and minimum values for each item. This is important information for a new scale, because if there is a large number of patients scoring the maximum or minimum values the scale has limited usefulness. The data in table 2 show that relatively few patients achieved the maximum score of 10, in marked contrast to the control group, where the proportions scoring 10 were considerably higher.

The clinical usefulness of a scale such as the PDSS is exemplified by previous work from our own group and others showing that sustained dopaminergic stimulation at night is associated with improved subjective reports of sleep in Parkinson’s disease, although sleep architecture may be unaltered. Furthermore, a recent report by Arnulf et al suggests that continuous nocturnal motor stimulation achieved by subthalamic stimulation also improves sleep architecture.

Administration and repeatability of the PDSS

We found the scale easy to administer and to comprehend. It can be completed by patient or caregiver with occasional proxy, as is commonplace with most scales employed in chronic neurodegenerative disorders. There was high intra-

Excessive daytime sleepiness in Parkinson’s disease

Excessive daytime sleepiness and unintended sleep episodes are increasingly being associated with motor vehicle and occupational accidents, impaired work performance, and possibly reduced quality of life. In Parkinson’s disease, this issue has received much attention lately, owing to controversy surrounding a report by Frucht et al of “sleep attacks,” or unintended sleep episodes, leading to road traffic accidents in nine patients with Parkinson’s disease taking non-ergot dopamine agonists. Rye and colleagues, however, have suggested that there is increased arousal and paradoxical aler The impact of nocturnal sleep disruption on excessive daytime sleepiness in Parkinson’s disease is far from clear and several reports have suggested the need for controlled studies addressing this issue. 

Our study indicates that poor PDSS scores, and in particular poor scores on item 15, are correlated strongly with high scores on the ESS. This is consistent with subjective reporting of patients who had poor nocturnal sleep and felt tired and sleepy during the daytime.

Limitations of the PDSS

There are limitations of this scale like any subjective semiquantitative scale which attempts to provide a holistic and clinical assessment of the complex aetiology of sleep problems in Parkinson’s disease. First, we have not validated this instrument against a gold standard measurement of sleep architecture such as polysomnography. However, we feel that a complete validation of the PDSS is impossible, as several of the 15 items have no gold standards that could be validly polysomnographically. Our aim is to provide a simple, clinical, inexpensive bedside tool for semiquantitative evaluation of sleep problems in Parkinsonian syndromes.

Second, we are unable to comment on the confounding impact of concomitant medical conditions upon the PDSS scores obtained for individual items. The age matched controls, however, may have suffered from a similar amount of concomitant disorders. It would be necessary to control for depression, psychosis, and other disorders such as arthritis that may have a confounding influence on sleep in future studies.

Conclusions

We suggest the PDSS be used as a simple bedside screening tool for identifying sleep problems in Parkinson’s disease. We believe that patients scoring poorly on certain items such as 1, 3, 14, and 15 may merit referral for formal laboratory based sleep studies and measurement of sleep architecture. By identifying individual symptoms contributing to disturbed sleep, the PDSS provides an objective method for targeted therapeutic approaches for the treatment of nocturnal symptoms in Parkinson’s disease.
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