Thalamic proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in vegetative state induced by traumatic brain injury
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Objectives: To determine whether proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS), a newer radiographic technology, would be useful in the evaluation of the thalamus of patients in vegetative states resulting from traumatic brain injury.

Methods: 14 victims of severe traumatic brain injury who were in the vegetative state and whose magnetic resonance images of the thalamus were normal underwent bilateral thalamic proton (MRS) studies. The N-acetyl aspartate to creatine (NAA:Cr) and choline to creatine (Cho:Cr) ratios were obtained for each patient. The thalamic MRS findings of patients who were in a persistent vegetative state (n = 8) and in patients who had regained awareness after being in the vegetative state (n = 6) were compared with proton thalamic MRS findings in five healthy volunteers.

Results: While conventional magnetic resonance imaging suggested that each patient had a normal thalamus, proton MRS indicated that the thalamus of each patient in the series was damaged. The NAA:Cr ratio was significantly lower in the thalami of both the patients who remained in a persistent vegetative state for the duration of the study and in those who regained awareness after being in the vegetative state (p < 0.001). In addition, NAA:Cr ratios were lower in the group of patients who remained in a persistent vegetative state than in the group of patients who regained awareness after being in the vegetative state (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: Results suggest that the NAA:Cr ratio within the thalamus is significant and that thalamic MRS may be helpful when attempting to determine the degree of severity of neuronal and axonal injury in patients in the vegetative state.

Abbreviations: Cho, choline; Cr, creatine; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MRS, magnetic resonance spectroscopy; NAA, N-acetyl aspartate
We present our initial results obtained from MRS thalamic examinations of six patients who regained awareness after being in the vegetative state and of eight patients in a persistent vegetative state.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient population**

Fourteen patients determined to be in vegetative state following severe traumatic brain injury were selected from the intensive care unit of the University of Istanbul's Cerrahpasa Medical School for retrospective evaluation. The group consisted of nine male and five female patients aged 12 to 65 years (mean 36 years). Patient admission records reported the nature of the trauma and the patient’s age, sex, Glasgow coma scale score, and neurological status. We analysed the data available from the intensive care unit’s clinical charts and all available follow up records. The senior author evaluated each patient. For each patient, we recorded the duration of the post-traumatic period and noted changes in neurological status according to the Glasgow outcome scale. For patients who regained awareness, we also determined the length of the recovery period.

Vegetative state was diagnosed according to the following criteria: (a) no evidence of awareness of self or environment, and no ability to interact with others; (b) no evidence of sustained, reproducible, purposeful, or voluntary behavioural responses to visual, auditory, tactile, or noxious stimuli; (c) no evidence of language comprehension or expression; (d) intermittent wakefulness manifested by the presence of sleep-wake cycles; (e) sufficiently preserved hypothalamic and brainstem autonomic function to permit survival with medical and nursing care; (f) bowel and bladder incontinence; and (g) variably preserved cranial nerve and spinal reflexes. Patients who remained in the vegetative state for at least six months after trauma were considered to be in a persistent vegetative state.

According to their state of consciousness during the study, we divided the patients into two groups. Group A consisted of all patients in a persistent vegetative state (n = 8), and group B comprised those who had regained awareness after being in the vegetative state (n = 6). All MRS studies were performed after the sixth month of the vegetative state in both group A and group B patients. Table 1 shows the lag between the head injury and MRS study for both groups of patients. Five healthy volunteers who were within the patients’ age range were evaluated as a control group (group C). We checked magnetic injury and MRS study for both groups of patients. Five healthy and group B patients. Table 1 shows the lag between the head after the sixth month of the vegetative state in both group A

**MRI and MRS procedures**

Once all the data were collected from the records, we performed MRI and MRS examinations on each patient according to standardised protocols. With the exception of the control group, all those studied underwent MRI while under general anaesthesia and receiving respiratory support from a mechanical ventilator. MRI was performed using a 1.5 T Signa system (GE Medical Systems, Waukesha, Wisconsin, USA) with version 5.3 software, using a clinical protocol that includes spin echo T1 weighted images, gradient echo T2 images, and fast spin echo T2 weighted images from a minimum of two different orthogonal planes. The standard head coil was used for image guided single voxel spectroscopic acquisition. All single voxel proton spectroscopy studies were obtained with a 1.5 T clinical scanner using PROBE/SV software (GE Medical Systems) with automated shimming, water suppression, and data processing capabilities. Axial T1 weighted MRIs were used to prescribe rectangular voxels of the thalamus while minimising cerebrospinal fluid contamination (fig 1A). Axial T1 weighted MRIs (fig 1B) were used to determine that there were no abnormalities on the thalami.

**Table 1 Clinical and demographic data and magnetic resonance findings in 14 patients with severe head injuries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)/sex</th>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>GCS</th>
<th>Examination lag after injury (months)</th>
<th>Magnetic resonance findings</th>
<th>NAA/Cr</th>
<th>Cho/Cr</th>
<th>GOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ICH, DAI</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>EDH, atrophy [right hippocampus]</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18/M</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>DAI</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>20/M</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Multiple contusions, DAI, atrophy</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26/F</td>
<td>Blunt trauma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>DAI</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37/M</td>
<td>Blunt trauma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>DAI</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>28/M</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>DAI, skull fracture [depressed]</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>PVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>42/F</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>PVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>32/M</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Multiple contusions, DAI, atrophy</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>PVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>56/F</td>
<td>Blunt trauma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Multiple contusions, DAI, atrophy</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>PVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>56/F</td>
<td>Blunt trauma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>DAI</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>PVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Multiple contusions, DAI, atrophy</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>PVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12/M</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>DAI</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>PVS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>35/F</td>
<td>MVA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Multiple contusions, DAI, atrophy</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>PVS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cho, choline; Cr, creatine; DAI, diffuse axonal injury; EDH, extradural haematoma; F, female; GCS, Glasgow coma scale; GOS, Glasgow outcome scale; ICH, intracerebral haematoma; M, male; MD, mild disability; MVA, motor vehicle accident; NAA, N-acetyl aspartate; PVS, persistent vegetative state; SD, severe disability.

Figure 1 Axial T1 weighted magnetic resonance image shows (A) a typical site of magnetic resonance spectroscopic (MRS) acquisition of the thalamus (rectangle) and (B) no haemorrhagic or non-haemorrhagic lesions in both thalami.
A and group B) routinely prescribed from the axial image approximated A (anterior/posterior) × B (right/left) × C mm (superior/inferior). The voxel locations were carefully chosen by one of the investigators (S A) to ensure the same location in each patient. However, there is some cerebrospinal fluid in all patient voxel volume and this cerebrospinal fluid contamination is inevitable in our voxel volume and our technique (single voxel technique). Careful shimming is necessary to minimise line widths of spectra from this region. Water suppression was achieved by using three chemical shift-selective radiofrequency pulses followed by a dephasing gradient applied to each of three axes. All patients’ long echo time spectra were obtained using point resolved spectroscopy (repetition time 1500 ms, echo time 270 ms, 128 acquisitions). Gradient shimming on the voxel and optimisation of the solvent suppression were performed before the start of acquisition. The spectral acquisition time per voxel was approximately six minutes. The spectral processing was performed using commercially available software (ProNMR, Softpulse Software, Guelph, Ontario, Canada) using zero filling to 8 K data points, 2 Hz line broadening applied in the time domain, one dimensional Fourier transformation, and zero order phase correction. Areas under the peaks were estimated using a Marquardt fitting routine to Lorentzian line shapes in the frequency domain. Using this method, we calculated peak ratios for the metabolites. Ratios of metabolites relative to Cr were calculated and compared with the values obtained in controls within the same age range (fig 2).

Statistical analysis
The metabolite ratios in the control and patient groups were compared by analysis of variance. Probability values < 0.05 were considered significant and p < 0.001 was considered highly significant. Data are mean (SD).

RESULTS
Patient characteristics
The majority of the patients were relatively young. The mean ages in group A, group B, and group C were statistically similar, at 38.0 (15.7) years, 33.8 (19.0) years, and 37.0 (18.0) years, respectively (p = 0.68). Patients in group A had lower Glasgow coma scale scores on admission. Among patients who regained awareness, the lengths of the recovery period were 117, 81, 78, 96, 141, and 126 days (mean (SD) 106.5 (25.5) days) after the beginning of the vegetative state. Table 1 lists patient demographic data.

MRI and MRS findings
In the majority of the patients evaluated (85.7% of group A and 80.0% of group B), MRI examinations of the brain showed haemorrhagic or non-haemorrhagic diffuse axonal injury. The lesions detected varied in size and were most often found in the corpus callosum, cerebral white matter, brainstem, and cerebellar hemispheres. MRI examinations also showed a normal thalamus in patients in groups A, B, and C.

Figure 2 MRS spectra obtained (A) from the bilateral thalamus of a healthy volunteer, (B) a patient who regained awareness by the time the study was concluded, and (C) a patient in a persistent vegetative state.
cerebral atrophy was noted on MRI (obtained late in the post-trauma phase) of all patients, regardless of the patient’s neurological status at the time of the examination. MRS showed an NAA:Cr ratio of 1.17 (0.25) in patients in group A, 1.80 (0.26) in patients in group B, and 2.67 (0.26) in the control group (fig 3). The ratios were significantly lower in both group A and group B than in group C (p < 0.001). However, the NAA:Cr of group A was found to be significantly lower than that of group B (p < 0.001). Comparison of the Cho:Cr ratios of all groups, however, showed no significant differences (fig 4). MRI showed lesions of the brainstem in four group A patients (50%) and in four patients who eventually regained consciousness (67%). There was no correlation between the presence of a brainstem lesion and a patient’s state of awareness (p = 0.7).

**DISCUSSION**

Over the past 30 years, medical and technical advances have led to a steady decline in the mortality associated with severe traumatic brain injury, with an impressive reduction of approximately 10% per decade. Although these statistics are promising, the fact remains that up to 14% of severe traumatic brain injury victims remain in the vegetative state after their injury, a condition among the most devastating and morally challenging in modern medicine. Potential outcomes of the vegetative state range from complete recovery to death, with virtually no clinical or instrumental method of accurately predicting where a particular patient will fall within this range. Obviously, there is a need for some sort of clinical or instrumental advance to predict the potential outcome for patients in the vegetative state—not only would such an advance facilitate the treatment of these patients, it would also provide physicians and families with additional pertinent information that would potentially ease the moral and emotional dilemma of caring for the patients in the vegetative state.

Correctly diagnosing the vegetative state is the first and one of the most crucial steps in forming a preliminary prediction of a patient’s potential for recovery and it is essential in making well informed decisions regarding patient care. Although many studies have examined the anatomical and physiological bases of consciousness and awareness, current research has not provided us with a good understanding of the nature and location of brain lesions that cause a vegetative or persistent vegetative state. Awareness refers to the collective thoughts and feelings of the patient and denotes the knowledge of one’s own existence, sensations, and cognition in the external and internal worlds. Vegetative state refers to a state of wakefulness without demonstrable awareness and thus raises basic questions about the nature of brain pathology, which can lead to a dissociation of awareness from arousal. This dissociation suggests that the two components of consciousness (awareness and arousal) are mediated by separate and distinct anatomical structures. Cerebral structures that are essential for human awareness are the cortex, white matter, and thalamus. Almost all information from the external world reaches the cerebral cortex by way of the thalamic nuclei, which also receive reciprocal projections from the cerebral cortex. All sensory stimuli (with the exception of olfactory stimuli) are projected through specific thalamic nuclei to the sensory cortex, with visual and somatosensory stimuli projected as point to point receptive fields.

Postmortem examinations in a large series of patients in a persistent vegetative state (PVS) find varying degrees of destruction, including degeneration that affects the cerebral cortex bilaterally, the cerebral white matter, and occasionally the mesencephalic tegmental structures. These structures may be affected independently or simultaneously. The mesencephalic lesions are primarily the result of damage secondary to early compression of the brainstem that results from swelling due to brain injury (herniation). In addition to cases of widespread damage due to anoxic or traumatic brain injury, vegetative states may also result from focal injuries to the paramedian brainstem and thalami. Postmortem studies of non-traumatically induced persistent vegetative state are documented less frequently within the literature; however, these studies also report findings of multifocal bilateral cerebral lesions with and without severe destruction of the basal ganglia or thalamus.

The investigation of Jennett et al. on the neuropathology of vegetative and severely disabled patients after head injury reported that diffuse axonal injury was less common in severely disabled patients than in patients in the vegetative state (50% v 80%), with the difference most pronounced in cases of the most severe lesions (17% v 63%). Likewise, structural abnormalities in the thalamus were much less common among severely disabled patients than among patients in the vegetative state (37% v 80%). In addition, thalamic abnormality increased in patients who remained in the vegetative state for longer than three months (37% v 96%), by which time transneuronal thalamic degeneration subsequent to diffuse axonal injury could be identified microscopically. Transneuronal degeneration suggests that an injury sustained at one nervous system locale can affect distant sites that are interconnected with the damaged area. For example, if a lesion within the cortical tissue interrupts the neuronal connections between the cerebral cortex and the thalamus, thalamic atrophy may result and target cells in the thalamus may die due to decreased trophic support. The neuropathology studies of Jennett et al. indicate that such a relation exists between cortical and thalamic integrity in patients in a persistent vegetative state. Accordingly, it may be inferred that the integrity of subcortical structures may be compromised more significantly when cortical lesions are present. Not surprisingly, therefore, transneuronal degeneration or direct traumatic lesion is evident in almost all (96%) patients in a persistent vegetative state at approximately three months after sustaining the initial brain trauma. Since cortical diffuse axonal injury is transneuronal in origin and takes approximately three months to appear, detection of structural changes in the thalamus in association with cortical diffuse axonal injury depends on how long a patient survives while in the vegetative state.

A reliable assessment of prognosis in the vegetative state following cerebral anoxia is crucial in making decisions concerning initiation or prolongation of extended intensive care procedures. Clinical signs (such as duration of coma), laboratory findings (such as serum concentrations of neuron specific enolase), and functional tests permit only indirect estimation of the extent of structural brain damage. Current radiographic examinations, including computed tomography and conventional MRI, also cannot provide a definite prognosis during the early or late stages of the vegetative state. The
microscopic grades of diffuse axonal injury and transneuronal thalamic degeneration that result from cortical diffuse axonal injury care not detectable by conventional neuropathological techniques; however, it is possible that transneuronal thalamic degeneration is responsible for at least some of the clinically evident neurological and cognitive deficits of patients in a persistent vegetative state. Although neuropathology studies can detect transneuronal degeneration or direct traumatic lesions present three months after trauma in nearly all (96%) patients in a persistent vegetative state, MRI studies conducted by Kampfl et al. showed thalamic damage with haemorrhagic or non-haemorrhagic lesions in only 40% of patients in a persistent vegetative state. They did not evaluate thalamic activity in their study.

MRS, with its ability to detect in vivo the neurochemical alterations associated with various pathologies, has the potential to fill this role. MRS can measure the concentrations of neurochemicals such as NAA, Cr, and Cho and provide a kind of chemical illustration of the brain's composition. In grey matter, NAA is found in neuronal cell bodies, whereas in white matter it is found predominantly in axons. A lowered NAA peak is associated with various pathologies, suggesting that neuronal or axonal loss or dysfunction would be detectable by MRS in the form of reduced NAA concentrations. In models of brain injury in the rat, Rubin et al. reported a loss of NAA in the cortex of animals one hour after injury and Signoretti et al. reported a similar decrease within minutes of injury. In a swine model of rotational acceleration injury, Cecil et al. also noted decreased NAA concentrations one hour after injury, with concentrations remaining stable up to one week after the injury.

Few researchers have used proton MRS for the detection of diffuse axonal injury in patients with head trauma, although the few investigations that do appear in the literature are promising in terms of the role of proton MRS. Choe et al. evaluated neuronal and axonal dysfunction by using in vivo proton MRS in patients with closed head injury and Ricci et al. found greatly decreased NAA:Cr and NAA:Cho ratios in the frontal cortices of a series of 14 patients in vegetative state, despite normal MRI results in this region. These studies suggest both that the observed reduced NAA:Cr ratio may be an indicator of axonal loss in patients with head injury and that lower NAA:Cr ratios correlate with poor clinical outcome.

Evaluating the usefulness of thalamic proton MRS for patients in vegetative state was the primary goal of this study. Because thalamic abnormality results from both primary damage to the structure itself and secondary effects (transneuronal degeneration from cortical lesion detectable three months after trauma) of the persistent vegetative state, a precise radiographic evaluation of this area is an excellent method for determining the extent of axonal and neuronal damage in patients in the vegetative state. The MRS results that we obtained showed that both patients in a persistent vegetative state and those who regained awareness by the time the study was concluded had significantly lower NAA:Cr ratios than the control group. When the two brain injured groups were compared, those in a persistent vegetative state had lower NAA:Cr ratios than the group that eventually regained awareness. On the basis of this comparison, NAA:Cr ratios appear to be relevant to the differentiation between patients in vegetative state who have the potential for some degree of recovery and those for whom any recovery is unlikely. Our results showed that low NAA:Cr ratios are indicative of neuronal and axonal loss and secondary transneuronal degeneration in all patients in a persistent vegetative state at the sixth month; however, these same changes were evident in neuropathological studies as early as three months after the initial trauma in almost all (96%) patients who had been in vegetative state. Our results indicate that metabolic ratios routinely measured with MRS furnish a means to quantify brain metabolic damage, allowing for the possibility of grading the severity of neuronal and axonal injury in patients in the vegetative state.

Our study has some technical limitations. Firstly, we used a large voxel size for MRS examination of the thalamus and this may cause some degree of cerebrospinal fluid contamination. Cerebrospinal fluid contamination may have been higher in the persistent vegetative state group than in the vegetative state group and this situation may cause some degree of miscalculation of brain metabolites with MRS. Secondly, we used the single voxel technique in this study. However, single voxel localisation techniques have a number of limitations in the context of clinical spectroscopy: voxel sizes are often relatively large and no information is available concerning spatial distribution or extent of metabolic abnormalities. In contrast, MRS imaging has significant advantages in terms of spatial resolution, efficiency of data collection, and mapping the distribution of cerebral metabolic concentrations. We are planning to use the MRS imaging technique and a small voxel size in our future thalamic magnetic spectroscopy study in patients in a persistent vegetative state.

Although we do feel that our initial results with thalamic proton MRS imaging are promising and that thalamic proton MRS may provide the possibility of grading the severity of neuronal and axonal injury in the vegetative state, we recognise that certain aspects of our study can be perceived as limitations. MRS imaging was not performed in the early vegetative state stage in all patients; rather, we waited at least three months in order to be able to detect transneuronal degeneration and any involuntary thalamic involvement. A more serious limitation to our study was the small size of our patient population. Without a larger number of patients, we cannot make any definitive statements about the value of thalamic proton MRS for the evaluation of patients in vegetative state. Studies of larger and more homogeneous (in terms of duration of the vegetative state) patient populations and using new MRS techniques (such as MRI) would improve our ability to define and evaluate the future role of thalamic proton MRS in forming a prognosis and predicting an eventual outcome.
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