Clinical application of neuroimaging in epilepsy
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Objective: To evaluate the use of neuroimaging in clinical practice and to assess the prevalence of detected structural abnormalities in epilepsy patients in a clinical set up.

Methods: 919 outpatients were identified and the scan results reviewed. A total of 677 patients had chronic active epilepsy (88 had idiopathic generalised epilepsy (IGE), 588 had localisation related epilepsy, one had symptomatic generalised epilepsy), 57 had a single epileptic seizure, 46 were in remission, and 139 had non-epileptic attacks.

Results: 391 patients had no scan (53 patients in this group had IGE, 182 had localisation related epilepsy, one had generalised symptomatic epilepsy, 18 had single epileptic attacks, 21 were in remission, 116 had non-epileptic attacks). Altogether 528 patients had a scan, the results were not available in 33, 163 had x ray computed tomography (CT) only, 178 had standard magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (slice thickness 5 mm), and 154 had high resolution MRI (including a T1 weighted sequence with 1.5 mm thick slices). Some 252 of 495 scans (51%) were abnormal. Abnormalities were hippocampal sclerosis (n=128), atrophy or non-specific white matter lesions (n=35), vascular abnormalities (n=27), tumours (n=25), brain damage (n=24), malformations of cortical development (n=13). Excluding atrophy and non-specific white matter lesions the prevalence of detected abnormalities was 54% in localisation related epilepsy, 18% in single seizure patients, 16% in epilepsy in remission, and 0% in IGE and non-epileptic attacks.

Conclusions: Abnormalities were detected in more than half of all patients with localisation related epilepsy, and in about one in five patients with single seizures or epilepsy in remission. Many patients had no scan or only CT or standard MRI. The true prevalence of structural abnormalities may be have been higher. Scanning did not add any information in patients with IGE or non-epileptic attacks.

RESULTS

Clinical data
A total of 919 patients were identified. The mean age was 39.7 years (median 39, minimum 15, maximum 87 years, standard deviation 14.2 years). Some 603 were seen at specialist epilepsy clinics (190 had been considered for epilepsy surgery), 316 patients were seen at general neurology clinics by one neurologist. Altogether 677 patients had chronic active epilepsy, 88 patients had IGE, 589 patients suffered from epilepsies other than IGE (all but one patient in this group had localisation related cryptogenic or symptomatic epilepsy, there were no patients with Rolandic epilepsy). Fifty seven patients...
had single epileptic attacks, 46 were in remission, and 139 patients were classified as suffering from non-epileptic attacks. There was no overlap between the groups. The patients were classified as suffering from non-epileptic attacks. Only abnormalities relevant to epilepsy were included. (Hippocampal sclerosis, tumours, malformations, vascular abnormalities, non-specific white matter lesion, and atrophy were not included in this group.) MRI+, abnormality on MRI detected; MRI−, no relevant abnormality detected; CT+, abnormality detected; CT−, no abnormality detected; no scan, no imaging performed; no result, scan result not available. *LRE includes the single patient with symptomatic generalised epilepsy.

CT imaging was performed on a Philips CT scanner. Intravenous contrast was given if a focal onset was indicated on the request form. Axial images were obtained. Standard MRI was performed on a Siemens Magneton or a GE 1.5 Tesla scanner. Sagittal T1 weighted images and axial T2 weighted and proton density weighted images were obtained in all patients. In some patients coronal T1 weighted images and coronal fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images were also obtained. All images had a slice thickness of 5 mm (typical matrix 192×256 and typical FOV 25 cm). Intravenous contrast was given in a minority of patients (usually with mass lesions). A small group of patients had CT or standard MRI investigations using similar protocols at other hospitals. High resolution MRI (slice thickness 1.5 mm) imaging was performed on a GE 1.5 T scanner. Coronal T1 weighted contiguous images with 1.5 mm slice thickness and coronal T2 weighted, proton density weighted, and FLAIR images with 5 mm slice thickness were obtained. Measurements of hippocampal T2 relaxation time and volume were performed using previously described methods. Normal limits of hippocampal volume and T2 relaxation time were established from T3 controls. Hippocampal measurements in a patient outside the 99% confidence intervals in controls were considered abnormal. All images had been reported by neuroradiologists.

**Scan results**

Some 252 of the 495 reviewed scan results (51%) were abnormal.

**Chronic active localisation related epilepsy**

Abnormalities were most frequently detected in this group (58% abnormalities). This group had the most favourable MRI:CT ratio of about 3 to 1 and had all but two high resolution MRI (slice thickness 1.5 mm) scans.

**Single attack**

Abnormalities were detected in one of three of all patients with single epileptic attacks, most had non-specific abnormalities or cerebrovascular accidents. Two had tumours. One patient (of four patients under 20) was a 17 year old with a single generalised nocturnal seizure. This patient had a presumed oligodendroglioma in the right posterior temporal region. There were no signs on examination, the EEG was normal. The lesion was detected on CT and confirmed on standard MRI. Another 29 year old patient in the single attack group had a large right temporal arterio venous malformation. The initial investigation in this patient was a standard MRI, CT was not performed.

**Remission**

In more than 80% no abnormality was detected. Abnormalities were usually non-specific, two had vascular lesions (there was one patient who had an excision of an arterio venous malformation and one had a cerebrovascular accident) one patient had brain damage.

**Neuroimaging data**

A total of 528 patients had a scan. The results of 33 scans were not available. In one patient the study was incomplete because of claustrophobia these patients were excluded from further analysis. The scan results of 495 patients were reviewed (mean age 40.1 years, standard deviation 13.5 years). Altogether 163 patients had CT only. A total of 178 patients had a standard MRI. Almost all patients in this group had also a CT scan. Some 154 patients had high resolution MRI (including a coronal T1 weighted sequence with slice thickness 1.5 mm) in addition to CT and standard MRI. All 154 had been considered for epilepsy surgery (fig 1).

**Figure 1** Stacked graph showing the number of patients with abnormal scans, normal scans, no scans, and unavaiable results as a proportion of the total number for the different groups (localisation related epilepsy [LRE]*, idiopathic generalised epilepsy [IGE], epilepsy in remission [Remission], single epileptic attack [Single attack], non-epileptic attacks [NES]). Only abnormalities relevant to epilepsy were included. (Hippocampal sclerosis, tumours, malformations, vascular abnormalities, non-specific white matter lesion, and atrophy were not included in this graph.) MRI+, abnormality on MRI detected; MRI−, no relevant abnormality detected; CT+, abnormality detected; CT−, no abnormality detected; no scan, no imaging performed; no result, scan result not available. *LRE includes the single patient with symptomatic generalised epilepsy.

| Table 1 Abnormal scans, excluding atrophy and non-specific white matter lesions |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Abnormal/total (%)               | All scans       | CT only         | sMRI            | hMRI            |
| LRE                              | 207/387 (54)    | 28/93 (30)      | 69/142 (49)     | 110/152 (72)    |
| IGE                              | 0/31 (0)        | 0/17 (0)        | 0/13 (0)        | 0/1 (0)         |
| Single                           | 7/40 (18)       | 5/31 (16)       | 2/9 (22)        | N/A             |
| Remission                        | 3/19 (16)       | 2/8 (25)        | 1/11 (9)        | N/A             |
| NES                              | 0/18 (0)        | 0/14 (0)        | 0/3 (0)         | 0/1 (0)         |
| Total                            | 217/495 (44%)   | 35/163 (22)     | 72/178 (40)     | 110/154 (71)    |

CT, computed tomography; sMRI, standard magnetic resonance imaging with 5 mm thick slices; hMRI, high resolution MRI with 1.5 mm thick slices; LRE, localisation related epilepsy; IGE, idiopathic generalised epilepsy; Remission, epilepsy in remission; Single, single epileptic attack; NES, non-epileptic attack.
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Non-epileptic attacks

Only in 17% of patients with non-epileptic attacks were abnormalities detected, all were non-specific white matter lesions.

IGE

All but one IGE patient had normal scan reports. The detected abnormality was a single small non-specific white matter lesion in the brain stem of uncertain significance (table 1).

Detected abnormalities

The most commonly detected abnormality was hippocampal sclerosis (n=128), followed by non-specific abnormalities (n=35), vascular abnormalities (n=27), tumours (n=25, of which were four meningiomas), brain damage (n=24), malformations of cortical development (n=13). Dual abnormality was described in two patients (table 2).

Hippocampal sclerosis was usually detected with high resolution MRI, other abnormalities were infrequent in this group of patients who were all considered for epilepsy surgery. There was one patient who had a small temporal glioma, which was only detected on high resolution MRI. Hippocampal sclerosis was occasionally detected with standard MRI, but not CT (table 3).

The standard MRI scans of two patients were initially reported as normal, but on review found to be abnormal. One patient had suffered from refractory cryptogenic localisation related epilepsy and learning disability and died a sudden unexplained death. A postmortem examination revealed hippocampal sclerosis, on review of the standard MRI with the knowledge of the result postmortem examination the left hippocampus was felt to be smaller and the white matter of the left hemisphere returned a high signal. Another patient suffered from refractory cryptogenic localisation related epilepsy. The patient had a mild learning disability but no family history, or cutaneous stigmata of tuberous sclerosis. His standard MRI was initially reported as normal but a subsequent CT showed two periventricular calcifications consistent with tubers. The CT prompted a review of the standard MRI, it was felt that there was an area of subtle malformation of cortical development.

In one patient standard MRI showed a dilatation of the right temporal horn, quantitative measurements of the hippocampus were within normal limits. The patient underwent a right temporal lobectomy, histological examination showed widespread gliosis involving white matter, the patient was not seizure free but experienced a 60% seizure reduction.

DISCUSSION

A striking finding of our study was that a high proportion of patients who had no scan. In about 43% of years patients any evidence of previous scanning was found. About 30% of all patients with localisation related epilepsy were not scanned. The rationale for imaging the brain of patients developing epilepsy is first to identify underlying abnormalities such as vascular lesions and tumours that require specific treatment and second to assist the formulation of syndromic and aetiological diagnosis. Our own data showed that in patients with localisation related epilepsy who were scanned the prevalence of structural abnormalities was high. More than half of all scans were abnormal. A third of all patients had hippocampal sclerosis. Tumours, vascular lesions, and brain damage were present in about 5% and malformations of cortical development were detected in 3% of all patients with localisation related epilepsy. Similar overall abnormalities (61%) and tumour prevalence (6%) were found in a population based study. In the subgroup of our patients with localisation related epilepsy who were scanned with high resolution MRI, the prevalence of detected abnormalities was almost 80%, similar to the prevalence reported by Li et al. It has to be kept in mind that these patients were suffering from severe epilepsy and were highly selected. This group was therefore not representative for epilepsy as a whole. Nevertheless, our study underlined the value of scanning patients with localisation related epilepsy in the clinical setting. In our study 182 patients with localisation related epilepsy were not scanned at all, which is not in accordance with accepted guidelines and raises important clinical governance issues.

In patients with single epileptic seizures the yield of neuroimaging as used in our study was lower than in localisation related epilepsy. Several factors may explain the difference. Some patients with single attacks probably will develop IGE, patients with single attacks may have a more benign form of epilepsy that may be less likely to be associated with structural abnormalities, patients often had only CT imaging and subtle abnormalities may have been overlooked and some structural abnormalities such as atrophy may only develop after the onset of epilepsy. However, a substantial minority of patients with single seizures had abnormalities (18%), a result similar to the result published by King et al who found 38 lesions in 300 patients with single attacks. Hopkins suggested that people who have had a first seizure should be scanned if the clinical onset of the seizure was clearly partial or if there are focal neurological signs that are not clearly accounted for by known pre-existing neurological damage such as cerebral palsy or embolus. Following this protocol the vast majority of abnormalities would be detected. However, a small minority of potentially treatable abnormalities may be missed. In our study two patients with single seizures had tumours, one was under the age of 20 and had no localising

**Table 2** Detected abnormalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormality</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Tumour</th>
<th>DAM</th>
<th>MCD</th>
<th>Non-spec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LRE</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NES</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Abnormality and imaging modality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormality</th>
<th>CT only</th>
<th>sMRI</th>
<th>hMRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-spec</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations as for tables 1 and 2.

HS, hippocampal sclerosis; VAS, vascular abnormalities, including arterio-venous malformation; DAM, brain damage; MCD, malformation of cortical development; Non-spec, non-specific white matter lesions and non-specific atrophy. Other abbreviations as for table 1.
signs. Another patient without localising signs had an arteriovenous malformation. Our result was in keeping with a study of 190 patients after single seizures. In three of 119 patients interventions were likely to have been both effective and stimulated by the CT scan alone rather than by the results of clinical examination—two with meningioma and one with arterio-venous malformation.1 In our study about 30% of all patients with a single unprovoked epileptic seizure had no scan. Omitting neuroimaging may be acceptable after the first seizure in patients with clear evidence for idiopathic generalised or Rolandic epilepsy, but neuroimaging should be performed in all remaining patients after the first unprovoked seizure.15 Remission was not incompatible with structural abnormalities in our study. Most remission patients had non-specific white matter lesions and atrophy but there were patients with vascular lesions such as an arterio-venous malformation. Our study underlines the fact that remission does not exclude the presence of serious cerebral abnormalities. In our study no patient with detected hippocampal atrophy or low grade glioma was in remission.

No abnormalities were detected in patients with IGE or patients with non-epileptic seizures. Almost 40% and about 20% respectively had scans in the two groups. Uncertainty about the diagnosis may explain why the scans were requested. Routine MRI does not add information in patients with IGE or Rolandic epilepsy and routine MRI is not recommended in those patients.16 New MRI techniques may lead to clinical applications of neuroimaging in IGE in the future17 but there is no clinical application at present. Early clinical classification, supported by EEG, is important to avoid unnecessary imaging investigations. Occasionally the EEG may show bilaterally synchronous discharges in patients with focal lesions, which may lead to the erroneous diagnosis of IGE.18–20 The diagnosis should be reviewed and imaging performed in all patients where the seizure semiology or treatment response is unusual for IGE or Rolandic epilepsy.

The other main finding was the frequent use of CT and standard MRI with 5 mm thick slices in the clinical setting. About one third (163 patients) of all patients who had scans had only a cranial CT investigation, one third had a standard MRI with 5 mm thick slices, usually with CT (178 patients) and one third (154 patients) had a high resolution MRI including a T1 weighted sequence with 1.5 mm slice thickness. Since the initial application in 1984,21 the superiority of MRI over x-ray CT in terms of sensitivity and specificity for identifying the aetiology of epilepsy has become firmly established.22–28 Epileptogenic lesions may be missed in patients who had only CT or standard MRI. Hippocampal sclerosis, small low grade gliomas or small arterio-venous malformations and malformations of cortical development are all difficult to detect on CT.29 In addition a CT examination of the head involves a radiation dose of 2.3 mSv, which equals more than 100 chest radiographs or the background radiation of about one year.29 MRI should therefore be the examination of choice in patients with epilepsy. CT may retain a role as the initial investigation if MRI is not possible because of claustrophobia, learning disability, acute disorders such as status epilepticus, contraindication for MRI, or if MRI is not available within reasonable time. CT also retains a role, supplementary to MRI, in the recognition of intracranial calcifications that may not be easily detected on MR images.30 Calcified tubers in tuberous sclerosis, which is commonly associated with epilepsy, are more conspicuous on CT images than MRI. This was again illustrated in our study. A calcified tuber was initially overlooked on MRI but later detected on CT. Calcifications also commonly occur in neurocysticercosis, worldwide a main cause of epilepsy.11 New MRI techniques such as magnetisation transfer imaging may increase the yield of MRI in neurocysticercosis31 and possible replace CT.

MRI is a versatile diagnostic tool and it is important to choose the appropriate imaging parameters to get optimal results in epilepsy. Important examples such as hippocampal sclerosis, subtle focal cortical dysplasias, and band heterotopia may be difficult to detect on standard MRI and high resolution MRI (slice thickness 1.5 mm) is the method of choice.32–34 The sensitivity of MRI for hippocampal sclerosis can be further improved by the use of FLAIR.35–37 The limitations of standard MRI to detect hippocampal sclerosis were again highlighted in our study. The standard MRI scan of a patient with hippocampal sclerosis on postmortem examination was initially reported as normal. The recommendation to use MRI with thin slices and preferably including a FLAIR sequence was therefore supported by our data in the clinical setting. These MRI sequences are readily available on clinic MRI scanners and add only a few minutes to the examination. MRI and magnetic resonance spectroscopy are developing techniques. Other magnetic resonance techniques such as diffusion imaging,38–41 functional MRI,42 quantitative measurements of volume of structures and T2 relaxation time,43–45 serial MRI,46 or spectroscopy and multi-modal imaging47 have all been used in epilepsy research and may gain a clinical role in selected epilepsy patients in the future.

Summary

In our clinical setting many patients with localisation related epilepsy had no scan or were only scanned with CT or standard MRI which are less sensitive for important epileptogenic lesion than high resolution MRI. Our study provided further examples where high resolution MRI was superior to other imaging modalities. An MRI protocol for epilepsy should include at least one sequence with coronal T1 weighted thin slices (slice thickness 1 mm to 2 mm). A significant minority of patients with non-epileptic seizures and IGE were scanned but imaging did not add any relevant abnormalities.

Neuroimaging detected abnormalities in most patients with localisation related epilepsy and in a sizeable number of patients with single seizures and epilepsy in remission. Our study underlined the importance of optimal neuroimaging for these patients.
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