Melissa officinalis extract in the treatment of patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease: a double blind, randomised, placebo controlled trial
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Objective: To assess the efficacy and safety of Melissa officinalis extract using a fixed dose (60 drops/day) in patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease.

Design: A four month, parallel group, placebo controlled trial undertaken in three centres in Tehran, Iran.

Methods: Patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease aged between 65 and 80 years (n = 42; 18 women, 24 men) with a score of ≥ 12 on the cognitive subscale of Alzheimer’s disease assessment scale (ADAS-cog) and ≤ 2 on the clinical dementia rating (CDR) were randomised to placebo or fixed dose of Melissa officinalis extract. The main efficacy measures were the change in the ADAS-cog and CDR-SB scores compared with baseline. Side effects were systematically recorded.

Results: At four months, Melissa officinalis extract produced a significantly better outcome on cognitive function than placebo (ADAS-cog: df = 1, F = 6.93, p = 0.01; CDR: df = 1, F = 16.87, p < 0.0001). There were no significant differences in the two groups in terms of observed side effects except agitation, which was more common in the placebo group (p = 0.03).

Conclusions: Melissa officinalis extract is of value in the management of mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease and has a positive effect on agitation in such patients.

Alzheimer’s disease is the leading cause of dementia in mid to late life and is estimated to affect approximately 20 million people worldwide. There are considerable financial, social, and emotional costs associated with the burden of caring for patients with this disease. The first neurotransmitter defect discovered in Alzheimer’s disease involved acetylcholine. As cholinergic function is required for short term memory, the cholinergic deficit in Alzheimer’s disease was also believed to be responsible for much of the short term memory deficit. Clinical drug trials in patients with Alzheimer’s disease have focused on drugs that augment levels of acetylcholine in the brain to compensate for the loss of cholinergic function. These drugs have included acetylcholine precursors, muscarinic agonists, nicotinic agonists, and acetylcholine esterase inhibitors. The most highly developed and successful approaches to date have employed acetylcholine esterase inhibition. Pharmacological treatment strategies in Alzheimer’s disease include three classes of agents: mechanism based disease modifying treatments such as vitamin E and selegiline; mechanism based treatments that compensate for transmitter deficits such as acetylcholine esterase inhibitors; and psychotropic agents given to relieve the behavioural symptoms of Alzheimer’s disease.

Although two Food and Drug Administration approved drugs are available to neurologists for the management of Alzheimer’s disease, the outcomes are not very promising and there is a place for alternative drugs and in particular for phytotherapy. In this respect, herbal medicines may be a new avenue of treatment for Alzheimer’s disease. It has been suggested—on the basis of a retrospective review of the historical role of various European herbs in the improvement of cognition, and in particular memory—that Melissa officinalis and another herb in the labiatae family, Salvia officinalis, might provide a natural treatment for Alzheimer’s disease. Melissa officinalis comes from Europe and is now grown all over the world. As well as having soothing and carminative effects, it also has anxiolytic and sedative/hypnotic actions. It has been reported that Melissa officinalis has acetylcholine receptor activity in the central nervous system, with both nicotinic and muscarinic binding properties. Moreover, a recent study showed that this herb modulates mood and cognitive performance during acute administration in healthy young volunteers. No side effects or symptoms of toxicity have been reported with its use.

We undertook the present study to test the efficacy and safety of a fixed dose of Melissa officinalis over a four month period in patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease, using a double blind, randomised, placebo controlled trial design.

METHODS

Trial organisation

This was a four month, parallel group, placebo controlled trial undertaken in three centres in Tehran, Iran, during October 2000 to September 2002. Overall coordination of the trial was from the Institute of Medicinal Plants, Tehran.

Participants

Eligible participants in the study were male and female outpatients aged between 65 and 80 years. Patients with a history of cognitive decline that had been gradual in onset and progressive for at least six months were included. Other inclusion criteria were:

Abbreviations: ADAS-cog, cognitive subscale of the Alzheimer’s disease assessment scale; CDR, clinical dementia rating; CDR-SB, clinical dementia rating–sum of the boxes; LOCF, last observation carried forward procedure; OC, observed cases
A diagnosis of probable Alzheimer’s disease according to the criteria of National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS/ADRDA). The presence of mild to moderate dementia (score of ≥ 12 on the cognitive subscale of Alzheimer’s disease assessment scale (ADAS-cog) and ≤ 2 on the clinical dementia rating scale (CDR)).

Patients also had to have regular contact with a responsible caregiver. Those with concomitant diseases such as hypertension, congestive heart failure, non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, and hypothyroidism were included in the study provided the disease was controlled. Patients were excluded from the study if they had evidence of other neurodegenerative disorders; any cardiovascular disease likely to prevent completion of the study; clinically significant psychiatric diseases; urinary outflow obstruction; an active peptic ulcer; any history of epilepsy; or significant drug or alcohol misuse. Any other drugs being taken to treat dementia had to be discontinued. The use of other concomitant drug treatment was permitted, except that, where possible, drugs with a psychotropic action were discontinued 48 hours before cognitive evaluation. Drugs with anticholinergic effects or cholinomimetic effects were avoided.

ADAS-cog is a subscale of 11 items that evaluates selected aspects of attention, language, memory, orientation, praxis, and reasoning. Scores for ADAS-cog range from 0 to 70 (very severe). The clinical dementia rating–sum of the boxes (CDR-SB) score provides a consensus based global clinical measure by summing the ratings from six domains: memory, orientation, judgment, problem solving, community affairs, home and hobbies, and personal care. Ratings are assigned by the function in relation to cognitive ability and past performance, with an increase in score denoting deterioration. Patients were randomised to receive Melissa officinalis extract or placebo, 60 drops/day, in a 1:1 ratio using a computer generated code. The assignments were kept in sealed, opaque envelopes until the point of allocation.

The trial was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and subsequent revisions, and was approved by the ethics committee at the National Research Centre of Medical Sciences of Iran. The patient (or a representative), together with the carer, provided written informed consent for participation.

Interventions
Patients were randomised to receive Melissa officinalis extract 60 drops/day or placebo 60 drops/day. Throughout the study the person who administered the preparations, the rater, and the patients were blind to the assignments.

Extract preparation
Melissa officinalis (leaf) was obtained from the farm of the Institute of Medicinal Plants, Halejerd, Iran. The taxonomic identity of the plants was confirmed by the botanist of the Department of Cultivation and Development of Institute of Medicinal Plants, Tehran. The plant extract was prepared as 1:1 in 45% alcohol. The extract was standardised to contain at least 500 µg citral/ml.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Baseline characteristics</th>
<th>Melissa extract</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) [mean (SD)]</td>
<td>73 (3.8)</td>
<td>73.7 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M 12, F 9</td>
<td>M 12, F 9</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male.

Types of dementia study provided the disease was controlled. Patients were excluded from the study if they had evidence of other neurodegenerative disorders; any cardiovascular disease likely to prevent completion of the study; clinically significant psychiatric diseases; urinary outflow obstruction; an active peptic ulcer; any history of epilepsy; or significant drug or alcohol misuse. Any other drugs being taken to treat dementia had to be discontinued. The use of other concomitant drug treatment was permitted, except that, where possible, drugs with a psychotropic action were discontinued 48 hours before cognitive evaluation. Drugs with anticholinergic effects or cholinomimetic effects were avoided.

ADAS-cog is a subscale of 11 items that evaluates selected aspects of attention, language, memory, orientation, praxis, and reasoning. Scores for ADAS-cog range from 0 to 70 (very severe). The clinical dementia rating–sum of the boxes (CDR-SB) score provides a consensus based global clinical measure by summing the ratings from six domains: memory, orientation, judgment, problem solving, community affairs, home and hobbies, and personal care. Ratings are assigned by the function in relation to cognitive ability and past performance, with an increase in score denoting deterioration. Patients were randomised to receive Melissa officinalis extract or placebo, 60 drops/day, in a 1:1 ratio using a computer generated code. The assignments were kept in sealed, opaque envelopes until the point of allocation.

The trial was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and subsequent revisions, and was approved by the ethics committee at the National Research Centre of Medical Sciences of Iran. The patient (or a representative), together with the carer, provided written informed consent for participation.

Interventions
Patients were randomised to receive Melissa officinalis extract 60 drops/day or placebo 60 drops/day. Throughout the study the person who administered the preparations, the rater, and the patients were blind to the assignments.

Extract preparation
Melissa officinalis (leaf) was obtained from the farm of the Institute of Medicinal Plants, Halejerd, Iran. The taxonomic identity of the plants was confirmed by the botanist of the Department of Cultivation and Development of Institute of Medicinal Plants, Tehran. The plant extract was prepared as 1:1 in 45% alcohol. The extract was standardised to contain at least 500 µg citral/ml.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Melissa extract</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) [mean (SD)]</td>
<td>73 (3.8)</td>
<td>73.7 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M 12, F 9</td>
<td>M 12, F 9</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male.

Outcomes
The main efficacy measures were the ADAS-cog and CDR-SB. Outcome measures were the change in ADAS-cog and CDR-SB scores over the trial. Patients were assessed by a neurologist at baseline and every two weeks after the treatment started.

Safety evaluation
All adverse events, reported or observed, were recorded at each visit. Routine physical examinations were conducted at each clinic visit. Complete physical examinations, including 12 lead ECG recordings, were conducted at week 0, week 8, and week 16.

Statistical analysis
Using data from a pilot study and considering a five point difference in change in the ADAS-cog score between patients treated with Melissa and placebo, we calculated that we needed at least 13 patients in each arm. A two way repeated measures analysis of variance (time–treatment interaction) was used. We considered the two groups as the between-subjects factor (group) and the nine measurements during treatment as the within-subjects factor (time). This was done for both ADAS-cog and CDR-SB scores. In addition, a one way repeated measures analysis of variance with a two tailed post hoc Tukey mean comparison test was undertaken on the change in ADAS-cog and CDR-SB from baseline. To compare the reduction in score of the ADAS-cog and CDR-SB scales at week 16 in relation to baseline, an unpaired two sided Student’s t test was used. Fisher’s exact test was employed to compare the baseline data and frequency of side effects between the protocols. Results are presented as mean (SEM) and were considered significant at a probability (p) value of < 0.05. A traditional “observed cases” (OC) analysis (the patients who completed the trial) at 16 weeks was the primary efficacy analysis. In addition, intention to treat analysis with the last observation carried forward (LOCF) procedure was also done. All results discussed are based on OC analysis unless otherwise stated.

RESULTS
One hundred and eleven patients were screened for the study and 42 were randomised to the trial preparations (21 patients in each group). No significant differences were identified between patients randomly assigned to the Melissa extract group or the placebo group with regard to basic demographic data including age and sex (table 1). Thirty five patients completed the trial. One patient in the Melissa extract group and six in the placebo group dropped out. Although the number of dropouts in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Melissa extract</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) [mean (SD)]</td>
<td>73 (3.8)</td>
<td>73.7 (3.7)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M 12, F 9</td>
<td>M 12, F 9</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mean (SEM) scores of two groups of patients are shown in fig 2. There were no significant differences between the two groups at baseline (week 0) on the ADAS-cog rating scale ($t = 0.36$, df $= 33$, $p = 0.71$). The difference between the two preparations was significant as indicated by the effect of group (between-subjects factor): $F = 6.93$, df $= 1$, $p = 0.01$, and $F = 5.95$, df $= 1$, $p = 0.01$, for OC and LOCF analyses, respectively. The behaviour of the two treatments was not homogeneous across time (group by time interaction, Greenhouse-Geisser correction: $F = 242.78$, df $= 3.09$, $p < 0.0001$). In addition, a one way repeated measures analysis of variance showed a significant effect of both protocols on the ADAS-cog rating scale scores ($p < 0.0001$).

In both groups post hoc comparisons showed a significant change from week 4 on the ADAS-cog rating scale scores (fig 2). The difference between the two protocols was significant at the end point (week 16) ($t = 5.76$, df $= 33$, $p < 0.0001$, and $t = 5.36$, df $= 40$, $p < 0.0001$ for OC and LOCF analyses, respectively). The changes at the end point compared with baseline were (mean (SD)): −1.92 (1.48) and 1.03 (0.54) for Melissa extract and placebo, respectively. A significant difference was observed on the change of scores of the CDR-SB at week 16 compared with baseline in the two groups ($t = 7.34$, df $= 33$, $p < 0.0001$, and $t = 7.89$, df $= 40$, $p < 0.0001$ for OC and LOCF analyses, respectively).

### Clinical complications and side effects

Six side effects were observed over the trial. The difference between the Melissa extract and placebo in the frequency of side effects was not significant except that agitation was less common in the Melissa group (table 2).

### DISCUSSION

This study showed that patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease receiving Melissa officinalis extract experienced significant benefits in cognition after 16 weeks of treatment. The clinical relevance of these findings was emphasised by the improvements seen in both the ADAS-cog and the CDR-SB measures in the Melissa extract group on both “observed case” and “intention to treat” analyses. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first clinical trial of Melissa officinalis extract in the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease, so it is not possible to make comparisons with others trials. However, there is increasing evidence of the possible efficacy of Melissa officinalis and Salvia officinalis in the management of Alzheimer’s disease, and two recent reports showed that Melissa officinalis has CNS acetylcholine receptor activity and modulates mood and cognitive performance following acute administration, in agreement with our present findings.

The side effects associated with Melissa in this study were generally those expected from cholinergic stimulation, and are similar to those reported with cholinesterase inhibitors. Although we did not consider agitation to be a side effect, the frequency of agitation was higher in the placebo group, and
this may indicate an additional advantage of *Melissa officinalis* in the management of agitated patients with Alzheimer’s disease. This is in agreement with the results of a double blind, placebo controlled study that examined the effect of *Melissa officinalis* essential oil aromatherapy on ratings of agitation and quality of life in 71 patients suffering from severe dementia."

**Implications for research**

The limitations of present study, including the small number of patients and a relatively short period of follow up, should be taken into account and indicate the need for further research.

**Conclusions**

The results of this study suggest that *Melissa officinalis* extract has efficacy in the management of mild to moderate Alzheimer’s disease. It also has a beneficial effect on the agitation experienced by patients with this disease, and so may well prove to be a novel natural treatment. We feel these results deserved further investigation.
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