Longitudinal study of cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis: neuropsychological, neuroradiological, and neurophysiological findings
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Objective: (1) To assess cognitive function and cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) involvement in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis; (2) to monitor disease evolution, cognitive dysfunction, and cerebral lesion burden over time (mean 8.5 year follow up period); (3) to study the relation between clinical, neuropsychological, and MRI data. On follow up assessment, visual and auditory oddball event related potentials (ERPs) were recorded as psychophysiological evaluation of cognitive status. Correlations between neuropsychological, MRI, and ERP data were also analysed.

Methods: Neuropsychological study assessed verbal and non-verbal IQ, deterioration index (DI) from WAIS subtests, conceptual reasoning, attention, verbal and visuospatial short-term and long term memory. MRI assessment detected presence of demyelinating lesions by using a semiquantitative method as well as cortical and subcortical atrophy over time.

Results: Attention, short-term and long term visuospatial memory were mildly impaired at baseline and remained unaltered longitudinally. At retesting a significant worsening of verbal long term memory (p=0.023), DI presence (p=0.041) and the increase of supratentorial and subcortical MRI lesions load (p=0.001) emerged. Expanded disability status scale score correlated significantly with total lesion burden at both evaluations (p=0.043 and p=0.024 respectively). Temporal, occipital, and frontal horn lesions as well as cortical atrophy correlated significantly with attention and memory tests at baseline. Follow up assessment revealed significant correlation between cortical atrophy and attention as well as visual and auditory long term memory; spatial long term memory correlated significantly with lesions in body of lateral ventricle and frontal lobe. ERP study showed P300 latency abnormalities in 75% of patients, involving specifically more visual P300 (58.4% of cases) than auditory wave (41.6%). Visual P300 latency and amplitude correlated significantly with DI and auditory P300 latency with frontal horn and brain stem lesions.

Conclusions: These findings revealed mild cognitive impairment in MS patients particularly consistent with slowing information processing over time. Increased MRI lesions do not correlate with the clinical course of the disease and cognitive deficit evolution. Thus, cognitive dysfunction could be related to disease peculiarity and not to the time course. Correlations between P300, neuropsychological, and MRI findings provide further information about ERP application to examine cognitive impairment in MS and probably to investigate their neural origin.

Cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis (MS) occurs in 30%–70% of cases, especially referred to attention, recent memory, information processing speed, executive functions, verbal intellectual ability, and visuospatial perception. Conflicting literature data report that cognitive impairment could be considered an early feature of the disease or a consequence of its duration, course, and severity. It is well known in literature that a greater cognitive impairment is present in chronic progressive (CP) or secondary progressive (SP) form of the disease than in relapsing-remitting (RR) MS patients. Although many studies have pointed out a strong relation between total cerebral lesion burden and cognitive dysfunction, only a few long term longitudinal MS investigations exist in literature providing prognostic information about disease evolution, cognitive dysfunction, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) load. Neuropsychological follow up investigations have revealed a stable mild cognitive impairment involving verbal memory, abstract reasoning, and language. In particular, Jennekens-Schinkel et al studied 33 MS patients with different forms of the disease observing weaker performances than controls in motor speed, reaction time, learning tasks, reading aloud, and fatigue copying. Cognition in itself remained undisturbed in 76% of patients. In 1995, Amato et al concluded that clinical features of the disease do not develop in parallel with cognitive deficits (verbal memory and abstract reasoning) that remain unvaried after a four year follow up. Recently, Amato et al have published the results of 10 year follow up study retesting the same MS patient group evaluated in the previous paper. A progressive cognitive impairment on attention/short-term spatial memory tests emerged in an increasing proportion of patients. Kujala et al found that only mildly impaired patients worsened in a three year controlled follow up in contrast with cognitively preserved MS patients. Combined neuropsychological and MRI follow up investigations are very few in literature. Mariani et al in 1991 performed a two year follow up study in 19 MS patients and none of clinical, neuropsychological, and MRI data changed.

Abbreviations: MS, multiple sclerosis; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; EDSS, expanded disability status scale; RR MS, relapsing-remitting MS; CPMS, chronic progressive MS; SP MS, secondary progressive MS; WAIS, Wechsler adult intelligence scale; DI, deterioration index; ERP, event related potential
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Longitudinal study of cognitive dysfunction in MS

Neuropsychological evaluation was carried out to verify the following cognitive function by means of the related tests:

(1) General intellectual function. The Italian version of Wechsler adult intelligence scale, WAIS\(^\text{31}\) was administered and deterioration index (DI) was calculated according to the following Wechsler's formula:

\[
\text{DI} = \left(\frac{\text{hold} - \text{don't hold}}{\text{hold}}\right) \times 100
\]

that uses age graded scores of five hold tests (information, vocabulary, picture completion, object assembly) and four do not hold tests (similarities, digit span, digit symbol, block design).\(^\text{32}\) The normal limit of each subtest was established considering the 5th percentile of control's performances as cut off point. The presence of DI is generally rated in percentage (%) and positive percentage is indicative for “possible deterioration”. Negative score (about 2%–3%) are considered as absence of DI, but very high negative score may indicate the loss of some intellectual performances.

One patient (MAS74, female, aged 16) was assessed by the Wechsler intelligence scale for children revised, WISC-R\(^\text{33}\) and consequently the DI was not calculated.

(2) Non-verbal reasoning: Raven's progressive coloured matrices.\(^\text{34}\)

(3) Visual attention: visual Search test.\(^\text{35}\)

(4) Verbal short-term memory: digit span from WAIS verbal subtest.\(^\text{36}\)

(5) Spatial short-term memory: Corsi span.\(^\text{37}\)

(6) Verbal long term memory: verbal supraspan—selective reminding test.\(^\text{38}\)

(7) Spatial long term memory: learning spatial supraspan.\(^\text{37}\)

(8) Language function: aphasia test.\(^\text{39}\)

Results were compared with Italian published norms.\(^\text{40–42}\) Individual test performance was considered abnormal when it was below the normal control mean. A grading system developed by Camp et al\(^\text{43}\) was applied to each patient test score, considering the number of standard deviations (SDs) below the control mean. In details, grade 0 was given when the patient scored at or above the control mean, grade 1 when the patient scored at or above 1 SD below the control mean, and grade 2 when the patient scored 2 or more SDs below the control mean. Patients were considered affected by impairment of a given cognitive domain when they scored grade 2 at least on one of the tests assessing the corresponding function.

The neuropsychological evaluation needed about two hours and it was performed in two sessions.

Table 1: Patients’ characteristics (n=12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex (female/male)</th>
<th>10/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDSS ≤4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age onset</td>
<td>26.8 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follow up [in months]</td>
<td>102 (range 79–125)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handedness (right/left)</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Mean age (SD) | 32.5 (8.0) | 40.6 (8.2) |
| Disease duration (y) | 7.7 (6.3) | 13.8 (6.8) |
| EDSS | 1.8 (1.3) | 2.5 (0.9) |
| Education (y) | 9.2 (4.1) | 9.9 (4.5) |
| Depression (yes/no) | 3/9 | 4/8 |

Table 1 summarises the patients’ clinical characteristics.

Neuropsychological assessment

Neuropsychological evaluation was carried out to verify the following cognitive function by means of the related tests:

The neuropsychological evaluation needed about two hours and it was performed in two sessions.

MRI evaluation

Baseline and follow up MRI scans were analysed by two neuroradiologists (MC and AA) blinded to the clinical, neuropsychological, and psychophysiological findings, providing an
inter-rater reliability of 0.95. To estimate presence and size of periventricular and discrete lesions, a semiquantitative method was used to score the total lesion burden and the presence of lesions in 15 different cerebral sites. Total number and size of plaques was counted in each site using a point scale ranging from 0 to 4 with higher numbers indicative of increasing severity. In fact, a score of 0 was related to the absence of demyelinating lesions, 1 was referred to lesions of maximum 0.5 mm; 2 to 0.6–10 mm; 3, lesion size >10 mm; the score 4 indicated lesions larger than score 3. The scores from all 15 sites were then added to obtain the total score for each patient. The follow up assessment focused particularly to describe the increase in lesions. Furthermore, the presence of cortical and subcortical atrophy was also described.

Considering the long period of observation and the obvious upgrade of equipment, in some of the early scans (1987/1989, three patients) MRI images were 8 mm thick and the remaining slices consisted of 6 mm thickness. At follow up, all patients were submitted to brain MRI on 1T Superconductive Unit (Shinadzu SMT-100x) at the Institute of Radiology of Sassari University. The routine examination consisted of spin echo (SE), conventional T1, proton density (DP), and T2 weighted acquisitions with axial and coronal slices of 5 mm thickness. Unfortunately, it was impossible for practical reasons to achieve precise re-positioning of MRI scans on these follow up examinations, considering also the different slice thickness. For these reasons, the MRI scan evaluation was performed in all cases by axial scan analysis and integrated with sagittal and coronal plane evaluation for a more detailed estimate of number and size of demyelinating lesions.

**ERP study**

Visual and auditory oddball ERPs were recorded at follow up using 30 scalp electrodes to elaborate topographical maps. The bandpass was 1–70 Hz, ground electrode placed in Fpz and reference at linked balanced earlobes. Eye artefacts were recorded by two supplementary channels to be eliminated during elaboration. Additional artefact rejection was kept on ±40 µV. The visual oddball paradigm consisted of numeric stimuli (respectively, 1 as frequent stimulus and 0 as rare one) presented binocularly and randomly on a screen. In the auditory oddball paradigm, pitch tones (1000 Hz frequent and 2000 Hz rare stimuli) were administered binaurally and randomly. Stimuli duration was 150 ms, rise-fall time 30 ms, and 1300 ±250 ms interstimulus period. The frequent/rare ratio was 4:1. An average of 20 rare stimuli was presented to the patients and each test was performed three times to verify the repeatability of the waves. The subjects, comfortably seated on a chair, were instructed to mentally count and press a button to respond to rare stimuli. In such a way, we monitored patients’ attention level.

Each ERP component was identified according to its polarity, latency, and scalp distribution independently by two of the authors (IM and KSP) resulting in a low inter-rate variability between the two measurements. In the visual modality, the early components were distinguished in P1, reflecting the first positive deflection, N1 as the first negative deflection and P2 as the positive wave following the N1. The cognitive potentials were recognised only in response to the rare stimuli in averaged EEG and included the N200 and the P300, the first considered the most negativity between the P2 and the P300 and the second the most prominent positivity following the N200.

In the auditory modality, the early components were labelled N100 and P200 respectively verifying about 100 ms and 200 ms after the rare stimulus, reflecting the sensory processing. N200 was recorded after the P200 and before the late positive component called P300.

The amplitudes of ERP components were calculated with respect of the mean of EEG activity occurring during the 200 ms prestimulus baseline period scoring the peak to peak values. If the P300 amplitude was baseline too small and the morphology of the trace was not well clear, the P300 wave was considered not identifiable. Results were compared with 15 sex, education, and age matched controls. A regression line was calculated for auditory and visual ERPs in controls and MS patients to evaluate the relation between age, latencies, and amplitude. Visual and auditory latency of N200 and P300 correlated significantly in controls (visual N200 r=0.64 p<0.03, visual P300 r=0.75 p<0.02; auditory N200 r=0.72 p<0.03, visual P300 r=0.81 p<0.01). In contrast, both N200 and P300 amplitude did not show significant correlation with age. Such correlations were not evident for patient group. Abnormal latency of a component was defined as a value equal or greater than 2 SDs of age matched estimate calculated by means of the linear regression analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

Neuropsychological and neuroradiological data were statistically computed to investigate correlations at baseline and follow up by using paired t tests or Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank tests depending on whether the data distribution was considered to be normal or not. Correlations between variables at baseline and follow up were assessed with the Spearman rank correlation coefficients.

The software used for the analysis of the data was the statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) for Windows version 10.0.

**RESULTS**

**Neuropsychological findings**

Before administering the psychometric test battery, patients’ language function (oral and written comprehension and production) were assessed and none of them showed abnormalities at both evaluations.

| Table 2 Number of patients obtaining grade 0, 1, and 2 in each neuropsychological test |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                | Grade 0 Baseline Follow up | Grade 1 Baseline Follow up | Grade 2 Baseline Follow up |
| WAIS full scale IQ      | 8 7            | 1 3            | 3 2            |
| Raven progressive matrices | 9 9            | 2 3            | 1 0            |
| Visual search           | 5 5            | 6 3            | 1 2            |
| Digit span—WAIS        | 10 9           | 1 3            | 1 0            |
| Corsi span             | 5 8            | 6 3            | 1 1            |
| Verbal supraspan       | 9 3            | 1 7            | 2 2            |
| Learning spatial supraspan | 8 5            | 2 5            | 2 2            |

WAIS, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale. Each psychometric test was scored according to the grade system by Camp et al developed on the numbers of SDs. Grade 0, score at normal value. Grade 1, score ≥1 SD below normal value. Grade 2, score ≥2 SDs below normal value.
Table 2 shows baseline and follow up neuropsychological performances of patients for each test according to the above mentioned grading system. Mean neuropsychological test scores at baseline and follow up are summarised in table 3. A statistically significant decline was present only on the DI (p=0.041) and the verbal long term memory test (p=0.023).

**WAIS subtests: deterioration index**

DI presence was detected in six patients (50%) at baseline and in seven patients (58.4%) at the follow up examination. Such data were related to 11 patients (leaving out MAS74 patient) because of different methods used between the baseline and follow up testing as mentioned in Methods. The mean values did not show any significant changes as shown in table 4.

At the second assessment, five patients displayed very negative values of DI (ranging from −2.7% to −60.90%). To explain such negative scores, we evaluated the performance of each WAIS subtest. So, we noted that when the 5th percentile of controls’ performances was used as a cut off, five patients (41.6%) failed at least one of those subtests related to the abstract/conceptual reasoning skills as arithmetic, comprehension, and vocabulary.

**RMN study**

Twelve RR MS patients underwent brain MRI. According to Ormerod criteria, we scored a total lesion burden and lesion load in each of periventricular and discrete lesions in agreement to a score ranging from 0 (absence of demyelination) to 4 (severe lesions load). Total and specific MRI score index of cerebral areas are reported in table 5. Figure 1 shows the increase of lesion burden.

**ERP recordings**

The early components of visual ERPs (P1, N1, P2) showed a non-typical, robust morphology and a smaller amplitude compared to controls, but such differences failed to be significant. As for the auditory modality, the early components (N1 and P2) were clearly identified in all patients.

Visual P300 wave was normal in five patients; in the remaining cases we observed three patients with delayed N200 and P300 latencies more than 2 SDs and four patients

---

**Table 3** Mean scores of MS patients at baseline and follow up neuropsychological testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuropsychological battery</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAIS full scale IQ</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raven progressive matrices</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deterioration index (DI)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual search</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corsi span</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal supraspan</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual spatial supraspan</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison between the baseline and the follow up was performed by paired t test. Significant p value <0.05 in bold letters; NS, not significant value, p>0.05. Note the different version of visual spatial supraspan test at initial (only retained items) and final assessment [by Spinnler and Tognoni](13).

**Table 4** Mean scores (SD) of WAIS subtests at baseline and follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verbal subtests</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information*</td>
<td>8.9 (3.1)</td>
<td>9.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehension</td>
<td>9.9 (3.0)</td>
<td>7.8 (3.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic</td>
<td>8.6 (2.6)</td>
<td>7.3 (2.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarities†</td>
<td>10.3 (2.5)</td>
<td>9.6 (4.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span†</td>
<td>8.1 (3.3)</td>
<td>7.3 (3.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary*</td>
<td>8.9 (2.4)</td>
<td>7.9 (3.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance subtests</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digit symbol†</td>
<td>6.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>6.7 (1.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture completion*</td>
<td>9.0 (1.8)</td>
<td>8.9 (2.0)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block design†</td>
<td>8.5 (2.3)</td>
<td>8.1 (1.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture arrangement</td>
<td>8.3 (2.2)</td>
<td>8.8 (3.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object assembly*</td>
<td>7.5 (2.7)</td>
<td>8.2 (2.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Hold tests and †do not hold tests of WAIS considered for deterioration index calculation. Comparison between the baseline and the follow up was performed by paired t test; NS, not significant value p>0.05.

**Figure 1** MRI scans of two patients showing the demyelinating lesions at baseline (top) and the increasing lesion burden at follow up examination (bottom).
showing an unidentifiable N200 and P300. As a group, significant differences of N200 (p = 0.03) and P300 (p = 0.04) latencies were found in MS patients compared with the controls (patients: N200 279.1 (28.2) ms, P300 376.2 (25.4) ms; controls: N200 248.6 (15.6) ms, P300 353.1 (15.2) ms).

Auditory ERP recordings revealed normal morphology, latency, and amplitude in seven patients. Four patients showed an increased latency of N200 and P300 (more than 2 SDs) and only one patient had no N200 and P300 wave that could be clearly identified. Auditory N200 and P300 latencies of MS group were significantly prolonged (p = 0.02 and p = 0.04 respectively) compared with the controls (patients: N200 240.1 (26.3) ms, P300 354.7 (21.1) ms; controls: N200 217.1 (14.2) ms, P300 328.4 (25.6) ms).

Visual and auditory P300 amplitudes were significantly reduced in patients compared with controls (p = 0.041 and p = 0.021 respectively). Figure 2 illustrates the visual and auditory ERP waves and their topographical distribution.

In our MS patient group, considering the delayed latency, the smaller amplitude and the cases with a not clearly identifiable P300, ERP abnormalities occurred in 75% of patients with the visual P300 more impaired (7 of 12 58.4 % of cases) than auditory wave (5 of 12 41.6 %). Topographical distribution, assessed by brain mapping representation, did not seem significantly changed with respect to control group.

**Statistical analysis of EDSS, neuropsychological, MRI, and ERP data**

Both initial and follow up correlations between neuropsychological tests, EDSS score, total and specific area MRI score index were analysed.

At baseline, significant correlations were found between the following variables: EDSS and total MRI score (r = 0.59; p = 0.043), WAIS-digit span test (r = 0.58; p = 0.046), learning spatial supraspan test (r = 0.60; p = 0.039), cerebellum (r = 0.67; p = 0.016), cortical atrophy (r = 0.59; p = 0.044). Because of these correlations, further analyses were performed to remove the possible effect of EDSS score on neuropsychological performances. Physical disability effects were assessed with an analysis of covariance with EDSS score as the covariate and no significant correlations were found.

Visual search test correlated significantly with temporal horn (r = 0.62; p = 0.045), occipital horn (r = 0.72; p = 0.007), frontal horn (r = 0.62; p = 0.029), and cortical atrophy (r = 0.58; p = 0.046).

Verbal short-term memory (WAIS digit span) was significantly correlated with occipital horn (r = 0.60; p = 0.037) and presence of atrophy (r = 0.99; p = 0.001). Verbal (verbal supraspan) and spatial (learning spatial supraspan test) long term memory test yielded significance respectively with parietal lobe (r = 0.57; p = 0.049) and occipital horn (r = 0.66; p = 0.019) and with internal capsula lesions (r = 0.78; p = 0.002) and cortical atrophy (r = 0.69; p = 0.01).

At follow up statistical correlations were significant between the following variables: EDSS and total MRI score (r = 0.64; p = 0.024), frontal horn (r = 0.72; p = 0.007), fourth ventricle (r = 0.76; p = 0.003), cerebellum (r = 0.62; p = 0.029). Performances on Raven test showed significant correlations with brain stem lesions (r = 0.65; p = 0.020) and cortical atrophy (r = 0.59; p = 0.042). Visual attention correlated significantly with cortical atrophy (r = 0.62; p = 0.031) as well as visuospatial short-term memory (r = 0.86; p = 0.001). Learning spatial supraspan test presented significant correlations with lesions in body of lateral ventricle (r = 0.58; p = 0.044) and frontal lobe plaques (r = 0.58; p = 0.044).

Statistical analysis of neuropsychological data and ERP findings revealed significant correlations between degree of DI and visual N200 latency (r = −0.59; p = 0.041), P300 latency and amplitude (respectively, r = −0.74; p = 0.005 and r = −0.62; p = 0.029) besides of auditory P300 latency and frontal horn (r = −0.64; p = 0.024) and brain stem (r = −0.80; p = 0.001) lesions.

**DISCUSSION**

This longitudinal study aimed at evaluating cognitive impairment in RR MS patients in relation to the clinical course of the disease, the increased cerebral lesion load, and brain atrophy over a mean 8.5 year follow up. During the whole observation period, the EDSS score was inferior or equal to 4 as to evaluating subjects without neurological disability. In fact, a very careful physical and neurological examination was conducted to assess the integrity of fine motor functioning, muscular force and praxic skills every six months over the whole follow up. In such a way the neuropsychological testing, requiring a good motor and speed performance and a fine visual acuity, was not influenced by physical disability. However, the relation between neuropsychological findings and EDSS score is still debated in literature and most papers report that MS patients could suffered from cognitive impairment even in less
disabling form of the disease.

We excluded any possible effect of EDSS score (that is physical handicap) on neuropsychological performances by means of analysis of covariates. To avoid any possible effect of EDSS score as covariate.

At baseline evaluation, a reliable method to assess the global cognitive status was the full WAIS battery administration and the DI calculation. Also specific attention and memory tests were selected to complete the neuropsychological assessment. On retesting, a significant worsening of DI and long term verbal memory emerged. DI presence indicates a slowing of speed elaboration and attention with poor performances of immediate memory tests and abstract reasoning tests (digit span, digit symbol, block design, similarities). Recently, the validity of DI in identifying a real intellectual deterioration has been questioned but at retesting we considered such parameter because of methodological rigour and because of its significant changes.

The global IQ seemed not to be impaired on baseline and over time; consequently we analysed the patients’ performances of each WAIS subtest to better clarify the individual differences. Overall, most verbal performance WAIS subtests (comprehension, arithmetic, vocabulary, and digit span) showed a much more depressive worsening in an increased number of patients after the follow up period. This may be indicative of impairments in information processing activation to recall and organise the right response, as to word meaning, and problem solving.

Among our patients, long term verbal memory was significantly compromised after the 8.5 follow up period whereas the remaining neuropsychological domains remained unchanged. In our study, poor performances on Verbal Supraspan Test were scored using the delayed recall score of the Selective Reminding Test. Such findings could account for an impairment of intentional retrieval in agreement with previous papers. In contrast with our findings, Fogliamon et al carried out a cross sectional study in RR MS patients demonstrating deficits on encoding and automatic retrieval by using only unrelated items and paired associated items of the Selective Reminding Test. The different methodology and especially the long period of observation led us to conclude that slowing information processing could be also responsible for intentional retrieval deficit that becomes evident over time. However, the declining cognitive functions did not seem to interfere in any particular way either in the daily life impact of the patients or in their working activity. In fact, our patients have achieved their own purpose in life studying, getting married, giving birth, and not developing mood disturbances or severe depression.

Our results support the hypothesis that cognitive impairment in RR MS patients occurs with a peculiar pattern of deficit that is called in literature mild cognitive impairment. Moreover, in agreement with the findings of other authors, we confirm that RR MS may be considered a peculiar clinical entity with benign course and low physical disability. Overall, our cohort of RR MS patients since initial clinical assessments (performed every six months over the duration of the study) displayed a stable clinical condition. In our opinion, clinical parameters of our patients, such as low EDSS score, RR disease course, one or two annual relapse over the follow up period, could be considered possible predictive factors of a distinctive cognitive decline. In fact, in our patient group as a whole, neuropsychological performances were initially impaired and remained more or less stable after 8.5 years. As for RR MS, the benign course of the disease would therefore suggest some evidence that such a mild cognitive impairment may occur in this form of the disease. Unfortunately, the comparatively small number of patients examined in this study precluded the use of statistical analysis to demonstrate the possible predictors of neuropsychological performance.

Cerebral MRI lesion burden dramatically increased in size and numbers of lesions at the follow up examination but not the same was evident regarding the clinical course of the disease and cognitive performances. Correlations between MRI total and EDSS score on both baseline and follow up studies were found, but the total MRI lesion index did not seem a good factor for predicting the clinical course of the disease and the cognitive impairment. Partially, this finding may be due to the EDSS score ≤ 4 as inclusion criterion for the enrolment in the study. It is known that controversial findings on the correlations between physical disability, EDSS score and total MRI brain load could be explained by different methodologies in evaluating MRI images and by varying disease course and duration. Also, in long term MRI follow up studies the comparison between images has to take into account the different thickness of slices. In our study the comparison was performed because only few baseline MRI images (three patients) presented an 8 mm thickness and the remaining slices had 6 mm of thickness. At the second evaluation, all MRI scans were of 5 mm thick permitting a feasible comparison. Body of lateral ventricle, trigone, frontal and temporal lobe lesions, occipital, temporal and frontal horn, brain stem were the most involved regions in demyelinating processes; in our group, frontal horn and frontal lobe lesions correlated significantly with memory tests and ERP findings. Such lesion locations could be on the basis of the specific cognitive deficit, as reported by many authors. Most of the significant correlations between neuropsychological and RMN data displayed at baseline were not evident at retesting. This would suggest that the cognitive impairment course appears less ingravescent than white matter brain demyelination, which nevertheless does not seem to influence the instrumental function of wide cognitive domains. Cognitive dysfunction, then, could be related to disease peculiarity occurring on a patient specific basis and not to the time course. Even though there was an increasing lesion load, the stable cognitive impairment could be explained by small lesions not detected by MRI scans. Such lesions could play a crucial part more than large lesions in a less critical area of brain for cognitive functioning. In fact, recent special neuroimaging techniques permit detection of microscopic cerebral lesions not seen on conventional methodology and such small lesions could account for specific cognitive deficits.

Neurophysiological study was carried out to obtain an online measure of cognitive status in our MS patients and in particular to evaluate the late cognitive components N200 and P300. The N200. The N200 seems to indicate an early cognitive elaboration concerning subject’s attention orientation. The P300 represents the closing elaboration of stimuli discrimination. ERP findings revealed a more impairment of visual P300 than auditory one. The sensory processing of early visual components of ERPs in the patients displayed lower amplitude compared with controls, but it was not significant. Furthermore, all patients suffered from optic neuritis during the time of observation but the early components evoked by visual stimuli showed a not significant trend of reduction amplitude and the subsequent late cognitive potential were normal or not in our sample independently by these data. Our findings could be explained by the different nervous pathway involved in visual and auditory stimuli processing as well as to the different generators implicated in processing these dissimilar kinds of stimuli. However, the widespread brain lesion burden is undoubtedly the phenomenon underlying these results. In fact, most MS lesions were seen on the body of lateral ventricle, trigone, frontal and temporal lobe lesions, occipital, temporal and frontal horn, brain stem that are known as cerebral regions of specific cognitive functions as memory, attention, and executive function. According to literature data, our findings confirm that frontal horn and brain stem could be thought as critical areas of neural cognitive network involved in the neural origin of ERPs. Correlations between P300 and neuropsychological data and ERP findings demonstrated informations about the application of ERPs to examine cognitive impairment in MS as reported also by other
follow up investigations are found in literature. These studies respectively. Very little combined neuropsychological and MRI patients reduced from 88% to 58% and PP and SP MS patients ing 51% at the second retesting and 44% at the end of the baseline, 74% of patients were cognitively unimpaired becom-

ing, problem solving, and memory dysfunction as distinctive features of “subcortical dementia”. This condition could be attributable to the interconnection of the neural connections among cortical associative areas as well as between cortical and subcortical structures as a consequence of demyelination and axonal degeneration. Thus, we hypothesise to name this peculiar MS cognitive pattern as subcortical disconnection dementia in contrast with other clinical condition (Parkin-
sion’s disease, Huntington’s disease, progressive supranuclear palsy) characterised by subcortical dementia, which is the consequence of a degenerative process of basal ganglia as crucial neuronal relay station for processing cognitive infor-
mation.
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