Outcome of contemporary surgery for chronic subdural haematoma: evidence based review
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Objective: To evaluate the results of surgical treatment options for chronic subdural haematoma in contemporary neurosurgery according to evidence based criteria.

Methods: A review based on a Medline search from 1981 to October 2001 using the phrases “subdural haematoma” and “subdural haematoma AND chronic”. Articles selected for evaluation had at least 10 patients and less than 10% of patients were lost to follow up. The articles were classified by three classes of evidence according to the American Academy of Neurology. Strength of recommendation for different treatment options was derived from the resulting degrees of certainty.

Results: The principal techniques—twist drill craniostomy, burr hole craniostomy, and craniotomy—used in contemporary neurosurgery for chronic subdural haematoma have different profiles for morbidity, mortality, recurrence rate, and cure rate. Twist drill and burr hole craniostomy can be considered first tier treatment, while craniotomy may be used as second tier treatment. A cumulative summary of data shows that, overall, the postoperative outcome of chronic subdural haematoma has not improved substantially over the past 20 years.

C hronic subdural haematoma of the elderly is nowadays often considered to be a rather benign entity, ignoring its relatively high mortality and morbidity. When Virchow first described “pachymeningitis haemorrhagica interna,” however, it was considered a fatal disorder.1 Over the past 150 years, a dramatic improvement in outcome was achieved following better understanding of the pathophysiology, the introduction of modern imaging methods, and refinement of operative techniques.2 However, mortality of up to 13% is still reported in contemporary literature,3 which may reflect the fact that up to four deaths a year are related to this condition in a typical neurosurgical department. Although this is one of the most frequent problems encountered in neurosurgery, there has been relatively little progress in its treatment during the past 20 years. This is in marked contrast to the development of sophisticated concepts and surgical techniques in other subspecialties of neurosurgery, such as functional, spinal, or vascular neurosurgery.

The principal techniques used in the treatment of chronic subdural haematoma are presently twist drill craniostomy, burr hole craniostomy, and craniotomy. Other procedures are undertaken much more rarely. Additional procedures include intraoperative irrigation of the subdural space and drainage of the haematoma. The number of treatment options reflects the dilemma of the search for the optimum procedure.

In this paper, we provide the first evidence based review of the contemporary surgical treatment of chronic subdural haematoma.

METHODS

A systematic review was undertaken by conducting a Medline search from January 1981 to October 2001. The key words “subdural haematoma” and “subdural haematoma AND chronic” yielded a total of 973 publications. Additionally, reference lists of recent publications cited another 198 papers on the topic. In order to reduce possible publication bias and enhance the quality of the analysis, certain inclusion criteria were set arbitrarily, in agreement with similar analyses on other topics. To exclude inherent positive bias from case reports and small series, only articles reporting on 10 patients or more were selected for analysis. Paediatric series or mixed series without separate statistical analysis for subgroups were not evaluated. As mortality and morbidity rates might be falsely low in series with high attrition rates, only studies with less than 10% of patients lost to follow up at the time of statistical evaluation were included. Openings of the skull up to a diameter of 5 mm were categorised as twist drill craniostomy, openings of up to 30 mm as burr hole craniostomy or enlarged burr hole craniostomy, and larger openings as craniotomy.

Reports written in English or German were considered, but reports written in other languages were excluded.

Each article was classified as providing class I, class II, or class III evidence according to the criteria of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN),4 which are similar to those of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS).5 The strength of the recommendations for management are derived from the resulting degrees of certainty (table 1).

In order to allow both comparison and analysis of the summarised data from different studies, uniform criteria were
used to define morbidity, mortality, and recurrence. Morbidity was defined as any complication during or after surgery other than recurrence. Mortality included any death reported between surgery and discharge from hospital. Recurrence was defined, in general, by clinical and radiological findings. Morbidity and mortality were determined at the time of discharge and at the time of last follow up. For comparison (figs 1–3), only those studies were analysed that provided detailed information on morbidity and mortality, or provided the raw data on these outcomes. We considered morbidity and mortality to be a measure of the safety of a procedure. The cure rate indicated the percentage of patients who reached full autonomy and at the time of last follow up. For comparison (figs 1–3), only those studies were analysed that provided detailed information on morbidity and mortality, or provided the raw data on these outcomes. We considered morbidity and mortality to be a measure of the safety of a procedure. The cure rate indicated the percentage of patients who reached full autonomy after surgery (grade 0 or grade 1 in the Markwalder7 classifications, or grade 5 on the Glasgow outcome scale8). The cure rate therefore reflects the efficiency of a particular surgical method. The recurrence rate was considered to be a reciprocal measure of effectiveness in treating the underlying cause of the disease.

Statistics

For statistical analysis we used Sigma Stat, version 2.03 (SPSS Inc). Patient data of corresponding treatment groups from different publications were summarised and statistically compared using the $\chi^2$ test. In all circumstances a probability (p) value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Medline search and review of reference lists yielded 48 articles that were suitable for evaluation.9, 10, 13–56 None of the articles reviewed met the criteria for class I evidence. Six articles,10, 13–15, 46 of which four10, 13–15 were concerned with the question of whether drainage systems should be used, met criteria for class II evidence. The majority of publications met criteria for class III evidence. On the basis of this classification and weighting according to evidence based criteria, the following summarising statements concerning surgical approach, irrigation, drainage, and treatment of recurrences were made.

Evidentary summary of results

Surgical approach

Surgical approaches are restricted to the three principal techniques with very few exceptions: twist drill craniotomy9, 10, 13–15, 31, 32, 34, 36–40; burr hole craniotomy1, 10, 13–15, 17–22, 30–33, 35–38; and craniotomy.9, 10, 13–15, 17–22, 30–33, 35–38, 40–42

Combining each approach with the use of intraoperative irrigation or the use of drainage provides a variety of treatment options (table 2). Few other technical variants were described. Aoki reported filling the subdural space with 100% oxygen after haematoma evacuation,11 while Kitakami and colleagues used carbon dioxide.12 Recurrent chronic subdural haematoma was successfully treated endoscopically through a small burr hole by Hellwig et al.13 A different approach was presented by Probst, who demonstrated the benefit of subduro-peritoneal shunting of chronic subdural haematomas in the elderly.14

Overall, there was no significant difference in mortality between the three techniques. Figure 1 summarises mortality, morbidity, and cure and recurrence rates for the three principal techniques. Morbidity was significantly higher in the craniotomy series (12.3%) than with twist drill craniostomy (3%) or burr hole craniostomy (3.8%). Differences in cure rates did not reach statistical significance. Both burr hole craniostomy and craniotomy had lower recurrence rates than twist drill craniostomy (p < 0.001).

Table 1 Overview of evidence based criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes of evidence</th>
<th>Strength of recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I: Evidence provided by one or more well designed randomised controlled clinical studies.</td>
<td>Type A: Strong recommendation, based on class I evidence or overwhelming class II evidence when circumstances preclude randomised clinical trials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II: Evidence provided by one or more well designed clinical studies such as prospective open, case-control studies, etc.</td>
<td>Type B: Recommendation based on class II evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III: Evidence provided by expert opinion, non-randomised historical controls, or case reports of one or more patients.</td>
<td>Type C: Recommendation based on strong consensus of class III evidence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Overview of contemporary neurosurgical treatment options for chronic subdural haematoma of the elderly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Supplementary procedures</th>
<th>No of studies (refs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Craniotomy</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craniotomy</td>
<td>No irrigation</td>
<td>No drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr hole</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr hole</td>
<td>No irrigation</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr hole</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr hole</td>
<td>Drainage, continuous inflow and outflow</td>
<td>2 (24, 40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist drill</td>
<td>No irrigation</td>
<td>No drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist drill</td>
<td>No irrigation</td>
<td>Drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr hole + CO$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist drill + O$_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subduro-peritoneal shunt</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results were comparable for all four groups. Craniotomy with drainage \((n = 29)\) and without drainage \((n = 29)\), and different treatment options within the framework of a retrospective group \((34\%)\), while mortality and recurrence rates did not differ significantly. 

They found markedly fewer complications in the results of burr hole craniostomy \((n = 30)\) compared with other principal surgical techniques for treatment of chronic subdural haematoma. Mortality, morbidity, cure rate, and recurrence rate are compared for twist drill craniostomy \(\text{TDC}\), burr hole craniostomy \(\text{BHC}\), and craniotomy. The grey columns show the relative percentage of summarised data on the corresponding treatment groups from different publications. The legends in the columns show absolute numbers, the range of relative values, and the number of studies which provided statistical data, with their classes of evidence.

Two of nine class III evidence publications on craniostomy \(^{20} 22 \ 24 \ 28 \ 30 \ 34 \ 35 \ 38} \) reported comparison with another principal technique. Schulte and colleagues\(^{36} \) evaluated the results of burr hole craniostomy \((n = 30)\) vs craniotomy \((n = 35)\). They found markedly fewer complications in the burr hole craniostomy group \((3\%)\) than in the craniotomy group \((34\%)\), while mortality and recurrence rates did not differ significantly. Hamilton and colleagues\(^{37} \) compared four different treatment options within the framework of a retrospective analysis. The four groups included burr hole craniostomy with drainage \((n = 14)\) and without drainage \((n = 29)\), and craniotomy with drainage \((n = 29)\) and without drainage \((n = 20)\). Results were comparable for all four groups.

Only one of seven publications\(^{38} 39 \ 40 \ 41 \ 44 \ 46 \ 48} \) compared twist drill craniostomy with another principal technique. Smely et al \(^{48} \) compared a prospective series of chronic subdural haematoma patients \((n = 33)\) undergoing twist drill craniostomy with a historical control series of burr hole craniotomies \((n = 33)\). Twist drill craniostomy significantly surpassed the results of the burr hole technique in lowering morbidity \((0\% \text{ v} 18\%)\), recurrence rate \((18\% \text{ v} 39\%)\), and duration of hospital stay \((4.9 \text{ v} 9.6 \text{ days})\). The recurrence rate of 39\% in the burr hole group, however, is the highest in all published reports. The recurrence rate of 18\% in the twist drill group is also above average for burr hole craniostomy series \((12.1\%)\) or craniotomy series \((10.8\%)\).

Irrigation

Three class III evidence publications provide data allowing direct comparison of burr hole craniostomy with and without intraoperative irrigation. Morbidity, mortality, and cure rates were similar between groups in each publication. Suzuki and associates reported a recurrence rate of 3.4\% for the group without irrigation, and 3\% for the group with irrigation. The difference did not reach significance.\(^{49} \) In the series by Matsumoto et al \((n = 121)\) eight of patients had no intraoperative irrigation. There were no significant differences in the recurrence rates.\(^{50} \) In Kuroki’s series, the recurrence rate was 3.6\% without irrigation and 13.3\% with irrigation.\(^{51} \) This difference did not, however, reach significance.

Two publications report on the use of continuous inflow and outflow irrigation after surgical decompression of chronic subdural haematomas. Ram et al found fewer recurrences in their postoperative irrigation group than in their control group \((1/19 \text{ v} 4/18)\) \((\text{class II evidence})\).\(^{52} \) Owing to the small number of recurrences, however, the difference did not reach significance. Similar results were reported by Hennig and Kloster\(^{53} \), who retrospectively compared four different variables \((\text{class III evidence})\): burr hole craniostomy with continuous inflow and outflow drainage \((\text{group 1})\); burr hole craniostomy with intraoperative irrigation and postoperative closed system drainage \((\text{group 2})\); burr hole craniostomy with intraoperative irrigation only \((\text{group 3})\); and craniotomy \((\text{group 4})\). The recurrence rate of 2.6\% in group 1 was significantly lower than those in the other groups: 29.4\% in group 2, 39.5\% in group 3, and 44.4\% in group 4. Continuous irrigation did not result in additional complications.

Few studies have considered the use of intraoperative irrigation in twist drill craniostomy. Aoki found a significant reduction in the recurrence rate \((\text{from 29.2\% to 6.7\%})\) using intraoperative irrigation in twist drill craniostomy \((\text{class III evidence})\). The use of irrigation had no impact on morbidity or mortality.\(^{54} \)

Drainage

Four papers on the use of drainage met the criteria of class II evidence. Markwalder and Seller\(^{55} \) discontinued a prospective study on burr hole craniostomy without closed system drainage: the results did not show significant differences in final outcome compared with Markwalder’s previous study using a drain,\(^{56} \) but patients without drainage fared worse early after surgery. Wakai et al had significantly fewer recurrences with drainage \((5\% \text{ v} 33\%)\).\(^{57} \) In another study, the influence of the catheter position on the recurrence rate after burr hole craniostomy was analysed. Results were better when the tip of the drain was in a frontal position \((5\% \text{ recurrences})\) than in a temporal \((33\% \text{ recurrences})\), occipital \((36\% \text{ recurrences})\), or parietal position \((38\% \text{ recurrences})\).\(^{58} \) Recently, Kwon et al correlated postoperative drainage volume with the recurrence rate.\(^{59} \) When the total drainage volume was below 200 ml, the recurrence rate increased from 0\% to 6.4\%. This difference was highly significant. The use of a drain did not alter morbidity, mortality, or the cure rate in any of the publications reviewed.

We did not find any study comparing twist drill craniostomy with and without drainage. Figure 2 summarises the accumulated data from six publications that provided complete statistical data on 451 patients treated by twist drill craniostomy.

Treatment of recurrences

Twenty publications contained detailed data on the treatment of recurrences after burr hole craniostomy in 229 patients.\(^{60} 61 \ 17 \ 20 \ 22 \ 24 \ 25 \ 29 \ 31 \ 38 \ 40 \ 41 \ 44} \) One hundred and ninety four patients \((85\%)\) were successfully treated by the
same procedure as previously, 32 (14%) underwent craniotomy, and three (1%) died.

Data on 151 patients who suffered recurrences after twist drill craniostomy were available from seven publications.

One hundred and six patients (70%) were successfully treated by the same approach in a second or third operation, while in 35 patients (24%), burr hole craniostomy was considered more adequate for reoperation, and in 10 (6%) craniotomy was thought to be the most useful procedure.

No data were available on treatment of recurrences after craniotomy.

Odds ratios of the summary data
Analysis of the odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of the summary data presented in fig 1 and 2 is shown in table 3. The results of this analysis specify the relative risks of the different therapeutic techniques and options.

Evidentiary evaluation of results
Surgical approach
Type A or B recommendations: evaluation of the data does not allow any type A or B recommendations.

Type C recommendations
- Twist drill craniostomy and burr hole craniostomy are the safest procedures.
- Burr hole craniostomy and craniotomy are the most effective procedures.
- Burr hole craniostomy has the best cure to complication ratio.

Irrigation
Type A or B recommendations: evaluation of the data does not allow any type A or B recommendations.

Type C recommendations
- Irrigation lowers the risk of recurrence in twist drill craniostomy.
- Irrigation does not increase the risk of infection.

Drainage
Type A recommendations: evaluation of the data does not allow any type A recommendations.

Type B recommendations
- Drainage reduces the risk of recurrence in burr hole craniostomy.
- Frontal position of the drain reduces the risk of recurrence.

Type C recommendations
- Drainage reduces the risk of recurrence in twist drill craniostomy.
- The use of a drain does not increase the risk of infection.

Treatment of recurrences
Type A or B recommendations: evaluation of the data does not allow any type A or B recommendations.

Type C recommendations
- Burr hole craniostomy is more effective in treating recurrent haematoma than twist drill craniostomy.
- Craniotomy should be considered as the treatment of last choice.

DISCUSSION
The conclusions of our analysis differ from those of Markwalder’s comprehensive review published in 1981 in several ways. At that time, neurosurgical opinion on the treatment of chronic subdural haematoma was still influenced by the previous concept that this was a possibly lethal disorder. A large craniotomy with capsulsection was a common operation. Markwalder’s review on chronic subdural haematoma was an important step in minimising the invasiveness of the surgical treatment. The publications cited in our present review give clear evidence of this development. Nevertheless, the extent of surgery necessary for adequate treatment of chronic subdural haematoma is still a matter of debate. Up to now there have been no prospective randomised studies to determine which surgical approach is most appropriate. As has been shown,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals of summary data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDC v BHC</td>
<td>1 [0.6 to 1.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craniotomy v BHC</td>
<td>1.7 [0.7 to 4.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craniotomy v TDC</td>
<td>1.6 [0.6 to 4.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDC+drain v TDC</td>
<td>1.9 [0.2 to 21.7]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary data are presented in detail in figs 1 and 2. BHC, burr hole craniostomy; TDC, twist drill craniostomy.
contemporary treatment options options range from simple twist drill craniostomy without drainage to large craniotomies with marsupialisation of haematoma membranes.9–14

Burr hole craniostomy seems to have been the most commonly performed procedure for decompressing chronic subdural haematomas within the past 20 years (table 2). The widespread use of the burr hole technique is supported and justified by its safety. Morbidity and mortality rates of burr hole craniostomy are comparable with those of twist drill craniostomy, while the recurrence rates are similar to those achieved with craniotomy. Craniotomy is still the surgical approach with the least risk of recurrence. The downside of this technique, however, is that it has the greatest number of treatment related deaths, and it is also associated with the highest morbidity. It is conceivable that selection bias may have resulted in a somewhat distorted picture of the mortality and morbidity of craniotomy, as series of primary craniotomies for the treatment of this condition in general include patients who were presumed to have a higher risk of recurrence or who had a less satisfactory preoperative performance.15–20 Thus it can be concluded that the burr hole technique shares the advantages of twist drill craniotomy, with its high cure rate and low risk of morbidity and mortality, and of primary craniotomy, with its low risk of recurrence. The greater number of publications on burr hole craniostomy over the years probably reflects the fact that most neurosurgeons now favour this approach. One argument in favour of the less invasive twist drill craniostomy is the positive correlation between the degree of invasiveness and the number of non-surgical complications in elderly people.21 Although theoretically attractive, this issue needs further study because of the paucity of data.

When we consider recurrence rate as a measure of the efficacy of treatment for the underlying process, a large decompression with its low recurrence rate appears to be the most rational approach. The recurrence rate of burr hole craniostomy, however, comes close to that of craniotomy. Whereas it is the goal of craniotomy, in general, to remove the haematoma and its membranes, burr hole craniostomy merely aims to release the haematoma fluid. In other words, removal of the haematoma membranes does not necessarily decrease the risk of recurrence. Therefore, it may be speculated that the haematoma itself is the promoter for its chronicity, and removal of the haematoma fluid should suffice as the primary goal of surgery. This hypothesis is supported by recent laboratory studies showing that the haematoma fluid contains large concentrations of vasoactive cytokines.22–25 The high concentration of vascular endothelium derived growth factor (VEGF), for example, could be involved in the formation of the haematoma membranes. Furthermore, the haematoma fluid contains inflammatory mediators26–31 and fibrinolytic factors32–36 which all may play a role in the further development of the haematoma. The risk of recurrence is increased when the concentration of fibrinolytic factors remains high in the postoperative drainage fluid.37 The minor importance of membrane removal is further supported by clinical experience with endoscopy aided decompression of recurrent haematomas without excision of their membranes.38–41

The intention of irrigation is to remove the haematoma completely or at least to dilute its contents. Clinical data support the beneficial effect of intraoperative irrigation when used in conjunction with twist drill craniostomy. As summarised above, the evidence for the benefit of irrigation in burr hole craniostomy is less strong. Continuous postoperative irrigation may be useful to reduce recurrences. The available data do not support the assumption that the use of irrigation increases the risk of infection.42,43

Recurrences may be treated by repeated twist drill craniostomy or by burr hole craniostomy. Here again, burr hole craniostomy appears to be superior to twist drill craniostomy, con-
need a more invasive approach such as craniotomy. It will be a task for the future to identify predictive factors that will enable one to tailor the surgical approach for the individual patient. Finally, a cumulative summary of data on the treatment of chronic subdural haematoma published within epochs of five years suggests that variations in the principal surgical techniques have not substantially improved the outcome over the past 20 years (fig 3). There is a need for properly conducted prospective trials on surgery for chronic subdural haematoma. It might also be worthwhile seeking alternative strategies in the treatment of this condition.

Conclusions
This evidence based review of contemporary surgical techniques for the treatment of chronic subdural haematoma identified twist drill craniostomy and burr hole craniostomy as the safest methods. Burr hole craniostomy has the best cure to complication ratio and is superior to twist drill craniostomy in the treatment of recurrences. Craniotomy and burr hole craniostomy have the lowest recurrence rates. The use of closed system drainage reduces the risk of recurrence without additional risk of complications.

Authors’ affiliations
R Weigel, P Schmiedek, J K Krauss, Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital, Klinikum Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany

Competing interests: none declared

REFERENCES


Outcome of contemporary surgery for chronic subdural haematoma: evidence based review

R Weigel, P Schmiedek and J K Krauss

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2003 74: 937-943
doi: 10.1136/jnnp.74.7.937

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://jnnp.bmj.com/content/74/7/937

These include:

References
This article cites 80 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:
http://jnnp.bmj.com/content/74/7/937#BIBL

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Injury (478)
Neurological injury (390)
Trauma (479)
Trauma CNS / PNS (390)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/