Antithrombotic drugs in secondary stroke prevention among a community dwelling older population
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Background: Patients who suffer a cerebrovascular event are at high risk of a recurrence. Secondary prevention is crucial in reducing the burden of cerebrovascular disease.

Objective: To estimate the percentage of stroke survivors receiving antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs and to identify factors associated with such treatment.

Design: Cross sectional retrospective cohort study.

Methods: Data were analysed from a large collaborative observational study, the Italian “silver network” home care project, which collected data (from 1997 to 2001) on patients admitted to home care programmes (n = 5372). Twenty two home health agencies participated in evaluating the implementation of the minimum dataset for home care (MDS-HC) instrument. For the present study, 648 individuals with a diagnosis of stroke were selected and the initial MDS-HC assessment reported.

Results: 70% of stroke survivors did not receive any antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs (95% confidence interval [CI], 66.5 to 73.5). Among all age categories, aspirin and ticlopidine were the two most commonly prescribed drugs. Living alone (odds ratio [OR], 0.49 [95% CI, 0.24 to 0.89]), dependency in activities of daily living (0.66 [0.40 to 0.99]), cognitive impairment (0.58 [0.38 to 0.86]), and low educational level (0.58 [0.34 to 0.98]) were associated with a reduced likelihood of receiving secondary stroke prevention treatment. Cardiac arrhythmias, coronary artery disease, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease were associated with the use of antiplatelet or anticoagulant treatment.

Conclusions: Negative attitudes among physicians with respect to secondary stroke prevention are prevalent and reinforce the need for increased awareness of existing data on the risks and benefits for elderly individuals. Social problems and functional impairment may be issues concerning physicians when deciding whether or not the risks of treatment exceed the benefit.

METHODS

The study was conducted using data from the database of the Italian national home care programme called the “silver network home care project.” This is a population based, longitudinal, multi-linked database which comprises data collected using MDS-HC (the minimum dataset for home care) in more than 20 home health agencies in Italy, and also data on all the drugs used by each patient at the time of the MDS-HC assessment (drugs were coded using the ATC (anatomical, therapeutic and chemical) codes).

MDS-HC assessment form

The MDS-HC contains over 350 data elements, including sociodemographic variables, numerous clinical items about both physical and cognitive status, and all clinical diagnoses. The MDS-HC also includes information about an extensive array of signs, symptoms, syndromes, and treatments provided. Various different multi-item summary scales are embedded in the MDS-HC—measuring, for example, physical function (activities of daily living (ADL); instrumental activities of daily living (IADL)), and cognitive status (cognitive performance scale (CPS)).

The MDS items have excellent inter-rater and test-retest reliability when completed by physicians and nurses.

Abbreviations: ADL, activities of daily living; CPS, cognitive performance scale; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; MDS-HC, minimum dataset for home care
nurses performing their usual assessment duties (average weighted $\kappa = 0.8$).

**Study sample**

The study population consisted of all patients admitted to home care programmes in 22 home health agencies from 1997 to 2001 who participated in the national silver network project ($n = 5372$). The intended study sample was all those with a diagnosis of stroke reported on initial MDS-HC assessment ($n = 699$). From this we excluded any whose drug treatment was not known accurately ($n = 51$), leaving a final sample of $648$. The MDS-HC diagnosis of stroke is based on physician’s interpretation of the patient’s medical history as presented by physical examination, the medical record, and hospital discharge documentation.

**Drug classification**

Home care staff recorded the ATC code for up to 18 drugs taken within the seven days preceding the MDS-HC assessment. The MDS-HC drug inventory has been shown to be both consistent and reliable. We considered that secondary pharmacological prevention of stroke was reflected in standing orders for the antiplatelet agents aspirin (B01AC06), dipyridamole (B01AC07), and ticlopidine (B01AC05), and the anticoagulant warfarin (B01AE01). The study sample included patients with various comorbidities, including cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular conditions.

**Table 1** Sociodemographic, functional, and clinical variables in patients with stroke*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total (n=648)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean (SD))</td>
<td>78.7 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>362 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>316 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>277 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>55 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone</td>
<td>95 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (0–5 years)</td>
<td>118 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium–high (&gt;5 years)</td>
<td>530 (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL score (mean (SD))</td>
<td>5.5 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL score (mean (SD))</td>
<td>5.6 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS score (mean (SD))</td>
<td>2.7 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired cognitive performance†</td>
<td>356 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular diseases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>290 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>131 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>113 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>152 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac arrhythmias</td>
<td>126 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other diseases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>133 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>316 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>124 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventive treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>96 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipyridamole</td>
<td>5 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ticlopidine</td>
<td>67 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>27 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are given as n (%) unless otherwise indicated.
†CPS score $\geq$ 2 or more.
ADL, activities of daily living (range 0–7, a higher number indicates greater impairment); CPS, cognitive performance scale (range 0–6, a higher number indicates greater impairment); IADL, instrumental activities of daily living (range 0–7, a higher number indicates greater impairment).

**Figure 1** Pharmacological treatment of patients with stroke, stratified by age categories (prevalences and 95% confidence intervals).
warfarin (B01AA03). Clopidogrel was not considered because it only became available in Italy in 2000, after the study protocol and data collection were completed. No patient in the sample population was taking acenocumarol (B01AA07) at the assessment time.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analysed to obtain descriptive statistics. Continuous variables are presented as mean (SD). Age trends for the use of antiplatelet or anticoagulant agents were analysed using the $\chi^2$ test for trend. A probability (p) value of < 0.05 was chosen for statistical significance.

To identify predictors of secondary stroke preventive treatment, we selected a sample of patients with a diagnosis of stroke and ran a logistic regression model using treatment with any antiplatelet or anticoagulant agent as the dependent variable. We adjusted our model for age, sex, and any potential confounding variables (diabetes, hypertension, cardiac arrhythmia, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, and social, functional, and cognitive status). The IADL score was excluded from the multivariate analysis to limit the confounding effect of colinearity with ADL. We did not consider economic factors in our analyses because the Italian National Health Plan gives universal coverage including the provision of drugs. From the final model, we derived odds ratios (OR) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). Statistical analyses were undertaken using SPSS software.

**RESULTS**

Main characteristics of the study sample population are shown in table 1. Patients were white, predominately female (56%), with a mean (SD) age of 78.7 (9.6) years. Sixty-eight per cent of the individuals were aged 75 years or more. Overall, patients had a moderate to severe impairment in basic and instrumental activities of daily living; similarly, cognitive function was compromised in a large number of patients (55% had a CPS score more than 2, indicating moderate to severe cognitive impairment). On average, the sample had a relatively good level of formal education. Widows and widowers formed 43% of the sample, and 15% lived alone without any available informal care.

Seventy per cent of individuals with a diagnosis of stroke had not received any antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs (32%, 32%, and 25% of patients in the 65 to 74 years, 75 to 84 years, and 85 years + groups, respectively; $p = 0.06$ for trend). This trend was significantly evident only for warfarin treatment. However, only 3% of patients aged 75 years and older received this drug, compared with 8% of patients aged 65 to 74 years ($p = 0.005$).

Table 2 shows predictive factors for secondary preventive treatment among patients with stroke. Living alone (OR, 0.49 (95% CI, 0.24 to 0.89)), dependency in activities of daily living (0.66 (0.40 to 0.99)), cognitive impairment (0.58 (0.38 to 0.86)), and low educational level (0.58 (0.34 to 0.98)) were associated with a reduced likelihood of receiving secondary stroke prevention treatment. Conversely, cardiac arrhythmia, coronary artery disease, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease were associated with receiving anticoagulant or antiplatelet treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Stroke is one of the leading causes of morbidity, disability, dependency, and mortality in the USA and in Western
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countries. Patients who have experienced a cerebrovascular event are at high risk of a recurrence, and secondary prevention is the most important intervention to decrease the burden of cerebrovascular disease. Various different treatments have been shown to be effective in lowering the risk of recurrent stroke. Several antiplatelet drugs are useful in the secondary prevention of cerebral ischaemic events and in reducing stroke and myocardial infarction. The American Heart Association guidelines for secondary stroke prevention recommend the use of warfarin in all patients with atrial fibrillation who have no contraindications to taking the drug.

A few studies have examined the prevalence of undertreatment of cerebrovascular disease in elderly stroke survivors living in the community. In particular, Petty and colleagues’ study of a community dwelling population with a previous history of cerebrovascular accidents and found that 30% of their sample were not treated with any anticoagulant or antiplatelet agents. There are few data about factors influencing the choice of a specific treatment for secondary prevention in patients with a recent stroke or transient ischaemic attack.

Our results in the present study show that only 30% of individuals who suffered a cerebrovascular event received any secondary preventive treatment. Patients aged 85 years or older seemed less likely to receive drugs for secondary stroke prevention than younger patients, though this trend was significant only for warfarin. Subjects with physical or cognitive impairment were also less likely to receive preventive drug treatment than the non-impaired population. In addition, the absence of any informal caregiver and a low educational level were associated with a decreased likelihood of treatment within our sample.

The observation that older, functionally impaired and demented persons were at greater risk of receiving no treatment is of special concern, as shown in previous studies. In this respect, demographic and social problems and functional impairment may be linked to the physician’s decision about whether or not the potential risks of treatment exceed any possible benefit. In these particular situations, physicians may postpone the prescription of antiplatelet drugs or warfarin because of a perceived inability to monitor high risk patients effectively. Moreover, Kutner and colleagues found that general practitioners were unwilling to prescribe antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs for their elderly patients living in the community.

Non-treatment is not the same as undertreatment. Contraindications such as gastrointestinal bleeding, peptic ulcer disease, patient’s or family’s wishes, and treatment intolerance can also be expected to play an important role in a physician’s decision to postpone or start any type of secondary preventive treatment. In the light of these considerations, there are some limitations to our study that need to be recognised. First, we have no detailed clinical information about specific contraindications to warfarin or antiplatelet treatment. It is possible that differences in the frequency of contraindications might account for some of the demographic association (for example, contraindications certainly increase in very old MDS-HC stroke). Second, the MDS-HC stroke diagnosis does not distinguish between haemorrhagic and ischaemic strokes. However, despite these limitations, it is implausible that contraindications can explain the large gap between the recommended and observed rates of treatment that we documented in our study. It is also highly improbable that the 70% of patients who were not receiving any antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs had suffered haemorrhagic strokes.

A more critical consideration is that indecision about secondary preventive treatment is not limited to potential risks in frail elderly patients. There is also uncertainty about the possible benefits. The most important evidence on antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs after cerebrovascular events is based on “non-disabling” ischaemic stroke. Scientific evidence about the risks and benefits of secondary stroke preventive treatment is much more limited for patients with severe physical disability or cognitive impairment.

Conclusions

The negative attitudes of general practitioners over pharmacological treatment for stroke prevention strengthen the need to increase their knowledge of existing data on risks and benefits for frail elderly subjects. However, further research into the outcomes of such preventive treatments is warranted. More clearly defined guidelines suggesting indications for specific therapies are needed, especially among frail and functionally impaired older individuals who have suffered a cerebrovascular event.
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NEUROLOGICAL STAMP

August von Wassermann (1866–1925)

Wassermann was a German physician and bacteriologist who was educated at the Universities of Erlangen, Vienna, Munich, and Strasbourg. He graduated in 1888. From 1890 he was a student of Robert Koch at the Institute of Infectious Diseases in Berlin and in 1907 became head of the department of therapeutics and serum research. In 1913 he moved to the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute. Here he was director of experimental therapeutics until his death 12 years later. Wassermann is best remembered for the Wassermann test or reaction, which he developed in association with the German dermatologist Albert Neisser. The Wassermann test was the predecessor of today’s Venereal Disease Research Laboratory (VDRL) test for the diagnosis of infection with syphilis. Wassermann also developed a treatment for diphtheria and vaccinations for cholera, tetanus, and typhoid fever. Although not portrayed on a postage stamp, Wassermann has been portrayed on a German postmark.
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